

2010

# Psychosocial Correlates of Insomnia Severity in Primary Care

Daniel Bluestein

Carolyn M. Rutledge  
*Old Dominion University*, [crutledg@odu.edu](mailto:crutledg@odu.edu)

Amanda C. Healey

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalcommons.odu.edu/nursing\\_fac\\_pubs](https://digitalcommons.odu.edu/nursing_fac_pubs)

 Part of the [Cognitive Behavioral Therapy Commons](#), and the [Primary Care Commons](#)

---

## Repository Citation

Bluestein, Daniel; Rutledge, Carolyn M.; and Healey, Amanda C., "Psychosocial Correlates of Insomnia Severity in Primary Care" (2010). *Nursing Faculty Publications*. 4.  
[https://digitalcommons.odu.edu/nursing\\_fac\\_pubs/4](https://digitalcommons.odu.edu/nursing_fac_pubs/4)

## Original Publication Citation

Bluestein, D., Rutledge, C.M., & Healey, A.C. (2010). Psychosocial correlates of insomnia severity in primary care. *Journal of the American Board of Family Medicine*, 23(2), 204-211. doi: 10.3122/jabfm.2010.02.090179

# Psychosocial Correlates of Insomnia Severity in Primary Care

Daniel Bluestein, MD, MS, Carolyn M. Rutledge, PhD, CFNP, and Amanda C. Healey, MA, PhD

**Purpose:** Insomnia is a substantive primary care issue that leads to adverse outcomes. These can be improved by addressing factors that accentuate insomnia severity. Accordingly, this study identifies correlates of insomnia severity and determines whether these relationships vary with sociodemographic attributes.

**Methods:** This correlational cross-sectional study was conducted in a hospital-sponsored primary care clinic and 2 urban, academic family practice centers. Participants consisted of 236 patients 18 years old or older with clinically significant insomnia (Insomnia Severity Index scores of 7 or more). Surveys instruments included the Insomnia Severity Index, SF-8 (Medical Outcomes Study SF-8 global health status measure), CES-D (Center for Epidemiologic Studies-Depression Scale), DBAS (Dysfunctional Beliefs about Sleep scale), SE-S (Self-Efficacy for Sleep Scale), and a researcher-designed demographic survey. Analytic techniques included descriptive statistics to characterize the study sample, Pearson or Spearman Correlation Coefficients to examine individual associations with insomnia severity, and step-wise linear regression to identify net predictors.

**Results:** Insomnia severity was significantly correlated with health status, depression, self-efficacy, and dysfunctional beliefs ( $P < .001$ ) but not with sociodemographic attributes. Linear regression demonstrated insomnia severity was best predicted by low self-efficacy and high depression scores.

**Discussion:** These findings indicate that clinicians treating insomnia should not only manage comorbid depression but also facilitate self-efficacy for sleep-inducing behavioral change. (J Am Board Fam Med 2010;23:204–211.)

**Keywords:** Insomnia, Self-efficacy, Depressive Disorder, Behavior and Behavior Mechanisms, Primary Health Care, Cross-Sectional

Chronic insomnia is defined as difficulty initiating or maintaining sleep or nonrestorative sleep that impairs daytime functioning.<sup>1</sup> An estimated 40 to 70 million Americans are affected with insomnia intermittently and 10% to 20% are affected chronically.<sup>2</sup> Placed in perspective, this figure is double

the prevalence of major depression (6.6% per year).<sup>3</sup> Consequences of insomnia are substantive and include mood disturbances, medication habituation, memory impairment, daytime fatigue, vocational and interpersonal difficulties, increased health care utilization, impaired health status,<sup>4–6</sup> and accidents.<sup>7</sup> Insomnia costs exceed \$42 billion each year.<sup>8</sup> Thus, in view of its prevalence, consequences, and costs, insomnia is a primary care concern.

Insomnia severity often leads to distress and resultant help-seeking in primary care.<sup>9,10</sup> Therapeutic responses typically address the sleep disturbance itself.<sup>11</sup> As an adjunctive approach, addressing psychosocial factors that accentuate insomnia severity and help-seeking can also reduce distress and enhance well-being.<sup>12</sup> In the recent literature several psychosocial factors—poor health status,<sup>13</sup> depression,<sup>14</sup> perceptions of low self-efficacy,<sup>15</sup> and dysfunctional beliefs about sleep<sup>16</sup>—have been associ-

This article was externally peer reviewed.

