

2008

Lipschitz Continuity of the Best Approximation Operator in Vector-Valued Chebyshev Approximation

Martin Bartelt

John Swetits
Old Dominion University

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.odu.edu/mathstat_fac_pubs

 Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

Repository Citation

Bartelt, Martin and Swetits, John, "Lipschitz Continuity of the Best Approximation Operator in Vector-Valued Chebyshev Approximation" (2008). *Mathematics & Statistics Faculty Publications*. 55.
https://digitalcommons.odu.edu/mathstat_fac_pubs/55

Original Publication Citation

Bartelt, M., & Swetits, J. (2008). Lipschitz continuity of the best approximation operator in vector-valued Chebyshev approximation. *Journal of Approximation Theory*, 152(2), 161-166. doi:10.1016/j.jat.2007.12.002

Lipschitz continuity of the best approximation operator in vector-valued Chebyshev approximation

Martin Bartelt^a, John Swetits^{b,*}

^a*Department of Mathematics, Christopher Newport University, Newport News, VA 23606, USA*

^b*Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Old Dominion University, Norfolk, VA 23529, USA*

Received 1 August 2007; accepted 30 December 2007

Communicated by Günther Nürnberger

Available online 5 January 2008

Abstract

When G is a finite dimensional Haar subspace of $C(X, R^k)$, the vector-valued continuous functions (including complex-valued functions when k is 2) from a finite set X to Euclidean k -dimensional space, it is well-known that at any function f in $C(X, R^k)$ the best approximation operator satisfies the strong unicity condition of order 2 and a Lipschitz (Hölder) condition of order $\frac{1}{2}$. This note shows that in fact the best approximation operator satisfies the usual Lipschitz condition of order 1.

© 2008 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Best approximation; Haar subspace; Chebyshev approximation

1. Introduction

Let X be a compact Hausdorff space and $C(X, R^k)$ be the space of vector-valued continuous functions from X to k -dimensional Euclidean space R^k . A natural norm for functions in $C(X, R^k)$ is defined as follows:

$$\|f\| := \|f\|_X := \max_{x \in X} \|f(x)\|_2, \quad (1)$$

where $\|\cdot\|_2$ denotes the Euclidean norm on R^k .

Let G be an n -dimensional subspace in $C(X, R^k)$ with $\dim G \geq 1$ (i.e., the trivial case $G = \{0\}$ is excluded) and basis $\{g_1, \dots, g_n\}$. For a given function f in $C(X, R^k)$ consider the vector-valued

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: mbartelt@pcs.cnu.edu (M. Bartelt), jswetits@odu.edu (J. Swetits).

Chebyshev approximation problem of finding a function Bf in G that is a best approximation to f , i.e., $\|f - Bf\| = \text{dist}(f, G)$, where

$$\text{dist}(f, G) := \min_{g \in G} \|f - g\|. \tag{2}$$

Let $P_G(f) := \{g \in G : \|f - g\| = \text{dist}(f, G)\}$.

One special case of the above best approximation problem is the complex Chebyshev approximation problem on the set X when $k = 2$ since $C(X, C)$ can be identified with $C(X, R^2)$ using $f_1(x) + if_2(x) \leftrightarrow (f_1(x), f_2(x))$. The norm in (1) is just the usual Chebyshev norm for complex functions when $k = 2$.

Say that $B(f) := B_G(f)$ is strongly unique of order α if it is unique and if there exists a positive constant γ (depending on f, α and G) such that

$$\|f - g\|^\alpha \geq \text{dist}(f, G)^\alpha + \gamma \cdot \text{dist}(g, B(f)) \quad \text{for } g \in G. \tag{3}$$

Zukhovitskii and Stechkin [14] (cf. also [2]) showed that there is a unique best approximation to every f in $C(X, R^k)$ if and only if G satisfies the (generalized) Haar condition. When G is a Haar subspace there is strong unicity of order $\alpha = 2$ for $B(f)$ [2]. However, in general there will not be strong unicity of order 1 as observed for complex approximation [9,10]. Cheney [7] showed that in a normed linear space whenever a best approximation operator B has strong unicity of order 1 at a given function f , then it satisfies at f a Lipschitz condition of order 1, i.e., there is a positive constant λ such that

$$\|Bf - Bh\| \leq \lambda \|f - h\| \tag{4}$$

for all h in the normed linear space. The operator B is said to satisfy a Hölder continuity condition of order $\frac{1}{2}$ at f [2] if there exists a positive number $\lambda = \lambda(f)$ such that

$$\|B(f) - B(h)\| \leq \lambda \|f - h\|^{\frac{1}{2}} (1 + \|f + h\|)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{5}$$

for all h in $C(X, R^k)$. Equivalently

$$\|Bf - Bh\| \leq \lambda \|f - h\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{6}$$

for all h in $C(X, R^k)$ satisfying $\|f\| \leq M$ for some positive constant M . In approximation in $C(X, R^k)$ and therefore in complex approximation, it is known [2] that B satisfies a Hölder condition of order $\frac{1}{2}$.

