Old Dominion University ODU Digital Commons

Biological Sciences Faculty Publications

Biological Sciences

2008

Molecular Characterization and Related Aspects of the Innate Immune Response in Ticks

Daniel E. Sonenshine
Old Dominion University, dsonensh@odu.edu

Wayne L. Hynes Old Dominion University, whynes@odu.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.odu.edu/biology_fac_pubs

Part of the <u>Biology Commons</u>, and the <u>Parasitology Commons</u>

Repository Citation

Sonenshine, Daniel E. and Hynes, Wayne L., "Molecular Characterization and Related Aspects of the Innate Immune Response in Ticks" (2008). *Biological Sciences Faculty Publications*. 62. https://digitalcommons.odu.edu/biology_fac_pubs/62

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Biological Sciences at ODU Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Biological Sciences Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of ODU Digital Commons. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@odu.edu.

Final Galley

Molecular characterization and related aspects of the innate immune response in ticks

Daniel E. Sonenshine, Wayne L. Hynes

Department of Biological Sciences, Old Dominion University, Norfolk, Virginia USA

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- 1. Abstract
- 2. Introduction
- 3. Molecular basis for recognition of invasive agents
- 4. Epithelial immunity
- 5. Hemocytes: molecular basis of cellular immune response
 - 5.1. Hemocyte cell classification
 - 5.2. Hemocyte responses to infection
 - 5.3. Phagocytosis
 - 5.4. Nodulation
 - 5.5. Encapsulation
- 6. Humoral factors: molecular basis of humoral immune response
 - 6.1. Antimicrobial peptides
 - 6.1.1. Defensins
 - 6.1.2. Lysozymes
 - 6.2. Proteases
 - 6.3. Protease inhibitors
 - 6.4. Lectins
 - 6.5. Host serum proteins
 - 6.6. Transferrin
- 7. Coagulation factors
- 8. Salivary gland antimicrobial activity
- 9. Midgut antimicrobial activity
 - 9.1. Peritrophic membrane
 - 9.2. Antimicrobial peptides
 - 9.3. Midgut lectins and pathogen recognition proteins
 - 9.4. Proteases
 - 9.5. Protease inhibitors
 - 9.6. Oxidative stress/detoxifying proteins
- 10. Conclusion and perspectives
- 11. Acknowledgements
- 12. References

1. ABSTRACT

Compared to insects, little is known about innate immunity in ticks. This chapter addresses the molecular processes that recognize non-self and the cellular and molecular processes mobilized to phagocytose, engulf, inhibit or kill invaders. We discuss the receptors that recognize pathogen associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) and the putative up-regulation of regulatory cascades that lead, ultimately, to cellular or molecular responses. We describe the molecular events that activate the cellular processes and the array of humoral factors that are mobilized against invading organisms, including antimicrobial peptides, proteases and protease inhibitors, lectins, coagulation factors and others. Special attention is directed to the antimicrobial activity of the midgut, the initial site of contact for microbes ingested with the blood. Blood feeding and digestion alone up-regulates an impressive array of proteins, e.g. oxidative stress reducing proteins, lectins, protease inhibitors, proteases, hydrolases, protein/lipid binding agents. Finally, we compare the innate immune responses of ticks with insects and other invertebrates and note deficiencies in our knowledge tick innate immunity.

2. INTRODUCTION

The evolution of animals from the simplest single-celled organisms to complex metazoans, including humans, would not have been possible without the development of effective defenses against microbial invasion. This ability to defend against infection by pathogenic organisms is termed immunity. An efficient immune system which enables the animal to recognize and eliminate, or at least control invading pathogens, obviously has high adaptive value. The most ancient and widespread form of this defense is the innate immune system which recognizes and responds to conserved features of invading organisms so as to inhibit or destroy them. This system is present in some form or other in all eukaryotes, while acquired immunity (also known as adaptive immunity) is a specialized type found only in vertebrates. The innate immune system uses the panoply of genes that encode intracellular signaling pathways leading from the cell surface to the activation of either the Toll NF-Kappa-B or immune deficiency (Imd) pathways; these are believed to be the central signaling pathways responsible for activation

of the genes that transcribe the effector molecules of the system (1). The well defined host defense system of *Drosophila melanogaster* has recently been reviewed (2). In contrast, this current review emphasizes the less well studied response in ticks.

Ticks have a well developed innate immune system. Nevertheless, despite their ability to resist infection by many microorganisms, they remain important vectors of numerous disease-causing agents. Indeed, ticks surpass the mosquitoes and other blood feeding insects in the variety of different pathogens that they transmit. Ticks have been reported to transmit a wide variety of infectious microbes including bacterial, viral, fungal, even helminth and protozoan pathogens (3).

