Old Dominion University [ODU Digital Commons](https://digitalcommons.odu.edu?utm_source=digitalcommons.odu.edu%2Fchemistry_fac_pubs%2F63&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

[Chemistry & Biochemistry Faculty Publications](https://digitalcommons.odu.edu/chemistry_fac_pubs?utm_source=digitalcommons.odu.edu%2Fchemistry_fac_pubs%2F63&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) [Chemistry & Biochemistry](https://digitalcommons.odu.edu/chemistry?utm_source=digitalcommons.odu.edu%2Fchemistry_fac_pubs%2F63&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

2-2016

Study of Infrared Emission Spectroscopy for the B $1\Delta g$ - A 1 Π u and B '1 Σg +- A 1 Π u Systems of C2

Wang Chen

Kentarou Kawaguchi

Peter F. Bernath *Old Dominion University*, pbernath@odu.edu

Jian Tang

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalcommons.odu.edu/chemistry_fac_pubs](https://digitalcommons.odu.edu/chemistry_fac_pubs?utm_source=digitalcommons.odu.edu%2Fchemistry_fac_pubs%2F63&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) Part of the [Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics Commons,](http://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/195?utm_source=digitalcommons.odu.edu%2Fchemistry_fac_pubs%2F63&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) [Biological and Chemical Physics](http://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/196?utm_source=digitalcommons.odu.edu%2Fchemistry_fac_pubs%2F63&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) [Commons,](http://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/196?utm_source=digitalcommons.odu.edu%2Fchemistry_fac_pubs%2F63&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) and the [Physical Chemistry Commons](http://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/139?utm_source=digitalcommons.odu.edu%2Fchemistry_fac_pubs%2F63&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Repository Citation

Chen, Wang; Kawaguchi, Kentarou; Bernath, Peter F.; and Tang, Jian, "Study of Infrared Emission Spectroscopy for the B 1Δg- A 1Πu and B ′1Σg +- A 1Πu Systems of C2" (2016). *Chemistry & Biochemistry Faculty Publications*. 63. [https://digitalcommons.odu.edu/chemistry_fac_pubs/63](https://digitalcommons.odu.edu/chemistry_fac_pubs/63?utm_source=digitalcommons.odu.edu%2Fchemistry_fac_pubs%2F63&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Original Publication Citation

Chen, W., Kawaguchi, K., Bernath, P. F., & Tang, J. (2016). Study of infrared emission spectroscopy for the $\rm B^1\Delta_{g^-}A^1\Pi_u$ and $\rm B^{'1}\Sigma_g$ $^+$ $A^{1}\Pi_{u}$ systems of C₂. Journal of Chemical Physics, 144(6), 064301. doi:10.1063/1.4940907

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Chemistry & Biochemistry at ODU Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Chemistry & Biochemistry Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of ODU Digital Commons. For more information, please contact [digitalcommons@odu.edu.](mailto:digitalcommons@odu.edu)

$\mathsf{Study\ of\ infrared\ emission\ spectroscopy\ for\ the\ B^1}_{\Delta \mathsf{g}}\text{-}\mathsf{A^1}_{\Pi \mathsf{u}}$ and $\mathsf{B^{'1}}_{\Sigma \mathsf{g}}\text{-}\mathsf{A^1}_{\Pi \mathsf{u}}$ systems of C₂

[Wang Chen,](http://aip.scitation.org/author/Chen%2C+Wang) [Kentarou Kawaguchi](http://aip.scitation.org/author/Kawaguchi%2C+Kentarou), [Peter F. Bernath](http://aip.scitation.org/author/Bernath%2C+Peter+F), and [Jian Tang](http://aip.scitation.org/author/Tang%2C+Jian)

Citation: [The Journal of Chemical Physics](/loi/jcp) **144**, 064301 (2016); doi: 10.1063/1.4940907 View online: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4940907> View Table of Contents: <http://aip.scitation.org/toc/jcp/144/6> Published by the [American Institute of Physics](http://aip.scitation.org/publisher/)

Articles you may be interested in

Simultaneous analysis of the Ballik-Ramsay and Phillips systems of C_2 and observation of forbidden [transitions between singlet and triplet states](http://aip.scitation.org/doi/abs/10.1063/1.4907530) The Journal of Chemical Physics **142**, 064317 (2015); 10.1063/1.4907530

The ionization energy of C_2

The Journal of Chemical Physics **144**, 144305 (2016); 10.1063/1.4944932

Global triplet potential energy surfaces for the N₂(X¹ $_\Sigma$) + O(³P) → NO(X² $_\Pi$) + N(⁴S) reaction The Journal of Chemical Physics **144**, 024309 (2016); 10.1063/1.4938241

Spectroscopic observation of higher vibrational levels of C_2 through visible band systems The Journal of Chemical Physics **139**, 244310 (2013); 10.1063/1.4851436

Oscillator strengths of the Mulliken, Swan, Ballik-Ramsay, Phillips, and $d^{2} \Pi_{q} \leftarrow c^{3} \Sigma_{u}^{+}$ systems of [calculated by MRCI methods utilizing a biorthogonal transformation of CASSCF orbitals](http://aip.scitation.org/doi/abs/10.1063/1.2806988) The Journal of Chemical Physics **127**, 234310 (2007); 10.1063/1.2806988

Oscillator strengths and radiative lifetimes for C_2 : Swan, Ballik-Ramsay, Phillips, and $d^3\Pi_g \leftarrow c^3\Sigma_u^+$ systems The Journal of Chemical Physics **126**, 084302 (2007); 10.1063/1.2436879

[Study of infrared emission spectroscopy for the](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4940907) *B* **¹**∆**g–***A* **¹**Π**^u** ${\bf a}$ nd $\bar{\bm{B}}^{\prime}$ ¹ $\Sigma_{\sf g}^+$ – $\bm{{\cal A}}$ ¹ $\Pi_{\sf u}$ systems of ${\bf C}_{\sf 2}$

Wang Chen,^{1[,a\)](#page-2-0)} Kentarou Kawaguchi,^{1,a)} Peter F. Bernath,^{2,a)} and Jian Tang^{1[,b\)](#page-2-1)} ¹*Graduate School of Natural Science and Technology, Okayama University, 3-1-1 Tsushima-naka, Kita-ku, 700-8530, Okayama, Japan* ²*Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Old Dominion University, 4541 Hampton Boulevard, Norfolk, Virginia 23529-0126, USA*

(Received 30 November 2015; accepted 15 January 2016; published online 8 February 2016; publisher error corrected 12 February 2016)

Thirteen bands for the $B^1\Delta_g$ -*A*¹ Π_u system and eleven bands for the $B'^1\Sigma_g^+$ -*A*¹ Π_u system of C₂ were identified in the Fourier transform infrared emission spectra of hydrocarbon discharges. The $B'^1\Sigma_g^+$ v = 4 and the $B^1\Delta_g$ v = 6, 7, and 8 vibrational levels involved in nine bands were studied for the first time. A direct global analysis with Dunham parameters was carried out satisfactorily for the $B^1\Delta_g - A^1\Pi_u$ system except for a small perturbation in the $B^1\Delta_g$ v = 6 level. The calculated rovibrational term energies up to $B^1\Delta_g$ v = 12 showed that the level crossing between the $B^1\Delta_g$ and *d* ³Π^g states is responsible for many of the prominent perturbations in the Swan system observed previously. Nineteen forbidden transitions of the $B^1\Delta_{g}$ – $a^3\Pi_{u}$ transition were identified and the off-diagonal spin-orbit interaction constant A_{dB} between $d^3\Pi_g$ and $B^1\Delta_g$ was derived as 8.3(1) cm[−]¹ . For the *B* ′1Σ^g + –*A* ¹Π^u system, only individual band analyses for each vibrational level in the $B'^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+}$ state could be done satisfactorily and Dunham parameters obtained from these effective parameters showed that the anharmonic vibrational constant $\omega_e x_e$ is anomalously small (nearly zero). Inspection of the RKR (Rydberg-Klein-Rees) potential curves for the $B'^1\Sigma_g^+$ and $X^1\Sigma_g^+$ states revealed that an avoided crossing or nearly avoided crossing may occur around 30 000 cm⁻¹, which is responsible for the anomalous molecular constants in these two states. ^C *2016 AIP Publishing LLC.* [\[http:](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4940907)//[dx.doi.org](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4940907)/[10.1063](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4940907)/[1.4940907\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4940907)

