A predator's perspective on causal links between climate change, physical forcing and ecosystem response
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ABSTRACT: The mechanisms by which variability in sea ice cover and its effects on the demography of the Antarctic krill *Euphausia superba* cascade to other ecosystem components such as apex predators remain poorly understood at all spatial and temporal scales, yet these interactions are essential for understanding causal links between climate change, ecosystem response and resource monitoring and management in the Southern Ocean. To address some of these issues, we examined the long-term foraging responses of Adélie penguins *Pygoscelis adeliae* near Palmer Station, western Antarctic Peninsula, in relation to ice-induced changes in krill recruitment and availability. Our results suggest that (1) there is a direct, causal relationship between variability in ice cover, krill recruitment, prey availability and predator foraging ecology, (2) regional patterns and trends detected in this study are consistent with similar observations in areas as far north as South Georgia, and (3) large-scale forcing associated with the Antarctic Circumpolar Wave may be governing ecological interactions between ice, krill and their predators in the western Antarctic Peninsula and Scotia Sea regions. Another implication of our analyses is that during the last 2 decades in particular, krill populations have been sustained by strong age classes that emerge episodically every 4 to 5 yr. This raises the possibility that cohort senescence has become an additional ecosystem stressor in an environment where ice conditions conducive to good krill recruitment are deteriorating due to climate warming. In exploring these interactions, our results suggest that at least 1 ‘senescence event’ has already occurred in the western Antarctic Peninsula region, and it accounts for significant coherent decreases in krill abundance, predator populations and predator foraging and breeding performance. We propose that krill longevity should be incorporated into models that seek to identify and understand causal links between climate change, physical forcing and ecosystem response in the western Antarctic Peninsula region.
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INTRODUCTION

Since the hypothesis was advanced early in the last decade that a decrease in winter sea ice in the western Antarctic Peninsula, WAP (see Fig. 1) due to climate warming was a major factor driving long-term change in the relative abundance of some regional, krill-dependent predator populations (Fraser et al. 1992), several independent studies have significantly enhanced our understanding of the dynamics addressed by this hypothesis. These studies converge on at least 3 relevant topics: the nature and magnitude of the warming trend, its consequences to sea ice development, and the effects of interactions between these 2 variables on ecosystem processes.

Recent analyses of long-term surface air-temperature records, for example, indicate that although the WAP has been warming since at least the mid-1950s...
(Smith et al. 1996, King & Turner 1997), it is mid-winter warming in particular that shows the only statistically significant increase (nearly 6°C over the last 56 yr; Smith et al. 2003). Trends showing a statistically significant anti-correlation between air temperature and sea ice extent have also been detected (Smith & Stammerjohn 2001), and at least 2 regional studies now support the hypothesized decrease in winter sea ice due to climate warming (cf. Fraser et al. 1992). Work by Jacobs & Comiso (1997) revealed that in the 2 decades following 1973, sea ice extent decreased by 20% as a recession that encompassed both winter and summer sea ice. Recent analyses by Smith et al. (2002) show that apart from a decrease in sea ice extent, sea ice during the last decade in particular has also been forming later and retreating earlier, resulting in a nearly 2 wk decrease in the total duration of the sea ice season compared to conditions 50 yr ago.

Understanding how these trends may affect WAP marine ecosystem processes has focused primarily on the significance of sea ice to the Antarctic krill and the significance of krill to the marine food web. Krill are a dominant food-web component in the WAP marine system, and play a critical role in the transfer of energy between primary producers and secondary consumers (Laws 1985). Changes in krill distribution and abundance are thus 2 of the factors that can profoundly impact food-web interactions (Priddle et al. 1988, Fraser & Trivelpiece 1996, Karl et al. 1996, Atkinson et al. 1999, Murphy & Reid 2001, Reid & Croxall 2001). The significance of sea ice to krill ensues from evidence that, unlike adults, larval krill cannot endure long periods without feeding (Quetin & Ross 1991, Ross & Quetin 1991). Winter survival when primary production is low thus depends, at least in part, on the availability of under-ice algae (Daly 1990), and several recent WAP studies have not only shown that cohort strength in krill is tightly coupled to sea ice conditions during the preceding winter (Kawaguchi & Satake 1994, Fraser & Trivelpiece 1995a,b, Siegel & Loeb 1995, Loeb et al. 1997, Siegel et al. 1997, 1998), but that an order of magnitude decrease in krill abundance has occurred in the northern WAP during the last decade (Siegel & Loeb 1995, Loeb et al. 1997, Siegel et al. 1997, 1998).

The belief that these interactions are essential to understanding causal links between climate change, ecosystem response, and resource monitoring and management in this marine system has now emerged as a new consensus in Southern Ocean ecological research (Fraser et al. 1992, Murphy et al. 1995, Fraser & Trivelpiece 1996, White & Peterson 1996, Loeb et al. 1997, Smith et al. 1999, Murphy & Reid 2001, Reid & Croxall 2001). However, while considerable progress has been made in the area of krill–sea-ice interactions, the mechanisms by which variability in sea ice cover and its effects on krill demography cascade to other ecosystem components such as apex predators remain poorly understood at all spatial and temporal scales (Murphy et al. 1988, Murphy 1995, Reid et al. 1999b). It is this area that we explore in this paper.

Our analysis is focused on Adélie penguin foraging ecology. Adélie penguins are a sea-ice-dependent species throughout their circumpolar range (Ainley et al. 1983, 1994, Fraser et al. 1992, Fraser & Trivelpiece 1996), but only in the mid- to northern WAP is the diet of this species dominated by Euphausia superba (Volkman et al. 1980, Fraser & Trivelpiece 1996). In continental Antarctica, Adélie penguins rely more on the Antarctic silverfish Pleuragramma antarcticum and the krill E. crystallorophias, 2 species with a more on-shelf distribution than E. superba (Clarke et al. 1998, Ainley 2002). Compared to continental Antarctica, the WAP continental shelf is very narrow, sea ice is less prevalent annually, and important hydrographic features such as Circumpolar Deep Water (the most voluminous water mass carried by the Antarctic Circumpolar Current) occur relatively close to shore (Hofmann et al. 1996, Stammerjohn & Smith 1996). The populations of krill that occur in the WAP are also thought to influence the marine ecosystem as far north as South Georgia (Hofmann et al. 1998, Murphy et al. 1998), meaning that the ecological setting for this study potentially encompasses one of the ‘source’ regions of large-scale variability. The processes and models we discuss, therefore, are circumscribed by rather unique physical and biological conditions that are atypical of continental Antarctica.

