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Control of phytoplankton growth by iron supply and irradiance in the subantarctic Southern Ocean: Experimental results from the SAZ Project


Abstract. The influence of irradiance and iron (Fe) supply on phytoplankton processes was investigated, north (47°S, 142°E) and south (54°S, 142°E) of the Subantarctic Front in austral autumn (March 1998). At both sites, resident cells exhibited nutrient stress (Fv/Fm < 0.3). Shipboard perturbation experiments examined two light (mean in situ and elevated) and two Fe (nominally 0.5 and 3 nM) treatments under silicic acid-replete conditions. Mean in situ light levels (derived from incident irradiances, mixed layer depths (MLDs), wind stress, and a published vertical mixing model) differed at the two sites, 25% of incident irradiance Io at 47°S and 9% Io at 54°S because of MLDs of 40 (47°S) and 90 m (54°S), when these stations were occupied. The greater MLD at 54°S is reflected by tenfold higher cellular chlorophyll a levels in the resident phytoplankton. In the 47°S experiment, chlorophyll a levels increased to >1 μg L⁻¹ only in the high-Fe treatments, regardless of irradiance levels, suggesting Fe limitation. This trend was also noted for cell abundances, silica production, and carbon fixation rates. In contrast, in the 54°S experiment there were increases in chlorophyll a (to >2 μg L⁻¹), cell abundances, silica production, and carbon fixation only in the high-light treatments to which Fe had been added, suggesting that Fe and irradiance limit algal growth rates. Irradiance by altering algal Fe quotas is a key determinant of algal growth rate at 54°S (when silicic acid levels are nonlimiting); however, because of the integral nature of Fe/light colimitation and the restricted nature of the current data set, it was not possible to ascertain the relative contributions of Fe and irradiance to the control of phytoplankton growth. On the basis of a climatology of summer mean MLD for subantarctic (SA) waters south of Australia the 47° and 54°S sites appear to represent minimum and maximum MLDs, where Fe and Fe/irradiance, respectively, may limit/colimit algal growth. The implications for changes in the factors limiting algal growth with season in SA waters are discussed.

1. Introduction

The role of iron (Fe) in controlling phytoplankton growth rate has been demonstrated in the high-nitrate, low-chlorophyll (HNLC) regions of the equatorial Pacific [Kolber et al., 1994; Coale et al., 1996a, 1996b] and NE subarctic Pacific Ocean [Martin et al., 1989; Coale, 1991; Boyd et al., 1996] from in situ and shipboard Fe enrichments, respectively. In the Southern Ocean, shipboard Fe enrichments have also exhibited Fe-elevated algal biomass, but in many studies there were significant increases in chlorophyll (i.e., >1.5 μg L⁻¹) in control treatments [see de Baar and Boyd, 2000]. Indirect evidence of the role of iron supply was provided by de Baar et al. [1995], who demonstrated a positive relationship between the magnitude of in situ phytoplankton stocks and ambient Fe levels in the vicinity of the Polar Front (PF) in the South Atlantic. Furthermore, Timmermans et al. [1998] and Boyd et al. [1999] have presented physiological evidence of Fe-stressed resident phytoplankton in the Atlantic PF region and in subantarctic (SA) waters, respectively. Recently, unequivocal evidence for the role of Fe in controlling algal growth rates in the Southern Ocean was provided by the Southern Ocean Iron Release Experiment (SOIREE) in situ mesoscale iron fertilization [Boyd et al., 2000]. Despite this confirmation of the important role of Fe in the open Southern Ocean [Boyd et al., 2000] the existence of deep mixed layers in this region [Mitchell et al., 1991; Nelson and Smith, 1991] and the antagonistic relationship between algal light limitation and Fe limitation [Raven, 1990; Sunda and Huntsman, 1997] means that light limitation or Fe/light colimitation may control phytoplankton growth at times and in various regions in Southern Ocean waters. Raven [1990] used predicted cellular Fe contents and Fe acquisition rates of cultured phytoplankton to estimate that the Fe requirements of cells growing under light limitation were 50-fold higher than...
under light-saturated growth. These estimates were confirmed for algal lab cultures by Sunda and Huntsman [1997], who demonstrated that in addition to irradiance, algal cell size played a role in determining cellular Fe requirements. Maldonado et al. [1999] demonstrated Fe/light colimitation of algal growth during winter deckboard experiments in the NE subarctic Pacific. Investigators have jointly considered the influence of Fe/light on phytoplankton processes in Southern Ocean waters but report conflicting results. Deckboard studies [van Leeuwe, 1996; Boyd et al., 1999, 2000] observed Fe/light colimitation, whereas Takeda [1998] reported no significant difference in iron-elevated growth rate when cells were incubated under high or low irradiances (see section 4.3).

