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Abstract:
K-12 cybersecurity education is receiving growing attention with the growing number of cyberattacks and a shortage of cybersecurity professionals. However, there are many barriers for teachers to implement effective cybersecurity education in formal classroom environments. This study conducts a systematic literature review to examine the current state-of-the-art on K-12 cybersecurity education. Through the systematic literature review, we identified 20 closely relevant papers and recognized that a well-designed curriculum in cybersecurity education at the K-12 level is strongly needed to motivate students to pursue cybersecurity pathways and careers. The challenge and suggestions of curriculum design, teaching strategy, and learning assessment are summarized and discussed.

1. Introduction
With the mass adoption of the network, the need for cybersecurity is vital in the digitalization era. The cybersecurity domain consists of broad concepts and comprehensive technologies. In general, cybersecurity is the practice of defending personal computers, mobile devices, business servers and databases from malicious attacks (Singer & Friedman, 2014). Due to the enormous economic and social consequences caused by various cyber-attacks, cybersecurity education has received a lot of attention over the past decade.

Currently, cybersecurity talent is in short supply in the job market. According to Cybersecurity Workforce Demand (National Institute of Standards and Technology, 2021), the shortage of cybersecurity professional is about 3.12 million globally. On average, 50% of hiring managers surveyed generally do not believe their applicants are well qualified for the cybersecurity job position. With the growing number of cyberattacks and a shortage of cybersecurity professions, it is essential to educate adolescents and children about cybersecurity. The governments have increased their investments on K-12 cybersecurity education over the past decade with the goal to build an educated and skillful workforce for cybersecurity. However, there are many barriers for teachers to implement effective cybersecurity education in formal classroom environments. There are insufficient age-appropriate teaching materials and curriculum for K-12 students at different grades, particularly for under-served and underrepresented student populations. Most teachers lack the necessary experience or expertise to effectively teach students about cybersecurity. There are inadequate professional development and training opportunities for preparing teachers to learn research-informed instructional approaches for teaching cybersecurity to K-12 students. An effort to engage and educate K-12 students in cybersecurity will increase enrollments in cybersecurity programs at university levels which can help to meet the demand for cybersecurity professionals (Nygard, Chowdhury, Kambhampaty, & Kotala, 2018).

The purpose of this paper is to survey the literature on K-12 cybersecurity education to understand the current state-of-the-art in this specific area. We hope to identify the challenges facing K-12 cybersecurity education and summarize evidence-based practices to address these challenges and advance K-12 cybersecurity education in the context of addressing the cybersecurity workforce shortage.

2. Methodology
This study conducts a systematic literature review to study the current state-of-the-art on K-12 cybersecurity education. A systematic literature review is a rigorous method to conduct a literature review (Švábenský, Vykopal, & Čeleda, 2020). A systematic literature review could be defined as "a systematic, explicit and reproducible method for identifying, evaluating, and synthesizing the existing body of completed and recorded work produced by researchers, scholars, and practitioners” (Okoli & Schabram, 2010). We followed the following three steps in our systematic literature review (Kitchenham, 2004; Pereira &
Serrano, 2020; Webster & Watson, 2002): (1) Outlining systematic literature review of cybersecurity education: identifying objective and setting criteria of the literature search. (2) Conducting systematic literature review of cybersecurity education: applying filtering criteria of search and conducting filtration process. (3) Reporting systematic literature review of cybersecurity education: reporting the findings and drawing conclusions.

**Outlining systematic literature review**
This research focuses on the topic of cybersecurity education in K-12 range from 2010 to 2021. Multiple databases were explored by using different keywords: cybersecurity education, K-12, kids, adolescent, teenage, elementary school, middle school, high school. Four research questions were examined: (1) What are the teaching strategies or approaches in K-12 cybersecurity education? (2) How to evaluate the learning outcomes? (3) What are the challenges of K-12 cybersecurity education? (4) What are the potential solutions to the obstacles of K-12 cybersecurity education? We set up the filter criteria by searching and extracting research articles (journals and conference papers) from different databases.

**Conducting systematic literature review**
The main objective of this research is to collect research articles about cybersecurity education, so in an initial brief search, only cybersecurity education was used as the keyword. In our search, five electronic repositories were used:
- ACM Digital Library: https://dl.acm.org/
- IEEE Xplore: https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/Xplore/home.jsp
- AIS eLibrary: https://aisel.aisnet.org/
- Web of Science: https://clarivate.com/webofsciencegroup/solutions/web-of-science/
- Google Scholar: https://scholar.google.com/

![Flow diagram of systematic literature review of cybersecurity education in K-12](image)

Initially, the first filter applies the keyword "cybersecurity education" to abstract search; in the further filtration process, this study uses keywords "K-12", "kids", "adolescent", "teenage", "elementary school", "middle school" and "high school" to conduct systematic search. Specifically, keywords for the search are used in the five databases with operator AND and OR. The filtration and screening processes are shown in Figure 1.