Submitted 17 July 2009; revised 16 September 2009; accepted 21 September 2009.

From the Department of Family and Community Medicine, Geriatrics Division, Eastern Virginia Medical School, Norfolk, VA (DB); the Center for Research and Scholarship, School of Nursing (CMR), and the Department of Educational Leadership and Counseling (ACH), Old Dominion University, Norfolk, VA.

Funding: American Academy of Family Physicians Foundation Grant G0605.

Conflict of interest: none declared.

Corresponding author: Daniel Bluestein, MD, MS, CMD, Certificate Added Qualifications-Geriatrics, Department of Family and Community Medicine, Geriatrics Division, Eastern Virginia Medical School, 825 Fairfax Avenue, Norfolk, VA 23507 (E-mail: bluestda@evms.edu).

ated with insomnia severity. However, none of these studies nor other major reviews of insomnia in primary care<sup>9-11,17</sup> indicate which of these factors best predict insomnia severity.

Addressing potential predictors of insomnia severity has important clinical management implications. Specifically, if poor health status is most salient, then addressing contributory comorbidities such as arthritis, heart failure, and other chronic medical illnesses should be prioritized. Alternatively, time and resources should be directed to the management of depressive symptoms should these best predict insomnia severity. A net relationship with low self-efficacy would indicate a role for self-efficacy enhancement techniques that facilitate personalized, achievable goal setting and self-care.<sup>18</sup> Preeminence of dysfunctional beliefs would warrant greater emphasis on the integration of cognitive-behavioral approaches in medical settings.<sup>19</sup> Knowing how relationships vary across sociodemographic subgroups can also inform management. Accordingly, this study was conducted to identify individual and net psychosocial correlates of insomnia severity and to determine whether these relationships vary with sociodemographic attributes.

## Methods

This nonexperimental, correlational, cross-sectional study assessed the relationships between insomnia severity, health status, depression, self-efficacy, dysfunctional beliefs about sleep, and demographic factors. Participants were recruited consecutively from patients  $\geq 18$  years old seen for care at 3 clinical sites. These included a hospital-sponsored primary care clinic (site 1) and 2 urban, academic family practice centers (sites 2 and 3). The study was advertised by flyers posted in waiting rooms and examination rooms. Exclusionary criteria included being younger than 18, illiteracy, or lacking the cognitive capacity to complete informed consent or respond to surveys. Inclusion criteria entailed being 18 years of age or older with clinically significant insomnia as indicated by a score of  $\geq 8$  on the Insomnia Severity Index (ISI). The ISI is a 7-item questionnaire that asks respondents to rate the severity of recent problems with sleep onset, sleep maintenance, early waking, and the impact of insomnia using a 5-point Likert scale (where 0 = not at all and 4 = extremely). ISI scores may range from 0 to 28. Higher scores indicate

more severe insomnia, within 4 categories: absence of insomnia (0 to 7), mild (8 to 14), moderate (15 to 21), and severe insomnia (22 to 28).<sup>20</sup>

After the approval of the study by the Institutional Review Board, a study coordinator (ACH) obtained informed consent then distributed survey packets to participants. The survey took between 20 and 30 minutes to complete. The coordinator was available to provide clarification if requested. Participants received a \$10 cash honorarium at completion. Surveys were then stored without names or other means of personal identification. Data were entered and stored in a secure, password-protected database accessible only to members of the research team.

## Measures

Insomnia severity was measured with the ISI, as discussed above. The ISI has a reported Cronbach  $\alpha > 0.70$  and documented validity.<sup>21</sup> Calculated Cronbach  $\alpha$  for the ISI in this study was 0.84.