I. INTRODUCTION

Recently, progress on the perturbation analysis of C_2 spectra has appeared in several studies for the tripletquintet $(d^3\Pi_g - I^5\Pi_g)$ interaction,^{[1](#page-12-0)} triplet-triplet $(c^3\Sigma_u^+ - a^3\Pi_u)$ interaction,^{[2](#page-12-1)} and singlet-triplet $(X^1\Sigma_g^+ - b^3\Sigma_g^-)$ $(X^1\Sigma_g^+ - b^3\Sigma_g^-)$ $(X^1\Sigma_g^+ - b^3\Sigma_g^-)$ interaction.³ These interactions cause prominent perturbations, unusual forbidden triplet-quintet intersystem transitions,^{[1](#page-12-0)} forbidden singlet-triplet intersystem transitions,^{[3](#page-12-2)} and the observation of a quintet-quintet band.[4](#page-12-3) These studies aroused further interest in other C_2 spectra for the many low-lying electronic states (Fig. [1\)](#page-3-0) of this fundamental molecule.

The $B^1\Delta_g$ and $B'^1\Sigma_g^+$ states of C_2 were first predicted by Phillips^{[5](#page-12-4)} to explain the perturbations in the $v = 4$ and 5 vibrational levels of the $d^3\Pi_g$ state. In 1988, the $B^1\Delta_g - A^1\Pi_u$ and $B'^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+}$ –*A*¹ Π_{u} band systems were discovered by Douay *et al.*,^{[6](#page-12-5)} who observed eight bands of the $B^1\Delta_g - A^1\Pi_u$ transition with v up to 5 for the $B^1\Delta_g$ state and six bands of the $B'^1\Sigma_g^+$ – $A^1\Pi_u$ transition with v up to 3 for the $B^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+}$ state. The molecular constants obtained for $B^1\Delta_g$ were very well behaved, but those for $B'^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+}$ were anomalous, for example, with a small anharmonic vibrational constant $\omega_e x_e$. It was suspected that interactions with the $X^1\Sigma_g^+$ state were responsible.^{[6](#page-12-5)} Recently,

ab initio potential energy curves were calculated for the low-lying singlet states of C_2 and the anomalous value of $\omega_e x_e$ was reproduced by the theoretical work.^{[7](#page-12-6)[,8](#page-12-7)} Other band systems related to the $B^1\Delta_g$ and $B'^1\Sigma_g^+$ states were also observed, such as the $1^1\Delta_{\rm u}-B^1\Delta_{\rm g}$ transition by REMPI spectroscopy^{[9](#page-12-8)} and the $D^1\Sigma_u^+ - B'^1\Sigma_g^+$ transition by laser induced fluorescence of the photodissociation fragments $10,11$ $10,11$ and in solid Ne.^{[12](#page-12-11)}

In the study of the Swan system $(d^3\Pi_g - a^3\Pi_u)$ of C₂, many prominent perturbations in the $d^3\Pi_{\rm g}$ state could be explained by the interactions at the $d^3\Pi_g-b^3\Sigma_g$ ⁻ crossing points, but many unidentified perturbations were suspected due to interactions with the vibrationally excited levels of the $B^1\Delta_g$ and $B'^1\Sigma_g^+$ states.^{[13](#page-12-12)} In particular, a puzzling perturbation, which cannot be due to a $b^3\Sigma_g^-$ level, was revealed to cross between the $J = 9$ and $J = 10$ levels of the F_2 spin component for the $d^3\Pi_g$ v = 2 vibrational level with a 1 cm⁻¹ perturbation shift to higher wavenumbers and weak extra lines on the lower wavenumber side.^{[13](#page-12-12)}

In the work presented here, we identified additional bands with higher vibrational levels for the $B^1\Delta_g$ and $B'^1\Sigma_g^+$ states. As a result, the $B^1\Delta_g$ v = 9 level is found to be responsible for perturbing the $d^3\Pi_g$ v = 2 level, and the extra lines observed previously^{[13](#page-12-12)} were just the forbidden transitions with considerable intensity borrowed from the allowed transitions. For the $B'^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+}$ state, the anomalous anharmonic vibrational constant $\omega_e x_e$ is likely caused by an avoided crossing between the $B'^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+}$ and $X^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+}$ potential curves.

a)Electronic addresses: [sc19321@s.okayama-u.ac.jp,](mailto:sc19321@s.okayama-u.ac.jp) [okakent@okayama-u.](mailto:okakent@okayama-u.ac.jp) [ac.jp,](mailto:okakent@okayama-u.ac.jp) and pbernath@odu.edu

b)Author to whom correspondence should be addressed. Electronic mail: [jtang@okayama-u.ac.jp.](mailto:jtang@okayama-u.ac.jp) Tel: +81(86)251-7849. Fax: +81(86)251-7853.

FIG. 1. Low-lying electronic states of C_2 below 30 000 cm⁻¹ and observed transition systems (vertical solid lines) within the same multiplicity. Intersystem forbidden transitions (dashed lines) were observed recently for $1^5\Pi_g - a^3\Pi_u$ (Ref. [1\)](#page-12-0), $X^1\Sigma_g^+ - a^3\Pi_u$ and $A^1\Pi_u - b^3\Sigma_g^-$ (Ref. [3\)](#page-12-2), and $B^1\Delta_g - a^3\Pi_u$ in the present work.

II. SPECTRAL ASSIGNMENT

During our previous study, 3 we noticed some new bands around 3500 cm[−]¹ in the Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) emission spectrum of C_2 observed by a positive column discharge in the CH⁴ and He mixture with a spectral resolution of 0.02 cm⁻¹.^{[14](#page-12-13)} Using the molecular constants of Douay *et al.*,^{[6](#page-12-5)} we could assign these bands easily to $v = 0-2$, 1-3, 2-4, and 3-5 of the $B'^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+}-A^{1}\Pi_{u}$ system. The variation of the line intensity for these $\Delta v = -2$ bands indicated that the v = 4-6 band might be observable, but the 5-7 band might be too weak to be seen. Using the equilibrium molecular constants of Douay *et al.* derived for $B'^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+6}$ $B'^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+6}$ $B'^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+6}$ we estimated the band position for the $v = 4-6$ band and were able to assign the transition around 3470 cm^{-1} , about 5.8 cm⁻¹ lower than the predicted. A portion of the spectrum mainly showing the Q-branch of the $v = 4-6$ band is shown in Fig. [2.](#page-3-1)

TABLE I. Assigned $B'^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+}-A^{1}\Pi_{u}$ and $B^{1}\Delta_{g}-A^{1}\Pi_{u}$ bands for C_{2} .

	Δv					
				-2 0-2(30) 1-3(32) 2-4(30) 3-5(28) 4-6(26)		
				-1 0-1(37) ^a 1-2(41) ^a 2-3(40) 3-4(34) 4-5(26)		
$B'^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+} - A^{1}\Pi_{u}$		0 $0.0(41)^a$ 1-1(35) 2-2(26)				
				1 1-0(40) ^a 2-1(38) ^a 3-2(34) ^a 4-3(28)		
		-1 0-1(26) ^a				
				0 $0.0(40)^{a}$ 1-1(27) 4-4(15) 5-5(24)		
		1 1-0(46) ^a 2-1(37) ^a 3-2(34) ^a				
$B^1\Delta_g - A^1\Pi_u$				2 2-0(40) $3-1(39)^{a}$ 4-2(36) ^a 5-3(31) 6-4(26)		
				3 3-0(34) 4-1(33) 5-2(33) ^a 6-3(36) 7-4(34) 8-5(24)		
		$4\quad 6-2(24)$ 7-3(33)				

^aBands assigned previously by Douay $et al.⁶$ $et al.⁶$ $et al.⁶$ were extended to higher J values.