The present study took place in SA waters south of Australia, a region characterized by subnanomolar dissolved Fe (DFe) [Sedwick et al., 1997], low silicic acid levels [Zentara and Kamyrzowski, 1981], and deep surface mixed layers [Rintoul et al., 1997]. The objective of this study was to determine what factor(s) controls algal growth rates in SA waters. However, because of the potentially confounding influences of concurrent Fe and light/silicic acid limitation on algal processes in austral autumn, two sets of complementary shipboard perturbation experiments were performed in which either silicic acid/Fe or Fe/irradiance levels was altered. This paper reports on the Fe/irradiance experiments, while results from the silicic acid/Fe experiments are summarized by Sedwick et al. [1999] and presented in detail by Hutchins et al. [this issue].

2. Methods

Fe/irradiance perturbation experiments of 7 and 10 day duration were performed on board RSV Aurora Australis in the vicinity of 47° and 54°S (142°E), respectively (see map) [Rintoul and Trull, this issue]. Water was sampled from 20 m depth using a “clean” pump with the subsurface intake flushed for 1 hour prior to sampling [Hutchins and Bruland, 1998]. Pumped seawater was collected within a clean environment (submicron filtered air, laminar flow), and 25 L clean polycarbonate carboys were rinsed and then filled with seawater. Samples were taken from this supply for time zero measurements. Five treatments were prepared: treatments 1–3 were incubated under mean in situ irradiance: unamended seawater (control), low-Fe enrichment (low Fe, low light), and high-Fe enrichment (high Fe, high light); while treatments 4–5 were incubated under greater than mean in situ irradiance, low-Fe enrichment (low Fe, high light), and high-Fe enrichment (high Fe, high light).

Low- (0.5 nM Fe) and high-Fe (3 nM Fe) enrichments were added as FeCl₃ chelated with ethylene diamine tetra acetic acid (EDTA) in a 1:1.5 ratio [Coale, 1991]. In the “low-light” treatment, cells were exposed to a light level corresponding to the in situ mean irradiance measured daily as they are vertically mixed in the upper ocean. In the “high-light” treatment, cells were exposed to a higher level than mean in situ irradiance. Mean in situ irradiance levels were estimated using shipboard data on mixed layer depth (MLD), mean wind stress, water column light attenuance, and incident irradiance Iₜₒ in conjunction with equations for the vertical displacement of phytoplankton by turbulent mixing [Denman andGarreta, 1983]. At the relatively high wind stress recorded (Table 1), it was assumed that cells circulated throughout the surface mixed layer, rather than circulating within eddies of length scales less than the MLD [see MacIntyre, 1998].

The estimated in situ irradiances, expressed as a percentage of daily incident irradiance Iₒ, for the low- (and high-) light treatments in the 47° and 54°S experiments were 25% Iₒ (50% Iₒ) and 9% Iₒ (25% Iₒ), respectively. Carboys were enclosed in neutral density screening equivalent to the calculated % Iₒ. A single 25 L carboy was used per treatment (as opposed to multiple 4 L bottles) to minimize containment artifacts such as wall effects [Berg et al., 1999] during the long-term (up to 10 days) incubations required in subpolar waters. Thus there are no true replicate treatments. However, there are pseudoreplicates for subsamples (55Fe, 3C, and 32Si), and the trends observed from independent techniques (chlorophyll a, 14C, and 32Si) may be compared.

In March 1998, surface ambient silicic acid levels were low, in the range of concentrations known to limit diatom growth

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>47°S</th>
<th>54°S</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mixed layer depth</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attenuance, Kₐ</td>
<td>m⁻¹</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>0.041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily incident PAR</td>
<td>mol quanta m⁻² d⁻¹</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean wind stress</td>
<td>knots</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chl a</td>
<td>µg L⁻¹</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrate</td>
<td>µmol L⁻¹</td>
<td>&gt;8</td>
<td>&gt;25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silicate acid</td>
<td>µmol L⁻¹</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DFe</td>
<td>nmol L⁻¹</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyanobacterial abundance</td>
<td>×10⁶ cells mL⁻¹</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fₐ/Feₐ</td>
<td>dimensionless</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cellular Chl a</td>
<td>fg cell⁻¹</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>50.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C fixation</td>
<td>µmol C L⁻¹ d⁻¹</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pₐmax</td>
<td>µmol C L⁻¹ h⁻¹</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fₐmax</td>
<td>µg C µg Chl a⁻¹ h⁻¹</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biogenic silica</td>
<td>µmol L⁻¹</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silicate production</td>
<td>nmol Si L⁻¹ d⁻¹</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe uptake</td>
<td>fmol Fe mL⁻¹ h⁻¹</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe:C uptake ratio</td>
<td>pmol:µmol</td>
<td>52.0</td>
<td>78.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C:Si uptake ratio</td>
<td>Mol:µmol</td>
<td>46.7</td>
<td>37.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*PAR, photosynthetically active radiation; Chl a, chlorophyll a.