Health status was measured with the SF-8,<sup>22</sup> a shorter adaptation of the Medical Outcomes Study SF-36 global health status measure.<sup>23</sup> Participants use a 5-point scale to indicate their health status. The sum of the ratings provides an overall score that can range from 8 (poor) to 40 (excellent). The SF-8 has excellent convergent validity with the SF-36 (correlation coefficients 0.67 to 0.79 for 7 of 8 items) and high test-retest reliability (0.8 to 0.88).<sup>24</sup> Calculated Cronbach  $\alpha$  for the SF-8 in this study was 0.88.

Depressive symptoms were assessed with the Center for Epidemiologic Studies-Depression Scale (CES-D), a 20-item self-report screening measure that assesses the frequency of depressive mood and symptoms during the past week.<sup>25</sup> The CES-D has excellent internal consistency (coefficient  $\alpha > 0.85$ ),<sup>26</sup> has test-retest reliability coefficients of 0.40 to 0.70, and correlates well with other depression measures. Responses are scored on a 4-point Likert scale ranging from 0 to 3. Calculated Cronbach  $\alpha$  for the CES-D in this study was 0.88. Summed scores may range from 0 to 60 (4 items being reverse-coded). A score of 16 to 21 suggests mild to moderate depression, with higher scores indicating severe disorder.<sup>27</sup>

Self-efficacy was measured by the 9-item Self-Efficacy for Sleep Scale (SE-S).<sup>28</sup> On this measure, participants use a 5-point scale (range, 1 to 5) to indicate their level of confidence when performing

various behaviors that are necessary to get to sleep. The sum of the confidence ratings provides an overall score of sleep self-efficacy that can range from 9 to 45, with higher ratings reflecting more confidence. Concurrent validity for the SE-S is constituted through congruence of higher SE-S scores with improved sleep quality scores (Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index)<sup>29</sup> as well as subjective (sleep diary) and objective (actigraphy) total sleep time and sleep efficiency in randomized clinical trials of behavioral sleep treatments.<sup>30,31</sup> Internal reliability for the SE-S in this study (Cronbach  $\alpha$  = 0.85) compares favorably with Cronbach  $\alpha$  results (0.71 to 0.86) reported in these trials. Test-retest reliability for the SE-S has been established as well.<sup>32</sup>

Dysfunctional beliefs regarding sleep were measured using the 20-item Dysfunctional Beliefs about Sleep (DBAS) scale.<sup>16</sup> On this measure, participants indicate their levels of agreement with statements concerning sleep by placing a mark on a 100-millimeter line ranging from strongly disagree (0.00) to strongly agree (1.00). A higher score indicates more dysfunctional beliefs and attitudes regarding sleep. The total score is calculated from the average score of all items with 1 item reverse scored. Cronbach  $\alpha$  of 0.77 to 0.80 has been reported for the DBAS; in this study it was 0.84.

Measured sociodemographic variables included age, gender, race, marital status, and education. All were assessed by a researcher-designed survey. Age was measured as ratio (continuous)-level data. Gender, race, marital status, and educational level were categorical.

Statistical analyses first entailed characterization of participants using descriptive and summary statistics (mean and SD for continuous variables; percentages for categorical variables). Individual associations with insomnia severity were examined using Pearson correlation coefficients for continuous data and Spearman correlation coefficients for noninterval data. All variables were screened for normality before the analysis was conducted. Means were imputed for 5 patients who had <2 missing values for the CES-D. Stepwise linear regression was then conducted to examine health status, depression, self-efficacy, and dysfunctional beliefs as net predictors of insomnia severity.

## Results

There were 236 participants, with 163 from site 1, 56 from site 2, and 17 from site 3. As shown in Table 1, mean age was 45 years (range, 19 to 91 years) with 221 participants reporting. Participants were 74% women ( $n = 236$ ), 74% African American ( $n = 160$ ), and 36% married ( $n = 236$ ). Of 235 participants reporting educational level, 64% reported a high school education, 17% were college graduates, and 19% reported postgraduate education. Ten percent (30 participants) 65 years of age or older.

According to Cohen,<sup>33</sup> to obtain an effect size significant enough to achieve a power level  $\geq 0.80$  while detecting at least a moderate level of difference between correlated variables,  $n = 125$  would be necessary to achieve  $\alpha = 0.01$ . This number was exceeded for this study; thus adequate power for practical significance was ensured.