Part of the original motivation for this paper comes from the well-known [12] fact that in Hilbert space even though the projection operator onto a closed subspace (the best approximation operator associated with that subspace) has strong unicity of order 2, but not of order 1 in general, it is Lipschitz continuous of order 1. Bartelt and Swetits [4] showed that the best approximation operator is Lipschitz continuous of order 1 on a dense subset of $C(X, R^k)$ when X is finite and G is a Haar subspace of $C(X, R^k)$ and they conjectured that the best approximation operator is globally Lipschitz continuous of order 1 in this case. They verified the conjecture in the case $k = 2$ when G is the two dimensional subspace of constant vectors. The purpose of this paper is to establish the conjecture. One consequence is that best approximation in $C(X, R^k)$ for $k \geq 2$ is fundamentally different from best approximation in $C(X, R)$, where Lipschitz continuity of order 1 and strong uniqueness of order 1 are essentially equivalent. Specifically Bartelt and Schmidt [5] established the following. Let G be an n -dimensional subspace of $C(X, R)$, where X is a compact Hausdorff

space. The metric projection, P_G , is said to be Lipschitz continuous of order 1 at f if there is a constant λ such that $H(P_G(f), P_G(h)) \leq \lambda \|f - h\|$ for all $h \in C(X, R)$, where H denotes the Hausdorff metric. They showed that f has a strongly unique of order 1 best approximation from G if and only if f has a unique best approximation from G and P_G is Lipschitz continuous of order 1 at f .

2. Results

As usual let the extreme point set be given by

$$E(f - g) := \{x \in X : \|(f - g)(x)\|_2 = \|f - g\|\}, \quad g \in G.$$

For completeness we give the definition of Zuhovitskii and Stechkin [14] for a Haar set in $C(X, R^k)$.

Definition 1. An n -dimensional subspace G in $C(X, R^k)$ is called a Haar set if

- (i) every nonzero g in G has at most m zeroes, and
- (ii) for any m distinct points x_1, \dots, x_m in X and any m vectors v_1, \dots, v_m in R^k , there is a vector-valued function g in G such that $g(x_i) = v_i, i = 1, \dots, m$,

where m is the unique maximal integer satisfying $mk < n \leq (m + 1)k$.

We need a characterization of the best approximate which is a generalization of the notion of a reference introduced by Stiefel [13] and Blatt [6] which is closely related (see Proposition 13) to the notion of an annihilator [2,8]. Let x_1, \dots, x_q be points in X and let S_1, \dots, S_q be orthogonal linear transformations on R^k . Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denote the standard inner product on R^k and let $e_i, i = 1, \dots, k$, denote the standard basis vectors in R^k . For $\lambda \in R^q, \lambda > 0$ means that $\lambda_i > 0, i = 1, \dots, q$. Let $\{g_1, \dots, g_n\}$ denote a basis for G .

Definition 2. The collection $R = \{(x_i, S_i) : i = 1, \dots, q\}$ is called a reference if the $q \times n$ matrix $B = ((S_i g_j(x_i), e_1))_{i=1, j=1}^{q, n}$ has rank $q - 1$ and if there exists $\lambda \in R^q, \lambda > 0$, such that $\lambda^T B = 0$. Note that $q \leq n + 1$.

Definition 3. If $f \in C(X, R^k)$, then a reference R is called a reference with respect to f if $S(f)(x) = \|f\| e_1$ for each $(x, S) \in R$.

Definition 4. A function $\sigma : X \rightarrow R^k$ is said to be an annihilator of G if there exist points x_1, \dots, x_q in X with $\sigma(x_i) \neq 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, q$, such that $\sum_{i=1}^q \langle \sigma(x_i), g(x_i) \rangle = 0$ for every $g \in G$.

Recall the following characterization of best approximation [8].

Theorem 5. A function $h \in G$ is a best approximation to $f \in C(X, R^k) \setminus G$ if and only if there exist points x_1, \dots, x_q , satisfying $\|f(x_i) - h(x_i)\|_2 = \|f - h\|$ and an annihilator σ of G satisfying $\frac{\sigma(x_i)}{\|\sigma(x_i)\|_2} = \frac{f(x_i) - h(x_i)}{\|f - h\|}, i = 1, \dots, q$, where $q \leq n + 1$.