In this paper, we analyze concurrent data on WAP sea ice variability, Adélie penguin diets and foraging trip durations with the object of relating our findings to the broader issue of WAP food web dynamics, and specifically to the question of how Adélie penguin foraging ecology responds to ice-induced changes in krill demography. Our approach is conceptually focused on the predator’s ‘perspective’ of its prey field, a paradigm that we suggest provides clues to system dynamics not immediately obvious within the scope of currently accepted models of krill life-history and predator–krill interactions. Our results imply a direct, causal relationship between variability in ice cover, krill recruitment, krill availability and Adélie penguin foraging ecology. However, based on patterns evident in the diet, we argue that understanding how these interactions cascade through the food web may require a reassessment of critical aspects of krill life-history and their significance to krill demography.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study site and predator foraging. The data were obtained near Palmer Station (64°46'S, 64°04'W), Anvers Island, western Antarctic Peninsula (Fig. 1). Research on Adélie penguins began in the early 1970s (Parmelee 1992), and since the late 1980s has continued in support of 2 long-term research and monitoring programs. An approach shared by these programs is the use of penguin foraging ecology as an indicator of krill variability (see Fraser et al. 1988, Smith et al. 1995), and indeed many studies show that seabirds are good proxy indicators of the spatial and temporal variance associated with the structure of their prey populations (Sunada et al. 1981, Cairns 1987, 1992, Furness & Nettleship 1991, Hatch & Sanger 1992, Ainley et al. 1993, Croxall et al. 1999, Reid et al. 1999a,b). The penguin data analyzed include a time series on Adélie penguin foraging trip durations (8 yr) and another on diets (13 yr), which we use as proxies to investigate possible ice-induced changes in krill availability and population size-class structure, respectively.

Diets and krill population size-class structure. Diet samples were obtained during January and February 1975 to 1977 and 1988 to 1997 from adult penguins during the chick-feeding phase of the reproductive cycle. Except for the 1975 to 1977 series, samples were obtained by using the water off-loading method (forced regurgitation through stomach larvage; Wilson 1984). Birds were released unharmed after sampling. The earlier dietary samples were taken by interrupting newly arrived parents as they began to feed their chicks and collecting the regurgitate when it spilled on the ground. Regardless of methodology, 4 to 5 Adélie penguins were sampled at weekly intervals (30 to 45 per season). Krill were measured from the base of the eye to the tip of the telson and assigned to 1 of 8 size-categories (5 mm increments) between 16 and 65 mm. Binning at this resolution was necessary to allow the pooling of historical and modern data which, for the 1988 to 1991 period, was available only in 5 mm summary form. Because krill grow more than 5 mm yr⁻¹ (Siegel 1987), this binning still resolves changes in population size-class structure that occur between years. Only whole, fresh krill originating from the upper portion of the stomach were measured. These typically are the first to be off-loaded or regurgitated (in the case of the earlier methodology), and their fresh state clearly separates them from the more digested layers that often follow. In all cases, the krill measured represented a subsample obtained by sorting through the entire fresh sample to ensure that smaller specimens were not overlooked; 50 to 100 krill were typically measured in each subsample.

Krill size-frequency distributions were developed from these subsamples and analyzed in a log-linear model framework with residual analysis (adjusted standardized residuals) using SYSTAT.
Version 5 (Systat, Evanston, IL, USA) to reveal any patterns (Fienberg 1980). We used these data to test 2 related hypotheses: (1) to examine if the size-frequency distributions of krill obtained by Adélie penguins changed in response to annual winter variability in sea ice conditions, we used a chi-squared test to test the null hypothesis that krill size classes in penguin diets follow a multinomial distribution regardless of field season; (2) to examine if the size-frequency distributions of krill obtained by Adélie penguins changed in response to cyclical variability in winter sea ice conditions, we used a chi-squared test to test the null hypothesis that krill size classes in penguin diets follow a multinomial distribution regardless of winter category. This last hypothesis was tested by divorcing the diet data from the temporal constraints imposed by year-specific classification and reclassifying them to reflect common environmental elements (i.e. sea ice conditions; Fienberg 1980). Winters during which mean September sea ice extent reached at least 60° 50’ S (see sea ice and prey indices in later subsections), or the northern perimeter of the WAP, were thus categorized as Class 1 ice years (the beginning of an ice cycle), and the winters following until the next ice maximum as Class 2 ... N ice years (Table 1). The term ‘good recruitment’ is used to infer that krill in the 16 to 25 mm size class were significantly more abundant than predicted by the null hypothesis in the log-linear model. Krill in this size class represent the 1+ age class (Siegel 1987, Siegel et al. 2002), or the age class upon which most indices of krill recruitment success are based (Siegel & Loeb 1995, Loeb et al. 1997, Siegel et al. 1997, 1998, 2002). Additionally, the term ‘cohort’ is used to refer to Age 1+ krill, which convention recognizes may include 1 to 2 yr old individuals (Siegel 1987).

**Duration of foraging trips.** Foraging trip durations were determined annually during January 1990 to 1997 by tagging 30 to 40 adult penguins with 13 g radio transmitters (Advanced Telemetry Systems, Isanti, MN, USA). Tagged birds were typically brooding 7 to 10 d old chicks, and were selected for tagging only if their partners were present at the nest so their relative size could be compared and a gender assigned. Only 1 member of each pair was tagged, and pairs too similar in size to make a gender determination were omitted. This optimized preservation of an equal sex ratio among tagged birds, and ensured control over this factor in subsequent analyses (cf. Clarke et al. 1998, Ballard et al. 2001). Trip durations were calculated from data obtained using automatic data loggers set to record (date, h, min) the presence of tagged birds near their breeding colonies every 20 min; foraging time at sea is thus inferred based on periods of absence from the colonies.