With the newly determined molecular constants for the $B'^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+}$ v = 4 level, as shown later in Table [VII,](#page-7-0) we extended the $B'^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+}$ – $A^{1}\Pi_{u}$ assignment to the higher wavenumber region by using two previously observed spectra by Douay *et al.*[15](#page-12-14) and could assign the $v = 2-3$, 3-4, and 4-5 bands around 5000 cm⁻¹, the v = 1-1, and 2-2 bands around 7000 cm⁻¹, and the v = 4-3 band around 8000 cm^{-1} , as summarized in Table [I.](#page-3-3) Among these assigned bands, the wavenumbers for three bands with $v = 4$ for the $B'^1\Sigma_g^+$ state are listed in Table [II.](#page-4-0)

For the $B^1\Delta_g - A^1\Pi_u$ system, seven bands up to $v = 5$ for the $B^1\Delta_g$ state were assigned in this work using the molecular constants of Douay *et al.*[6](#page-12-5) In addition, six bands with v = 6, 7, and 8 for the $B¹\Delta_g$ state were assigned using the equilibrium molecular constants of Douay *et al.*[6](#page-12-5) and the transition wavenumbers are listed in Table [III.](#page-4-1) All the assigned $B^1\Delta_g$ –*A*¹ Π_u bands are summarized in Table [I](#page-3-3) with vibrational quantum numbers.

III. ANALYSIS

For the $B^1\Delta_g - A^1\Pi_u$ system, we first analyzed the individual vibrational levels up to $v = 8$ of the upper $B^1\Delta_g$ state by fixing Dunham parameters of the lower $A¹Π_u state to those$ of our previous work, 3 as shown in Table [IV.](#page-6-0) These effective molecular constants are compared with those of Douay *et al.* Then, a direct global analysis using Dunham parameters for

FIG. 2. A portion of the spectrum showing the Q- and P-branches of $v = 4-6$ and $v = 3-5$ bands for the $B'^1 \Sigma_g^+ - A^1 \Pi_u$ system. The lines marked with \blacklozenge belong to the v = 0-0 band for the $B^1\Delta_{g}-A^1\Pi_{u}$ system. The lines marked with \Diamond belong to the $B^3\Sigma_{g}-a^3\Pi_{u}$ system as assigned in Ref. [3.](#page-12-2)

TABLE II. $B'^1 \Sigma_g^+ - A^1 \Pi_u$ transitions with $B'^1 \Sigma_g^+$ v = 4 (in cm⁻¹). Observed minus calculated in units of 10^{-4} cm⁻¹. \overline{a}

^aNot included in the least-squares fit.

^bWith reduced weight in the least-squares fit.

TABLE III. (*Continued.*)

3 8133.0832 −38

5 8134.4811 95[b](#page-6-2) 8118.4356 −9 7 8134.0921 −12 8112.7153 −36 8094.0049 −87[b](#page-6-2) 9 8131.9513 2 8105.2453 29 8081.2181 179[b](#page-6-2) 11 8128.0408 −32 8096.0049 −23 8066.6339 3 13 8122.3719 9 8085.0134 −1 8050.3192 39 15 8114.9309 −3 8072.2587 −29 8032.2472 3 17 8105.7164 −73^{[a](#page-6-1)} 8057.7531 11 19 8094.7502 25 8041.4792 −59 21 8023.4640 27 7967.5617 40 23 8067.4971 97^{[b](#page-6-2)} 8003.6790 −22 7942.4932 −124^b 25 8051.2069 51 7982.1481 28 27 7958.8547 2 29 7933.8126 34 33 7878.4563 −22 7-4 band J R_{ff} O-C Q_{ef} O-C P_{ff} O-C 2 6612.5997 −14 4 6623.1250 −11 6609.7700 79[a](#page-6-1) 6599.0778 79[a](#page-6-1) 6 6624.0028 −34 6605.3040 31 6589.2615 −43 8 6623.2573 −27 6599.2035 −143[b](#page-6-2) 6577.8340 −94[b](#page-6-2) 10 6620.8915 50 6591.5126 −3 6564.7979 −63 12 6616.8841 −6 6582.1829 −36 6550.1511 14 14 6611.2658 120^{[b](#page-6-2)} 6571.2378 −13 6533.8874 58 16 6558.6574 −136^{[b](#page-6-2)} 6515.9983 −31 18 6595.1021 7 6544.4823 −5 20 6584.5771 −14 6528.6759 10 22 6572.4254 18 6511.2491 11 6452.7033 −34 24 6492.1992 −36 26 6543.2208 52 6471.5395 −5 30 6507.4760 23 32 6399.8557 11 34 6372.7304 2 J R_{ee} O-C Q_{fe} O-C P_{ee} O-C 3 6622.0801 13 6611.3914 48 5 6623.7789 38 6607.7417 18 7 6623.8584 114[b](#page-6-2) 6602.4731 4 6583.7698 24 9 6622.2947 10 6595.5789 −61 6571.5501 73^{[a](#page-6-1)} 11 6619.1286 145^{[b](#page-6-2)} 6587.0777 5 6557.7075 39 13 6576.9502 5 6542.2606 91^{[b](#page-6-2)} 15 6607.8440 −283[b](#page-6-2) 6565.2016 −11 6525.1873 −7 17 6599.8046 −39 6551.8497 129[b](#page-6-2) 6506.5161 13 19 6590.1201 51 6536.8515 −9

21 6578.7928 15 6520.2498 −3 6464.3433 −31 23 6565.8472 104^{[b](#page-6-2)} 6502.0466 161^b 6440.8670 121^b

27 6535.0318 −10 6389.0720 52

25 6551.2540 32 6482.1973 30

29 6517.1835 10 6437.6691 −63

 \overline{a}

064301-4 Chen *et al.* J. Chem. Phys. **144**, 064301 (2016)

7-3 band $J \t\t Ree$ O-C Q_{fe} O-C P_{ee} O-C

TABLE III. (*Continued.*)

TABLE III. (*Continued.*)

			8-5 band			
J	$R_{\rm ff}$	$O-C$	Q_{ef}	$O-C$	$P_{\rm ff}$	$O-C$
12			6321.2661	11	6289.6301	$-76a$
14	6349.8431	35	6310.3464	95 ^b	6273.4552	-20
16	6342.5326	-1			6255.6658	-18
18	6333.5957	-24				
20			6267.8552	68		
22			6250.4544	16		
24			6231.4410	-11		
J	R_{ee}	$O-C$	Q_{fe}	$O-C$	P_{ee}	$O-C$
3	6360.9681	-15	6350.4150	10		
5	6362.6131	91 ^b	6346.7785	49		
7	6362.6131	-38	6341.5182	27		
9	6360.9681	$-392b$	6334.6380	-19		
11			6326.1461	-9	6297.1401	$-86a$
13	6352.9197	28	6316.0454	82 ^a	6281.7767	-59
15	6346.4328	-15			6264.8165	84 ^a
17	6338.3321	63				
19			6276.0121	13		

^aWith reduced weight in the least-squares fit.

^bNot included in the least-squares fit.