Microbial and other foreign organisms may enter the tick's body by accidental puncture of the integument or by ingestion during blood feeding. When challenged by such agents, ticks, like insects and other invertebrates, utilize the innate immune system to control or prevent infection. The nature of the innate immune response involves two major components: cellular defenses, namely phagocytosis and encapsulation (or nodule formation) and humoral responses, involving the secretion of transient antimicrobial polypeptides, expressed by the hemocytes, fat body, midgut and in some instances by other internal body organs and tissues. Other peptides, not exclusively antimicrobial, such as lysozyme, lectins and protease inhibitors, are also up-regulated and secreted in response to pathogen challenge (4, 5). Injury (even sterile injury) as well as invading pathogens can induce a proteolytic cascade that also contributes to immune defense, although it must be regulated to limit damage to the body tissues (4). The midgut of ticks and other arthropods presents a special case where feeding up-regulates expression of proteases, cysteine and serine protease inhibitors (cystatins and serpins), lectins, Glutathione S-transferases (GSTs), antimicrobial peptides as well as peroxiredoxins and other oxidative stress reducing proteins which may inhibit or destroy ingested microbes (5, 6).

Progress in our understanding of insect immunity has accelerated rapidly in recent years, facilitated to no small degree by the sequencing of the genomes of several important insect species, namely the malaria mosquito, Anopheles gambiae and the fruit fly, D. melanogaster. Several excellent reviews have been published on this subject (2, 7). In addition, expressed sequence tag (EST) libraries of specific insect organs have also been published, with the data available in GenBank. This provides opportunities for data mining and characterization of full length molecules from these insect sources as well as the identification of tick homologues. New and suspected candidate genes involved in insect innate immunity have rapidly identified using high throughput methodologies such as microarrays by which their roles in different tissues, physiological conditions or the insect life cycle have been assessed (8, 9). Proteomics is also being employed to an increasing degree to determine whether functional involvement of insect immune genes actually results in the expression of relevant immune peptides and

proteins. Increases in mRNA levels do not necessarily correlate with detectable levels of the cognate proteins. In many cases, the activation of the encoded proteins also depends upon post-translational modifications, e.g. cleavage of a precursor sequence to liberate the mature, active peptide (6).

In contrast to these well studied insects, much less is known about the innate immune system of ticks. Early studies emphasized the role of hemocytes and cellular immunity in the tick's defense against infection. This has changed in recent years since numerous studies have identified the sequences of a large number of tick immune peptides and proteins.

This chapter reviews the molecular basis of the innate immune system in ticks. In the sections that follow, cellular and humoral immune mechanisms will be addressed, including the little that is known about their regulation. Unlike the knowledge available for insects, in particular the host defense system of *D. melanogaster*, we do not have a complete picture of the response or its regulation in ticks.

One aspect that is not discussed in this review is the remarkable ability that pathogenic microbes use to evade or disable the tick's armamentarium of immune responses and mechanisms for transmission to vertebrate hosts. This is an area in which additional knowledge is needed before we can directly relate a microorganism's fight for survival to the tick innate immune response.

3. MOLECULAR BASIS FOR RECOGNITION OF INVASIVE AGENTS

The ability of eukaryotic animals to prevent microbial invasion of body tissues is an essential element in their evolution from the simplest to the most complex species. To do this, the immune system must be able to distinguish self from non-self molecules. molecules or objects such as components characteristic of bacterial cell surfaces (e.g. peptidoglycans or lipoteichoic acid) stimulate up-regulation of antimicrobial peptides such as defensins, cercropins, attacins and lysozyme that disrupt the cell wall structure, leading to cell death. Other non-self sequences, such as 2-keto-3-deoxyoctonate characteristic of the lipopolysaccharides (LPS) of gram-negative bacteria or the beta-1-3-glucans and beta-1-3 mannans on the cell walls of fungi can result in up-regulation of soluble lectins. Lectins then bind to the target organisms which leads to their aggregation and subsequent walling off by accumulating hemocytes. The cell wall components and foreign molecular structures that induce these responses are known, collectively, as pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) because of their ability to trigger various innate immune responses (10). PAMPs are regarded as opsonins because of their ability to trigger immune responses such as phagocytosis, nodule formation, melanization and encapsulation. The molecules are recognized by a highly conserved set of germline encoded pattern recognition receptors (PPRs), which activate the Toll-like and/or Imd pathways of the host cells especially

