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Abstract: Electrochemical hydrogenation (ECH) of acetone is a relatively new method to produce isopropanol. It provides an alternative way of upgrading bio-fuels with less energy consumption and chemical waste as compared to conventional methods. In this paper, Polymer Electrolyte Membrane Fuel Cell (PEMFC) hardware was used as an electrochemical reactor to hydrogenate acetone to produce isopropanol and diisopropyl ether as a byproduct. High current efficiency (59.7%) and selectivity (>90%) were achieved, while ECH was carried out in mild conditions (65°C and atmospheric pressure). Various operating parameters were evaluated to determine their effects on the yield of acetone and the overall efficiency of ECH. The results show that an increase in humidity increased the yield of propanol and the efficiency of ECH. The operating temperature and power supply, however, have less effect. The degradation of membranes due to contamination of PEMFC and the mitigation methods were also investigated.
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1. Introduction

Propanol is an important organic raw material in chemical production, two isomers 1-propanol and isopropanol are widely used in the paint, medicine and pesticide industries [1]. Compared to 1-propanol, isopropanol has more extensive and important applications. Along with ethanol, n-butanol, and methanol, isopropanol belongs to the group of alcohol solvents, about 6.4 million tons of which were utilized worldwide in 2011 [2]. Isopropanol is primarily produced by combining water and propene in a hydration reaction, through either an indirect or direct process. In an indirect process, propene reacts with sulfuric acid and forms a mixture of sulfate esters. Subsequent hydrolysis of those esters by steam produces isopropanol. In a direct hydration process, propene reacts with water or steam at high pressure (200–300 atm) and high temperatures (230–270 °C), in the presence of solid or supported acidic catalysts [3,4]. Isopropanol is produced by a direct combination of propene and water. Both processes require intensive energy input and use of corrosive chemicals.

Thermal hydrogenation of acetone is a relatively new and more advanced method to produce isopropanol, where acetone is hydrogenated either in the liquid or gas phase over a Raney nickel or copper and chromium oxide mixture [5]. Compared to the aforementioned conventional methods, thermal hydrogenation can be carried out at a lower temperature (75 °C) with up to 35% yield rate.
However, an elevated temperature (350–400 °C) is still required to enable fully activated catalysts. In addition, handling corrosive chemicals remains a problem [6].

Electrochemical hydrogenation (ECH) provides a more energy efficient and environment-friendly method of upgrading organics, by integrating both the electrochemical and catalytic methods [7]. The overall reaction mechanism of ECH of an unsaturated organic molecule is suggested as the following Equations (1)–(5) [8–13].

Anode side: $\text{H}_2 \rightarrow 2(\text{H}^+) + 2e^-; \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 1/2\text{O}_2 + 2(\text{H}^+) + 2e^-$

Cathode side:

1. Protons react with electrons and generate $\text{M(H)}_{\text{ads}}$ ($\text{M}$ is an adsorption site):

$$\text{(H}^+\text{) + e}^- + \text{M} \rightarrow \text{M(H)}_{\text{ads}} + \text{H}_2\text{O}$$

(1)

2. An organic molecule $\text{Y} = \text{Z}$ is adsorbed by an adsorption site $\text{M}$:

$$\text{Y} = \text{Z} + \text{M} \rightarrow \text{M(Y} = \text{Z)}_{\text{ads}}$$

(2)

3. $\text{M(H)}_{\text{ads}}$ reacts with the adsorbed organic molecule:

$$\text{M(Y} = \text{Z)}_{\text{ads}} + 2\text{M(H)}_{\text{abs}} \rightarrow \text{M(YH-ZH)}_{\text{abs}} + 2\text{M}$$

(3)

4. A hydrogenated product is generated:

$$\text{M(YH-ZH)}_{\text{abs}} \rightarrow \text{YH-ZH} + \text{M}$$

(4)

5. $\text{H}_2$ gas is also produced:

$$2\text{M(H)}_{\text{ads}} \rightarrow \text{H}_2 (\text{gas}) + 2\text{M} \text{M(H)}_{\text{ads}} + (\text{H}^+) + e^- \rightarrow \text{H}_2 (\text{gas}) + \text{M}$$

(5)

