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Abstract
Objective: Stroke is a prevalent condition found in elderly, rural populations. However, stroke education, which can be effective in addressing the risks, is often difficult to provide in these remote regions. The objective of this study is to evaluate the effectiveness of delivering stroke education to elderly individuals through telehealth versus in-person stroke prevention education methods. Materials and Methods: A quasi-experimental nonequivalent control group design was used in this study. A convenience sample of 11 elderly adults (36% men, 64% women) with a mean age of 70 was selected from an Appalachian Program for All Inclusive Care for the Elderly (PACE) facility. Subjects completed preintervention surveys, received a 20-min group in-person or telehealth delivered education session, and then completed the postintervention surveys. Results: Satisfaction with delivery method and post-education knowledge was equivalent between the two groups. Knowledge increased in both groups after the educational programs. Conclusion: There were considerable improvements in knowledge of stroke. The purpose of this project was to evaluate the effectiveness of telehealth education in comparison to the traditional in-person stroke prevention education. The purpose of this project was to evaluate the effectiveness of telehealth education in comparison to the traditional in-person stroke prevention education. Specific emphasis was on comparing the two methods in regards to satisfaction, knowledge, and likelihood of making behavioral changes to reduce vascular risk factors.

RATIONALE FOR STUDY

The most important benefit of telemedicine is the improved access to care for patients who live in medically underserved areas where there are considerable barriers to care for disabilities such as in stroke. Telehealth education has been shown to be satisfying and effective in studies with patients suffering from cardiovascular disease and diabetes. Winters and Winters, using a series of pilot studies, suggested that there was a high level of satisfaction with patient education activities via telehealth in cardiovascular disease. Telehealth education in the elderly and in rural communities was viewed positively by subjects with improvements in diabetes knowledge. A systematic review of telehealth and diabetes care revealed it to be beneficial and useful, showing success with group education.

This stroke telehealth education project has a potentially wide scope of application in providing access to care for elderly, high-risk rural populations. It embraces healthcare goals of decreasing incidence of stroke by providing equitable access to care for vulnerable individuals and has importance to clinical practice by providing evidence on the usefulness of telehealth in stroke prevention education. Stroke prevention education is needed in high-risk, rural, and underserved areas due to the great burden of stroke in these populations. The National Clinical Guidelines for Stroke recommend that stroke prevention education be provided to the very elderly to reduce the risk of stroke. Education to affect behavior change is paramount in the effort to reduce the incidence of stroke.
Materials and Methods
This study was conducted in the Appalachian region of Virginia, at a Program for All Inclusive Care for the Elderly (PACE) center. The telehealth education session was transmitted to PACE via high-speed videoconferencing from a central Virginia medical center. The project was approved for human subject’s protection by the Institutional Review Board of the two state universities. Data collected included subjects’ age, race, gender, education, stroke risk factors, knowledge of stroke, satisfaction with delivery method, and likelihood of taking actions to decrease risk of stroke.

This study utilized a quasi-experimental pretest–posttest control group design. Both groups completed the consent and pretest questionnaire packet. They then participated in a stroke education program. The comparison group received the stroke education in person, whereas the study group received the education through a telehealth platform. Following the session, both groups completed the posttest questionnaire packet.

Participants included were members of PACE who were 55–90 years of age and able to give informed consent, participate in a stroke education session, and complete the questionnaires. Subjects were chosen by convenience sampling according to the day they attended the clinic. A sample of 23 subjects was invited to participate (12 in-person/11 telehealth). Nineteen subjects agreed to the study (10 in-person/9 telehealth) and four refused. Five subjects in the in-person group were ill on the day of the educational session, two were ill on the day of the telehealth education session, and one dropped out due to a prior appointment. This resulted in five subjects in the in-person group and six subjects in the telehealth group.

INTERVENTIONS
The researcher presented a 20-min stroke prevention education session based on National Institute of Neurologic Disorders and Stroke-National Institute of Health (NINDS-NIH) stroke information. The education session began with an 8-min video and was followed by a discussion of stroke risk factors and prevention using PowerPoint slides. The content included stroke definitions, symptoms, risk factors, risk reduction, and actions to take if someone has a stroke or transient ischemic attack. The session was interactive with participants asking and answering questions.

The researcher presented the stroke education to the in-person comparison group during their day at the PACE center. The participants were assembled in the conference room at the center. Immediately after the session, the PACE nurse practitioner distributed the posttest questionnaires to each participant in the in-person group. Once completed, the PACE nurse practitioner collected posttests and questionnaires and placed them in a sealed envelope that was stored securely in a locked box by the researcher until the end of the study.

The following day, the researcher presented the telehealth education from over 300 miles away at the University of Virginia. The telehealth group gathered in the conference room for the researcher’s same 20-min stroke prevention education session via a link from the Central Virginia Medical Center to the telehealth group. The telehealth stroke prevention education was transmitted via high-speed videoconferencing technology using computer screens, cameras, and software. The education session was identical in content and presentation to the program provided to the comparison group in person. Immediately after the program, the PACE nurse practitioner distributed the posttest questionnaire packet to each participant in the telehealth group and they were completed in identical fashion. Since the researcher was not on-site at the PACE center, this envelope was placed in a preaddressed Federal Express envelope and sent directly to the researcher where it was stored securely in a locked box with the remainder of the data.