^cWith known perturbation in the $A¹\Pi$ _u state.

the energy term of the $B^1\Delta_g$ state as

$$
E = T_{e} + \omega_{e} \left(v + \frac{1}{2} \right) - \omega_{e} x_{e} \left(v + \frac{1}{2} \right)^{2}
$$

+ $\omega_{e} y_{e} \left(v + \frac{1}{2} \right)^{3} + \omega_{e} z_{e} \left(v + \frac{1}{2} \right)^{4} + \left(B_{e} - \alpha_{e} \left(v + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right)$
+ $\gamma_{e} \left(v + \frac{1}{2} \right)^{2} + \delta_{e} \left(v + \frac{1}{2} \right)^{3} \right) J \left(J + 1 \right)$
- $\left(D_{e} + \beta_{e} \left(v + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \zeta_{e} \left(v + \frac{1}{2} \right)^{2} \right) J^{2} \left(J + 1 \right)^{2}$
+ $H_{e} J^{3} \left(J + 1 \right)^{3}$ (1)

was carried out satisfactorily for up to $v = 8$, as shown in Table [V](#page-7-1) and in the supplementary material^{[16](#page-12-15)} for the detailed fit. For comparison, the results of Douay *et al.*, [6](#page-12-5) which were derived from the effective molecular constants for the individual levels up to $v = 5$, are also shown in Table [V.](#page-7-1) Three higher order constants $\omega_{e}z_{e}$, δ_{e} , and H_{e} were obtained in this work. Some transitions with *J* lower than 25 for $B^1\Delta_g$ v = 6 showed a small perturbation of about 0.02 cm⁻¹ and were not included in the global fit. We consider that this perturbation is caused by the spin-orbit interaction with the nearby $v = 0$ level of the $d^3\Pi_{\rm g}$ state (about 170 cm⁻¹ higher). In Sec. [IV,](#page-7-2) we will show that many perturbations observed previously can be identified as interactions near level crossings between the $B^1\Delta_g$ and $d^3\Pi_g$ states.

In contrast to the $B^1\Delta_g$ state, the molecular constants for the $B'^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+}$ state are anomalous as pointed out by Douay *et al.*^{[6](#page-12-5)} Effective molecular constants for the individual vibrational levels up to $v = 4$ of the $B'^{1} \Sigma_{g}^{+}$ state were obtained as shown in Table [VI.](#page-7-3) In comparison with the results of Douay *et al.*[6](#page-12-5) for v up to 3, all the constants are in good agreement except for the H_v constants, since we have extended the analysis to higher *J* values. We also tried a global fit for the 17 bands of the $B'^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+}-A^{1}\Pi_{u}$ system using Dunham parameters, but the standard deviation of about 0.3 cm[−]¹ for the fit was not acceptable. Therefore, a fit for the effective molecular constants listed in Table [VI](#page-7-3) led to the Dunham parameters (with the same definition as the above for the $B^1\Delta_g$ state) as shown in Table [VII.](#page-7-0) The present result with v up to 4 showed that $\omega_e y_e$, γ_e , and even $\omega_e x_e$ (with a value of 0.10(11) cm⁻¹ if fitted) were indeterminable, and we fixed them to zero. In the fitted) were indeterminable, and we fixed them to zero. In the fit of Douay *et al.* with v up to 3, they obtained exact values for the four Dunham parameters from four vibrational levels up to $v = 3.6$ $v = 3.6$ If $\omega_e y_e$ is fixed to zero, $\omega_e x_e$ becomes very small
and indeterminable. So we listed also a fit for y up to 3 with and indeterminable. So we listed also a fit for v up to 3 with ω_e _{xe} and ω_e _{ye} fixed to zero in Table [VII,](#page-7-0) which gives a much better prediction (about 0.2 cm⁻¹ shift) for the band positions involved with $y = 4$ of the $B'^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+}$ state. The ζ_{g} constant for the $(n+1/2)^{2}$ term of the centrifugal distortion expansions the $(v + 1/2)^2$ term of the centrifugal distortion expansions
was required to account for the nonlinear dependence of D was required to account for the nonlinear dependence of D_v on $v + 1/2$ in Table [VI.](#page-7-3) The anomalously large value of ζ_e ,

TABLE IV. Effective molecul[a](#page-6-4)r constants for the $B^1\Delta_g$ state (in cm⁻¹).^a

		$T_v^{\,b}$		B_{v}	$D_v \times 10^6$		
V	This work	Douay et al. ^c	This work	Douay et al. ^c	This work	Douay et al. \degree	
θ	11 859.0998(3)	11 859.0980(2)	1.4552645(13)	1.455 273 3(21)	6.3186(10)	6.3259(13)	
$\mathbf{1}$	13 243.6383(3)	13 243.6377(3)	1.438 423 1(10)	1.438 427 7(24)	6.3376(5)	6.3420(16)	
2	14 605.3101(4)	14 605.3115(4)	1.421 551 4(16)	1.421 552 1(28)	6.3613(12)	6.3575(24)	
3	15 944.1788(4)	15 944.1799(4)	1.4046440(19)	1.404 642 0(30)	6.3801(17)	6.3671(29)	
$\overline{4}$	17 260.3029 (4)	17 260.3030(12)	1.387 705 0(22)	1.387 721 0(80)	6.3900(21)	6.4035(85)	
.5	18 553.7491(6)	18 553.7486(9)	1.370 744 3(38)	1.370 739 3(81)	6.4115(41)	6.388(14)	
6	19824.5495(6)		1.353 798 5(31)		6.4578(30)		
7	21 072.8585(6)		1.336 724 9(33)		6.4474(32)		
8	22 298.673(3)		1.319 668(28)		6.452(43)		

^aNumbers in parentheses are one standard deviation in the last digits.

^bEnergy term values relative to $X^1\Sigma_g^+$ v = 0.

^cReference [6.](#page-12-5)

TABLE V. Dunh[a](#page-7-4)m parameters for the $B^1\Delta_g$ state (in cm⁻¹).^a

	This work ^b	Douay et al. ^c
$T_{\rm e}$	12 082.343 55(54)	12 082.3360(40)
ω_{e}	1407.450 92(77)	1407.465 29(134)
$\omega_{\rm e}x_{\rm e}$	11.471 37(34)	11.479 37(60)
$\omega_{\rm e} y_{\rm e}$	0.008524(58)	0.010256(73)
ω _e z _e	0.0001288(34)	
$B_{\rm e}$	1.463 673 2(16)	1.463 685 3(34)
α_e	0.016 809 25(92)	0.0168161(35)
γ _e \times 10 ⁵	$-1.712(25)$	$-1.503(72)$
$\delta_e \times 10^7$	1.81(21)	
$D_e \times 10^6$	6.3063(16)	6.3188(19)
$\beta_e \times 10^8$	1.778(25)	1.492(113)
$H_e \times 10^{12}$	$-1.75(44)$	

aNumbers in parentheses are one standard deviation in the last digits.

^bObtained directly by a global fit for 1261 transitions with a standard deviation of 0.0024 cm⁻¹.

 c Obtained from the effective constants (Ref. [6\)](#page-12-5).

which has the same magnitude as the β_e constant (Table [VII\)](#page-7-0), and also the small value of α_e , when compared with the values of about 0.016 cm⁻¹ for other electronic states of C_2 , may mean that there is a vibrational perturbation of the $B'^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+}$ state.

IV. DISCUSSION

A. Interaction between the $B'^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+}$ and $X^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+}$ **potential curves**

The anharmonic constants $\omega_e x_e$ can be estimated using
Pekaris relation¹⁷ as $\omega_x = R(\omega_x/(6R^2) + 1)^2$ which the Pekeris relation^{[17](#page-12-16)} as $\omega_e x_e = B_e (\omega_e \alpha_e/(6B_e^2) + 1)^2$, which
holds very nicely for all the low-lying electronic states of C_2 holds very nicely for all the low-lying electronic states of C_2 , as shown in Table [VIII,](#page-7-7) except for the $B'^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+}$ and $X^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+}$ states, as pointed out by Douay *et al.*[6](#page-12-5) Therefore, the anomalously small $\omega_e x_e \approx 0.1(1)$ cm⁻¹ obtained for $B'^{1}\Sigma_g^+$ must be an effective value, which implies that some interaction with effective value, which implies that some interaction with other electronic states distorted the anharmonic potential curve back to a near-harmonic shape, at least up to $v = 4$. A possible mechanism for this distortion is considered below.