The foraging trip duration analyses observe several conditions. (1) To minimize the possibility that chick development stage between seasons would confound results (cf. Clarke et al. 1998), the data selected for analyses were standardized on the date when approximately 70% of the chicks were in crèche; this date varied between 10 and 26 January during the 8 yr time series. (2) Data included in the analyses was restricted to two 5 d periods that centered on the crèche date, 1 period before and 1 period after this date. This ensured adequate sampling of the peak chick-growth phase, or the period when adults are likely to be under the greatest constraints to effectively provision their young (Fraser & Ainley 1989, Salihoglu et al. 2001). The only exception in this procedure was the 1992 to 1993 field season, where a receiver malfunction limited the analysis to the last 5 d of this 10 d period. (3) To avoid bias in the analyses due to foraging trip frequency, the mean foraging trip duration for each 10 d period was developed from the means of individual trip durations for the period without weighting by number of trips (CCAMLR 1992). (4) Periods of absence of less than 3 h from the colonies by adult penguins were not considered in the analyses, as direct observations suggested that most birds are not likely to complete a foraging trip in less than 3 h (Fraser & Trivelpiece 1996).

**Sea ice indices.** Sea ice trends were extended through 1996 by updating the index reported in Fraser et al. (1992) with weekly ice charts distributed through the US Navy-NOAA Joint Ice Center (now called the National Ice Center [NIC]; see www.natice.noaa.gov). These charts are compiled every 7 d and represent

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field season</th>
<th>Ice class</th>
<th>Field season</th>
<th>Ice class</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1974/75</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1986/87</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1975/76</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1987/88</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1976/77</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1988/89</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1977/78</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1989/90</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1978/79</td>
<td>4</td>
<td><strong>1990/91</strong></td>
<td><strong>1</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1979/80</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1991/92</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>1980/81</strong></td>
<td><strong>1</strong></td>
<td>1992/93</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1981/82</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1993/94</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1982/83</td>
<td>3</td>
<td><strong>1994/95</strong></td>
<td><strong>1</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1983/84</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1995/96</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1984/85</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1996/97</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1985/86</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
summaries of sea ice conditions derived by integrating the results of several remote-sensing technologies. Because of differences in the number of sensors used aboard the satellites that provided the data used to generate the older (pre-1978) and more recent (post-1978) charts, researchers often only consider the more recent information when developing ice indices (e.g. Smith et al. 1998). However, Wilson et al. (2001) have shown that integrating older and newer remote-sensing data did not skew the ice relationships being investigated (sea ice extent in the Ross Sea); we thus similarly assume that in updating our index, the results were compatible over the time series.

Our ice index is based on the northward position of the ice edge in September; this was calculated as the mean of the ice edge latitudes shown in the NIC 7 d ice charts along a line extending from the tip of South America to a point centered on 61°S. The southern end-point of this line is just outside the northern boundary of the WAP (see Hofmann et al. 1996, p. 62), and the precedence for reporting sea ice variability in this manner is based on the study of Fraser et al. (1992, p. 526). In the latter study, regression analysis showed a highly significant relationship between surface air temperature and the mean northward position of the ice edge, such that winters with extensive ice are characterized by temperatures ≤ −4.3°C and an ice edge that reaches or exceeds 60°50’S. September marks the end of sea ice advance in this region (Stammerjohn & Smith 1996); hence, we used the mean northward position of the ice edge during September both as an index of annual change and as the basis for reclassifying the year-specific penguin-diet data to reflect common winter sea ice conditions (see diets and krill population size structure in earlier subsections).

Prey indices and simulations. We used models described by Priddle et al. (1988) and Murphy & Reid (2001) in combination with a krill abundance index based on stratified mean densities from the Elephant Island (61°10’S, 55°14’W) region just north of the WAP (e.g. Siegel & Loeb 1995, Loeb et al. 1997, Siegel et al. 1997, 1998, 2002) to test hypotheses about changes in krill availability suggested by our analyses. The Elephant Island data were used in lieu of Palmer Station data because the time series on krill abundance for the latter does not include the first 2 yr of our 8 yr foraging trip duration time series (see Smith et al. 1995). Piece-wise linear regression models were used to investigate relationships between the Elephant Island krill-abundance trends and the foraging responses of Adélie penguins at Palmer Station.

RESULTS

Sea ice extent

Winter sea ice extent in the WAP exhibits high interannual variability but, as shown in Fig. 2, ice maxima are episodic and high-ice years are invariably followed by several low-ice years that together form a distinct series or cycle. We identified 5 such cycles between the 1973/74 and 1996/97 field seasons. These cycles began with the winters of 1975, 1980, 1986, 1990 and 1994, respectively, and each was characterized by an ice field that advanced to or beyond the northern boundary of the WAP. The longest interval between high-ice years (6 yr) occurred during the 1980 to 1986 cycle, the 2 shortest intervals (4 yr) during 1986 to 1990 and 1990 to 1994, and an intermediate interval (5 yr) during 1975 to 1980. Together, these cycles occurred with an average frequency of 4.75 yr, with no indication of an ice maximum during the 1977 and 1978 gaps in the data based on air temperature–sea-ice relationships (Fraser et al. 1992, Loeb et al. 1997, Smith et al. 2003).
Trends in krill size class

Comparisons between field seasons

The null hypothesis that krill size classes in penguin diets follow a multinomial distribution regardless of field season was rejected ($\chi^2 = 10146$, $G$-test = 10283, df = 72, $p < 0.001$), and the standardized residuals were examined for patterns (Table 2). There were 2 trends associated with year-specific changes in sea ice extent: (1) The frequency of occurrence of the 16 to 25 mm size class (the 1+ age class), suggesting good recruitment, was statistically significant in the penguin diets only during 1976/77, 1987/88, 1991/92, 1995/96 and 1996/97, or the field seasons following sea ice extent maxima associated with the ice cycles that began with the winters of 1975, 1986, 1990 and 1994 (Fig. 2). Each ice cycle was associated with at least 1 recruitment event, the exception being 1994, when 2 of these events are recorded during sequential field seasons. (2) The second pattern issues from the first. Between ice maxima, the pattern of standardized residuals associated with the frequency of occurrence of the various size classes changes, with the larger (>25 mm) as opposed to the smaller (i.e. the 16 to 25 mm) size classes becoming the statistically significant components of the diet (Table 2). Fig. 3 shows these trends for the continuous data that began with the 1987/88 field season. This time series began with predominately large krill (mode = 51 to 55 mm) in 1987/88 and ended with predominately small krill (mode = 31 to 35 mm) in 1996/97. During the intervening decade, however, 2 quasi-independent patterns were evident in which predominately smaller krill that emerged in the population following years of heavy ice were replaced by larger krill over a 3 to 4 yr period.