**Keywords:** "cybersecurity education" AND ("K-12" OR "kids" OR "adolescent" OR "teenager" OR "elementary school" OR "middle school" OR "high school"); Year Range: 2010 – 2021

**3. Teaching Strategies of Cybersecurity Education**

**3.1 Curricular Design**
Several articles have revealed the importance of cybersecurity education as an academic discipline: K-12 components, model curricular, and accreditation criteria (Sobel, Parrish, & Raj, 2019). In the process of cybersecurity curricular design, course developers are recommended to follow these rules (Yadav, Gretter, Good, & McLean, 2017): (1) Course developers need to provide teacher with the content, pedagogy and instructional strategies. (2) Teachers need to incorporate computational thinking into their curricula and practice in meaningful ways. (3) Students need to use the core concepts and dispositions to
solve discipline-specific and interdisciplinary problems. Because advanced technology is an integral part of a STEM education, cybersecurity should be integrated with K-12 STEM education (Dutta & Mathur, 2012).

So far, several curricular designs of cybersecurity education in K-12 have been proposed by (Chase et al., 2020). The courses and curricular design can be divided into two different parts: (1) basic cybersecurity awareness, and (2) higher-level cybersecurity technical skills. In particular, National Cryptologic Foundation (NCF) created High School Cybersecurity Curriculum Guidelines (CCG) for curriculum providers and teachers (NCF, 2021). The need of coherent CCG becomes more necessary when more high school teachers integrated cybersecurity into their teaching plans. The well-designed curriculum can motivate students to pursue a profession in cybersecurity. Bishop et al. (2017) suggest that the courses of cybersecurity education in K-12 cover six essential knowledge topics. Table 1 shows the six essential cybersecurity knowledge topics(Bishop et al., 2017): (1) Data security, (2) Software security, (3) System security, (4) Human security, (5) Organization security, and (6) Societal security. Figure 2 demonstrates the frequency of the six knowledge topics discussed in the selected papers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Knowledge topic</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Data security</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Yett et al., 2020; Giannakas et al., 2019; Hill et al., 2020; Dutta &amp; Mathur 2012; Nygard et al., 2018; Konak 2018; Ivy et al., 2021; Lédeczi et al., 2019; Fees, 2018; Cai, 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software security</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Hill et al., 2020; Dutta &amp; Mathur 2012; Nygard et al., 2018; Jin et al., 2018a; Jin et al., 2018b; Konak 2018; Brylow et al., 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System security</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Yett et al., 2020; Giannakas et al., 2019; Hill et al., 2020; Dutta &amp; Mathur 2012; Konak 2018; Brylow et al., 2019; Lindmeier &amp; Mühling, 2020; Chio et al., 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human-behavior security</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Giannakas et al., 2019; Dutta &amp; Mathur 2012; Jin et al., 2018a; Jin et al., 2018b; Konak 2018; Jethwani et al., 2017; Lindmeier &amp; Mühling, 2020; Chio et al., 2021; Lédeczi et al., 2019;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organization security</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Nygard et al., 2018; Rowland et al., 2018; Brylow et al., 2019; Rahman et al., 2020; Cai, 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Societal security</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Hill et al., 2020; Dutta &amp; Mathur 2012; Rowland et al., 2018; Jethwani et al., 2017; Lindmeier &amp; Mühling, 2020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Data security (10 papers): it focuses on the protection of static and dynamic data. The teaching content should include data encryption, hashing and management practice that protect data privacy (Dagiene & Stupuriene, 2016; C. Li & Kulkarni, 2016). It also needs to understand the algorithms and analysis so as to deal with both the theory and application.

(2) Software security (7 papers): it focuses on the design, development, implementation, deployment, maintenance, and operation of the software that meets security requirements. Students should have ability to protect software against malicious attack (Konak, 2018).

(3) System security (8 papers): it focuses on the security of computer systems and infrastructure supporting networks. Students should obtain ability to protect computer systems and information from harmful and unauthorized use (K-12 Computer Science Framework Steering Committee, 2016).

(4) Human-behavior security (9 papers): it focuses on the protection of people's data in the context of organizations or personal life and their privacy. The understanding of human-behavior security can help students to formulate good policies in the future (Paris, 2001).

(5) Organization security (5 papers): it focuses on security in the context of organizations. Students should learn a set of rules or procedures to protect the organizational sensitive data (Brylow, Wang, & Perouli, 2019).