The vibrational term values for the $X^1\Sigma_g^+$ state are known up to $v = 9¹⁸$ $v = 9¹⁸$ $v = 9¹⁸$ In our previous global analysis,^{[3](#page-12-2)} we fitted

TABLE VII. Dunh[a](#page-7-8)m parameters for the $B'^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+}$ state (in cm⁻¹).^a

		This work	Douay et al. ^b			
$T_{\rm e}$	15410.33(36)	15410.77(59)	$15\,409.1390^{\circ}$	$15\,410.36(55)^d$		
$\omega_{\rm e}$	1420.36 (13)	1419.84(55)	1424.11890 ^c	$1420.35(24)$ ^d		
$\omega_e x_e$	0 (fixed)	0.10(11)	2.57113c	0 (fixed)		
$\omega_{\rm e} y_{\rm e}$	0 (fixed)	0 (fixed)	0.46398 ^c	0 (fixed)		
$B_{\rm e}$		1.479 67(82)	1.481 01(30)			
α_e		0.00943(29)	0.01175(46)			
$\gamma_e \times 10^5$		0 (fixed)	67(14)			
$D_e \times 10^6$		6.785(103)	6.8596(136)			
$\beta_e \times 10^6$		$-0.336(97)$	$-0.1581(143)$			
$\zeta_e \times 10^6$		0.1002(189)				

^aNumbers in parentheses are one standard deviation in the last digits.

^bReference [6.](#page-12-5)

^cExact values solved from the four T_v constants of v = 0–3 in Ref. [6.](#page-12-5)

^dObtained by fitting from the four T_v constants of v = 0–3 for comparison in this work.

TABLE VIII. Calculated values for $\omega_e x_e$ of C_2 from the Pekeris relation $(cm^{-1}).$

	Calculated	Experimental	Expt.-calculated
$X^1\Sigma_{\rm g}{}^+$	13.0	14.6(1) ^a	1.6
$A^1\Pi_{\mathrm{u}}$	12.1	$12.079(2)^{b}$	0.0
$a^3\Pi_{\rm u}$	11.9	$11.6490(4)^{b}$	-0.3
$b^3\Sigma_{\rm g}^-$	11.6	11.1355(4) ^b	-0.5
	11.8	$11.4742(4)^{c}$	-0.3
$B^1\Delta_{g}$ $B'^1\Sigma_{g}$ ⁺	6.0	$0.1(1)^{c}$	-5.9

^aFrom Table [IX.](#page-8-0)

b_{Taken} from Ref. [3.](#page-12-2)

^cFrom the present study.

the bands up to $v = 6$ with $G(v) = \omega_e$ $v + \frac{1}{2}$ $-\omega_e x_e$ $v + \frac{1}{2}$ $\sqrt{2}$ + $\omega_e y_e (v + \frac{1}{2})$
Dunham na $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ a $\sqrt{3}$ + $\omega_e z_e (v + \frac{1}{2})$
neters obtain $\sqrt{4}$ + $\omega_e a_e (v + \frac{1}{2})$ \int_{0}^{2} . Although the Dunham parameters obtained reproduced the transition wavenumbers accurately up to $v = 6$, the calculated term value of $v = 9$ is about 1.2 cm⁻¹ away from the observed value. To simulate the potential curve to higher vibrational energy, we refit the term values up to $v = 9$ with a $G(v)$ expansions with just four terms, as shown in Table IX , which reproduces the vibrational term values to within 0.2 cm⁻¹. The next expansion constant $\omega_e a_e$ was not determinable, so was not included in the fit.

The Dunham parameters of the $B'^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+}$ and $X^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+}$ states in Tables [VII](#page-7-0) and [IX](#page-8-0) were input to Le Roy's "RKR" program^{[19](#page-12-18)}

TABLE VI. Effective molecul[a](#page-7-15)r constants for the individual vibrational levels of the $B'^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+}$ state (in cm⁻¹).^a

		$T_p^{\mathbf{b}}$		B_v		$D_n \times 10^6$	$H_v \times 10^{10}$	
V	This work	Douay <i>et al.</i> ^{\circ}	This work	Douay <i>et al.</i> ^{\circ}	This work	Douay et al. ^c	This work	Douay et al. ^c
θ	15 196.5142(4)	15 196.5116(4)	1.475 267 1(32)	1.475 312 4(42)	6.6531(54)	6.7810(95)	1.260(24)	2.220(66)
1	16 616.9992(4)	16 616.9962(4)	1.464 779 1(29)	1.464 823 0(52)	6.5022(50)	6.621(14)	1.234(24)	2.17(11)
2	18 036.5190(4)	18 036.5144(8)	1.456 062 1(28)	1.456 135(11)	6.5162(49)	6.744(35)	1.382(22)	3.38(30)
3	19457.5828(4)	$19\,457.5801(9)^d$	1.447 840 5(42)	1.447 863(17)	6.9132(99)	6.881(63)	2.222(62)	
$\overline{4}$	20 878.0255(6)		1.436 645 9(96)		7.272(37)		3.51(35)	

^aNumbers in parentheses are one standard deviation in the last digits.

^bEnergy term values relative to $X^1\Sigma_g^+$ v = 0.

^cReference [6.](#page-12-5)

^dCorrected to 19 457.8501(9) cm⁻¹ which was misprinted in Ref. [6.](#page-12-5)

TABLE IX. Derived Dunham parameters and vibrational term values for the $X^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+}$ state (cm⁻¹).

ω_{e}	$\omega_{e}x_{e}$	$\omega_e y_e$	ω _e z_e
1856.62(36)	14.61(14)	0.141(22)	$-0.0260(11)$
V		T_{v}	Observed-calculated ^a
Ω		θ	0.07
1		1827.4849(2) ^b	-0.17
2		3626.6835(2) ^b	0.02
3		5 396.6892(4) ^b	0.14
$\overline{4}$		$7136.3507(6)$ ^b	0.06
5		$8844.1241(11)^{b}$	-0.10
6		$10517.9659(39)^{b}$	-0.11
7		12 154.9615(29) ^c	0.03
8		$13751.3944(38)^c$	0.12
9		$15\,302.8952(46)^c$	-0.06

 $\sqrt[3]{\text{observed }T_v}$ minus calculation from the above constants.

bDetermined from the observation in Ref. [15.](#page-12-14)

^cDetermined from the observation in Ref. [18.](#page-12-17)

to calculate the RKR (Rydberg-Klein-Rees) potential curves, which are shown as the solid lines in Fig. [3.](#page-8-4) When we assume that the anharmonic expansion constants $\omega_e x_e$ in both the $B'{}^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+}$ and $X^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+}$ states are 12 cm⁻¹ as for most of the other electronic states, the dashed curves in Fig. [3](#page-8-4) were obtained, which cross near the energy of 30 000 cm⁻¹. Since the $B'{}^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+}$ and $X^1\Sigma_g^+$ states have the same symmetry, the solid RKR potential curves can be regarded as the result of an avoided crossing 20 20 20 of the dashed curves in Fig. [3,](#page-8-4) and the anomalous $\omega_e x_e$ values for the $B'^1 \Sigma_g^+$ and $X^1 \Sigma_g^+$ states, especially the

FIG. 3. The RKR potentials for the $X^1\Sigma_g^+$ and $B'^1\Sigma_g^+$ states. The solid lines are calculated with the Dunham parameters in Tables [IX](#page-8-0) and [VII.](#page-7-0) They may cross around 30 000 cm⁻¹ in the case of $\omega_e x_e = 12$ cm⁻¹ (a regular value in Co) and are shown as dashed lines C_2) and are shown as dashed lines.

FIG. 4. Rovibrational term values for the $d^3\Pi$ _g state (line with open circles) and the $B^1\Delta_g$ state (line with solid dots).

TABLE X. Calculated and observed perturbations around level crossings (in cm^{-1}).

