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SHORT REPORT

Paracrine-rescued lobulogenesis in chimeric outgrowths comprising progesterone-receptor-null mammary epithelium and redirected wild-type testicular cells

Robert D. Bruno1,2,* Corinne A. Boulanger1,*, Sonia M. Rosenfield1, Lisa H. Anderson1, John P. Lydon3 and Gilbert H. Smith1,*1

ABSTRACT
We have previously shown that non-mammary and tumorigenic cells can respond to the signals of the mammary niche and alter their cell fate to that of mammary epithelial progenitor cells. Here we tested the hypothesis that paracrine signals from mammary epithelial cells expressing progesterone receptor (PR) are dispensable for redirection of testicular cells, and that re-directed wild-type testicular-derived mammary cells can rescue lobulogenesis of PR-null mammary epithelium by paracrine signaling during pregnancy. We injected PR-null epithelial cells mixed with testicular cells from wild-type adult male mice into cleared fat-pads of recipient mice. The testicular cells were redirected in vivo to mammary epithelial cell fate during regeneration of the mammary epithelium, and persisted in second-generation outgrowths. In the process, the redirected testicular cells rescued the developmentally deficient PR-null cells, signaling them through the paracrine factor RANKL to produce alveolar secretory structures during pregnancy. This is the first demonstration that paracrine signaling required for alveolar development is not required for cellular reprogramming in the mammary gland, and that reprogrammed testicular cells can provide paracrine signals to the surrounding mammary epithelium.
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INTRODUCTION
Previous studies have demonstrated the remarkable ability of the mouse mammary microenvironment to control cell fate determination and stem/progenitor cell function and identity. Exogenous cell types can compete to be incorporated into mammary niches during gland regeneration in vivo, resulting in the adoption of a mammary cell progenitor cell fate by the exogenous cell types (Boulanger et al., 2007; Booth et al., 2008; Bussard et al., 2010; Boulanger et al., 2012; Bruno and Smith, 2012; Boulanger et al., 2013). By co-inoculating non-mammary or tumorigenic cell types with normal mammary epithelial cells (MECs), we have demonstrated that testicular cells (Boulanger et al., 2007), neuronal stem cells (Booth et al., 2008), Lin– bone marrow cells (Boulanger et al., 2012), embryonic stem cells (Boulanger et al., 2013), as well as human (Bussard et al., 2010) and mouse (Booth et al., 2011) cancer cells can all be reprogrammed in the developing mammary gland microenvironment. In addition, a wild-type mammary microenvironment can restore the function of mammary epithelial lobule progenitors from Wap-Int3 transgenic glands, which do not develop secretory alveoli during pregnancy (Bruno et al., 2012). In all cases, reprogrammed and/or rescued cells were capable of contributing to lobules during pregnancy and were present in secondary outgrowths from chimeric mammary fragments. Together, these studies demonstrate that mammary epithelial cells in the context of the cleared mammary fat-pad are capable of producing the signals necessary to rescue and/or reprogram non-mammary and cancer cells that are otherwise unable to carryout normal mammary development on their own.

Ductal side-branching and alveolar development during pregnancy require activation of the progesterone receptor (PR) by the hormone progesterone, although PR is not required for the production of some milk proteins (Tsai and O’Malley, 1994; Lydon et al., 1995; Lydon et al., 1999). Brisken and co-workers demonstrated that when PR-knockout (PRKO) MECs are transplanted into the cleared mammary fat-pads of wild-type mice, the cells undergo normal ductal elongation and development, but fail to undergo complete alveolar development during pregnancy (Brisken et al., 1998). However, alveologenesis was rescued in PRKO cells when they were transplanted along with wild-type mammary epithelium into the cleared fat-pads of wild-type hosts. Both PRKO and wild-type cells contributed to lobular development during pregnancy, demonstrating that paracrine signals from the wild-type epithelium were sufficient to rescue alveologenesis in PR-null epithelium (Brisken et al., 1998).

Induction of alveologenesis by progesterone activation of PR is mediated by the ligand RANKL (receptor activator nuclear factor κ ligand) (Fata et al., 2000; Beleut et al., 2010). RANKL is a paracrine factor that induces the expression of the transcription factor Elf5 in nearby epithelium (Lee et al., 2013). This results in a mutually exclusive expression pattern of Elf5 and PR, with the former cells expanding and differentiating into mature secretory epithelium.