aWater sampled from 45 m.
(1 < 1 μM (47°S) and 2.4 μM (54°S)) [Pausche, 1973] and may have confounded the study of Fe/irradiance on algal growth. Therefore silicic acid (chelex-100 purified) [Sedwick et al., 1999] was added to all carboys (final concentration 3.5 μM, comparable to winter reserve [Boyd et al., 1999]). After spiking with Fe/silicic acid the neck and cap of each carboy was sealed with parafilm. Carboys were incubated on deck in pumped surface seawater, and incubator temperatures were monitored. Carboys were subsampled (class 100 clean air conditions) at noon on t = 2.25, 5.25, 7.25 days (47°S) and t = 2.25, 6.25, and 10.25 days (54°S).

Seawater subsamples were analyzed using active fluorescence (fast repetition rate fluorometry (t = 0 only [after Kolber and Falkowski, 1993]), size-fractionated chlorophyll a (>20, 5-20, 2-5, and 0.2-2 μm fractions) following Joint and Pomroy [1983], flow cytometry (see below), biogenic silica [Quiggin, this issue], and DFe [Sedwick et al., 1997]. Phosphate, silicic acid, and nitrate + nitrite levels were analyzed using an Alpkem Flow Solution Analyser. Rate measurements included 14C uptake [Joint et al., 1993], 55Fe uptake [Schmidt et al., 1993], and photosynthesis:irradiance (P:I) characteristics [Mackey et al., 1995]. Subsamples for rate measurements were incubated for 24 hours on deck at the same % I0 as used for the corresponding carboy (except for the 1 hour P:I incubations).

Cell abundances were analyzed using a Becton Dickinson FACScan flow cytometer with a 15 mW argon ion laser. Flow rate and size calibration were determined using Fluresbrite beads and lab-cultured cells such as Synechococcus [Olson et al., 1993]. Two-dimensional scatterplots of FL2 (orange fluorescence) and FL3 (red fluorescence) provided estimates of the medium/large strongly red autofluorescent cells (e.g., diatoms) and cyanobacteria [Wright et al., 1991, 1996; Crossley, 1998]. Although flow cytometry describes fluorescence intensity rather than direct measurement of cell size, size calibration experiments indicate that 2 μm diameter is the cutoff between small and medium/large cells. These categories were used, in conjunction with size-fractionated chlorophyll a to estimate cellular chlorophyll a for prokaryotes and eukaryotes.

Algal net growth rates were estimated using the instantaneous change in chlorophyll a or o in cell abundance. Estimates of diatom net growth rates were obtained from silica turnover (silica production/biogenic silica). Two general experimental artefacts must be noted. First, DFe levels from a vertical profile at 54°S [Sedwick et al., 1999] were considerably lower than those in carboys at 54°S, suggesting inadvertent Fe contamination of carboy seawater. Thus, for 54°S there was no "low-Fe" treatment, and this experiment must be viewed as an investigation of the effects of altering irradiance levels on (initially Fe-stressed) cells under Fe-replete conditions. Second, there was no high-light control carboy at either site; this may have implications at the 54°S site (see section 4.2).

3. Results
3.1. Physical, Chemical, and Biological Properties

The 47°S site at the time of sampling had a seasonal MLD of 80 m with evidence of a transient mixed layer (0–40 m, Figure 1a). Surface temperature was 11.5°C, and noon incident irradiances were 350 μmol quanta m–2 s–1 (Figure 1b). Surface nitrate + nitrite levels were >8 μmol L–1, silicic acid was <1 μmol L–1, and DFe was <0.1 nmol L–1 (Table 1). Despite the presence of a transient mixed layer, chlorophyll a was 0.2 μg L–1 from 0 to 75 m (see section 4.1, Figure 1c). The cells <2 μm that dominated chlorophyll a were cyanobacteria such as Synechococcus (Table 1). The algal community had suboptimal values of F(μm) (Table 1), suggesting impaired photosynthetic competence due to nutrient stress. Estimated cellular chlorophyll a was 6.6, 3.8, and 20 fg cell–1 for cells >0.2, 0.2–2 (mainly prokaryotes), and >2 μm (eukaryotes), respectively.