As noted in Tables 1 and 2, there is no significant variation by site with regard to sociodemographic attributes and means for the ISI, SF-8, CES-D, SE-S, and DBAS. Accordingly, data were pooled in subsequent analyses.

Table 2 reports means and SDs for the ISI, SF-8, CES-D, SE-S, and DBAS. Insomnia severity was moderate (mean, 17; range, 8 to 29). Means for hypothesized predictors of insomnia were moderate for health status, as measured by the SF-8 (mean, 24; range, 8 to 42); moderate for depression measured by the CES-D (mean, 22; range, 0 to 49); midrange for DBAS (mean, 0.50; range, 0.06 to 0.94); and moderate for self-efficacy as measured by the SE-S (mean, 23; range, 9 to 45).

Table 3 consists of individual Pearson correlation coefficients for independent variables with ISI scores (insomnia severity). There were no significant associations between insomnia severity and sociodemographic status. However, health status, depression, self-efficacy, and dysfunctional beliefs were all significantly correlated ( $P < .01$ ). As health status and self-efficacy increased, insomnia severity decreased, demonstrating an inverse association. Depressive symptomology and dysfunctional beliefs about sleep had a positive relationship with insomnia severity; as they increased, severity increased.

A stepwise linear regression was then conducted to determine the predictive power of the level of health status, depression, dysfunctional beliefs

**Table 1. Study Sample Characteristics**

Age	All Sites	Site 1	Site 2	Site 3
Mean	45	45	44	45
Range	19–91	19–83	20–68	22–91
Sex (n = 236)				
Male	61 (26)	45 (28)	16 (29)	1 (6)
Female	175 (74)	118 (72)	40 (71)	16 (94)
Ethnicity (n = 160)				
African American	119 (74)	101 (73)	6 (60)	12 (71)
White	34 (21)	26 (20)	3 (30)	5 (29)
Asian	2 (1)	1 (1)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Hispanic	1 (0.5)	1 (1)	1 (10)	0 (0)
Other	4 (2.5)	4 (3)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Relationship status (n = 236)				
Married	84 (36)	56 (34)	19 (34)	9 (52)
Widowed	17 (7)	11 (7)	5 (9)	1 (6)
Never married	73 (31)	52 (32)	18 (32)	3 (18)
Divorced	62 (26)	44 (27)	14 (25)	4 (24)
Level of education (n = 235)				
Some high school	43 (18)	34 (21)	8 (14)	1 (6)
High school graduate	107 (46)	70 (43)	27 (48)	10 (58)
College graduate	40 (17)	26 (16)	10 (18)	4 (24)
Professional/graduate	45 (19)	32 (20)	11 (20)	2 (12)

Values provided as n (%).

about sleep, and self-efficacy on participant perceptions of their insomnia severity (Table 4). After the completion of the regression, the model providing the best predictive power of insomnia severity included both self-efficacy and level of depression ( $R^2 = 0.306$ ). Reported level of self-efficacy with regard to sleep was the strongest predictor for the model. Neither dysfunctional beliefs ( $R^2 = 0.003$ ) nor health status ( $R^2 = 0.014$ ) approached significance for inclusion in the model.

## Discussion

This study was conducted to identify psychosocial correlates of insomnia severity in primary care set-

tings and to examine differences in findings by sociodemographic attributes. Demographic variables were not correlated with sleep severity. However, results did indicate that poor health status, higher depression scores, low self-efficacy, and higher dysfunctional beliefs about sleep all had significant individual associations with insomnia severity. Only low self-efficacy and depressive symptoms had significant net predictive relationships, as indicated by a stepwise linear regression. Poor health status was not a predictor of insomnia severity in the regression models but it did retain strong associations with low self-efficacy and depressive symptoms.

**Table 2. Psychosocial Measure Means**

	Total		Site 1		Site 2		Site 3	
	$\bar{X}$	SD	$\bar{X}$	SD	$\bar{X}$	SD	$\bar{X}$	SD
ISI	17	5.4	16	5.4	20	4.2	17	7.2
CES-D	22	11.2	22	11.2	23	11.6	24	9.8
SE-S	23	7.2	24	7.4	22	6.1	22	8.9
DBAS	0.50	0.15	0.51	0.16	0.50	0.14	0.52	0.14
SF-8	24	6.8	24	6.9	24	6.7	23	6.2

ISI, Insomnia Severity Index; CES-D, Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale; SE-S, Self-Efficacy for Sleep Scale; DBAS, Dysfunctional Beliefs about Sleep scale; SF-8, Medical Outcomes Study SF-8 global health status measure.