In the process, chemisorbed hydrogen $\text{M(H)}_{\text{ads}}$ is generated in situ on the electrocatalyst surface through either hydrogen pumping or water electrolysis and reacts with the adsorbed organics (Equation (1)). Note that hydrogenation also competes with hydrogen gas evolution (Equation (5)), which results in a decrease of the current efficiency. ECH allows the reactions (Equations (2)–(4)) to happen at lower temperatures and ambient pressure. Compared to conventional hydrogenation methods, ECH mainly uses electrical energy and all the reactions take place in a mild operating condition (i.e., low temperature and atmospheric pressure). Therefore, intense thermal energy input is not required in ECH. ECH also uses either water or $\text{H}_2$ to supply protons, which eliminate the need for any reducing agent. ECH can be conducted onsite using fuel cell stacks and renewable power sources to produce hydrogen enriched compounds. This will avoid or minimize the storage and transportation of corrosive and hazardous chemicals.

ECH has been widely used to upgrade unsaturated compounds to corresponding saturated chemicals, such as furfural [14–20], aromatic compounds [7,13,21–29], soybean oil [30], edible oil [31,32], levulinic acid [33–35], lactic acid [36], acetaldehyde [37], ethanol [37], acetylene [38], bio-oil [39,40], cyclohexane [9], glucose [41], and lignin [42]. In all those cases, reactions take place under mild conditions with temperatures below 100 °C and atmospheric pressure. A maximum current efficiency up to 45%, which is defined as the efficiency of electrogenerated $\text{H}_2$ addition to unsaturated bonds, was reported [30–32,38]. The yield of the electrocatalytic hydrogenation of organic molecules is directly related to the processes described in Equations (1)–(5), which are determined by the capabilities of catalysts. Compared to nickel (Ni), copper (Cu) and lead (Pb), activated carbon fibers supported platinum (Pt) demonstrated the best catalytic activities for upgrading various organics, such as furfural [20] and acetaldehyde [37]. The electrochemical conversion rate is also affected by the nature of electrodes [43], current density [44], temperature [26,38,40,45], solvent compositions [9,12,45], solution pH [9,14,21,40,46], and chemical potential [30,39,40]. The most commonly used ECH reactor
is H-type cell [7,10,14,15,19,20,22,23,27,39,42,44,46]. A typical H-type cell consists of two electrode chambers, between which a cation exchange membrane is sandwiched. Compared to the traditional homogenous electrolyte method of the single chamber cell [14,24,33,34,36,37], the basic anolyte and the acidic/neutral catholyte method were applied in many H-type cells [7,14,40] to promote the proton transmission efficiency.

Hydrogenation of unsaturated compounds using polymer electrolyte membrane fuel cell (PEMFC) reactors was also reported [18,29–32]. PEMFC is a type of low-temperature fuel cell that takes its name from ion conductive polymer membrane used as the electrolyte [47]. A typical PEMFC assembly consists of an ion exchange membrane, two electrodes made of carbon layer loaded with Pt, and two gas diffusion media. The reactor provides gas distribution, current collection, temperature control, and mechanical support of the PEMFC assembly [47]. Alfonso et al. electrocatalytically hydrogenated acetophenone by H$_2$ using a PEMFC reactor. They reported the selectivity of produced 1-phenylethanol around 90% with only methylbenzene and hydrogen as by-products [29]. Green et al. reported that the main products from ECH of furfural were furfural alcohol (54–100% selectivity) and tetrahydrofurfuryl alcohol (0–26% selectivity). A higher production rate was achieved by feeding pure hydrogen gas than that from electrolysis of water [18]. Pintauro et al. and An et al. both studied ECH of soybean oil in a PEMFC reactor at 60–90 °C and atmospheric pressure [30–32]. Pintauro et al. proved that a bimetallic cathode (Pd/Co or Pd/Fe) could increase the yield rate of the ECH process [30]. An et al. proved Pd-black cathode worked significantly better than Pt and the best current efficiency could reach 41% [31,32]. There are many advantages of using a PEMFC reactor for ECH [48]: First, compared to H-Cell and one chamber cell reactor, the PEMFC reactor has a smaller internal resistance, due to its highly conductive and thin membrane electrolyte assembly (MEA), resulting in significantly less electric energy loss. In addition, the energy consumption can be further reduced if protons are supplied from hydrogen oxidation, rather than electrolysis. Second, since PEMFCs can be easily scaled up by simply stacking them, the space-time yield of ECH using PEMFC reactors is superior to the other methods. PEMFC can be easily applied in space limited area such as transportation, stationary, and portable/micro power generation sectors [49].