Ismail and colleagues described the generation of the PR-LacZ knock-in mouse, in which the LacZ reporter gene is targeted in-frame into exon1 of the PR gene (Ismail et al., 2002). Mice homozygous for the transgene (referred to here as PRKO-LacZ)
do not express PR protein, but do express a nuclear-localized β-galactosidase enzyme (β-Gal) in cells that have transactivated the PR promoter. Here, we mixed PRKO-LacZ MECs with wild-type testicular cells to determine if PR-null MECs were capable of reprogramming non-mammary cells in the absence of PR signaling. Furthermore, we hypothesized that reprogrammed wild-type testicular cells would differentiate to produce PR-positive epithelium and rescue lobulogenesis in the chimeric gland. Our results demonstrate that PR expression is not required to redirect wild-type testicular cells to mammary cell fates including secretory development, and further that the reprogrammed testis-derived cells are able to support lobular development in the PR-null epithelium.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Progestosterone receptor is not expressed in the seminiferous tubules

To determine whether PR is expressed in any cells within the seminiferous tubules, we examined both 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-b-D-galactopyranoside (X-gal)-stained PRKO-LacZ as well as anti-PR-stained wild-type tissues (Fig. 1). PRKO-LacZ mice express a nuclear localized β-Gal from the endogenous PR promoter locus, so promoter activation results in positive (blue) X-gal-stained nuclei (Ismail et al., 2002). As shown in Fig. 1A, no PR activation was detected in the seminiferous tubules of PRKO-LacZ mice. Conversely, we confirmed previous findings (Ismail et al., 2002) that PRKO-LacZ mammary glands contain several evenly distributed β-Gal-positive luminal epithelial cells, demonstrating the transactivation of the PR promoter in the PR-null cell types (Fig. 1B). In addition, no reactivity with an anti-PR antibody was seen in cross-sections of wild-type seminiferous tubules or mammary outgrowths derived from PRKO-LacZ MECs (Fig. 1D,E). As expected, wild-type glands were negative for X-gal stain and contained several PR-positive epithelial cells identified by an anti-PR antibody (Fig. 1C,F). These results confirmed there was no PR expression in seminiferous tubule cells prior to reprogramming, and therefore any PR expression in subsequent experiments would be the result of de novo activation of the PR promoter.

Redirected testicular cells rescue lobulogenesis of PRKO MECs

We next asked whether testicular cells could be reprogrammed by MECs that lacked PR signaling. To test this, wild-type testicular cells were mixed with PRKO-LacZ MECs in a 1:1 ratio (5×10⁴:5×10⁴) and inoculated into cleared mammary fat-pads of athymic nude mice (Table 1; Fig. 2). After recovery from surgery, the mice were mated and glands were recovered at parturition. As expected, wild-type MECs underwent complete alveolar development (Fig. 2A,B), testicular cells failed to grow in the cleared fat-pad (Fig. 2C,D), and PRKO-LacZ MECs grew but failed to undergo complete lobular development (Fig. 2E,F). However, when 5×10⁴ testicular cells were mixed with 5×10⁴ mammmary glands contain several evenly distributed β-Gal-positive luminal epithelial cells, demonstrating the transactivation of the PR promoter in the PR-null cell types (Fig. 1B). In addition, no reactivity with an anti-PR antibody was seen in cross-sections of wild-type seminiferous tubules or mammary outgrowths derived from PRKO-LacZ MECs (Fig. 1D,E). As expected, wild-type glands were negative for X-gal stain and contained several PR-positive epithelial cells identified by an anti-PR antibody (Fig. 1C,F). These results confirmed there was no PR expression in seminiferous tubule cells prior to reprogramming, and therefore any PR expression in subsequent experiments would be the result of de novo activation of the PR promoter.

Redirected testicular cells rescue lobulogenesis of PRKO MECs

We next asked whether testicular cells could be reprogrammed by MECs that lacked PR signaling. To test this, wild-type testicular cells were mixed with PRKO-LacZ MECs in a 1:1 ratio (5×10⁴:5×10⁴) and inoculated into cleared mammary fat-pads of athymic nude mice (Table 1; Fig. 2). After recovery from surgery, the mice were mated and glands were recovered at parturition. As expected, wild-type MECs underwent complete alveolar development (Fig. 2A,B), testicular cells failed to grow in the cleared fat-pad (Fig. 2C,D), and PRKO-LacZ MECs grew but failed to undergo complete lobular development (Fig. 2E,F). However, when 5×10⁴ testicular cells were mixed with 5×10⁴

Table 1. Summary of the transplantation results of inoculations of dispersed wild-type MECs, PRKO-LacZ MECs, wild-type testicular cells and PRKO-LacZ plus wild-type testicular cells.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inoculation</th>
<th>Outgrowths/inoculationsa</th>
<th>Lobular development/observedb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5×10⁴ wild-type MECs</td>
<td>4/4</td>
<td>2/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5×10⁴ PRKO-LacZ</td>
<td>7/10</td>
<td>0/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5×10⁴ wild-type testicular cells</td>
<td>0/4</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5×10⁴ PRKO-LacZ + 5×10⁴ wild-type testicular cells</td>
<td>12/16</td>
<td>4/8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aResults are given as the number of mammary outgrowths observed in whole mounts over the number of total glands inoculated.

bNumbers given are the number of glands observed to have extensive lobular development in whole mounts and sections of glands taken at parturition over the total number of glands observed at parturition.
markedly increased above that seen with PRKO-LacZ cells alone, which failed to develop any mature lobules. The presence of male cells in the chimeric gland was confirmed by PCR detection of the Y chromosome (Fig. 2I).