**Table 3. Bivariate Correlations of Study Variables with Insomnia Severity**

Variable	Correlation (r)
Relationship status	-0.03
Race	-0.023
Education	0.017
Gender	-0.065
Age	-0.034
SF-8*	0.36
CES-D*	0.39
SE-S*	-0.52
DBAS*	0.31

\* $P < .01$ .

CES-D, Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale; SE-S, Self-Efficacy for Sleep Scale; DBAS, Dysfunctional Beliefs about Sleep scale; SF-8, Medical Outcomes Study SF-8 global health status measure.

This study found a strong net relationship between insomnia severity and depression. This is in keeping with other reports.<sup>34</sup> In their seminal study of insomnia in primary care, Simon and VonKorff<sup>17</sup> reported that, compared with controls, insomnia patients were significantly more likely to suffer comorbid depression. More recently, Alattar et al<sup>14</sup> conducted a study using a practice-based research network reaffirming this relationship.

Simon and VonKorff<sup>17</sup> also reported that insomnia patients had greater impairment of health status. Other studies using the SF-36 health status measure, from which the SF-8 is derived, also have found insomnia related to impaired health status.<sup>35</sup> The relationship between insomnia and patient perceptions of impaired health status in this study,

**Table 4. Regression Analysis for Insomnia Severity**

	R <sup>2</sup>	F	Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	$\beta$
Model 1				
SE-S*	0.266	84.77	0.263	-0.516
Model 2				
SE-S	0.312	52.78	0.306	-0.427
CES-D*				0.232
Excluded from models				
DBAS			0.003	
SF-8			0.014	

\* $P < .001$ .

CES-D, Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale; SE-S, Self-Efficacy for Sleep Scale; DBAS, Dysfunctional Beliefs about Sleep Scale; SF-8, Medical Outcomes Study SF-8 global health status measure.

however, was indirect. Although health status lost significance in the stepwise linear regression, it was strongly and significantly related to depression ( $r = 0.60$ ;  $P < .001$ ), suggesting that depression accounts for the health status impairment. Together these findings reaffirm the importance of screening for depression in the evaluation of insomnia, especially as the severity of the insomnia complaint increases.

The other major finding of this study—the strong net relationship between low self-efficacy for sleep and insomnia severity—has not received attention in prior literature. Self-efficacy in general has received limited study in relation to insomnia. Higher self-efficacy is associated with successful hypnotic tapering.<sup>36,37</sup> Improved self-efficacy for sleep is noted as an outcome of behavioral sleep treatment trials.<sup>31,38</sup> Self-efficacy perceptions also predict response and adherence to behavioral treatment for insomnia.<sup>39,40</sup> To our knowledge, no study has related low self-efficacy for sleep to insomnia severity. This finding suggests that interventions aimed at improving self-efficacy for sleep can reduce insomnia severity and the resulting impairment of mood and well-being. This strategy could also increase patient capacity to apply evidence-based behavioral sleep techniques<sup>41</sup> and reduce long-term use of hypnotics, thereby reducing habituation and side effects.

Several other study variables did not relate to insomnia severity. Although age and female gender are consistently recognized as risk factors for insomnia, and other factors such as divorce, race, and socioeconomic status are recognized as risk factors in some studies,<sup>8</sup> these sociodemographic factors did not have a significant relationship with insomnia severity. These findings suggest that primary care approaches to severe insomnia need not vary by sociodemographic status.

In addition, dysfunctional beliefs about sleep did not emerge as a net predictor of insomnia severity. Together these findings suggest that insomnia is a multifaceted phenomenon in that factors that predict occurrence (sociodemographic factors) and perpetuation (dysfunctional beliefs) differ from those related to severity. This multidimensionality argues for a multidimensional treatment approach that addresses both the insomnia itself and the factors that underscore the degree of distress.