In this paper, ECH of acetone to produce isopropanol was demonstrated using a PEMFC reactor at ambient pressure. Various factors that impact the yield of propanol were investigated, including current density, temperature, relative humidity (RH), and membrane degradation. The main objective of this work was to evaluate the appropriate pathways of ECH of bio-oil components using a PEMFC reactor.

2. Materials and Method

2.1. Material and Experimental Setup

The experiments were performed using a standard PEMFC hardware (Scribner Associates Inc., USA) with an active area of 25 cm$^2$. Such standard PEMFC hardware has been widely used for PEMFC evaluation tests [29,50]. Commercially available MEAs were purchased from Ion Power Inc., New Castle, DE, USA. The MEAs consist of Nafion® 117 membranes sandwiched with porous carbon-based electrodes, each of which has a Pt loading of 0.3 mg/cm$^2$. Micro-porous carbon papers (SIGRACET® 10BC) were trimmed and used as gas diffusion layers (GDLs) for both electrodes. Teflon gaskets were used to seal around the assembly. A pair of graphite bipolar plates with flow patterns were used to distribute flows and enclose the assembly. All temperatures were acquired via K-type thermocouples (OMEGA, USA). A fuel cell test station (850e, Scribner Associates Inc., Southern Pines, NC, USA) was used to control temperatures, flow rates, and humidity. It was also used for data acquisition.

Ultra-high purity (99.999%) N$_2$ (Airgas, Radnor, PA, USA), and filtered shop air were connected to the fuel cell test station and supplied to the PEMFC through the purge line. They were used for purging system and making current and voltage curve, respectively, and were cut off while ECH experiment was running. Ultra-high purity (99.999%) H$_2$ (Airgas, USA) and Deionized (DI) water...
tank were purged through the anode side of the reactor, using the fuel cell test station. H$_2$ was the electrons donator and deionized (DI) water tank was used for adding humidity to H$_2$. Acetone (Fisher Scientific, Hampton, NH, USA, Certified ACS Reagent Grade) was injected into the cathode side of the reactor by a syringe pump (MTI Corporation, Richmond, CA, USA, EQ-300sp-LD). A direct current (DC) power supply (Tektronix, Beaverton, OR, USA, PWS 4205) was used to supply DC power for ECH. The positive probe was connected to the anode, while the negative probe was connected to the cathode. While ECH was running, electrons were deprived of H$_2$ and transferred to the cathode through the DC power supply. Acetone was the electron acceptor and reacted with the produced protons. The products from the cathode outlet were collected by airbags (Tedlar®), which were held by water bath. Room temperature water bath was used for condensing the unreacted acetone and products. The cell temperature was controlled by the fuel cell test station. The exhaust gases and byproducts from the anode were condensed in a knock-out bottle prior to venting out. The schematic diagram of the whole experimental setup is shown in Figure 1.

![Figure 1. Schematic diagram of the experimental setup.](image)

### 2.2. Electrochemical Hydrogenation and Characterization

All the PEMFCs were assembled in accordance with the standard assembly procedure of fuel cell hardware [51]. Prior to each ECH experiment, a new membrane was conditioned based on the standard protocol [51] for at least 24 h, until it reached a fully functional state. Current–voltage (I-V) sweeps were performed to establish the baseline data for the following ECH experiments.

In each ECH experiment, the acetone and H$_2$ flow rates were controlled at 6 mL/h and 0.25 slpm, respectively. The voltage was consistent for each reaction; the current was recorded every 5 min. Four different factors, namely cell temperature, RH, supplied voltage, and membrane degradation, were investigated to identify the optimized operating conditions. The operating conditions were in the range of 55–80 °C, 35–90% and 0.01–0.02 V, respectively. I-V scans were conducted before and after ECH to characterize the membrane degradation.