South of the SA Front at 54°S, temperatures were 4.4°C in a 90 m MLD. Surface nitrate levels were >25 and 2.5 μmol L–1 for silicic acid (Table 1). DFe levels were 0.07 and 0.11 nmol L–1 at 45 and 75 m depth, respectively. Chlorophyll a was 0.15 μg L–1, with a subsurface chlorophyll a maximum (SCM) just below the mixed layer (Figure 1d). Cells <2 μm (cyanobacteria) and >20 μm (diatoms) dominated chlorophyll a in the upper 90 m, while diatoms were dominant in the SCM. F(μm) in surface waters (0.25) was indicative of a resource-limited algal assemblage (Table 1). Cellular chlorophyll a were 50, 62, and 46 fg cell–1 for cells >0.2, 0.2–2, and >2 μm, respectively. Note, this cellular chlorophyll a content for picophytoplankton corresponds to around 6% of their dry matter. Despite similar chlorophyll a levels between sites, biogenic silica levels were considerably higher at the 54°S site (Table 1). Rates of carbon fixation and silica production were higher at 47°S compared to 54°S, whereas Fe uptake was similar at both sites.

3.2. The 47°S Perturbation Experiment

The high-Fe treatments exhibited the greatest increase in chlorophyll a levels at 47°S with little change in the other treatments over 7 days (Figure 2a). This trend was supported by flow cytometric and rate measurements. Cyanobacterial abundances doubled in the high-Fe treatments by day 4 (Figure 2c), and diatom abundances increased >sixfold over 7 days (Figure 2e). Nitrate levels decreased by 2.5–4.5 μmol L–1 in the high-Fe treatments but changed little in the others (data not shown). DFe levels in the control were 0.3 nmol L–1, suggesting minor contamination, whereas the low-Fe and high-Fe treatments contained 0.7 and 2.7 nmol L–1 DFe on day 2, respectively (Table 2).

While chlorophyll a levels increased over 7 days for both high-Fe treatments, rates of carbon fixation leveled on day 4 after initially quintupling (Figure 3a). Again, there was little change in production rates in the other treatments. Cells >5 μm were responsible for 40% of production in both high-Fe treatments (data not shown). The initial uptake of Fe was greatest in both high-Fe treatments, but the temporal trends were less clear (Figure 3c) than for carbon fixation or silica production. Fe:C uptake ratios generally decreased several fold after time zero in all treatments for all cells (data not shown). Silica production rates were pronounced only in the high-Fe treatments, increasing slightly between days 0 and 4, then markedly after 4 days (Figure 3e). This uptake pattern differs from that for C fixation.

Fe enrichment (high-Fe treatments) resulted in a doubling of cellular chlorophyll a (>0.2 μm) after 7 days, whereas levels changed little for the control/low-Fe treatments (Figure 4a). Cellular chlorophyll a (0.2–2 μm) doubled in all treatments, while levels remained constant or declined for >2 μm cells in carboys over 7 days. There were fourfold increases in the light-saturated photosynthesis rate (Pmax) in both high-Fe treatments over 7 days (not shown); however, trends in Pmax (normalized to chlorophyll a) were less clear (Figure 4c). Pmax (normalized to algal abundance) exhibited similar trends to
The 54°S Perturbation Experiment

In contrast to the 47°S experiment, chlorophyll $a$ levels increased markedly only in both high-light treatments (either $>1$ or $3 \text{ nmol L}^{-1}$ Fe was added, Table 2), and the lag prior to an increase in chlorophyll $a$ was 4 days longer (Figure 2b). Total cell abundance increased fourfold in both high-light treatments because of fivefold and twofold increases in cyanobacterial and diatom abundances, respectively (Figures 2d and 2f). This trend was supported by other measurements, with rates of carbon fixation increasing markedly only in the high-light carboys (Figure 3b). Initial production rates were half those at 47°S but were comparable in magnitude by day 10 in the high-Fe/high-light treatment. As in the 47°S experiment, cells $>5 \mu m$ were responsible for 40% of carbon fixation in the high-Fe/high-light carboy (data not shown).