Several potential limitations need to be acknowledged in the discussion of these results. First, data

were collected at 3 separate sites. Comparison of results by site reveals no observable differences but unrecognized biases may have impacted results. Second, biases resulting from a misunderstanding of survey items, and erroneous or falsified responses may have impacted results. Third, incomplete reporting of ethnicity may have obscured relationships between race and insomnia severity. Nonetheless, the study sample was predominantly female and African American, an attribute that may limit generalizability. On the other hand, this predominantly African-American sample advances understanding of a group at risk for greater insomnia severity and consequences.<sup>42</sup> Fourth, the \$10 reimbursement for survey completion may have inflated ISI scores to allow participation. Fifth, the cross-sectional design reveals associations but not cause and effect between insomnia severity and hypothesized predictors. Sixth, despite the significant predictive power of self-efficacy and the level of depression on insomnia severity, it should be noted that these variables only accounted for 30% of insomnia severity variance. Other unmeasured variables also contribute. However, in research concerning psychosocial factors, predictive associations greater than  $r > 0.50$  or  $R^2 \geq 0.25$  still point to effect sizes that are clinically important.<sup>33</sup>

Further research with longitudinal assessment of predictor and outcome variables would delineate these relationships while confirming findings of this initial study. Future research might also include a broader range of predictors such as anxiety, a control group that does not suffer from insomnia, differentiation of primary versus comorbid insomnia (secondary to medical or psychiatric disorders),<sup>1</sup> and the exclusion of other sleep disorders causing daytime sleepiness.

From a clinical perspective, this study underscores the importance of the assessment and management of comorbid depression as part of an insomnia treatment plan. This conclusion reinforces findings of prior research. In addition, lower degrees of self-efficacy most strongly predicted insomnia severity in this study. This finding argues for insomnia interventions that facilitate self-efficacy for sleep-inducing behavioral change.

## Conclusion

Self-efficacy, the belief in one's ability to perform a particular health-related behavior,<sup>43</sup> is a dynamic,

modifiable attribute. Primary care clinicians can increase self-efficacy through current office counseling techniques such as motivational interviewing, which help patients experience success through the formulation of personalized, achievable goals.<sup>44</sup> In addition, enhancement of self-efficacy is key to self-care promotion,<sup>45</sup> a core concept in the chronic care model,<sup>46</sup> which underpins the patient-centered medical home.<sup>47</sup>

Self-efficacy enhancement interventions also embody other patient-centered medical home precepts, including whole-person orientation and team-based care through group visits.<sup>48,49</sup> Such interventions have been used to improve diabetes outcomes in family medicine.<sup>50</sup> They have not been examined as a means of increasing patient capacity for successfully undertaking behavioral sleep treatments such as stimulus control, sleep restriction, relaxation, or paradoxical intention.<sup>51</sup> It is noteworthy that prorated self-efficacy for sleep in this preliminary study was 5.2 on a scale of 0 to 10. This level was mid-range such that an intervention is likely to increase levels to a score of 7, the point at which an individual can achieve the desired behavior.<sup>52</sup> Moreover, given the correlation between low self-efficacy and depression, enhancing self-efficacy would probably ameliorate the latter. Self-efficacy enhancement in relation to insomnia therefore merits examination.

## References

1. NIH State-of-the-Science Conference Statement on manifestations and management of chronic insomnia in adults. NIH Consens State Sci Statements. 2005; 22:1–30.
2. US Department of Health and Human Services, National Institute of Health, National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute. 2003 National Sleep Disorders Research Plan. Available at [http://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/health/prof/sleep/res\\_plan/sleep-rplan.pdf](http://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/health/prof/sleep/res_plan/sleep-rplan.pdf). Accessed 20 January 2010.
3. Kessler RC, Berglund P, Demler O, et al. The epidemiology of major depressive disorder: results from the National Comorbidity Survey Replication (NCS-R). *JAMA* 2003;289:3095–105.
4. Silber MH. Clinical practice. Chronic insomnia. *N Engl J Med* 2005;353:803–10.
5. Katz DA, McHorney CA. The relationship between insomnia and health-related quality of life in patients with chronic illness. *J Fam Pract* 2002;51:229–35.
6. Breslau N, Roth T, Rosenthal L, et al. Sleep disturbances and psychiatric disorders: a longitudinal epi-