Table 2. *Euphausia superba*. Pattern of standardized residuals for the 1974/75 to 1996/97 field seasons at Palmer Station. Large positive residuals indicate that cells had more krill than predicted by the null hypothesis. Size classes 16–20 mm/21–25 mm and 56–60 mm/61–65 mm combined because of low frequencies. For residuals $\geq 2.0$, $\alpha = 0.05$; for residuals $\geq 3.0$, $\alpha = 0.01$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1974/75</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.55</td>
<td>5.59</td>
<td>4.93</td>
<td>4.84</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>7.15</td>
<td>13.69</td>
<td>10.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1975/76</td>
<td></td>
<td>18.64</td>
<td>15.25</td>
<td>11.97</td>
<td>12.64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1976/77</td>
<td>5.59</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1987/88</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1988/89</td>
<td>4.93</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>7.15</td>
<td>13.69</td>
<td>10.57</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1989/90</td>
<td>13.09</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>8.39</td>
<td>22.23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990/91</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>8.39</td>
<td>22.23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1991/92</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992/93</td>
<td>17.58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993/94</td>
<td>8.16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994/95</td>
<td>10.66</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995/96</td>
<td>6.17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996/97</td>
<td>8.49</td>
<td>16.43</td>
<td>37.63</td>
<td>10.24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. *Euphausia superba*. Pattern of standardized residuals for each ice class category at Palmer Station. Large positive residuals indicate that cells had more krill than predicted by the null hypothesis. Size classes 16–20 mm/21–25 mm and 56–60 mm/61–65 mm combined because of low frequencies. For residuals $\geq 2.0$, $\alpha = 0.05$; for residuals $\geq 3.0$, $\alpha = 0.01$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ice class</th>
<th>Krill size class (mm)</th>
<th>16–25</th>
<th>26–30</th>
<th>31–35</th>
<th>36–40</th>
<th>41–45</th>
<th>46–50</th>
<th>51–55</th>
<th>56–65</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.75</td>
<td>5.26</td>
<td>26.36</td>
<td>12.15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8.03</td>
<td>20.73</td>
<td>11.54</td>
<td>27.83</td>
<td>8.83</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>11.54</td>
<td>27.83</td>
<td>8.83</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>12.63</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.55</td>
<td>11.97</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comparisons between ice cycles

The null hypothesis that krill size classes follow a multinomial distribution regardless of winter category (Table 1) was rejected ($\chi^2 = 4767$, $G$-test = 4437, df = 28, $p < 0.001$) and the standardized residuals were examined for patterns (Table 3). The trends apparent in Table 2 and Fig. 3 are confirmed in Table 3, with the relevant correlations more clearly expressed. Thus, the occurrence of the 16 to 25 mm size class (1+ age class), indicating good recruitment, became statistically significant only during Class 2 ice years, or following the second winter of an ice cycle. Beyond the second winter, the frequency of occurrence of specific size classes varied in accordance with the progression of the ice cycle, gradually shifting from smaller to larger krill over a 3 to 4 yr period.

Adélie penguin foraging performance

Models and predictions

Priddle et al. (1988) and Murphy & Reid (2001) simulated the effects of failed recruitment years on krill population size-class structure (see also Reid et al. 1999a). In these simulations, 1 or more years of...
recruitment failure tends to skew distributions towards the larger size classes, while years of good recruitment have the opposite effect. Also, because entire age groups may be absent from the population due to 1 or more recruitment failures, the simulations indicate that krill abundance tends to decrease following years of poor recruitment, and to increase following years of good recruitment. The relevance of these simulations is that they predict the direction of change (but not the magnitude) in krill size-class structure and abundance that should follow good and bad recruitment events. Therefore, if changes in krill abundance track the effects of krill size-class structure as suggested by these models, the patterns evident in Table 3 would predict that the duration of Adélie penguin foraging trips (FTDs) should be relatively long during Class 1 and 5 ice years, shortest during Class 2 ice years, and increase thereafter through Class 3 and 4 ice years.

Differences in mean FTDs (Table 4) were highly significant ($F = 46.80, df = 7, 238; p < 0.001$), but variability agreed only in part with the changes in krill size-class structure suggested by Table 3. As predicted, the longest mean FTDs (1990/91, 1994/95) were associated with the presence of predominantly large krill in Class 1 ice years, or the years that marked the beginning of the ice cycles that started with the 1990 and 1994 winters (Fig. 2). Class 5 ice year results are not available, as this category did not occur during the FTD time series. Mean FTDs also decreased significantly during Class 2 ice years (1991/92, 1995/96), the change predicted based on the presence of smaller (16 to 25 mm) krill in the population due to good recruitment (Table 3). Although FTDs were expected to increase during Class 3 and 4 ice years, the observed changes generally did not agree with expectations, and only the 1989/90 season (Class 4 ice year of the 1986 ice cycle) exhibited a longer FTD.

Differences in mean FTDs (Table 4) were highly significant ($F = 46.80, df = 7, 238; p < 0.001$), but variability agreed only in part with the changes in krill size-class structure suggested by Table 3. As predicted, the longest mean FTDs (1990/91, 1994/95) were associated with the presence of predominantly large krill in Class 1 ice years, or the years that marked the beginning of the ice cycles that started with the 1990 and 1994 winters (Fig. 2). Class 5 ice year results are not available, as this category did not occur during the FTD time series. Mean FTDs also decreased significantly during Class 2 ice years (1991/92, 1995/96), the change predicted based on the presence of smaller (16 to 25 mm) krill in the population due to good recruitment (Table 3). Although FTDs were expected to increase during Class 3 and 4 ice years, the observed changes generally did not agree with expectations, and only the 1989/90 season (Class 4 ice year of the 1986 ice cycle) exhibited a longer FTD.