(6) Societal security (5 papers): it focuses on the ubiquitous of computers, networks, and devices that computers control makes cybersecurity a necessity in society. The teaching content may include ethics, cyber laws and regulations on cybersecurity processes and technologies (Ivy, Lee, Franz, & Crumpton, 2019).

Figure 2. The frequency of the six knowledge topics discussed in the selected papers
Therefore, the curricular design enables educators to effectively plan sequenced courses or projects to provide learning opportunities targeting desired outcomes. By using a well-prepared curriculum, teachers can ensure students develop a base of knowledge, skills, attitudes, and beliefs that enable them to be successful in the future cybersecurity education and career.

**Teaching Strategy**

Traditionally, cybersecurity education in K-12 is conducted through pedagogical-focused lessons (Ivy et al., 2019). The content of the lesson consists of security vulnerabilities, cybercrime, and the principle of online behaviors. These lessons include professional readings, poster sessions, group discussions, and project presentations. Hands-on projects are also prepared to facilitate a learner-centered environment. These projects focus on Cryptography, Forensics, Reconnaissance, Digital Ethics and Law (Chase et al., 2020). Skills progression plan should be developed based on students' grade level. The most common teaching methods include lectures, long-term projects, discussing and writing (Švábenský et al., 2020). Specifically, out of the 20 selected papers, six major teaching methods can be found (as show in Table 2). Some papers discuss more than one teaching method. These methods include: (1) Problem-based learning, (2) Project-based learning, (3) Game-based learning, (4) Inquiry-based learning, (5) Emerging technology-based learning, and (6) Case studies. Figure 3 demonstrates the frequency of the six teaching methods discussed in the selected papers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Teaching method</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Problem-based learning</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Yett et al., 2020; Hill et al., 2020; Nygard et al., 2018; Rowland et al., 2018; Jethwani et al., 2017; Ivy et al., 2021; Chase et al., 2019;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project-based learning</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Yett et al., 2020; Nygard et al., 2018; Brylow et al., 2019; Ivy et al., 2021; Chase et al., 2019;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Game-based learning</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Hill et al., 2020; Yett et al., 2020; Giannakas et al., 2019; Dutta &amp; Mathur 2012; Jin et al., 2018a; Jin et al., 2018b; Pratt &amp; Trekle, 2019; Chase et al., 2019; Chiu et al., 2021;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inquiry-based learning</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Giannakas et al., 2019; Konak 2018; Fees et al., 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emerging technology-based learning</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Yett et al., 2020; Chiu et al., 2021; Lédeczi et al., 2019;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case studies</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Giannakas et al., 2019; Ivy et al., 2021; Cai, 2018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Problem-based learning (7 papers): this teaching method is to stimulate students to solve a set of cybersecurity problems in which students learn concepts and principles of cybersecurity. The main purpose is to motivate the learners to discover new knowledge by themselves following problem-based learning activities (Giannakas, Papasalouros, Kambourakis, & Gritzalis, 2019).

(2) Project-based learning (5 papers): this teaching method is to let students to actively engage in a real-world and meaningful cybersecurity project. Hands-on project-based learning strengthen teamwork and problem-solving skills. Active learning should be conducted by hands-on mini projects (Lineberry, Lee, Ivy, & Bostick, 2018).

(3) Game-based learning (9 papers): teacher use games in teaching of cybersecurity to increase student participation, foster social and emotional learning (Hill Jr, Fanuel, Yuan, Zhang, & Sajad, 2020). From the learner’s viewpoint, game based learning is more attractive, motivating and personalized (Giannakas, Kambourakis, & Gritzalis, 2015; Jin, Tu, Kim, Heffron, & White, 2018). Most program use game-based method to increase students’ learning interest and support collaborative learning (Chiou, Barnes, Jelenewicz, Mouza, & Shen, 2021).

(4) Inquiry-based learning (3 papers): this is a form of active learning that starts by raising questions, problems or scenarios. In inquiry-based learning, the problem is usually open-ended. Inquiry-based framework with four components (Concrete experience/Reflective observation/Abstract conceptualization/Active experimentation) has been proposed to improve K-12 students’ self-efficacy in cybersecurity and problem solving skills (Konak, 2018).

(5) Emerging technology-based learning (3 papers): the teaching process is incorporated with emerging technologies, such as Artificial Intelligence (Chiou et al., 2021), Virtual Reality, Augmented Reality and Mixed Reality (Veneruso, Ferro, Marrela, Mecella, & Catarci, 2020).