Call the points x_1, \dots, x_q an annihilator or the support of an annihilator for $f - G$. We then have the following characterization of best approximation. The proof is in [4].

Theorem 6. A function $g \in G$ is a best approximation to $f \in C(X, R^k) \setminus G$ if and only if there exists a reference R with respect to $f - g$.

The following theorem [4] shows that there is a particular set of functions in $C(X, R^k)$ at which B , by the result of Cheney, has Lipschitz continuity of order 1.

Theorem 7. Suppose G is a generalized Haar subspace of dimension n . If there exists a reference of cardinality $n + 1$ with respect to $f - Bf$, where Bf is the unique best approximation to f , then Bf is strongly unique.

The next result clarifies the relationship between a reference and an annihilator and also provides an alternative characterization of a reference. The proof is in [4].

Theorem 8. Suppose $\{x_1, \dots, x_q\} \subseteq E(f - Bf)$. The following are equivalent.

- (i) $\{(x_i, S_i) : i = 1, \dots, q\}$ is a reference with respect to $f - Bf$.
- (ii) $\{x_1, \dots, x_q\}$ is the support of an annihilator and no proper subset is the support of an annihilator.
- (iii) The matrix $M := M(x_1, \dots, x_q) := ((f(x_i) - Bf(x_i), g_j(x_i)))_{i=1, j=1}^{q, n}$ has rank $q - 1$ and there exists $\lambda \in R^q, \lambda > 0$, such that $\lambda^T M = 0$.

Remark 9. For brevity we will refer to $\{x_1, \dots, x_q\}$ as a reference.

We can now state and prove the main result of this paper.

Theorem 10. Let X be a finite set with the discrete topology and let G be an n -dimensional Haar subspace of $C(X, R^k)$. Then the best approximation operator, $B : C(X, R^k) \rightarrow G$, is pointwise Lipschitz continuous of order 1.

Proof. The proof is by contradiction. Assume there is a function $f \in C(X, R^k) \setminus G$ such that B is not Lipschitz continuous of order 1 at f . We can assume $\|f\| = 1$ and $Bf = 0$. Then there exists a sequence $\{\varphi_j\} \subseteq C(X, R^k)$ and a sequence $\{t_j\}$ of positive reals such that $\|\varphi_j\| = 1, j = 1, 2, \dots, \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} t_j = 0$ and $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|B(f + t_j \varphi_j)\|}{t_j} = \infty$. For simplicity let $f_j = f + t_j \varphi_j$. The unit sphere in $C(X, R^k)$ is compact and so we can assume there exists $\varphi \in C(X, R^k)$ such that $\{\varphi_j\}$ converges to φ .

Because X is finite, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that if $h \in C(X, R^k)$ and $\|f - h\| < \delta$, then $E(h - Bh) \subseteq E(f)$. We can assume $0 < t_j < \delta, j = 1, 2, \dots$, and we can also assume, by passing to a subsequence if necessary, that there is $\{x_1, \dots, x_m\} \subseteq E(f)$ which is a reference with respect to $f_j - B(f_j)$ for every $j = 1, 2, \dots$. Thus for $j = 1, 2, \dots$, there are positive scalars $\lambda_i(j), i = 1, \dots, m$, such that $\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i(j) = 1$ and

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i(j) \langle f_j(x_i) - B(f_j)(x_i), g(x_i) \rangle = 0 \tag{7}$$

for all $g \in G$. For each $i = 1, \dots, m$ the sequence $\{\lambda_i(j)\}_j$ is a bounded sequence of positive reals and so we can assume $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_i(j) = \lambda_i \geq 0$ exists. Because $\{\varphi_j\}$ converges to f and

$\{B(f_j)\}$ converges to $Bf = 0$, it follows from (7) that

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \langle f(x_i), g(x_i) \rangle = 0 \tag{8}$$

for all $g \in G$. Therefore $\{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ contains a reference with respect to f . We can assume the reference is $\{x_1, \dots, x_q\}$, $\lambda_i > 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, q$, and $\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i = 1$. Because B is Lipschitz continuous of order $\frac{1}{2}$ on bounded sets we can assume there exists $p \in G$ such that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{B(f_j)}{\sqrt{t_j}} = p. \tag{9}$$

Choose $g = Bf_j$ in (7) and divide through by t_j . It then follows that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t_j} \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i (j) \langle f(x_i), B(f_j)(x_i) \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \|p(x_i)\|_2^2. \tag{10}$$

Now choose $g = p$ in (7) and divide through by $\sqrt{t_j}$ to obtain

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t_j}} \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i (j) \langle f(x_i), p(x_i) \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \|p(x_i)\|_2^2. \tag{11}$$