$B^1\Delta_{\bf g}$		$d^3\Pi_{\rm g}$									
V	J	E_B^a	$\mathbf V$	J	F	$E_d^{\mathbf{b}}$	Δ^{c}	$ \langle v_B v_d \rangle ^d$	$W^{\mathbf{e}}$	$\delta^{\rm f}$	$r(\%)^g$
7	50	24 4 39.58	0	50	\overline{c}	24 397.74	41.84	0.128	1.68	0.03	0.1
7	51	24 572.51	$\boldsymbol{0}$	51	\overline{c}	24 574.74	-2.24	0.128	1.68	0.42(0.56)	16.2
7	52	24 707.90	$\boldsymbol{0}$	52	\overline{c}	24 749.79	-41.89	0.128	1.68	0.03	0.1
7	54	24986.06	$\boldsymbol{0}$	54	$\mathbf{1}$	24932.46	53.60	0.128	1.68	0.02	0.1
7	55	25 128.80	$\boldsymbol{0}$	55	$\mathbf{1}$	25 114.51	14.29	0.128	1.68	0.08	0.6
7	56	25 273.99	$\boldsymbol{0}$	56	1	25 304.28	-30.29	0.128	1.68	0.04	0.2
8	35	23951.20	1	35	$\mathfrak{2}$	23 909.61	41.59	0.221	2.83	0.08	0.2
8	36	24 045.01	$\mathbf{1}$	36	\overline{c}	24 030.91	14.10	0.221	2.83	0.23(0.27)	1.9
8	37	24 141.36	$\mathbf{1}$	37	$\mathfrak{2}$	24 158.86	-17.50	0.221	2.83	0.19(0.37)	1.3
8	38	24 24 0.23	1	38	\overline{c}	24 28 6.71	-46.48	0.221	2.83	0.07	0.2
8	40	24 4 45.55	$\mathbf{1}$	40	$\mathbf{1}$	24 4 20.10	25.45	0.221	2.83	0.13	0.6
8	41	24 5 5 1.98	1	41	$\mathbf{1}$	24 5 5 5 . 1 6	-3.17	0.221	2.83	$0.84(0.74)^h$	20.7
8	42	24 660.92	1	42	$\mathbf{1}$	24 69 6.04	-35.12	0.221	2.83	0.10	0.3
9	6	23 5 5 6.79	2	6	$\mathfrak{2}$	23 5 3 4 . 4 9	22.29	0.272	3.48	0.23(0.11)	1.2
9	7	23 575.01	$\sqrt{2}$	7	\overline{c}	23 558.61	16.40	0.272	3.48	0.30(0.18)	2.1
9	8	23 595.84	2	8	\overline{c}	23 5 8 5 . 2 4	10.60	0.272	3.48	0.46(0.34)	4.7
9	9	23 619.27	\overline{c}	9	\overline{c}	23616.31	2.96	0.272	3.48	1.21(1.13)	27.9
9	10	23 645.30	$\mathfrak{2}$	10	$\boldsymbol{2}$	23 649.73	-4.43	0.272	3.48	0.95(0.91)	17.9
9	11	23673.92	2	11	2	23 687.70	-13.78	0.272	3.48	0.36(0.40)	2.9
9	12	23 705.14	2	12	\overline{c}	23727.88	-22.75	0.272	3.48	0.22(0.23)	1.1
9	12	23 705.14	\overline{c}	12	$\mathbf{1}$	23 683.57	21.57	0.272	3.48	0.23(0.18)	1.3
9	13	23738.95	2	13	$\mathbf{1}$	23 724.64	14.31	0.272	3.48	0.35(0.39)	2.7
9	14	23775.35	$\overline{2}$	14	$\mathbf{1}$	23769.18	6.17	0.272	3.48	0.73(0.78)	11.2
9	15	23814.34	$\mathfrak{2}$	15	$\mathbf{1}$	23816.93	-2.59	0.272	3.48	1.31(1.41)	32.1
9	16	23855.92	2	16	$\mathbf{1}$	23868.25	-12.33	0.272	3.48	0.40(0.49)	3.6
9	17	23 900.08	$\overline{2}$	17	$\mathbf{1}$	23922.68	-22.61	0.272	3.48	0.22(0.26)	1.2
10	53	28 309.05	\overline{c}	53	\overline{c}	28 28 1.76	27.29	0.231	2.96	0.13	0.6
10	54	28 4 43.79	\overline{c}	54	\overline{c}	28 457.78	-13.99	0.231	2.96	0.26	2.1
10	57	28 862.01	2	57	$\mathbf{1}$	28825.70	36.31	0.231	2.96	0.10	0.3
10	58	29 006.05	2	58	$\mathbf{1}$	29017.72	-11.67	0.231	2.96	0.31	2.9
11	40	27904.67	\mathfrak{Z}	40	2	27874.45	30.23	0.257	3.29	0.15	0.6
11	41	28 006.88	\mathfrak{Z}	41	$\mathbf{2}$	28 012.19	-5.31	0.257	3.29	0.75	12.9
11	42	28 111.49	3	42	$\mathfrak{2}$	28 149.21	-37.71	0.257	3.29	0.12	0.4
11	44	28 327.90	3	44	$\mathbf{1}$	28 29 2.35	35.55	0.257	3.29	0.13	0.4
11	45	28 439.68	3	45	$\mathbf{1}$	28 4 36.18	3.50	0.257	3.29	1.01	22.0
11	46	28 5 5 3 . 8 3	3	46	$\mathbf{1}$	28 5 8 6.49	-32.66	0.257	3.29	0.14	0.5
12	21	27 555.44	$\overline{4}$	21	$\sqrt{2}$	27 533.32	22.12	0.242	3.10	0.18	1.0
12	22	27610.22	$\overline{4}$	22	$\boldsymbol{2}$	27 604.91	5.30	0.242	3.10	$0.67(0.90)$ ^h	11.9
12	23	27 667.46	4	23	$\mathbf{2}$	27681.78	-14.32	0.242	3.10	0.28(0.49)	2.2
12	26	27853.92	4	26	$\,1$	27 841.40	12.51	0.242	3.10	$0.31(0.07)^{i}$	2.8
12	27	27920.97	$\overline{4}$	27	$\,1$	27926.45	-5.48	0.242	3.10	$0.66(0.43)^{i}$	11.3
12	28	27990.46	4	28	$\mathbf{1}$	28 016.06	-25.60	0.242	3.10	$0.16(0.35)^{i}$	0.7

a^{cculated} with the constants from this work in Table [V](#page-7-1) and after subtraction of 924.102 cm⁻¹, which is the zero point energy of $X^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+}$ v = 0 from Ref. [3.](#page-12-2)

bCalculated with the constants from Ref. [13](#page-12-12) and the addition of 613.650 cm⁻¹, which is the energy gap between v = 0 of $a^3\Pi_a$ and $X^1\Sigma_g^+$ from Ref. [3.](#page-12-2)

^cEnergy difference $\Delta = E_B - E_d$.

^dOverlap integrals calculated with Le Roy's "LEVEL" program (Ref. [22\)](#page-12-20).

^eOff-diagonal spin-orbit interaction $W = |A_{dB} \langle v_B | v_d \rangle|$, where $|A_{dB}| = 8.3(1)$ cm⁻¹ is an averaged value from Table [XI.](#page-10-0)

f Perturbation shift $\delta = \sqrt{(\Delta/2)^2 + W^2} - |\Delta/2|$. Values in parentheses are from the observation.

^gContribution (squared coefficient) ratio $r = 1/(1 + \Delta/\delta)$ for $B^1\Delta_g$ to $d^3\Pi_g$, calculated for comparison with the intensity ratio of the forbidden transition to the allowed ones in Table [XI.](#page-10-0)

hReassigned by this work.

ⁱ Assigned by this work.

TABLE XI. Observed forbidden and allowed transitions at level crossing of the $B^1\Delta_g$ and $d^3\Pi_g$ states.