DATA COLLECTION TOOLS
Stroke risk factors and demographic data were assessed using a researcher-designed questionnaire based on stroke risk factors identified by the American Stroke Association (ASA).27 Fifteen stroke risk factors were listed for the participants to respond to with yes or no answers in order to identify their risk factors. Likelihood of taking actions to decrease the risk of stroke was assessed by a researcher-designed questionnaire based on ASA risk factors. Seven actions that were deemed as important by the ASA were listed on a five-point Likert scale with “1” being “not very likely” to “5” being “very likely” to take action. Pre- and posttest knowledge related to stroke symptoms and risk factors was assessed by an NINDS-NIH–designed quiz adapted for this study. This included 18 true or false items. The total number of correct answers was computed to indicate the participant’s knowledge. Satisfaction with delivery method was assessed by a researcher-designed questionnaire. This questionnaire consisted of items related to the participant’s ability to see, hear, and communicate with the presenter. It also addressed comfort with the format and overall satisfaction. Satisfaction was measured on a five-point Likert scale with “1” being “strongly disagree” to “5” being “strongly agree.” All of the tools were assessed for face and content validity by an expert in tool development and experts in the field of telehealth and stroke.

DATA ANALYSIS
Sociodemographic and stroke risk factors were analyzed using frequency, percentages, sums, and means. Differences between the two groups were assessed using the Mann–Whitney U test due to the number of subjects and level of data. Within-group assessment was performed with the Wilcoxon t-test. Statistical analysis was performed with PASW Statistics GradPack 18.

Results
Demographic data are presented on the subjects who participated in the study for the groups as a whole and then for each group separately (Table 1). Both groups of participants were similar related to age, education, and race. Subjects were rural, elderly aged 64–76 who were mostly of Caucasian race with 8–9 years of education. Comparable rates of prior experience with telehealth between the telehealth and in-person group were present. Differences in gender were observed between groups as the telehealth group contained
one-third men and the in-person group contained all women. The risk factors that were found to be the most prevalent (over 50% of the participants) included TIA, hypertension, heart disease, myocardial infarction, diabetes, and hyperlipidemia.

In order to assess whether there were significant differences between the telehealth and the in-person group in satisfaction with delivery methods, knowledge, and likelihood of making behavioral changes to decrease vascular risk factors, the Mann–Whitney U test was used (Table 2). There were significant improvements ($p\leq0.05$) in the pre- and post-knowledge score in both groups. The in-person group improved in knowledge by 3.8 points or 21.1%. The telehealth group increased by 5.5 points or 30.5% in knowledge. This significant improvement in knowledge for both groups suggests that the telehealth and the in-person education were effective at increasing knowledge of stroke.

Both groups increased in their stated likelihood of taking action to decrease their vascular risks. There were significant differences ($p\leq0.5$) in the pre- to postlikelihood score of the telehealth group. The pre- to postlikelihood scores within the in-person group were not found to be significant ($p\geq0.05$). However, the likelihood of taking action did improve by 7 points or a 20% increase in score. These results suggest that the in-person and the telehealth programs were effective in improving knowledge and in increasing likelihood of taking behavioral actions to decrease vascular risk factors, even though the increase was not significant for the in-person group.
Discussion

This study was performed to determine whether there was a significant difference in the satisfaction, knowledge, and likelihood of taking preventive action against stroke with adults receiving in-person versus telehealth delivered stroke prevention education. It was determined that there was no significant difference between the two groups in the areas of satisfaction and knowledge after the education. This suggests that in-person and telehealth stroke education programs are equally satisfying to the participants and can result in similar knowledge acquisition. The data also suggested that the telehealth delivered education was effective in increasing likelihood of making behavioral changes to decrease vascular risk factors. This suggests that the telehealth program may have been more effective in increasing the participant’s motivation in modifying behaviors to decrease vascular risk factors. Both groups did increase in their likelihood of making changes thus suggesting that the level of significance for the in-person group in likelihood of changing their behaviors may have been the result of a small sample size.

The findings of this study show promise in providing educational avenues for clinicians and educators caring those at risk for stroke. The results of this study suggest that telehealth stroke education is both feasible and effective for the rural elderly. Those in remote rural areas often do not have ready access to the healthcare expertise found in many urban areas. Through telehealth, many of these services can be made available to these remote populations. This in turn has the potential to improve access and health outcomes. Through telehealth educational programs, rural patients could be better informed and thus be able to incorporate prevention behaviors into their lives. The benefits to the subjects in this study included access to stroke education, high satisfaction, and cost savings since there was no burden of travel and transport. For the provider, benefits include increased efficiency for clinician educators, and retaining resources locally since subjects remained in their own community for the educational session. This study suggests further applicability of telehealth in stroke care, as integrating stroke prevention education is an important aspect in the realm of stroke management.

LIMITATIONS

Although 19 subjects consented to be in the study, only 11 were present for the stroke education sessions. The small number of participants resulted in the study being underpowered and unable to show greater applicability. Future programs should be given on several different days in order to optimize the participation of those who may not be able to attend due to health issues or competing responsibilities. Another limitation is that the short time frame of the study did not allow for follow-up of the subjects after the initial education session. Length of retention of knowledge and actual changes in behaviors to decrease risk as influenced by the stroke education remain unknown. It would be more informative to assess the participants several months after the program to determine whether they had actually changed their behaviors and retained the knowledge they had gained.

Conclusions

Interventions aimed at educating individuals about stroke risk are needed to decrease the incidence of stroke. This study suggested that stroke education via telehealth is readily accepted by elderly rural adults and effective at improving knowledge of stroke and stroke risk factors as well as increasing the likelihood to making changes to decrease vascular risk factors. This study offers the possibility of an expanded role of telehealth in educating those at risk for stroke who live in remote areas. Telehealth coupled with stroke prevention education is an effective combination of clinical practice and technology. Telehealth stroke prevention education is an important adjunct in the effort to provide excellence in stroke care and decrease incidence of stroke in all populations regardless of geographic location.
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