Krill abundance proxies, and variability in Adélie penguin foraging trip durations

Of the 5 ice cycles covered in whole or in part by the Elephant Island krill abundance time series (Table 5), those beginning in 1980, 1990 and 1994 support the prediction that, within an ice cycle, Class 1 ice years should be associated with lower krill abundances and Class 2 ice years with higher abundances due to the influx of recruits. The 1980 and 1986 ice cycles support the additional prediction that krill abundances within

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field season</th>
<th>Ice class</th>
<th>Mean (h)</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Significance</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1989/90</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14.33</td>
<td>5.44</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990/91</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>25.82</td>
<td>12.11</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1991/92</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9.22</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992/93</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8.19</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993/94</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8.99</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994/95</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>25.02</td>
<td>8.41</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995/96</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12.39</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996/97</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9.34</td>
<td>2.79</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>361</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5. *Euphausia superba*. Trends in estimated krill stock density (mean no./1000 m$^{-3}$) in the Elephant Island region. Data are matched sequentially by rows and columns to illustrate changes within and between ice cycles. Ice classes (as in Table 1) reflect ice cycles beginning in 1975, 1980, 1986, 1990 and 1994. Values in bold face: density of krill stocks at the beginning of each ice cycle; nd: no data. (Table adapted from Loeb et al. [1997] and Siegel et al. [2002])

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ice class</th>
<th>Field season</th>
<th>Krill stock density</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>75 80 86 90 94 nd</td>
<td>49.5 nd 4.8 14.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>76 81 87 91 95 nd</td>
<td>51.0 66.6 25.3 79.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>77 82 88 92 96 nd</td>
<td>132.9 90.6 41.7 26.6 270.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>78 83 89 93 nd</td>
<td>67.4 15.4 28.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>79 84 nd</td>
<td>12.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>85 nd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ice cycles should decrease during Class 3 and 4 ice years in the absence of new recruitment as the dominant cohort produced during the Class 2 ice year moves through the population. These trends, however, are not consistent with the 1990 and 1994 ice cycles, which show krill abundances in post-Class 2 ice years as stable and increasing, respectively (Table 5).

Adélie penguin FTDs near Palmer Station varied in close agreement with changes in krill abundance near Elephant Island (Fig. 4a). As predicted based on the patterns in Table 3, Class 1 ice years 1990/91 and 1994/95 were associated with the longest FTDs and the 2 lowest measures of krill abundance, while the reverse was observed during Class 2 ice years 1991/92 and 1995/96, which showed large increases in krill abundance and significantly shorter FTDs. Although the FTDs associated with Class 3 and 4 ice years again showed the least agreement with expectations, they varied in accordance with the apparent changes in krill abundance (Fig. 4a). The relative magnitudes of the changes, however, were very different, suggesting an intrinsically non-linear relationship between krill abundance and FTD. This was confirmed with a piecewise linear regression model (Fig. 4b), which explained 81.76% of the variance ($p < 0.01$) and identified a breakpoint or threshold in krill abundance at 25.94 (1000 m$^{-3}$). The negative slope of the line before this breakpoint ($-0.8238$) suggests that at krill abundances below 25.94 (1000 m$^{-3}$), FTDs will increase as krill abundance decreases. The slope of the line after the breakpoint (0.0001) is in effect a plateau, indicating that FTDs will not continue to decrease with higher krill abundances; indeed, the analysis suggests that a mean FTD of 9.71 h was still required by penguins to forage when krill abundance was above 25.94 (1000 m$^{-3}$).

**DISCUSSION**

**Sea ice extent**

Maxima in sea ice extent propagate eastwards around Antarctica in the form of 2 wavelengths that encircle the continent end-to-end, but that as individual phases take 8 to 10 yr to return to their starting point (Murphy et al. 1995, White & Peterson 1996). Variability in maximum sea ice extent at any particular location around the continent thus occurs on timescales of 4 to 5 yr. This is the periodicity of the ACW (Antarctic Circumpolar Wave) (White & Peterson 1996). The patterns of sea ice variability observed in this study are consistent with this periodicity, taking the form of ice cycles that manifest regionally every 4 to 6 yr (Fig. 2, Table 1).

Adélie penguin foraging responses to this forcing reflect at least 3 related processes: the effects of sea ice
on krill recruitment, the effects of krill recruitment on krill population size-class structure, and the effects of this structure on foraging performance. Although these processes in some cases appear as direct, causal interactions, this conclusion overlooks the full implications of the ACW on regional processes. Apart from sea ice extent, the ACW exhibits coherent anomalies in sea-surface temperature, meridional wind stress and atmospheric sea-level pressure (White & Peterson 1996), suggesting that water column and other effects may play an additional causal role in the biology of the oceans influenced by the ACW (Peterson & White 1998, Gloersen & White 2001). In the WAP, episodic, across-shelf exchanges due to meanders of the Antarctic Circumpolar Current can influence sea ice formation through water temperature and salinity changes, and these, in turn, may have important (but lagged) effects on primary production and krill reproduction (Hofmann & Klinck 1998, Klinck 1998, Prézelin et al. 2000). Thus, although sea ice extent is a reasonable proxy for investigating krill–predator interactions, it is only one manifestation of a host of associated processes that might explain variability in marine populations (e.g. Nicol et al. 2000). Some of these, especially as they relate to hydrography and other possible water column effects on krill, are discussed in Hofmann & Fraser (2003). Below we focus the discussion on ice–krill–predator interactions.

**Krill population size-age structure**

The patterns evident in Table 3 suggest that the krill population encountered by Adélie penguins in the Palmer Station region was influenced by processes that produced a non-random size and age structure. This is implicit in the systematic increase in krill size classes as ice cycles progressed annually through an approximately 4 to 5 yr period. Because multiple age classes would buffer the population from exhibiting these systematic changes (Priddle et al. 1988, Siegel et al. 1998, Reid et al. 1999a, Murphy & Reid 2001), however, a key implication is that strong age classes exhibited a common pattern of rare occurrence during the time series integrated by the analyses. The concordance in periodicities between years of extensive winter sea ice in the WAP (Fig. 2), good krill recruitment (Table 2) and the progression of strong year classes through the population within ice cycles (Table 3) thus suggests that forcing by the ACW or ACW-like processes underlines the non-random structure of the krill population.