The flow chart of the ECH experimental operation is shown in Figure 2. Initially, three collection methods, including the dead end, partially confined and an open end were evaluated to identify the best means for accurate collection of products. In the partially confined method, products were collected by airbags with pressure relief valves, which prevents pressure buildup while trapping the products. In the other two collection methods, although the products were condensed by room temperature water bath, dead end still resulted in too much back pressure accumulation, whereas open end failed to collect enough products for analysis. Therefore, partially confined airbags were used for...
all the experiments. The pressure differential of two electrodes can be controlled by airbags confined extent. Green et al. proved that a suitable pressure differential between the anode and cathode could decrease cross-over and increase conversion [52]. Dadda et al. believed that water transport in the membrane of a PEMFC is influenced by a convective force, resulting from a pressure gradient [53]. Many researchers also point out the importance of the flow pressure [54].

![Flowchart of the experiment.](image)

The collected samples were analyzed by gas chromatography–mass spectroscopy (Shimadzu, GC-MS-QP2010 SE), using a Shimadzu (SH-Rxi-5Sii) MS column (length: 30 m, inner diameter: 0.25 mm). Volume quantitative analysis was conducted by another gas chromatograph (SRI Instrument, 8610C), using a Restek (MXT-WAX) column (length: 30 m, inner diameter: 0.53 mm). Helium was used as a carrier gas for both gas chromatographs.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Product Characterization

Both the liquid and gaseous products were analyzed by GC-MS. Unreacted acetone (C₃H₆O), isopropanol (C₃H₈O), and diisopropyl ether (C₆H₁₄O) were detected in the liquid products. Unreacted acetone, diisopropyl ether, and isopropanol were found in the gaseous and liquid products.

The reactions on both electrodes are catalyzed by Pt. While applying a DC voltage, protons formed at the anode are electrochemically pumped to the cathode. The protons then react with acetone to produce isopropanol and diisopropyl ether. The most feasible reaction pathways at the cathode are shown below:

\[
2H^+ + 2e^- \xrightleftharpoons{Pt} 2H_{ads}
\]

(6)

\[
\text{Acetone} + 2H_{ads} \xrightarrow{Pt} \text{Isopropanol}
\]

(7)

\[
2\text{Isopropanol} \xrightarrow{Pt} \text{Diisopropylether} + \text{H}_2\text{O}
\]

(8)
Electrosorbed hydrogen is formed on Pt surface by reduction of H\(^+\) (Equation (6), where \(H_{ads}\) is the electrosorbed hydrogen). Hydrogenation of the C=O bond then proceeds as in catalytic hydrogenation through the reaction of the acetone with the electrosorbed hydrogen (Equation (7)). As a result, isopropanol, which is the main product, is generated. Two isopropanol molecules may also combine and free one water, generating diisopropyl ether as a byproduct is formed (Equation (8)). Note that hydrogen gas can also be regenerated, which is an unfavorable electrochemical reaction during ECH. Hydrogen regeneration reduces the efficiency by electrochemically pumping useless hydrogen through the MEA, resulting in a reduction of the yield rate of products.

Each MEA had undergone at least three ECH experiments before replacement. To minimize the impacts of MEA degradation on ECH experiments, the results of the I-V scans, which were carried out prior to the experiments, were compared to the baseline performance of each MEA. If the I-V curve demonstrated an obvious deflection from the baseline performance, then the MEA needed to be replaced. The components detected in the products were isopropanol, diisopropyl ether, acetone, and water, with their volumetric percentages ranging 12–16%, 1–2%, 69–75%, and 11–14%, respectively.

Three different control parameters, including RH, operating temperature, and input voltage, were assessed to identify the optimized operating conditions for ECH of acetone. All operating parameters used in the experiments are shown in the Table S1 in the Supplementary Materials.