		$B^1\Delta_{\rm g}$ – $a^3\Pi_{\rm u}$ (forbidden)			$d^3\Pi_g - a^3\Pi_u$ (allowed)				
J^{\prime} - $J^{\prime\prime}$	v' - v''	$v \, (cm^{-1})$	v' - v''	F' - F''	$v \, (cm^{-1})$	$\delta^{\rm a}$	W^{b}	$ A_{dB} ^c$	$r(\%)^d$
41-40	$8-0(F_1)$	21421.436	$1-0$	$1 - 1$	21 426.120	0.92	1.94	8.78	$(4.6)^e$
41-40	$8-1(F_1)$	19829.205	$1 - 1$	$1 - 1$	19833.893	0.74	1.70	7.70	15.8
9-8	$9-1(F_2)$	21 272.042	$2 - 1$	$2 - 2$	21 266.761	-1.08	2.09	7.68	27.4
$9-10$	$9-1(F_2)$	21211.265	$2 - 1$	$2 - 2$	21 205.888	-1.13	2.15	7.90	(39) ^e
$9 - 8$	$9-3(F_2)$	18 108.320	$2 - 3$	$2 - 2$	18 103.004	-1.16	2.19	8.04	19.7
$10-9$	$9-0(F_2)$	22884.228	$2 - 0$	$2 - 2$	22 890.465	0.92	2.22	8.16	$(69)^e$
$10 - 11$	$9-0(F_2)$	22816.427	$2 - 0$	$2 - 2$	22 822.567	0.94	2.25	8.26	$(45)^e$
$10-9$	$9-1(F_2)$	21 267.868	$2 - 1$	$2 - 2$	21 273.930	0.92	2.22	8.16	13.6
$10 - 11$	$9-1(F_2)$	21 200.641	$2 - 1$	$2 - 2$	21 206.702	0.91	2.20	8.10	13.6
$10-9$	$9-2(F_2)$	19674.611	$2 - 2$	$2 - 2$	19680.722	0.92	2.22	8.16	$(45)^e$
$10-9$	$9-3(F_2)$	18 104.737	$2 - 3$	$2 - 2$	18 110.848	0.92	2.22	8.16	12.1
$10 - 11$	$9-3(F_2)$	18038.934	$2 - 3$	$2 - 2$	18 045 050	0.93	2.23	8.21	(4) ^e
$14 - 13$	$9-1(F_1)$	21 297.543	$2 - 1$	$1 - 1$	21 289.964	-0.78	2.33	8.56	$(22)^e$
$14 - 13$	$9-2(F_1)$	19705.519	$2 - 2$	$1 - 1$	19697.852	-0.80	2.36	8.68	5.5
14-13	$9-3(F_1)$	18 136.748	$2 - 3$	$1 - 1$	18 129.098	-0.80	2.36	8.68	8.3
15-14	$9-0(F_1)$	22 907.132	$2 - 0$	$1 - 1$	22912.822	1.37	2.33	8.56	(58) ^e
$15 - 14$	$9-2(F_1)$	19700.759	$2 - 2$	$1 - 1$	19706.228	1.40	2.36	8.69	25.1
$15 - 14$	$9-3(F_1)$	18 132.439	$2 - 3$	$1 - 1$	18 137.933	1.40	2.36	8.69	28.0
15-16	$9-3(F_1)$	18040.876	$2 - 3$	$1 - 1$	18 046.302	1.33	2.28	8.39	$(12)^e$

^aPerturbation shift between observation and calculation for the allowed (Swan band) transition in cm⁻¹.

 ${}^{\text{b}}W = \sqrt{\delta(\delta + |\Delta|)}$, where Δ was taken from Table [X.](#page-9-9)

 $\binom{c}{AB} = W/|\langle v_B | v_d \rangle|$, where $|\langle v_B | v_d \rangle|$ was taken from Table [X.](#page-9-9)

^dObserved intensity ratio of the forbidden transition to the allowed ones in percentage.

e Incorrect ratio due to the overlapped line intensity for the allowed or forbidden transitions.

large effect on the lower vibrational levels in $B'^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+}$, are a direct result of the avoided crossing between the two states with the same ${}^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+}$ symmetry. The assumption for the "original" $\omega_e x_e = 12 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ in both the $B'^1 \Sigma_g^+$ and $X^1 \Sigma_g^+$ states is just a crude approximation. The two "original" potential curves may just approach each other closely instead of crossing, but the two potential curves should also be distorted mutually by the near-avoided-crossing. The distortion should also affect the higher order anharmonic constants in both the $B'^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+}$ and $X^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+}$ states and a detailed simultaneous analysis of the two states is required to determine all the parameters quantitatively. High-level *ab initio* calculations take this interaction into account automatically and therefore obtain reasonable estimates for the observed $\omega_e x_e$ values.^{[7,](#page-12-6)[8](#page-12-7)}

B. Perturbation near the level crossing $\mathbf{p}^1 \Delta_{\mathbf{g}}$ and $\mathbf{d}^3 \Pi_{\mathbf{g}}$

For finding the perturbations between the $B^1\Delta_g$ and $d^3\Pi_g$ states, we plotted the rovibrational levels of the two states between 20 000 and 30 000 cm^{-1} in Fig. [4](#page-8-5) and listed the term values of the levels around the level crossing in Table X . The $B^1\Delta_g$ state has $\Omega = 2$ and the $d^3\Pi_g$ state has $\Omega = 2$, 1, and 0 which correspond to the F_1 , F_2 , and F_3 spin components, respectively, so the F_3 component of $d^3\Pi_g$ does not interact with the $B^1\Delta_g$ state because $\Delta\Omega = 2$. The term values in Table [X](#page-9-9) were calculated without considering the perturbation interactions, and the perturbation shift and the intensity ratio of the forbidden to the allowed transitions borrowed from the level mixing in Table X were estimated with the perturbation treatment for interaction between only two levels, 21 21 21 that is, two levels separated by ∆ before interaction are shifted up and down by $\delta = \sqrt{(\Delta/2)^2 + W^2} - |\Delta/2|$ due to the interaction *W*, and the mixed wave functions have a contribution (squared coefficients) ratio of the parent state to the perturber as $1 + \Delta/\delta$. The v = 0 level of the $d^3\Pi_g$ state does not cross $R^{1}\Lambda$, y – 6 and perturbs it only slightly as observed in this $B¹\Delta_{g}$ v = 6 and perturbs it only slightly as observed in this work. $d^3\Pi_g$ v = 0 F₂ crosses $B^1\Delta_g$ v = 7 around $J = 51$, which leads to a 0.43 cm⁻¹ shift predicted in Table [X,](#page-9-9) as compared with the 0.56 cm⁻¹ perturbation observed.^{[13](#page-12-12)} A possible observation for the corresponding forbidden transitions at a lower wavenumber of $|\Delta| + 2\delta = 3.1$ cm⁻¹ from the allowed ones is predicted with a 16% intensity of the allowed one, which was not identified due to some overlapping lines.