- demiological study of young adults. *Biol Psychiatry* 1996;39:411–8.
7. Costa E, Silva JA, Chase M, Sartorius M, Roth T. Special report from a symposium held by the World Health Organization and the World Federation of Sleep Research Societies: an overview of insomnias and related disorders—recognition, epidemiology, and rational management. *Sleep* 1996;19:412–6.
  8. Buscemi N, Vandermeer B, Friesen C, et al. Manifestations and management of chronic insomnia in adults. *Evid Rep Technol Assess (Summ)* 2005;(125): 1–10.
  9. Shochat T, Umphress J, Israel AG, Ancoli-Israel S. Insomnia in primary care patients. *Sleep* 1999; 22(Suppl 2):5359–65.
  10. Bartlett DJ, Marshall NS, Williams A, Grunstein RR. Predictors of primary medical care consultation for sleep disorders. *Sleep Med* 2008;9:857–64.
  11. Culpepper L. Insomnia: a primary care perspective. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2005;66(Suppl 9):14–7.
  12. Aikens JE, Rouse ME. Help-seeking for insomnia among adult patients in primary care. *J Am Board Fam Pract* 2005;18:257–61.
  13. Hohagen F, Rink K, Kappler C, et al. Prevalence and treatment of insomnia in general practice. A longitudinal study. *Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci* 1993;242:329–36.
  14. Alattar M, Harrington JJ, Mitchell CM, Sloane P. Sleep problems in primary care: a North Carolina Family Practice Research Network (NC-FP-RN) Study. *J Am Board Fam Med* 2007;20:365–74.
  15. Hamblin JE. Insomnia: an ignored health problem. *Prim Care* 2007;34:659–74.
  16. Morin CM, Blais F, Savard J. Are changes in beliefs and attitudes about sleep related to sleep improvements in the treatment of insomnia? *Behav Res Ther* 2002;40:741–52.
  17. Simon GE, VonKorff M. Prevalence, burden, and treatment of insomnia in primary care. *Am J Psychiatry* 1997;154:1417–23.
  18. Barlow J, Wright C, Sheasby J, Turner A, Hainsworth J. Self-management approaches for people with chronic conditions: a review. *Patient Educ Couns* 2002;48:177–87.
  19. Bluestein D, Cubic BA. Psychologists and primary care physicians: a training model for creating collaborative relationships. *J Clin Psychol Med Settings* 2009;16:101–12.
  20. Morin CM, Beaulieu-Bonneau S, LeBlanc M, et al. Self-help treatment for insomnia: a randomized controlled trial. *Sleep* 2005;28:1319–27.
  21. Bastien CH, Vallières A, Morin CM. Validation of the insomnia severity index as an outcome measure for insomnia research. *Sleep Med* 2001;2:297–30.
  22. The SF-8 health survey. Available at <http://www.sf-36.org/tools/sf8.shtml>. Accessed 16 December 2008.
  23. Ware JE, Sherbourne CD. The MOS 36-item short-form health survey (SF-36). *Med Care* 1992;30:473–83.
  24. Gulati S, Coughlin PA, Hatfield J, Chetter IC. Quality of life in patients with lower limb ischemia; revised suggestions for analysis. *J Vasc Surg* 2009;49: 122–6.
  25. Lewinsohn PM, Seeley JR, Roberts RE, Allen NB. Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (CES-D) as a screening instrument for depression among community-residing older adults. *Psychol Aging* 1997;12:277–87.
  26. Roberts RE. Reliability of the CES-D Scale in different ethnic contexts. *Psychiatry Res* 1980;2:125–34.
  27. Myers JK, Weissman MM. Use of a self-report symptom scale to detect depression in a community sample. *Am J Psychiatry* 1980;137:1081–4.
  28. Lacks P. Behavioral Treatment for Persistent Insomnia. New York, NY: Pergamon Press; 1987:79.
  29. Currie SR, Wilson KG, Curran D. Clinical significance and predictors of treatment response to cognitive-behavior therapy for insomnia secondary to chronic pain. *J Behav Med* 2002;25:135–53.
  30. Edinger JD, Sampson WS. A primary care “friendly” cognitive behavioral insomnia therapy. *Sleep* 2003; 26:177–82.
  31. Edinger JD, Wohlgenuth WK, Radtke RA, Coffman CJ, Carney CE. Dose-response effects of cognitive-behavioral insomnia therapy: a randomized clinical trial. *Sleep* 2007;30:203–12.
  32. Fichten CS, Libman E, Creti L, et al. Role of thoughts during nocturnal awake times in the insomnia experience of older adults. *Cognit Ther Res* 2001;25:665–92.
  33. Cohen J. Quantitative methods in psychology: a power primer. *Psychol Bull* 1992;112:155–9.
  34. Roth T. Insomnia: definition, prevalence, etiology, and consequences. *J Clin Sleep Med* 2007;3(5 Suppl):S7–S10.
  35. Katz DA, McHorney CA. Clinical correlates of insomnia in patients with chronic illness. *Arch Intern Med* 1998;158:1099–107.
  36. Belleville G, Morin CM. Hypnotic discontinuation in chronic insomnia: impact of psychological distress, readiness to change, and self-efficacy. *Health Psychol* 2008;27:239–48.
  37. Bélanger L, Morin CM, Bastien C, Ladouceur R. Self-efficacy and compliance with benzodiazepine taper in older adults with chronic insomnia. *Health Psychol* 2005;24:281–7.
  38. Harris J, Lack L, Wright H, Gradisar M, Brooks A. Intensive sleep retraining treatment for chronic primary insomnia: a preliminary investigation. *J Sleep Res* 2007;16:276–84.
  39. Bouchard S, Bastien C, Morin CM. Self-efficacy and adherence to cognitive-behavioral treatment of insomnia. *Behav Sleep Med* 2003;1:187–99.