RH is a very important parameter that affects the performance of PEMFCs [54,56–60]. Figure 3a shows a typical impact of RH on the product yield during ECH of acetone. It was obviously evidenced that higher humidity promoted higher yield of isopropanol. The composition of isopropanol in the products increased from 4.9% to 16.1%, while RH climbed from 35% to 90%. The reason humidity had such a significant impact is that the MEA usually uses a perfluorosulfonic acid membrane (e.g., Nafion\(^{\circledR}\)) as the electrolyte. A high or nearly saturated humidity (RH > 80%) is usually required to obtain practical performance because the conductivity of perfluorosulfonic acid membranes depends on the water content. Higher humidity means higher conductivity, consequently resulting in better performance [61–64]. Water management is critical for PEMFC operation. Sufficient water must be absorbed into the membrane to ionize the acid groups, whereas excess water can cause flooding issues and thus diminishing the performance [52]. The inlet RH of the electrodes must be controlled to prevent both membranes from drying out and electrode flooding. Although better performance is usually obtained by increasing RH, excess moisture may result in water flooding that hinders gas transport [65]. In the present experiments, RH was maintained between 35% and 90% to prevent either water starvation or over saturation. In fact, many researchers have investigated the mechanism of humidity influence. It is generally believed that RH can impact electro-osmotic drag, water diffusion, membrane ionic conductivity, and water back diffusion flux in the MEAs, which consequently influence the performance [66,67]. Elevated RH can greatly improve the PEMFC performance, through increasing the membrane conductivity [68,69], the catalyst activities [68,70], the electrode kinetics [71,72], and the mass transfer rates [73,74].
was pursued. The selectivity is calculated based on the following equation [14,20], where acetone unreacted was found to have a slightly positive impact on the product yield. As shown in Figure 3b, the isopropanol Therefore, increasing the operating temperature in the range does not necessarily result in an increase in the product yield. The results agreed with the findings from the literature. Singh et al. [25] investigated ECH of phenol and 1% diisopropyl ether was detected. The input voltage was increased to 0.02 V, the volumetric percentage of isopropanol produced was up to 16%, and 1% diisopropyl ether was not detected in either case. However, when the input voltage was increased from 10 mV to 15 mV, the yield of isopropanol was 15.9% and 17.0%, respectively. Diisopropyl ether was not detected in either case. However, when the input voltage has no obvious impact on the product yield. In the experiments, the voltage ranged from 10 mV to 20 mV, with 5 mV increments. At 10 mV and 15 mV, the yield of isopropanol was 15.9% and 17.0%, respectively. Diisopropyl ether was not detected in either case. However, when the input voltage was increased to 0.02 V, the volumetric percentage of isopropanol produced was up to 16%, and about 1% diisopropyl ether was detected.

3.2. System Analysis

Selectivity, H2 utilization, and current efficiency were selected to evaluate the hydrogenation efficiency. Selectivity represents the yield of desirable products. As the major product, higher isopropanol selectivity was pursued. The selectivity is calculated based on the following equation [14,20], where acetone unreacted is excluded:

$$Selectivity = \frac{\text{Moles of Desired Product}}{\text{Total Moles of Products}} \times 100\%$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

In the present research, H2 was supplied to the anode to produce protons for ECH reactions on the cathode. Due to gas diffusion resistance, gas crossover, and hydrogen regeneration on the cathode, some H2 was wasted. The H2 utilization is directly related to the overall ECH efficiency. Higher H2 utilization percentage is desired since more hydrogen will be involved in the ECH process.
The actual amount of H$_2$ used to produce isopropanol can be derived from the amount of product. The H$_2$ utilization is calculated by the following equation:

$$H_2 \text{ Utilization} = \frac{\text{Atomic Hydrogen Used for Faraday Current}}{\text{Total Atomic Hydrogen Supplied}} \times 100\%$$ (10)

During the ECH process, acetone reacts with M(H)$_{ads}$ to produce isopropanol and byproducts on the cathode. Concurrently, H$_2$ regeneration happens and is an unfavorable process simply because it wastes energy. The H$_2$ regeneration reaction is affected by supplied voltage, temperature, humidity, and catalyst. Hereby, current efficiency (shown below) is used as an important parameter to determine how efficient H$_2$ is used for the ECH process [14,20].

$$\text{Current Efficiency} = \frac{\text{Current used for the ECH process}}{\text{Total Faraday current}} \times 100\%$$ (11)

The total efficiency is defined by the H$_2$ utilization multiplying the current efficiency, as shown in the following equation:

$$\text{Total Efficiency} = H_2 \text{ Utilization} \times \text{Current Efficiency}$$ (12)