Although active selection by the predator could be another possible explanation for the apparent gaps in age classes observed in the data (Tables 2 & 3), much of the available evidence points to passive (i.e. lack of availability in the foraging environment) selection as playing the dominant role. This conclusion is unambiguous in this study based strictly on the very large values and statistical significance of the residual patterns evident in Table 3. The best example is Class 2 ice years, in which the occurrence of the smallest krill size class (16 to 25 mm) and its associated residual (8.03) was coherent with the largest krill (56 to 65 mm) size class (16 to 25 mm) and its associated residual (26.36). Other studies support this conclusion. Systematic gaps due to missing age classes in krill population structure have been reported for the King George Island (Fraser & Trivelpiece 1995a, b) and Elephant Island (Fig. 1; and Siegel et al. 1998, 2002) regions. These gaps also show a pattern of episodic recruitment every 4 to 5 yr, including intervening years in which age 1+ krill are rare or completely absent. A third area in which similar processes have been observed is South Georgia (Fig. 1). Here, Reid et al. (2002) showed that, after adjusting for regional differences in growth and mortality, the size structure of the local krill population exhibited strong spatial and temporal coherence with recruitment events in the Elephant Island region. Especially relevant insofar as our own findings are concerned, however, is that during the decade (1991 to 2000) considered in their analyses, the Elephant Island area generated only 2 strong year classes (1990/91, 1994/95, see also Table 5), which Reid et al. (2002) noted were expressed simultaneously in the 2 regions in 1991/92 and 1995/96. If we add our results to these observations (Table 2), it appears that the strong krill year classes produced in response to the 1990 and 1994 ice cycles were expressed concurrently in at least 3 regions. This not only supports the idea that the gaps in krill age classes observed in our data were unrelated to active selection by Adélie penguins, it also suggests that the 4 to 5 yr pattern of episodic, sea-ice-induced forcing of krill demography indicated by our analyses (Tables 2 & 3) may have involved processes operating over scales that encompassed much of the Scotia Sea and WAP, at least as far south as Anvers Island.

A non-random size structure implies an analogous age structure, and because aspects of krill life-history with implications for distribution and abundance can be age-related (see following paragraphs), population age structure can offer important insights into factors that may affect predator foraging performance (Murphy et al. 1998, Murphy & Reid 2001). A hypothetical age structure for a dominant krill cohort as it moves through the population within an ice cycle is shown in Table 6. Recognizing that difficulties exist in attributing size to age in krill, we have assumed that the size–age relationship of 16 to 25 mm krill (Age 1+) in
Palmer Station during each year of an ice cycle. Rationale for these age classes is based on pattern of residuals in Table 3.

### Table 6. *Euphausia superba*. Hypothesized age of dominant krill cohort near Palmer Station during each year of an ice cycle. Rationale for these age classes is based on pattern of residuals in Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ice class</th>
<th>Krill size class (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Class 2 ice years is known with more certainty than it is for the larger size classes (Siegel 1987, Siegel & Kalinowski 1994, Siegel et al. 2002); hence, it is the dominance of this cohort that is expressed through the krill population in subsequent years. This assumption is not unreasonable (see models in Reid et al. 1999a and Murphy & Reid 2001), and indeed has been empirically documented in many fishes for which stock-size–age relationships are better known (Cushing 1995).

Table 6 suggests at least 2 processes by which the spatial and temporal availability of krill to Adélie penguins may be affected within and between ice cycles. The first concerns age-specific habitat preferences that attend summer reproductive behavior. Smaller, non-reproductive krill occur mostly over the inner shelf, while the larger, sexually mature forms congregate over the outer shelf and shelf break to spawn (Siegel 1988, Ross et al. 1996, Lascara et al. 1999). One implication of Table 6, therefore, is that in the absence of additional recruitment, the prey field will be systematically positioned at greater distances from the penguin colonies within an ice cycle as the strong age class generated during the Class 2 ice year ages and matures. The second process concerns the relative annual contribution of these strong age classes to krill abundance within and between ice cycles. The focal point of these interactions appear to be Age 5+ krill (or Age 4+ in cases where the ice cycle periodicity is 4 yr), which Table 6 indicates is the age class that bridges the population dynamics of one ice cycle with that of the next. This is implied by the predominance of this age class during Class 1 ice years, which suggests that Age 5+ krill are not only the remaining members of the strong age class generated during the Class 2 ice year of the previous ice cycle, but also represent the core spawning stock for the next ice cycle, as it is from this population that the smaller Age 1+ recruits originate. Thus, another implication of Table 6 is that even though krill abundance within ice cycles may vary considerably as these strong age classes pass through the population (e.g. Table 5; see also Loeb et al. 1997, Hewitt & Linen Low 2000, Siegel et al. 2002), cohort senescence ultimately becomes a key factor affecting krill availability. Indeed, by the time krill reach Age 5+, they are not only approaching the limits of their life span (now widely accepted as 5 to 6 yr; Knox 1994, Siegel & Kalinowski 1994), but the cohort in general has been subjected to several years of predation and other forms of mortality. The possible effects of senescence are not intuitively obvious by inspection of size-class distributions alone (e.g. Table 3), but may explain why Class 1 ice years are invariably associated with the lowest measures of krill abundance within ice cycles (Table 5).

Although any model of krill age structure will be equivocal by default until better size–age relationships are established, the dynamics implied by Tables 3, 5 & 6 (i.e. a population structure of larger, older krill being associated with low abundance) do not seem to be unique to the Palmer Station region. Low krill biomass and a population structure composed of predominantly larger, older individuals and, conversely, high krill biomass and smaller, younger individuals, have (not surprisingly) also been documented at South Georgia (Murphy et al. 1998, Reid et al. 1999a,b, Murphy & Reid 2001, Reid et al. 2002), and indeed appears to be part of a coherent, large-scale pattern linking this region to similar dynamics near Elephant Island (Kawaguchi et al. 1997, Brierley et al. 1999, Watkins 1999, Hewitt & Linen Low 2000, Reid et al. 2002). Given the previously discussed concurrence in the temporal emergence and spatial expression of strong krill age classes between the 3 regions, a key conclusion suggested by our analyses is that the krill population structure may have similar implications to the spatial and temporal distribution of krill biomass over the entire range of krill in these 3 regions, not just in the sectors of sea ice influenced by the ACW.