**Cells derived from the testes produce PR-positive mammary epithelial cells**

Next, we determined whether testicular-derived cells had differentiated into PR-positive epithelium. As expected, outgrowths derived from the inoculation of $5 \times 10^4$ PRKO-LacZ cells alone contained no PR-positive epithelium in virgin (Fig. 3A,B) or full-term pregnant glands (Fig. 3C). However, chimeric outgrowths derived from a mixture of $5 \times 10^4$ PRKO-LacZ MECs and $5 \times 10^4$ wild-type testicular cells contained PR-positive epithelium in both virgin (Fig. 3D,E) and lactating tissue (Fig. 3F). As the testicular-derived cells are the only cells with the capacity to express PR, these PR-positive cells must be derived from the redirected testicular cells.

Interestingly, the testicular-derived PR-positive cells in virgin chimeric glands often appeared in a clumped pattern (Fig. 3D,E), not typically seen in outgrowths from wild-type tissues (Fig. 3G,H), which display more evenly distributed PR-positive epithelium. We interpret this result to mean that testicular cells compete with cells within the PRKO-LacZ MEC population for the occupation of niches during gland regeneration, and that PR-positive cells only occur in regions where a testicular cell occupied a mammary progenitor niche. The abundant expression of nearly all the cells within that niche might be a compensation for the lack of PR signaling in the adjacent microenvironments, which are generated by PRKO-LacZ-derived cell(s).

To determine if reprogrammed testicular-derived cells would self-renew and contribute progeny to secondary outgrowths, we transplanted tissue fragments from chimeric outgrowths into cleared mammary fat-pads of 3-week-old nu/nu mice. PR-positive MECs were detected in secondary outgrowths in both nulliparous and pregnant hosts (Fig. 3J,K). We determined that 40–50% of luminal MECs in both primary and secondary outgrowths were PR-positive, suggesting that reprogrammed testicular-derived cells were capable of self-renewing and maintaining the same population numbers in secondary chimeric outgrowths.

**Paracrine signaling through RANKL in PR-positive testicular-derived epithelium activates Elf5 expression in adjacent epithelium**

To determine whether testicular-derived PR-positive cells induced secretory differentiation in adjacent cell types, we stained sections from secondary outgrowths taken from hosts that had been pregnant for 7 days for PR, RANKL and Elf5 expression (Fig. 3J–L). Consistent with previous reports in normal mammary tissues (Fata et al., 2000; Beleut et al., 2010), we found that essentially all PR-positive MECs expressed RANKL during pregnancy (Fig. 3J). Furthermore, Elf5 was expressed in adjacent epithelium that had been pregnant for 7 days for PR, RANKL and Elf5 expression (Fig. 3J–L). Consistent with previous reports in normal mammary tissues (Fata et al., 2000; Beleut et al., 2010), we found that essentially all PR-positive MECs expressed RANKL during pregnancy (Fig. 3J). Furthermore, Elf5 was expressed in adjacent epithelium that was mutually exclusive to PR-positive epithelium (Fig. 3K) at day 7 of pregnancy. Elf5 was barely detected in nulliparous mammary glands (Fig. 3L). Therefore, the PR-positive cells, which could only be derived from the wild-type testicular cells, expressed PR, resulting in the expression of the downstream effector RANKL. On the basis of previous reported findings in normal mouse mammary tissue (Lee et al., 2013) and the observed staining pattern, we infer that RANKL mediated the rescue of alveolar development through...
induction of Elf5 in adjacent epithelium. This provides direct evidence that testicular-derived mammary epithelium can express PR, and signal through the receptor in the normal paracrine signaling network to induce secretory differentiation and alveolar development.

The results outlined here shed light on how reprogramming within the mammary gland occurs, and demonstrate for the first time that reprogrammed cells can be used to rescue an inhibited developmental function in mammary epithelium. We have previously shown that a functional mouse mammary microenvironment (niche) can rescue the function of Wap-Int3 alveolar progenitors (Bruno et al., 2012), as well as mammary progenitor function of MMTV-Erb2 tumor cells (Booth et al., 2011). However, here we show that redirected non-mammary cells can express PR despite its absence in the reprogramming mammary epithelial population. Paracrine signaling through RANKL by the reprogrammed testicular cells results in the development of secretory alveoli by the null epithelium. It is clear from these results that PR signaling and lobulogenesis are not required for testicular cell reprogramming.