40. Edinger JD, Carney CE, Wohlgenuth WK. Pretherapy cognitive dispositions and treatment outcome in cognitive behavior therapy for insomnia. *Behav Ther* 2008;39:406–16.
41. Whitworth JD, Crownover BK, Nichols W, Hallgren JD. Clinical inquiries. Which nondrug alternatives can help with insomnia? *J Fam Pract* 2007;56:836–7, 840.
42. Mezick EJ, Matthews KA, Hall M, et al. Influence of race and socioeconomic status on sleep: Pittsburgh SleepSCORE project. *Psychosom Med* 2008;70:410–6.
43. Bandura A. Human agency in social cognitive theory. *Am Psychol* 1989;44:1175–84.
44. Searight HR. Realistic approaches to counseling in the office setting. *Am Fam Physician* 2009;79:277–84.
45. Coleman MT, Newton KS. Supporting self-management in patients with chronic illness. *Am Fam Physician* 2005;72:1503–10.
46. Bodenheimer T, Lorig K, Holman H, Grumbach K. Patient self-management of chronic disease in primary care. *JAMA* 2002;288:2469–75.
47. Robert Graham Center. The patient centered medical home: history, seven core features, evidence and transformational change. November 2007, p 5. Available at <http://www.adfam.org/documents/grahamcentermedicalhome.pdf>. Accessed 10 September 2009.
48. Mitchell D. FP finds move to PCMH well worth investment. Available at <http://www.aafp.org/online/en/home/publications/news/news-now/pcmh/20090217andrews-q-a.html>. Accessed 7 September 2009.
49. Rosenthal TC. The medical home: growing evidence to support a new approach to primary care. *J Am Board Fam Med* 2008;21:427–40.
50. Langford AT, Sawyer DR, Gioimo S, Brownson CA, O'Toole ML. Patient-centered goal setting as a tool to improve diabetes self-management. *Diabetes Educ* 2007;33(Suppl 6):139S–44S.
51. Harsora P, Kessman J. Nonpharmacologic management of chronic insomnia. *Am Fam Physician* 2009;79(2):125–30.
52. Marks R, Allegrante JP, Lorig K. A review and synthesis of research evidence for self-efficacy-enhancing interventions for reducing chronic disability: implications for health education practice (part I). *Health Promot Pract* 2005;6:37–43.