**Predator foraging performance**

The factors that can influence Adélie penguin FTDs are complex (e.g. Ballard et al. 2001), and some can operate independently of changes in prey availability. These may include residual summer sea ice or distance to the ice edge (Ainley & LeResche 1973, Ainley et al. 1998), for example, or effects induced by gender-specific behaviors or chick development phase (Clarke et al. 1998, Clarke 2001). It is highly unlikely, however, that these were confounding elements in this study. Ice in the Palmer Station area during January and February when the FTD data were obtained was negligible even during the coldest seasons, and permanent sum-
mer ice is typically only found in the Marguerite Bay region 300 to 500 km to the south (cf. Stammerjohn & Smith 1996). We also controlled for possible effects due to interannual variability in chick development and biases that might have arisen through over-representation of one gender over the other (see ‘Materials and methods’).

On the other hand, our findings concur with those of Chappell et al. (1993), who suggested, based on the only other major study of Adélie penguin foraging ecology near Palmer Station, that prey capture by this species may be limited by its ability to find krill, as opposed to its ability to efficiently exploit krill once located. Indeed, this seems implicit in Fig. 4b, which indicates that FTDs do not change in direct proportion to changes in krill abundance, but rather exhibit a pattern of variability that is dependent on whether abundances fall above or below a threshold krill density. Above this threshold density, FTDs eventually plateau, while below it they continue to increase with decreasing krill abundance, implying a disproportional sensitivity to periods of reduced krill availability. Consistent with this observation, we suggest that these dynamics can be attributed directly to the effects that a non-random krill population structure imposes on krill availability, but add that changes in krill abundance alone are probably not the only factors affecting these interactions. More specifically, we propose that following the recruitment events that attend Class 2 ice years within ice cycles, the effects of cohort senescence on abundance and of krill reproductive behavior on spatial distribution become interactive, leading ultimately to episodic ‘environmental crunches’ (Wiens 1989) manifested as particularly long FTDs during the Class 1 ice years of the succeeding ice cycles (e.g. Table 4, Fig. 4a).

What leads us to this conclusion is that krill spatial distribution (inferred from changes in annual population size structures and age during the summer spawning season), also seems to influence FTDs, especially during periods of low krill abundance. This is evident when comparing FTDs and the respective krill population size structures during years of similarly high or similarly low krill abundances. For example, during 1991/92, 1992/93 and 1993/94, years of similar, high krill abundances (Table 5, Fig. 4a) the mean FTDs exhibited little variability (Table 4) despite significant annual differences in the prevailing population size structures (Table 2). In contrast, during 1989/90 and 1994/95, years of similar, low krill abundances (Table 5, Fig. 4a), the mean FTDs were both significantly different (Table 4: 14.33 ± 5.44 vs 25.02 ± 8.41 h), and clearly associated with different krill population size structures. Thus, although both these years included 36 to 40 mm krill as one of the significant size modes in the diet (Table 2), 2 additional smaller modes (26 to 30, 31 to 35 mm) prevailed in 1989/90, but only a larger mode (41 to 45 mm) in 1994/95.

If one considers that 90% of all female krill become fully mature and spawn for the first time at 36 to 39 mm total length (Siegel & Loeb 1994, ca. 34 to 37 mm based on our measurement techniques), the most obvious explanation for the shorter FTDs during 1989/90 versus the longer FTDs during 1994/95 is that penguins were feeding on smaller, sexually immature krill distributed over the inner shelf during 1989/90 as opposed to larger, sexually mature forms distributed over the outer shelf during 1994/95 (Table 2). However, as a general causal explanation, these dynamics are only in part supported by the FTD data. Based on average Adélie penguin swimming speeds (2 m s⁻¹; Culik & Wilson 1991), birds in 1994/95 could not have reached, foraged and returned from the outer shelf (ca. 160 km from Palmer Station) in the time suggested by the mean FTDs (25.02 ± 8.41 h, Table 4). Indeed, considering the overall mean FTDs (Table 4), a liberal interpretation of foraging range based on swimming speeds could place birds within 90 km of Palmer Station in some years, but within a more realistic 10 to 15 km in most years if prey capture times are considered (see Chappell et al. 1993). This concurs with other local observations, including at-sea surveys (Fraser & Trivelpiece 1996) and, importantly, implies a predator-prey system that actually appears to be very closely coupled in summer with inner shelf rather than outer shelf processes.

Following Chappell et al.’s (1993) conclusions, therefore, the factor most likely to change as krill abundances decrease is search time, which suggests a modified explanation of how krill spatial distribution may interact with abundance to affect FTDs. As implied by Fig. 4b, Adélie penguins still require a minimum FTD as krill abundances increase above a threshold level, suggesting that abundance may mask any effects on search time due to krill spatial distribution. However, given the apparent sensitivity of FTDs to a decrease in krill abundance below the threshold level, any factor that reduces search time would probably be reflected as shorter FTDs. Young, non-reproducing krill could constitute such a factor during years of low krill abundance because, unlike adults, they are both non-migratory within seasons and, from a spatial perspective, tend to remain over the inner shelf (Siegel 1988, Ross et al. 1996, Lascara et al. 1999). Around Palmer Station, moreover, the presence of these krill leads to considerable foraging by penguins within 1 km of the colonies (Chappell et al. 1993, W. Fraser unpubl. data), suggesting that these forms also occur over shallower waters close to the land margins. Although more work is needed to understand why large, reproducing krill
are so consistently present over the inner shelf relatively close to the penguin colonies (but see Fraser & Trivelpiece 1996, Prézelin et al. 2000), the consequences of episodic recruitment (i.e. Table 3) to FTDs are clear: the decreases in abundance and changes in distribution that occur as the krill cohort ages over the course of 4 to 5 yr inevitably converge, ultimately producing the environmental crunches during Class 1 ice years previously suggested.

On a larger scale, it would appear that these environmental crunches may represent an important juncture in this marine ecosystem insofar as krill availability to predators is concerned. In the Palmer Station FTD time series, 2 such crunches are evident (1990/91 and 1994/95; Table 4, Fig. 4a), and both closely agree (±1 yr) with similar years of increasing foraging trip durations and negative changes in the reproductive output indices of 4 major krill consumers in South Georgia, including the Antarctic fur seal Arctocephalus gazella, the macaroni and gentoo penguins Eudyptes chrysolophus and Pygoscelis papua, and the black-browed albatross Thalassarche melanophrys (Boyd et al. 1994, Croxall et al. 1999, Reid & Croxall 2001). We noted earlier in the text, based on coherent patterns in the temporal emergence and spatial expression of strong krill age classes between these regions, that changes in the krill population size-class structure suggested by Table 3 may have similar consequences for the distribution of krill biomass between Anvers Island and South Georgia. This was confirmed by our analyses. Indeed, the implication is that foraging by these processes is coherent over such large scales that all krill-dependent top predators are affected, even those with very different life-histories and ranges of operation.