Our results suggest that the occupation of mammary niches by testicular cells during end bud formation and ductal elongation (which does not require PR signaling) results in the cellular reprogramming of the testicular cells. Once in the niche, these cells are redirected to a mammary epithelial cell fate and can generate functional PR-positive epithelial cells, which, in turn, provide the signals necessary for lobulogenesis (e.g. RANKL) when progesterone is released during pregnancy. We hypothesize that the random occupation of niches by the testicular cells results in the uneven distribution of PR-positive epithelium in primary chimeric outgrowths (Fig. 3). This uneven distribution might account for the lack of complete alveologenesis seen in some
chimeric glands (Fig. 2G,H). The presence of PR-positive cells throughout the chimeric glands suggests that some testicular cells are as efficient as wild-type epithelium at occupying reforming mammary niches. Previous studies showed that reprogrammed non-mammary cells contributed to lobulogenesis and milk protein production during pregnancy (Boulanger et al., 2007; Booth et al., 2008; Bussard et al., 2010). Milk protein production was not analyzed here because previous studies have shown expression of milk proteins in PRKO tissues during pregnancy (Lydon et al., 1999). The present results demonstrate that cellular reprogramming of testicular cells occurs during ductal elongation in the mammary gland and is sufficient to endow the reprogrammed cells with the capacity to support alveolar development.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Mice

Female Nu/Nu/NCR mice were used as hosts for the transplantation studies. PRKO-LacZ mice have been described previously (Ismail et al., 2002; Ismail et al., 2003). All mice were housed in Association for Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care-accredited facilities in accordance with the National Institutes of Health Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. The National Cancer Institute Animal Care and Use Committee approved all experimental procedures.

Mammary epithelial and testicular cell dissociation

Mammary glands were dissociated with 0.1% collagenase overnight at 37°C. The resulting organoids were cultured on plastic culture flasks in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, insulin (1.0 μg/ml), and epidermal growth factor (10 ng/ml). MECs were collected after 4–7 days; fibroblasts were reduced before collection of the epithelial cells by differential trypsinization. Testicular cells were isolated as described previously (Boulanger et al., 2007).

Mammary fat-pad clearing and cellular inoculation

The surgical techniques used to clear the mammary epithelium from the fat-pads of 3-week-old host mice and the subsequent transplantation of cell suspensions have been described in detail previously (Boulanger et al., 2007). In brief, the mice were anesthetized, and the clearing procedure was performed immediately before the insertion of transplanted tissue fragments or cell suspensions. Cell suspensions were implanted in 10 μl volumes of non-supplemented DMEM with a Hamilton syringe equipped with a 30 gauge needle.

X-gal staining of mammary and testicular tissues

Glands and testes were fixed in paraformaldehyde (4.0%) for 2 hours, permeabilized in 0.02% Nonidet P-40, 0.01% sodium deoxycholate and 0.002 M MgCl2 in phosphate-buffered saline overnight at 4°C, and then processed for X-gal as described previously (Wagner et al., 1997).

Mammary whole mounts and immunofluorescence

For immunohistochemical examinations, glands were fixed for 24 hours in 4% paraformaldehyde, embedded in paraffin and sectioned at 6.0 μm. Primary antibodies used were rabbit anti-PR (1:150; Dako, Carpinteria, CA) goat anti-RANKL antibody (1 μg/ml; R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN), and goat anti-Elf5 (N-20) (1:75; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, MN), and goat anti-Elf5 was imaged with an Alexa-Fluor-488-conjugated goat-anti rabbit IgG Ab (cat. no. A11008, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). RANKL was imaged using the Vectastain ABC (rabbit anti-goat) kit (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA) followed by incubation with an Alexa Fluor 568 tyramide substrate (Invitrogen). Elf5 was imaged using horse biotinylated anti-goat antibody (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA) followed by incubation with Streptavidin–Alexa-Fluor-594 conjugate. For co-staining, the rabbit anti-goat secondary antibody used to detect RANKL was added prior to the addition of the goat-anti rabbit antibody used to detect PR to avoid cross-reaction. Antigen retrieval was performed by heating sections in boiling water bath for 20 minutes in pH 6.0 citrate buffer with 0.05% Tween 20 or pH 9.0 Tris-EDTA buffer with 0.05% Tween 20. All sections were counter-stained with DAPI. For whole mounts, glands were post-fixed in Carnoy’s Fixative (60% methanol, 30% chloroform, 10% glacial acetic acid) for 6 hours, stained with carmine alum and dehydrated with 100% ethanol and xylene. Sections from whole mounts were generated as above and counterstained with nuclear fast red.

DNA isolation and PCR detection of Y chromosomes

DNA was isolated from whole mounts using Qiagen DNeasy kit (cat. no. 69506; Valencia, CA). PCR analysis for detection of the Y-chromosome was performed as described by Boulanger and colleagues (Boulanger et al., 2007).
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