Figure 4a shows the impact of temperature on H$^+$ utilization, current efficiency, and total efficiency in a typical set of experiments. As the operating temperature increased from 50 °C to 80 °C, H$^+$ utilization increased from 1.5% to 6.0%, whereas current efficiency decreased from 28% to 18.5%. Temperature affects H$^+$ utilization and current efficiency differently. Higher ionic mobility and catalytic activities are achieved with higher operating temperatures, resulting in higher H$_2$ utilization. Consequently, the electrochemical conversion and reaction rates increase with elevated temperatures [52]. However, Figure 4a indicates that, although elevated operating temperature enabled more hydrogen being involved in reactions, the yield of products did not increase or even decreased. That resulted in a loss of current efficiency, which means most extra protons produced were somehow wasted. The conclusion can also be evidenced by the curve of the total efficiency, which remained almost flat. Note that the total efficiency was low because abundant H$_2$ was supplied to the system to minimize the impact of fuel starvation and gas diffusion resistance. Practically, stoichiometric flow can be fed to the system based upon the actual current. In their experiments of ECH of acetone, Sara et al. observed that the current efficiency increased while the cell temperature increased from 25 °C to 50 °C, which seems to contradict our results [52]. However, in the present research, PEMFCs were operated in a recommended range between 50 °C and 80 °C to achieve the best performance. The reduction of current efficiency is believed mainly due to propanol decomposition, as mentioned in Section 3.1. Another minor reason was acetone vaporization, since the boiling point of acetone is 56 °C. Acetone gasification might have negative impact on the hydrogenation reactions on the cathode, due to increased pressure and thus higher diffusion resistance.
Typically, >80% RH is recommended [61–64], which explains why a spike in the current efficiency was observed when the RH surpassed 80%.

The operating temperature was set at 65 °C, and the RH was controlled by setting the humidifier’s temperature. It is seen that higher RH resulted in better efficiencies. As the RH ranged from 35% to 90%, the H₂ utilization increased from 0.9% to 2.8%, and the total efficiency increased from 0.4% to 1.5%. A sudden spike of the current efficiency was observed when ramping the RH from 80% to 91%. It can be concluded that higher RH is favorable for ECH of acetone and will result in a higher yield of products. It has been proved that higher ionic conductivity can be achieved when MEAs are more hydrated [68–70,76–79]. Practically, high RH is required to maintain the best fuel cell performance. The higher water content in the Nafion membrane will ease proton transport, i.e., reduce ionic resistance. As a result, more protons can be created and transported to the cathode for ECH. Typically, >80% RH is recommended [61–64], which explains why a spike in the current efficiency was observed when the RH surpassed 80%.

The present research shows that the performance of ECH of acetone is correlated with RH, input voltage, and temperature, in which RH has the most obvious effect. It is suggested that the optimized operating conditions are RH of 80% or more, the input voltage of 0.02 V or less, and temperature of between 50 °C and 55 °C. The obtained maximum H₂ utilization and maximum current efficiency achieved in the present experiments were 5.9% and 59.7%, respectively. To further increase those efficiencies, stoichiometric flow control is strongly recommended.

3.3. MEA Degradation

Long-term durability is one important factor that affects the practical applications of ECH using PEMFC reactors. Nowadays, commercial MEAs are fairly durable for their common roles as the power sources. The ECH process, however, involves organics that may contaminate MEAs and thus shorten their lifetime. To our best knowledge, very limited research has been conducted to evaluate the impacts of contaminants on the durability of ECH. In the past decade, extensive research has been carried out on mitigating contamination of PEMFCs from impurities, including CO, CO₂, H₂S, NOₓ, SOₓ, and hydrocarbons [80,81]. Impurities may contaminate one or more components of the MEA, resulting in performance degradation. Three major contamination effects were identified as the poisoning of the electrode catalysts, a decrease of the ionic conductivity, and an increase of the mass transfer resistance.

Additionally, the crossover is another factor that negatively impacts the PEMFC performance. Crossover of organic compounds during hydrogenation using PEMFCs has been reported [82,83]. One immediate drawback is the loss of fuel and/or products, which decreases the efficiency. Furthermore, contaminants not only poison just one electrode but also may crossover and further poison the catalyst on the other electrode [12].