Initial rates of Fe uptake were similar at both sites (Table 1); however, uptake patterns differed between sites (Figures 3c and 3d). Both high-Fe treatments exhibited the highest initial increase in Fe uptake at 54°S. Despite higher biogenic silica levels at the 54°S site, silica production rates were initially higher at 47°S than at 54°S (Table 1) and exhibited the highest increases in the high-light treatments (Figure 3f). Cellular chlorophyll $a$ quadrupled in both high-light treatments by day 10 at 54°S (Figure 4b). Cellular chlorophyll $a$ declined in cells $<2 \mu m$ over 10 days but increased in cells $>2 \mu m$, particularly for the high-light treatments (data not shown).

In contrast to 47°S, $P_{\text{max}}$ at 54°S was initially fivefold lower (Table 1). As for other measurements at 54°S, $P_{\text{max}}$ increased only in the high-light treatments (data not shown). $P_{\text{bmax}}$ (chlorophyll $a$) exhibited initial increases in all carboys, followed by a progressive decrease, with little difference in the magnitude of $P_{\text{bmax}}$ between treatments (Figure 4d). $P_{\text{bmax}}$ increased greater than fivefold only in both high-light treatments at 54°S (Figure 4f). There was photoinhibition in incubated samples at...
Figure 2. Changes in (a) chlorophyll a levels (>0.2 μm), (c) cyanobacterial abundances, and (e) diatom abundances with time during the Fe/irradiance perturbation experiments at the 47° site. Changes in these respective properties at the 54°S site are presented in Figures 2b, 2d, and 2f. Time zero for experiments was March 9, 1998 (47°S), and March 18, 1998 (54°S). Carboys were also sampled at 1400 hours on March 11, 14, and 16 (47°S), and 1400 hours on March 20, 24, and 28 (54°S). Diatom abundances were obtained from counts of medium/large single red fluorescent cells (SRFCs), which are mainly characterized by diatoms.

54°S; on day 2, $P_{\text{max}}$ was depressed at >200 μmol quanta m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ for all treatments.

Growth rates (chl a specific, net) increased primarily in the high-light treatments, attaining 0.5–0.8 doublings d$^{-1}$ by day 6 and >1 doublings d$^{-1}$ after 10 days (Table 3a). In contrast, net specific growth rates (cell abundance) were ~0.2 doublings d$^{-1}$ in the high-light treatments between days 6 and 10 (Table 3b). Diatom growth rates were generally 0.1 doublings d$^{-1}$ or less.

Table 2. Dissolved Fe Levels at $t = 0$ (From 20 m Depth) and in the Experimental Carboys During the 47° and 54°S Experiments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>47°S</th>
<th>54°S</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$t = 0$</td>
<td>$t = 2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-Fe/low-light</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-Fe/low-light</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>2.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-Fe/high-light</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-Fe/high-light</td>
<td>2.81</td>
<td>2.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Fe levels are in nmol L$^{-1}$. An asterisk denotes (initial) Fe contamination of the carboy. Note these are the maximum DFe levels for each carboy, as the DFe analysis was performed on subsamples taken from each carboy. Coale [1991] has reported contamination occurring during such transfer procedures.
Figure 3. Changes in community rates of (a) carbon fixation, (c) Fe uptake, and (e) silica production during the Fe/irradiance perturbation experiments at 47°. Changes in these respective properties at the 54°S site are presented in Figures 3b, 3d, and 3f. Variation between pseudoreplicates (in all panels) was <10% in all cases.

4. Discussion

4.1. Comparison Between Conditions at the 47° and 54°S Sites

SA waters comprise around half of the areal extent of ice-free waters of the Southern Ocean [Boyd et al., 1999]. The 47° and 54°S sites were located in the water masses north and south of the SA Front, respectively [Rintoul and Trull, this issue] and therefore provide snapshots of the properties of the different water masses in the subpolar Southern Ocean. The main physical differences between sites were water temperature and MLD (Table 1). The 7°C decrease in temperature between sites was likely responsible for the thirtyfold decrease in cyanobacterial abundances at 54°S. Marchant et al. [1987] reported temperature to be the main determinant of cyanobacterial abundance in waters between Australia and Antarctica. The reduced temperatures at 54°S were probably also responsible, in part, for the reduced physiological rates (such as carbon fixation) recorded at this site, relative to the 47°S site [see Banse, 1991].

