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Key Questions:

- How is the frequency of extreme precipitation events changing over the contiguous United States?
- What are the regional changes in the frequency?
- How is extreme precipitation event frequency projected to change in the future?
- How is the frequency changing seasonally?
- What are the meteorological causes of extreme precipitation events and are there any trends?
Observational Data

- U.S. Cooperative Observer Network, Global Historical Climate Network-Daily data set from NCDC
- 726 stations (1901-2012), 766 stations (1901-2014)
- No known bias in precipitation data
- 90% of daily data required for usable station
- 300+ days of data required for any given year
- 75 days of data required for any given season
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Simulation and Projection Data

- **Historical Simulations**: Fifth installment of the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project (CMIP5)
  - 1901-2005
  - 27 models, 94 simulations

- **Projections**: Representative Concentration Pathways (RCP)
  - RCP 4.5 (med-low mitigation) and RCP 8.5 (BAU) scenarios
  - 2006-2100
  - 21 models, 51 simulations
**What is the EPI?** A measure of the frequency of extreme precipitation events for a given duration and return interval

- **Duration:** Number of days over which precipitation is accumulated
- **Return:** Average or expected number of years between extreme precipitation event
  - 5-year storm, 20-year storm, 100-year storm, etc...
EPI calculation

Calculate number of extreme events (N) for return
- \( N = \text{Time series length (yrs)}/\text{average return period (yrs)} \)
- 100 yrs/20yrs = 5 events
- Largest magnitude (N) events are flagged for each station
- Year and season of occurrence are recorded
- Stations assigned grid location and state identifiers
  - 1° by 1° grid
  - Averages by region and entire CONUS
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Extreme Precipitation Index (EPI)

Interpretation:
- EPI is a measure of the frequency of extreme precipitation events
- For 1, 5, and 20 year returns, average EPI values are 1, 0.2 and 0.05 respectively
  - If the EPI is as expected, averages would fall around these values
  - If values diverge upwards, frequency is increasing; if downwards, frequency is decreasing

Advantages:
- Provides station-specific thresholds
- Restricts events to most extreme levels of precipitation
Increasing trends for all returns

10-year running average of the EPI, 1901-2012
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Extreme Precipitation Index – 10 year running averages
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Update through 2016 – National average frequency

![Graph showing national average precipitation intensity, duration, and frequency statistics for the contiguous United States.](image)

**Blue Bars:** Decadal EPI

**Red Numbers:** Average time between events

Eastern regions show recent increases

Trends by Duration and Recurrence

- Numbers represent average % change in trend per decade
- 1949-2015
- All durations and returns show increasing trends in frequency
- Largest returns have largest increases

Historical Simulation based EPI

- 2-day duration 5-year return
- EPI calculated annually for 1901-2005
- Decadal averages calculated for 1906-2005
- Anomalies are fractional deviations from the long term mean (1901-1961)
- Models underestimate observations but capture trends

Lines: +/- 1 standard deviation
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Historical Simulation based EPI
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Projections of the Extreme Precipitation Index

- Model median EPI by decade
- 2006-2100
- Reference thresholds derived from smallest magnitude extreme event from historical model data
- Ensemble average for each model
- Otherwise same EPI methodology
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Regional EPI projections

- All regions show positive trends for RCP 8.5
- RCP 4.5 much more variable
- Largest increases in Northeast for RCP 8.5
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What is the seasonality of the EPI and how is it changing?

- Colored lines are seasonal contribution to annual EPI
- 10-year running averages of the seasonal EPI
- 1901-2014
- Winter: DJF, Spring: MAM, Summer: JJA, Fall: SON
What is the seasonality of the EPI and how is it changing?

Historical CMIP5 simulations shift events from summer to spring for most regions.

Numbers are average fractional contribution to annual 2-day 5-year 1901-2005
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How is the seasonality of the EPI projected to change?

- A future increase in winter seasonal contribution projected for most regions
- The summer to spring shift is projected to increase for most regions through 2100
- Recall: Compared to observations, historical simulations put more events in spring and less in summer
- This amplified shift could be a product of the models and not due to the underlying physics

![Fractional Contribution to Annual EPI - RCP 8.5](image)

2006-2100, 2-day duration 5-year return
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Meteorological causes of recent and projected increases?

>18,000 precip events were categorized for the period of 1908-2009

### Contribution by type:
- 7 different types of event
- 0.3% caused by upslope flow

### Data:
- 1-in-5 year recurrence interval
- 1° by 1° grid

---

Regional contribution by type

Eastern Regions
- Northeast – Mostly Frontal
- Southeast – Mostly Tropical Cyclone

Trends by Type

Meteorological Causes

- Increase in extreme precipitation events linked to increase in number of events associated primarily with fronts and tropical cyclones.

- No long term change in number of landfalling tropical cyclones
  - TC’s are producing more extreme precipitation events

- To be investigated:
  - Are more fronts occurring or are frontal characteristics becoming more favorable to extreme precipitation?
  - Attribution to increases in atmospheric water vapor concentrations?
Summary

- Increasing trends in observational EPI for all return periods over CONUS
  - Most drastic increase in Northeast

- Models underestimate observations but capture trends for CONUS
  - True for Eastern regions as well

- Increases in future EPI across all regions for RCP 8.5 (2006-2100)
  - RCP 4.5 is much more variable

- For Eastern regions most extreme events occur in Summer and Fall

- Historical simulations shift events from Summer to Spring for most regions

- A future increase in seasonal contribution of the EPI during winter is projected for most regions

- The summer to spring shift in the EPI is projected to increase for most regions through 2100

- Upward trends in extreme precipitation events mainly due to increases in storms associated with fronts and tropical cyclones
Future work – Severe hail outbreaks over the CONUS

Use 12 years of radar based severe hail proxy Maximum Expected Size of Hail (MESH) to investigate severe hail outbreaks over the CONUS

- Define a severe hail outbreak
- Short term trends in outbreaks
- Hailswath characteristics of outbreaks

April 28th 2002
- 8850 total severe MESH counts
- 290 official hail reports

Current work funded by the Cooperative Institute for Climate and Satellites
Data provided by NCEI, CICS and NSSL
Questions?

Contact:
ejansse2@Illinois.edu
or
emilyjanssen12@gmail.com
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