Figure 4. H₂ Utilization, Current Efficiency, and Total efficiency calculated at different: (a) temperatures; and (b) RH. H₂ and acetone flow rates were 0.25 L/min and 6 mL/h, respectively. (a) The ECH experiments were conducted five times at 80% RH; (b) The ECH experiments were conducted five times at 65 °C. Each ECH experiment was repeated twice.
To investigate the impacts of MEA degradation on the present hydrogenation tests, polarization scans (V-I sweeps) were performed after each test [51]. The black curves in Figure 5 are the baseline data recorded for the fresh MEAs prior to ECH tests. After each test, pure N$_2$ was purged for at least 10 h to remove all the temporary contaminants. The effects of RH and temperature on the MEA degradation were also evaluated.

![Figure 5](image_url)

**Figure 5.** V-I scans performed during three sets of ECH experiments: (a) The ECH experiments were conducted five times at 65 °C and 80% RH; (b) the ECH experiments were conducted four times at 65 °C but different RH (65%, 65%, 50% and 50%, respectively); (c) the ECH experiments were conducted five times at 80% RH but different temperatures (80 °C, 73 °C, 57 °C, and 50 °C, respectively). The black curves are the baseline data recorded for the fresh MEAs prior to ECH tests. After each test, pure N$_2$ was purged for at least 10 h to remove all the temporary contaminants.

Figure 5a shows the results of five sets of V-I measurements performed on a PEMFC, which underwent five 10-h ECH experiments. Both the ECH experiments and V-I measurements shown in Figure 5a were conducted at 65 °C with 80% RH. It clearly shows that the MEA performance degraded as more ECH tests were conducted, especially after the third ECH experiment. The open circuit voltage (OCV) dropped a lot starting from the fourth V-I scan, which indicates that crossover became significant. It implied that pinholes might form due to degradation.

Figure 5b shows the effect of RH on the MEA degradation during ECH. For the ECH experiments conducted in Figure 5b, RH was reduced to 65% for the first and second tests and was further reduced to 50% for the third and fourth tests. To compare with the same baseline data (the black curve in Figure 5b), all V-I scans were performed using the same operating conditions that have been used for the baseline scan. The results illustrate that reducing RH was able to mitigate the degradation to some extent. It is believed that less RH resulted in less mass transport via the MEA, which eventually extended the lifetime of the catalysts. However, permanent damage to the MEA still existed, as seen
from the general trend of V-I scans. Similar to the observations in Figure 5a, purging with pure N₂ could not remove permanent contaminants.

Finally, the effect of operating temperature on the MEA degradation was investigated, as shown in Figure 5c. For the ECH experiments conducted in Figure 5c, the operating temperatures were 80 °C, 73 °C, 57 °C, and 50 °C, while maintaining the same RH. Again, all V-I scans were performed using the same operating conditions as those used for the baseline scan (black curve in Figure 5c). The results show that temperature variation has no observable impact on MEA degradation. In other words, changing the operating temperature did not mitigate degradation.

Figure 6 shows that the trends of current efficiency, H₂ utilization, and total efficiency using the same MEA for several ECH experiments. Figure 6 shows that, as the MEA degraded, current efficiency, H₂ utilization, and total efficiency all decreased. Until a method of contamination mitigation is found, it is acceptable to use one MEA three times.

![Figure 6](image_url)

*Figure 6. H₂ Utilization, Current Efficiency and Total efficiency as a function of ECH experiment times.*

The ECH experiments were conducted four times at 65 °C and 80% RH. H₂ and acetone flow rates were 0.25 L/min and 6 mL/h, respectively. After each test, pure N₂ was purged for at least 10 h to remove all the temporary contaminants. Each ECH experiment was repeated twice.

Usually, the lifespan of a PEMFC under steady-state operation can be very long, up to thousands of hours [84–89]. However, catalyst contamination is the major factor that diminishes the PEMFC performance and very likely results in significant degradation [90–92]. In fact, many organic compounds can contaminate the MEA. Those compounds include acetaldehyde, toluene, propane, vinyl acetate, methyl methacrylate, acetonitrile, dichloromethane, acetylene, chlorobenzene, formic acid, methanol, ethanol, phenol, butane, acetone, and naphthalene [93–95], and the list is expanding. The main reason that so many contaminants were found is that the catalysts used in common MEAs are Pt-based. Pt is a premium catalyst, but also sensitive to so many contaminants. The MEAs used in the present research contain pure Pt as the catalyst. Although developing non-Pt catalyst is beyond the scope of the present research, to further conduct durable ECH experiments, MEAs with contamination tolerant catalysts need to be used.