We now consider the following identity. For $i = 1, \dots, m$

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_j - B(f_j)\|^2 - \|f\|^2 &= \|f_j(x_i) - B(f_j)(x_i)\|_2^2 - \|f(x_i)\|_2^2 \\ &= 2 \langle f(x_i), t_j \varphi_j(x_i) - B(f_j)(x_i) \rangle \\ &\quad + \|t_j \varphi_j(x_i) - B(f_j)(x_i)\|_2^2. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

In (12) divide through by t_j , multiply by $\lambda_i(j)$ and sum from $i = 1$ to m . From (10) it follows that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|f_j - B(f_j)\|^2 - \|f\|^2}{t_j} = \sum_{i=1}^m 2\lambda_i \langle f(x_i), \varphi(x_i) \rangle - \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \|p(x_i)\|_2^2. \tag{13}$$

From (13) it follows that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|f_j - B(f_j)\|^2 - \|f\|^2}{\sqrt{t_j}} = 0$$

and from (9) it follows that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{B(f_j)}{t_j^{1/4}} = 0.$$

In (12) divide through by $\sqrt{t_j}$. It then follows for $i = 1, \dots, m$ that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left\langle f(x_i), \frac{B(f_j)}{\sqrt{t_j}} \right\rangle = \langle f(x_i), p(x_i) \rangle = 0.$$

Therefore from (11) it follows that $p(x_i) = 0, i = 1, \dots, q$. Since G is Haar we obtain $p \equiv 0$.

We can assume there exists $\hat{g} \in G$, $\|\hat{g}\| = 1$, such that $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{B(f_j)}{\|B(f_j)\|} = \hat{g}$. From (12) and (13) it follows that $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left\langle f(x_i), \frac{B(f_j)(x_i)}{t_j} \right\rangle$ exists for each $i = 1, \dots, m$. Because

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|B(f + t_j \varphi_j)\|}{t_j} = \infty, \quad (14)$$

it then follows that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left\langle f(x_i), \frac{B(f_j)(x_i)}{\|B(f_j)\|} \right\rangle = \langle f(x_i), \hat{g}(x_i) \rangle = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m. \quad (15)$$

In (7) choose $g = \hat{g}$ and use (15) to obtain

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i(j) \left\langle \frac{B(f_j)(x_i)}{t_j}, \hat{g}(x_i) \right\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \langle \varphi(x_i), \hat{g}(x_i) \rangle. \quad (16)$$

It then follows from (14) and (16) that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i(j) \left\langle \frac{B(f_j)(x_i)}{\|B(f_j)\|}, \hat{g}(x_i) \right\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \|\hat{g}(x_i)\|_2^2 = 0.$$

Therefore $\hat{g}(x_i) = 0$, $i = 1, \dots, q$. Because G is Haar it follows that $\hat{g} \equiv 0$ which contradicts $\|\hat{g}\| = 1$. This contradiction establishes the result. \square

References

- [2] M. Bartelt, W. Li, Haar theory in vector-valued continuous function spaces, in: C.K. Chui, L.L. Schumaker (Eds.), *Approximation Theory, VIII, Approximation and Interpolation*, vol. 1, World Scientific, New York, 1995, pp. 39–46.
- [4] M. Bartelt, J. Swetits, Lipschitz continuity and Gateaux differentiability of the best approximation operator in vector-valued Chebyshev approximation, *J. Approx. Theory* 148 (2007) 177–193.
- [5] M.W. Bartelt, D. Schmidt, Lipschitz conditions, strong uniqueness, and almost Chebyshev subspaces of $C(X)$, *J. Approx. Theory* 40 (1984) 202–215.
- [6] H.P. Blatt, On strong uniqueness in linear complex Chebyshev approximation, *J. Approx. Theory* 41 (1984) 159–169.
- [7] W. Cheney, *Introduction to Approximation Theory*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1966.
- [8] F. Deutsch, Best approximation in the space of continuous vector-valued functions, *J. Approx. Theory* 53 (1988) 112–116.
- [9] M. Gutknecht, Non-strong uniqueness in real and complex Chebyshev approximation, *J. Approx. Theory* 23 (1978) 204–213.
- [10] D.J. Newman, H.S. Shapiro, Some theorems on Chebyshev approximation, *Duke Math. J.* 30 (1963) 673–681.
- [12] W. Rudin, *Real and Complex Analysis*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1966.
- [13] E.L. Stiefel, Numerical methods of Tchebycheff approximation, in: R.E. Langer (Ed.), *On Numerical Approximation*, Madison, Wisconsin, 1959, pp. 217–232.
- [14] S.I. Zuhovitskii, S.B. Stechkin, On approximation of abstract functions with values in Banach space, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR* 106 (1956) 773–776.