There are no strong latitudinal trends in summer mean MLD in SA waters (Plate 1). Thus the MLDs at 47° and 54°S when the stations were occupied may be due to local forcing. Indeed, at 47°S, similar chlorophyll a levels from 0 to 75 m and differences in both temperature and salinity between the 0–40 m and 40–80 m depth horizons (data not shown) are indicative of horizontal advection of a less dense water mass into this region. While this feature at 47°S may have been transient, nevertheless, it was characterized by cells genetically adapted to a higher light regime compared with phytoplankton at 54°S, which had ninefold higher cellular chlorophyll a (higher for both size fractions), indicative of increased light-harvesting requirements for the resident cells at 54°S. Thus the 47°S site...
Figure 4. Changes in cellular chlorophyll a of the algal community at (a) 47° and (b) 54°S sites. Changes in the light-saturated photosynthetic rate of the phytoplankton assemblage with time during the Fe/irradiance perturbation experiments for \( P_{\text{bmax}} \) (normalized to chlorophyll) at the (c) 47° and (d) 54°S site and for \( P_{\text{max}} \) (normalized to cell abundance) at the (e) 47°S and (f) 54°S site. Note, different scales are used for \( P_{\text{bmax}} \) because of differences in cell abundance at each site. The standard error of the estimation of \( P_{\text{bmax}} \) was generally <5%.

provided a contrasting “inoculum” of cells acclimated to a different light environment than were cells from the 54°S site for the Fe/light experiments.

In March 1998 each site was probably characterized by high-nitrate, low-silicic acid, low-chlorophyll (HNLSLC) [Dugdale et al., 1995] conditions, as predicted for SA waters late in the growth season [Boyd et al., 1999]. Observed DFe levels at 47 and 54°S were low and comparable to those previously reported in this region [Sedwick et al., 1997] and in SA waters SE of New Zealand [Boyd et al., 1999]. There was evidence of nutrient-stressed resident cells at both sites (\( F_{\text{v}}/F_{\text{m}} = 0.25 \)), which was due to either low-Fe levels and/or low-silicic acid levels [see Lippemeier et al., 1999]. Hutchinson et al. [this issue] report evidence from deckboard experiments of iron/silicic acid colimitation of algal growth rates of the resident cells at 47°S. As no data are available from Fe/Si deckboard perturbations or on the kinetics of silica production at 54°S, it is not known whether silicic acid supply limited algal growth rates at the 54°S site. However, it is likely that the resident cells were Fe-stressed at both sites given that ambient DFe levels were tenfold less than the threshold for Fe stress (1.0 nmol Fe kg\(^{-1}\)), as indicated by flavodoxin expression, in SA waters [see Boyd et al., 1999, Figure 5d]. Thus there is evidence of silicic acid/Fe stress of the resident cells at the 47°S site and of both Fe stress and light limitation (enhanced light-harvesting abilities, lower rates of carbon fixation and \( P_{\text{max}} \)) for resident cells at the 54°S site.

4.2. Comparison of the Fe/Light Perturbation Experiments

There were clear differences in the outcomes of the two experiments, with only high-Fe supply (>2 nmol L\(^{-1}\), regardless of mean light levels) mediating increases both in algal stocks and in the magnitude of rate processes in the 47°S experiment. Significant increases in algal stocks/rate processes were observed only in the high-light treatments in the 54°S experiment; noting that, because of contamination, all treatments were probably Fe-replete at 54°S. On the basis of the observed physiological properties of the resident cells at the two sites (see Table 1), the mean in situ light levels at the two sites was likely the key determinant of the different experimental outcomes.
Table 3a. Phytoplankton Growth Rates (Doublings d\(^{-1}\)) Estimated From Instantaneous Change in Chlorophyll \(a\) Levels (Net Growth)\(^{a}\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>(47^\circ)S</th>
<th>(54^\circ)S</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(t = 2)</td>
<td>(t = 4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-Fe/low-light</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-Fe/low-light</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-Fe/high-light</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-Fe/high-light</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{a}\)For assumptions, see section 2.

At \(47^\circ\)S, Fe-mediated changes in chlorophyll \(a\) and other properties appeared to be independent of mean light levels in the high- or low-light treatments. If the resident population was Fe-limited at \(47^\circ\)S (ambient DFe was <0.1 nmol L\(^{-1}\)), it is puzzling that there were only slight increases in chlorophyll \(a\) or cyanobacterial abundance in the control (0.3 nmol L\(^{-1}\) Fe) and/or in the low-Fe (0.5 nmol L\(^{-1}\) Fe) treatments. It should be noted that these DFe levels in the carboys are the maximum possible levels (see Table 2 legend). Moreover, while small eukaryotes have been shown to have lower algal Fe requirements than large cells [Sunda and Huntsman, 1997], there is evidence of high Fe requirements for cyanobacteria from lab-cultured studies [Brand, 1991], although field data are lacking. Raven [1990] and, more recently, Behrenfeld and Kolber [1999] report that this may be due to high PS1:PSII ratios in cyanobacteria.