Reactant and product crossing over is another possible reason that caused the MEA degradation. Liquids that contained mainly acetone were detected at the anode side during the ECH experiments. Those liquids not only decrease the fuel utilization but also further contaminate the anode catalyst. Feasible solutions to this issue include adopting thicker MEAs, feeding gaseous feedstock instead of liquid, and using non-Pt catalyst [96].

In summary, to minimize the MEA contamination using the current setup, keeping low RH is suggested. To solve the problem essentially, novel non-Pt catalysts need to be developed, such as Pd-
and Ni-based catalysts [97,98]. Even though a wide range of metals can be used as electrocatalysts at the cathode, those with the strong hydrogen absorption capability are desired.

4. Conclusions

Electrochemical hydrogenation of acetone using a PEMFC reactor was successfully demonstrated in the present research. The results proved that ECH can be a feasible way of hydrogenating acetone to produce isopropanol in mild conditions. In the experiments, the main product obtained was isopropanol with a selectivity of approximately 90%. A small amount (about 1%) diisopropyl ether was also obtained as a byproduct. The mild operation conditions, including low temperature and ambient pressure, are the greatest advantages of the proposed ECH method. The present research suggests that the optimized conditions for ECH of acetone using a PEMFC reactor include an operating temperature around 65 °C and relatively high RH.

Contamination impact using the PEMFC reactor during ECH was also investigated. It was concluded that organic compounds can contaminate the MEAs, resulting in serious degradation. However, methods to mitigate contamination are limited. The present research only demonstrated that lower RH could help reduce contamination. Eventually, novel non-Pt catalysts need to be developed for durable ECH process.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at http://www.mdpi.com/1996-1073/11/10/2691/s1, Table S1: Operating Parameters of the Experiments.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, C.L. and S.K.; Methodology, X.Z.; Software, C.L. and A.M.S.; Validation, C.L., X.Z. and S.K.; Formal Analysis, C.L.; Investigation, C.L. and A.M.S.; Resources, X.Z. and S.K.; Data Curation, C.L.; Writing—Original Draft Preparation, C.L.; Writing—Review and Editing, X.Z. and S.K.; Visualization, C.L.; Supervision, S.K.; Project Administration, X.Z.; and Funding Acquisition, X.Z.

Funding: This research was supported by the United States National Science Foundation (#1560194) and the Old Dominion University.

Acknowledgments: The authors would like to acknowledge the technical assistance from Can Zhou, Qiang Tang, and Yazdanshenas Elias who helped with the experiment set up. The authors are also grateful to Ali Teymouri, Caleb Talbot, Adams Kameron, Alexander Asiedu, and Samaratunga Ashani for technical discussion and sample analysis.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References


34. Qiu, Y.; Xin, L.; Chadderdon, D.J.; Qi, J.; Liang, C.; Li, W. Integrated electrocatalytic processing of levulinic acid and formic acid to produce biofuel intermediate valeric acid. *Green Chem.* 2014, 16, 1305–1315. [CrossRef]
40. Li, Z.; Garedew, M.; Lam, C.H.; Jackson, J.E.; Miller, D.J.; Saffron, C.M. Mild electrocatalytic hydrogenation and hydrodeoxygenation of bio-oil derived phenolic compounds using ruthenium supported on activated carbon cloth. *Green Chem.* 2012, 14, 2540–2549. [CrossRef]
47. Garraín, D.; Lechón, Y.; de la Rúa, C. Polymer electrolyte membrane fuel cells (PEMFC) in automotive applications: Environmental relevance of the manufacturing stage. *Smart Grid Renew. Energy* 2011, 2, 68. [CrossRef]


83. Lundin, M.D.; McCready, M.J. High pressure anode operation of direct methanol fuel cells for carbon dioxide management. J. Power Sources 2011, 196, 5583–5590. [CrossRef]