**Implications: krill longevity, climate warming and ecosystem response**

One of the mechanisms by which climate warming may induce changes in ecosystem structure and function is by disrupting the evolved life-history strategies of key component species (Rhodes & Odum 1996), and certainly one of the most extraordinary features of krill life-history is its remarkable 5 to 6 yr life-span (Nicol 1990, Knox 1994, Siegel & Kalinowski 1994, Verity & Smetacek 1996). However, despite broad agreement that this feature is indeed unique among euphausiids, the longevity of Euphausia superba has not been evaluated as a possible causal link between WAP climate warming and ecosystem response. A key implication of our analyses is that krill longevity may be playing a pivotal role in contemporary match-mismatch dynamics, an idea drawn from Cushing’s match-mismatch hypothesis and its relationship to understanding recruitment variability in fish (review by Cushing 1995). Here we look at recent, significant ecological changes in the WAP and Scotia Sea regions, and suggest that a mismatch between krill life span and the timing of winter sea ice development may account for many of the observed trends.

Our thesis is based on 2 observations. The first is that sea ice maxima in the WAP region, which provide the conditions favorable to the generation of strong krill year classes, have been rare, occurring on average only once every 4 to 5 yr for most of the last 3 decades (Fig. 2). Krill life span is clearly the essential feature bridging this gap, with the result that at least 1 strong year class has been generated per ice cycle over the same time period. This, in our view, is one of the most significant implications of the patterns evident in Table 3, although Table 6 provides a better perspective of the implied age-specific dynamics. These strong age classes apparently persist in the environment only through Age 6+, which not only agrees with most contemporary estimates of krill longevity (see citations in foregoing paragraphs), but also suggests that the critical maximum age by which reproduction has to occur is approximately Age 5+, or during Class 1 ice years. Another important implication, however, is that if krill life-span fails to bridge the number of years that elapse between winters of ice maxima, cohort senescence overtakes the population (i.e. based on Table 6, there is no evidence that statistically significant numbers of krill survive beyond Age 6+), with the obvious consequence that most members of the dominant age class die before they can reproduce and contribute to the next generation of recruits (cf. Finch 1990). The second observation is that although sea ice maxima occur on average every 4 to 5 yr, 6 yr elapsed between the ice cycles that began with the winters of 1980 and 1986 (Fig. 2), a gap that is unique in the contemporary WAP sea ice record (Stammerjohn & Smith 1996, Hewitt 1997, Jacobs & Comiso 1997, Smith et al. 2003), and which we suggest had a major impact on regional ecological processes. The reason is that while the decade apparently began with 2 strong (1979/80, 1980/81) and 1 moderately strong (1981/82) krill age classes (Loeb et al. 1997, Siegel et al. 2002), their hypothetical ages by the 1986/87 spawning season (7+, 6+ and 5+, respectively) would imply that only the 1981/82 year class remained sufficiently abundant to contribute significantly to reproduction and recruitment. Indeed, the notable factor here is that the 1980/81 year class resulted in the highest krill densities recorded to date in the Elephant Island region (Table 5). We thus postulate that the loss of most of this cohort and its reproductive potential to senescence must have had major ecological consequences, and this agrees with major regional-scale changes in krill and their predators.

For example, the lowest krill abundances in the Elephant Island time series were recorded in 1990 (Table 5); biomass and density anomalies also changed
from predominantly positive before 1985 to negative after 1987 through 1995 (Siegel et al. 1998). Based on data of Woehler et al. (2001), Adélie penguin populations at 2 WAP localities (Palmer Station and King George Island) and in the South Orkney Islands (Signy Island) to the east were stable or increasing through the late 1980s and have decreased significantly post-1990. Trends in chinstrap (Pygoscelis antarctica) and gentoo (P. papua) penguin populations, however, were less consistent between these regions, with founder colonies increasing at Palmer Station, but larger populations decreasing elsewhere. In contrast, in South Georgia, all populations of the island’s 4 major krill-eating predators showed post-1990 declines versus stable or increasing pre-1990 trends (Reid & Croxall 2001). Some related trends are also interesting. For example, the birth weight of male and female Antarctic fur seals showed a positive trend through 1990 and a negative trend thereafter, which was also true for trends in the arrival weight of male and female macaroni penguins. Finally, there is evidence that the contribution (by weight) of krill in the diets of macaroni penguins began to decline significantly around 1991, especially post-1995 (Reid & Croxall 2001).

Verity & Smatasek (1996) postulated that the architecture linking predators and prey may be better understood by viewing it as a product of how marine systems select the life-histories of the taxa that spearhead system fluxes. This is the perspective from which we have approached the questions addressed in this study. Reid & Croxall (2001) proposed that the recent variability evident in South Georgia top predator populations signals a ‘system change’ in the marine ecosystem, but provided no mechanism that reasonably accounts for the very tight temporal coupling in physical and biological processes implied by such change. Although the system is clearly too complex to attribute causality in its variability to any single factor, the cohort senescence hypothesis we advance identifies specific dynamics that may explain why the system changed so abruptly between the late 1980s and early 1990s. Another implication of this model is that the variability in climate and sea ice conditions now apparent in the modern record must have prevailed at some point(s) in Euphausia superba’s evolutionary past. Krill life-span may thus be viewed as an evolved strategy to minimize mismatches in this highly variable marine environment. However, given the essential role of krill in this ecosystem, and the rate at which climate is warming the region, life-span may also be viewed as the ‘weak link’ in the mechanistic processes maintaining ecosystem structure and function. This is because multiple age classes buffer the population from rapid changes in abundance (Siegel et al. 1998), yet the trend since the early 1980s signals a transition towards populations in which age class structure is dominated by single, strong cohorts (e.g. Table 3). If our hypothesis is correct, this ecosystem has become increasingly vulnerable to climate-induced perturbations, as one could deduce that 2 or more closely spaced senescence events would have devastating consequences to its structure and function.
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