In this way, many perturbations observed previously^{[13](#page-12-12)} or rechecked by this work were confirmed as shown in Table **X**. For $d^3\Pi_g$ v = 2, the calculated perturbations around the crossing levels of $B^1\Delta_g$ v = 9 were all confirmed and the predicted forbidden transitions from $B^1\Delta_g$ v = 9 to $a^3\Pi$ _u were identified as shown in Table [XI,](#page-10-0) among which several forbidden transitions corresponding to the allowed ones for $J = 9$ of $d^3\Pi_g$ v = 2 were noted previously without knowing the $B^1\Delta_g$ v = 9 perturber.^{[13](#page-12-12)} In Figs. [5](#page-11-0) and [6,](#page-11-1) several identified pairs of allowed and forbidden transitions are shown. For the individual pairs of the forbidden and allowed transitions in Table [XI,](#page-10-0) the interaction *W* was determined and the off-diagonal spin-orbit constant $|A_{dB}|$ was obtained by $W = |A_{dB}\langle v_B|v_d\rangle|$, where the overlap integrals were calculated with Le Roy's "LEVEL" program.^{[22](#page-12-20)} Finally, an average value $|A_{dB}| = 8.3(1)$ cm⁻¹ is obtained. The perturbations around

 (b)

FIG. 5. Two short sections of spectra, (a) and (b), that show forbidden transitions marked with * and corresponding allowed transitions for the perturbations between J = 9 and 10 of $d^3\Pi_g$ v = 2 and $B^1\Delta_g$ v = 9. The $d^3\Pi_g - a^3\Pi_u$ transitions are marked with ∇ (assigned previously) and ▼ (assigned in this study as P₃(33), P₂(34), P₁(35), and P₁(36) overlapped with P₂(35) of v = 2-3 from the left to the right in (a)).

the level crossings of $d^3\Pi_g$ v = 2 and $B^1\Delta_g$ v = 10 and of $d^3\Pi_g$ v = 3 and $B^1\Delta_g$ v = 11 could not be confirmed since such high *J* transitions were not assigned. As for the perturbations around the level crossings of $d^3\Pi_g$ v = 4 and $B¹\Delta_g$ v = 12, several perturbed transitions were found but with quite different perturbation shifts from the calculation as shown in Table X . This could be because the prediction for $B¹\Delta_g$ v = 12 was not reliable since the observations were for transitions up to $B^1\Delta_g$ v = 8 and also because of the heavy

FIG. 6. More forbidden transitions and corresponding allowed transitions. The symbols have the same meaning as in Fig. [5.](#page-11-0) (∇ in (a) was assigned as P₃ (38) of $v = 2-3$ in this study.)

perturbations in the $d^3\Pi_g$ v = 4 level, which means that the molecular constants were obtained from only a few high *J* transitions.[13](#page-12-12)

V. CONCLUSIONS

Assignments for the $B'^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+}-A^{1}\Pi_{u}$ and $B^{1}\Delta_{g}-A^{1}\Pi_{u}$ systems of C_2 have been extended to higher vibrational levels of the $B'^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+}$ and $B^{1}\Delta_{g}$ states. The anharmonic constant $\omega_{e}x_{e}$
of nearly zero obtained for $B'^{1}\Sigma^{+}$ indicates a distortion of the of nearly zero obtained for $B'^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+}$ indicates a distortion of the potential curve and was explained as the result of an avoided

crossing or a nearly avoided crossing with the $X^1\Sigma_g^+$ state. If an avoided crossing occurs, a nonadiabatic transition between the adiabatic $B'^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+}$ and $X^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+}$ potential curves should be possible for a rapid passage through the crossing region as a Landau-Zener transition, 23 which may be used to check the existence of this avoided crossing. The difficulty is that the two potential curves do not result in different products but converge to the same dissociation limit.

The higher vibrational levels of the $B¹\Delta_g$ state were found to be responsible for the many perturbations in the *d* ³Π^g state observed previously for the Swan band system. As proof, many forbidden transitions were identified at the level crossings. There are more level crossings between the *d* ³Π^g and $B^1\Delta_g$ states for higher vibrational and rotational levels, which will lead to more perturbations and more forbidden transitions to be observed.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI under Grant No. 15K05391. Some support was also provided by the NASA laboratory astrophysics program.

- ¹P. Bornhauser, Y. Sych, G. Knopp, T. Gerber, and P. P. Radi, [J. Chem. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3526747) 134, 044302 (2011).
- ²M. Nakajima and Y. Endo, [J. Mol. Spectrosc.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jms.2014.06.002) 302, 9 (2014); 305, [48](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jms.2014.10.002) (2014).
- ³W. Chen, K. Kawaguchi, P. F. Bernath, and J. Tang, [J. Chem. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4907530) 142, 064317 (2015).
- ⁴P. Bornhauser, R. Marquardt, C. Gourlaouen, G. Knopp, M. Beck, T. Gerber, J. A. van Bokhoven, and P. P. Radi, [J. Chem. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4913925) 142, 094313 (2015).
- ⁵J. G. Phillips, [J. Mol. Spectrosc.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-2852(68)90008-8) **28**, 233 (1968).
- ⁶M. Douay, R. Nietmann, and P. F. Bernath, [J. Mol. Spectrosc.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-2852(88)90237-8) 131, 261 (1988).
- ⁷D. Shi, X. Zhang, J. Sun, and Z. Zhu, [Mol. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00268976.2011.564593) 109, 1453 (2011).
- 8 J. S. Boschen, D. Theis, K. Ruedenberg, and T. L. Windus, [Theor. Chem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00214-013-1425-x) [Acc.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00214-013-1425-x) 133, 1425 (2014).
- ⁹P. M. Goodwin and T. A. Cool, [J. Mol. Spectrosc.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-2852(89)90258-0) **133**, 230 (1989).
- ¹⁰Y. Bao, R. S. Urdahl, and W. M. Jackson, [J. Chem. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.460300) 94, 808 (1991).
- ¹¹O. Sorkhabi, V. M. Blunt, H. Lin, D. Xu, J. Wrobel, R. Price, and W. M. Jackson, [J. Chem. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.475281) 107, 9842 (1997).
- ¹²T. Wakabayashi, A.-L. Ong, and W. Krätschmer, [J. Chem. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1457437) 116, 5996 (2002).
- ¹³A. Tanabashi, T. Hirao, T. Amano, and P. F. Bernath, [Astrophys. J., Suppl.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/510742) [Ser.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/510742) 169, 472 (2007).
- ¹⁴P. N. Ghosh, M. N. Deo, and K. Kawaguchi, [Astrophys. J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/307904) 525, 539 (1999).
- ¹⁵M. Douay, R. Nietmann, and P. F. Bernath, [J. Mol. Spectrosc.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-2852(88)90236-6) **131**, 250 (1988).
- ¹⁶See supplementary material at [http:](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4940907)[//](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4940907)[dx.doi.org](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4940907)[/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4940907)[10.1063](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4940907)/[1.4940907](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4940907) for total line list of the global analysis on the $B^1\Delta_{g}-A^1\Pi_{u}$ system.
- ¹⁷G. Herzberg, *Molecular Spectra and Molecular Structure, Spectra of Diatomic Molecules*, 2nd ed. (Van Nostrand-Reinhold, New York, 1950), Vol. 1, p. 108.
- ¹⁸M. Nakajima and Y. Endo, [J. Chem. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4851436) 139, 244310 (2013).
- $19R$. J. Le Roy, "RKR1 2.0: A Computer Program Implementing the First-Order RKR Method for Determining Diatomic Molecule Potential Energy Functions," University of Waterloo Chemical Physics Research Report CP-657R, See the "Computer Programs" link at [http:](http://leroy.uwaterloo.ca/programs)[//](http://leroy.uwaterloo.ca/programs)[leroy.uwaterloo.ca](http://leroy.uwaterloo.ca/programs)[/](http://leroy.uwaterloo.ca/programs) [programs](http://leroy.uwaterloo.ca/programs) 2004.
- ²⁰G. Herzberg, *Molecular Spectra and Molecular Structure, Spectra of Diatomic Molecules*, 2nd ed. (Van Nostrand-Reinhold, New York, 1950), Vol. 1, p. 295.
- ²¹L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Quantum Mechanics: Non-Relativistic Theory*, 3rd ed. (Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford, 1977), p. 140.
- $22R$. J. Le Roy, "LEVEL 7.7: A Computer Program for Solving the Radial Schrödinger Equation for Bound and Quasibound Levels," University of Waterloo Chemical Physics Research Report CP-661, See the "Computer Programs" link at [http:](http://leroy.uwaterloo.ca/programs)[//](http://leroy.uwaterloo.ca/programs)[leroy.uwaterloo.ca](http://leroy.uwaterloo.ca/programs)[/](http://leroy.uwaterloo.ca/programs)[programs](http://leroy.uwaterloo.ca/programs) 2005.
- ²³J. R. Rubbmark, M. M. Kash, M. G. Littman, and D. Kleppner, [Phys. Rev. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.23.3107) 23, 3107 (1981).