On the basis of experiments with algal cultures, Sunda and Huntsman [1997] report that iron limitation and light limitation of algal growth are integrally linked. At the \(54^\circ\)S site, in the absence of a high-light control treatment and with evidence of Fe contamination in all carboys it is possible to comment on the Fe status of the resident cells? Phytoplankton under ambient conditions at \(54^\circ\)S displayed evidence of nutrient stress (\(F_{v}/F_{m} = 0.25\)) consistent with low-Fe levels. Furthermore, the expression of the molecular marker for algal Fe stress, flavodoxin [LaRoche et al., 1996], was highest along the 142\(^{\circ}\)E meridian at \(54^\circ\)S where it was tenfold greater than was observed in the Subtropical Frontal Zone (J. LaRoche, personal communication, 2000). Thus there is evidence of an Fe-stressed algal community at \(54^\circ\)S. Despite this Fe stress, which should result in depressed cellular chlorophyll \(a\) content [see Greene et al., 1991; Vassiliev et al., 1995], this was not observed at \(54^\circ\)S where the resident cells had tenfold higher cellular chlorophyll \(a\) levels, indicative of increased light-harvesting capability, than at \(47^\circ\)S.

In other deckboard Fe enrichment experiments conducted in the Southern Ocean [see de Baar and Boyd, 2000] the addition of Fe to carboys results in elevated chlorophyll \(a\) levels. This was not the case in the treatments (1 and 3 nM Fe, final concentration) incubated under conditions of mean in situ irradiances. In order to resolve the observed lack of a response by Fe-stressed phytoplankton to elevated Fe supply the effects of low light levels must be considered. Raven [1990] estimated that the Fe requirements of lab-cultured cells growing under light limitation were fiftyfold higher than under light-saturated growth. In the present experiments a 3 nM Fe enrichment represents a greater than thirtyfold increase in DFe levels relative to ambient levels. Thus, at the mean light levels simulating a 90 m MLD (low-light Fe-replete treatments) such Fe enrichment will likely be insufficient to alleviate algal Fe stress (this calculation is problematic due to uncertainties about the nature of bioavailable Fe [Wells et al., 1995]).

In contrast, in the high-light Fe-replete treatments there were large increases in cellular chlorophyll \(a\). Such a trend suggests alleviation of Fe stress [Greene et al., 1991] since cellular chlorophyll \(a\) content increased even though cells received higher than mean in situ irradiances. The latter should lead to decreased light-harvesting requirements and would tend to decrease cellular chlorophyll \(a\) [Van Leeuwe, 1996, and references therein]. These findings point to the simultaneous limitation of algal growth at the \(54^\circ\)S site by light climate/Fe supply. Low mean irradiances, because of the deeper MLD, considerably elevated the algal Fe requirements of the resident cells in these low Fe waters and hence, by setting algal Fe quotas in this HNLSLC region, were a key determinant of phytoplankton growth at \(54^\circ\)S (under silicic acid-replete conditions). Because of the integral nature of Fe/light colimitation and the limited nature of the present data set, it is not possible to ascertain the relative contributions of irradiance and Fe supply to the control of algal growth. This subject requires further research in SA waters.

Table 3b. Phytoplankton Growth Rates (Doublings d\(^{-1}\)) Estimated From Instantaneous Changes in Cell Abundances (Net Growth)\(^{a}\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>(47^\circ)S</th>
<th>(54^\circ)S</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(t = 2)</td>
<td>(t = 4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-Fe/low-light</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-Fe/low-light</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-Fe/high-light</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-Fe/high-light</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{a}\)For assumptions, see section 2.
4.3. Comparison With Other Iron/Light Perturbation Field Studies

As far as is known, only five other Fe/light experiments have been conducted in the field, four in the Southern Ocean and one in the NE subarctic Pacific, where Maldonado et al. [1999] demonstrated Fe/light colimitation in a deckboard simulation of an 80 m MLD. They reported the highest chlorophyll a levels in a high-Fe/high-light treatment and the lowest in a low-Fe/low-light treatment. This is consistent with the 54°S experiment (although there was no true low-Fe treatment at 54°S). Maldonado et al. [1999] reported little change in $P_{\text{bmax}}$ over time in their experiments, which they attributed to the confounding influence on $P_{\text{bmax}}$ of light limitation [Richardson et al., 1983] and Fe limitation [Greene et al., 1991]. In the present experiments, up to tenfold increases in cellular chlorophyll a were observed, illustrating the pitfalls of using $P_{\text{bmax}}$ to express the maximum rate of photosynthesis [see Henley and Yin, 1998] and the need to normalize $P_{\text{max}}$ to cell abundance.