(6) Case studies (3 paper): using case studies to design and deliver technology-centered education courses (Baumgartner, 2013). Cai (2018) introduces a holistic and case-analysis teaching models by integrating case studies into cybersecurity courses. Teaching with case studies can help students understand the scenario of real-world, and also help students distinguish the differences of cybersecurity subsystems (Cai, 2018).
Learning Assessment

Learning assessment is one key component in the academic planning process (Tessmer, 2013). The cybersecurity education learning assessment could be evaluated by pre- and post- survey. From the survey, students’ cybersecurity knowledge and career interests could be evaluated (Jin et al., 2018). The learning assessment require participants to provide a definition for each of the ten cybersecurity principles and a description of connections to their classroom (Ivy et al., 2019). The principles on the assessment include: domain separation, process isolation, resource encapsulation, least privilege, layering, abstraction, information hiding, modularity, simplicity of design, and minimization. The assessment uses questions of conditional statements to better assess prior knowledge and understanding after learning (Lédeczi et al., 2019).

At academic level, the learning assessment is a multi-level process, which involves the individual, the program and the institution. Ideally, the assessment of students’ learning outcomes could be further analyzed and used at the program and institutional levels to better improve the quality of student learning. At the student level, the learning assessment is conducted through class assignments, projects and tests. Students can demonstrate their understanding by individual or team presentation (Michlitsch & Sidle, 2002). Different teaching strategies may lead to different learning outcomes such as interest, engagement, motivation, behavior, understanding and awareness etc. Table 3 illustrates the learning outcome and the related learning assessment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Learning Outcome</th>
<th>Learning Assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Motivation/Satisfaction/Usefulness/Effectiveness</td>
<td>Self-perception: students’ or teachers’ attitudes and opinions of the subject.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Understanding/Knowledge (Yett et al., 2020)</td>
<td>Objective measurement: measuring learners’ performance with formative and summative test scores or grades.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skills of secure communication procedure/anti-virus software/online password generation (Nygard et al., 2018)</td>
<td>Artifact/Product: students’ product, interaction and practical experience.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Challenges and Potential Solutions of Cybersecurity Education

There are various challenges that need to be considered before a successful cybersecurity education at K-12 level could be implemented. Designing cybersecurity curricular that are creative, social relevant and accessible to K-12 students is a challenge (Rowland, Podhradsky, & Plucker, 2018). Teaching about cybersecurity must include learning material related to networking, but K-12 students do not have appropriate mental model of the way information is transmitted over the network (Lindmeier & Mühling, 2020). It is a challenge for course designers to incorporate networking knowledge into a cybersecurity curriculum. Preparing young people to be successful in the cybersecurity field is a challenge for educators. According to the survey results (Pratt & Trekles), more than 51% teachers do not have the ability to install new software or add new equipment to their classrooms. Many schools do not have available devices. What’s more, the interaction between gender and technology is complex and deeply influenced by both psychological and social contexts (Jethwani, Memon, Seo, & Richer, 2017). The inherent stereotypes of gender roles restrict girls’ access to the cybersecurity education. Students’ willingness to learn cybersecurity is another challenge for the school and the whole society. Education rather than age is a significant factor influencing the willingness to learn new technology (Y. B. Li & Perkins, 2007). With the introduction of new technology, students express the concerns about technical challenges, cost and potential distractions of emerging technologies (Chiou et al., 2021).

A school-wide, top-driven multidisciplinary strategy could be utilized to plan and implement cybersecurity education at K-12 level (Tsado, 2019). The school-wide educational strategy could help school to identify and recruit students who are interested in cybersecurity. The whole school, as a unit, could have more opportunities to obtain resources and funds for cybersecurity education. School administrators and teachers can work together and organize program to initiate cybersecurity education.
Academia-industry collaboration will provide solutions for training and development opportunities. The academia-industry partnerships will provide talented students with higher level practical experience. The collaboration of relevant parties, including teachers, parents, peers, and government, could find the best solution to challenges of school-based cybersecurity education.

5. Conclusion
It is important and necessary to develop K-12 students’ interest in cybersecurity as cyber threats continue to grow. Our systematic literature review shows that preparing the next generation of the cyber workforce is a challenging task and needs to be addressed at the K-12 level. To make cybersecurity education a success at the K-12 level, research-informed strategies and approaches need to be used to prepare teachers for teaching cybersecurity through evidence-based curriculum, teaching materials, tools, technologies and other resources. Teachers must be provided with ongoing professional development and support from a community of practice to help achieve excellence in teaching cybersecurity to their students based on students’ age level, knowledge, and abilities. As broadening participation in cybersecurity is important for building a diverse and inclusive cybersecurity workforce, teachers need to be trained with culturally responsive pedagogy to foster equitable and inclusive cybersecurity education at the K-12 level.
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