Boyd et al. [1999] carried out a deckboard Fe enrichment in SA waters SE of New Zealand in austral spring (MLD 80 m) at 10%–50% $I_0$ and reported little change in chlorophyll a (0.3 µg L$^{-1}$) at 10% $I_0$ after 5 days but increases to 0.7 µg and >1 µg L$^{-1}$ in the 30 and 50% $I_0$ carboys, respectively. These trends are similar to those observed for the 54°S experiment. Van Leeuwe [1996] examined the effects of Fe/light limitation on lab cultures of the Antarctic flagellate Pyramimonas sp. from waters south of the PF. Van Leeuwe observed a decline in cellular pigment content due to Fe limitation, whereas light limitation induced an increase in cellular pigment content (which was less pronounced for Fe-deplete cells). During the SOIREE in situ mesoscale Fe enrichment, Boyd et al. [2000] also conducted deckboard Fe enrichments in which the mean light levels were varied. They reported that there was relatively little increase in chlorophyll concentrations in a treatment mimicking a 100 m mixed layer, relative to the observed greater than fifteenfold increases in treatments representing 65 and 40 m MLDs. These findings are in contrast to Takeda [1998], who reported no difference in growth rates (instantaneous change in chlorophyll a levels) between Fe-enriched deckboard carboys (>1 nmol L$^{-1}$ Fe added) incubated at <5 and 40% $I_0$ in polar waters south of the PF. The reason for these different outcomes in deckboard Fe/light experiments in the Southern Ocean is not presently known.

4.4. Estimating Algal Growth Rates in the Southern Ocean

In deckboard Fe enrichments in the Ross Sea, Martin et al. [1990] reported increased algal (net) growth rates (chlorophyll a specific) from 0.3 to 0.7 doublings d$^{-1}$. In the 54°S experiment, chlorophyll a specific growth rates increased to >1 doubling d$^{-1}$ in high light treatments, thus exceeding the theoretical maximum growth rate at 4°C [Bunsie, 1991]. However, as cellular chlorophyll a increased by up to tenfold in the 54°S experiment, growth rates cannot be reliably estimated using proxies such as chlorophyll a in regions of the Southern Ocean.
where Fe/light colimitation of algal growth may exist. Estimates of net algal growth rate (cellular abundance and silica turnover) at 54°S suggest that growth rates under Fe-replete conditions were 0.25 doublings d⁻¹.

4.5. Seasonality in Factors Limiting Production in the Southern Ocean

In the present Fe/light experiments all treatments were also enriched with silicic acid. The interactions between algal growth, Fe, and silicic acid are explored by Sedwick et al. [1999] and Hutchins et al. [this issue], who report evidence of Fe/Si limitation at 47°S in March. Quégéuner [this issue] also explores the possibility of silicic acid limitation. These findings, together, are indicative of seasonality in the factors limiting the growth of eukarotic phytoplankton in SA waters. In contrast, prokaryotic phytoplankton have higher iron quotas [Brand, 1991], have no requirement for silicic acid, and appear to be particularly sensitive to temperature [Marchant et al., 1987]; thus their growth rates will be limited by different environmental factors than those for eukaryotes.

As discussed by Boyd et al. [1999], the seasonal progression of the factors controlling the growth of eukaryotic phytoplankton in SA waters may be irradiance in winter, Fe/light in early spring and autumn when water column light levels are elevated because of shallower MLDs (caused by reduced wind stress), and higher incident irradiances [Bishop and Rossow, 1991]. If silicic acid levels are not limiting in spring, then Fe limitation will control growth, whereas in summer/early autumn, if the MLDs remain relatively shallow, Fe and silicic acid availability will probably control growth rates. At 54°S in March 1998, Fe, light, and silicic acid may have together limited algal growth. Although the effects of light limitation on Fe uptake [Sunda and Huntsman, 1997] and on silicic acid uptake [Nelson and Brzezinski, 1997; Brzezinski et al., 2001], and that of Fe limitation on silicic acid uptake [Hutchins and Bruland, 1998] have been demonstrated, the interrelationships between Fe, light, and silicic acid limitation are less clear and require further study.
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