phagocytic hemocytes and the digestive cells lining the lumen of the midgut (11). Among the most important receptors are the peptidoglycan recognition proteins (PGRPs) which contain a specific domain homologous to bacterial type 2 amidases. Exposure to bacteria activates the Toll signal transduction pathway leading to secretion of antimicrobial peptides, phagocytosis, or other immune responses (12). LPS binds to an unidentified receptor, stimulating the Imd pathway. In insects and horseshoe crabs, up-regulation of PGRPs also activates the prophenoloxidase (proPO) cascade which stimulates localized wound healing, melanization and microbial phagocytosis (13). Recognition of LPS also activates the focal adhesion kinase (FAK)/Src complex in the hemocytes and phagocytosis (14). Once activated, the Toll and Imd pathways possess proteolytic cascades that result in transcription of I-Kappa-B/NF-Kappa-B driven immune response genes (15, 16).

4. EPITHELIAL IMMUNITY

Many eukaryotic cells express proteins or peptides on the cell surfaces that prevent microbes from invading the cell. In the blood sucking fly, Stomoxys calcitrans, a 42 amino acid-peptide is expressed on midgut epithelial cells that is able to kill the sleeping sickness trypanosome, Trypanosoma brucei. The hemolymph extracts also exhibited a broad spectrum of activity against a variety of other microorganisms (17). Lipid binding proteins occur on the midgut epithelial cells of several tick species. The best known is the glycoprotein Bm86, an important target for anti-tick vaccine production. Bm86 and similar proteins in other ixodid ticks (e.g. H186 in Haemaphysalis longicornis) could function in pathogen-gut interactions (18). Lectins also occur on the surfaces as well as within the cells of several organs, e.g. the epithelial cells lining the midgut in insects and ticks. An example is seen in the sandfly, Lutzomyia longipalpis, where lectins were found in cytoplasmic secretory granules and microvilli along the length of the midgut (19). Lectins have been reported from several species of ticks and play an important role in tick innate immunity. Some are secreted while others occur in the epithelial cells, particularly in the midgut (20). Lectins are discussed further in sections 6.4 and 9.3.

Hemocytes are also important in wound healing. In *I. ricinus*, hemocytes reacted in response to a 25 kDa antigenic protein that is involved in cuticle formation, indicating a capability for sealing off surface injuries (21). In insects, hemolymph coagulation localizes immune effectors in the vicinity of a breach of the cuticle and also restricts the spread of invasive particles throughout the hemocoel (22).

5. HEMOCYTES: MOLECULAR BASIS OF CELLULAR IMMUNE RESPONSE.

In ticks, like in insects and other invertebrates, the body tissues are bathed in a fluid known as the hemolymph. Hemolymph consists of watery, protein-rich plasma and hemocytes, both of which play an important role in immune defense.

5.1. Hemocyte cell classification

Four major cell types are generally recognized in tick hemolymph, namely prohemocytes, non-granular plasmatocytes, granulocytes and spherulocytes (23). Granulocytes are further subdivided into type I and type II granulocytes. Some earlier authors also reported the presence of oenocytoids, a cell type that also occurs in insect hemolymph (10), but their occurrence in ticks remains controversial (24). Prohemocytes are small cells (6 - 7 um long) with little cytoplasm around their nuclei, and no granules. These are the stem cells from which all other hemocytes differentiate and, as might be expected, represent a very small proportion of the total population. Plasmatocytes are slightly larger (8 - 12 um long) elongated. often fusiform cells and readily recognized by their long filamentous cytoplasmic extensions (filopodia). Granulocytes are larger cells (15 - 20 um long) and characterized by the presence of numerous intracellular granules; filopodia may also occur. Type I granulocytes have a mix of electron dense and electron lucent granules with a matrix of fibrillar material, all of varying sizes. Type II granulocytes are similar but contain only small electron dense granules. Spherulocytes are small to medium size (8 - 14 um long) oval or suboval cells with large, fibril-filled granules.

5.2. Hemocyte responses to infection

Hemocytes play an important role in the tick's defense against injury as well as microbial infection. Hemolymph coagulates at the site of injury, walling it off and preventing microbes spreading into the body tissues. When exposed to bacteria, viruses, protozoa or other microbes, the hemocytes respond to control the infection. Hemocyte populations increase greatly over a period of several days or until the invading microbes have been eliminated. In the hard tick, Dermacentor variabilis, hemocyte populations were reported to increase from a mean of $1,006 \pm 441$ cells/ul to $6,077 \pm 1,596$ cells/ul within 48 hours when challenged by injection of the sporeforming bacteria Bacillus subtilis (25). This represents approximately a 6.4 fold increase within this brief time period. When challenged with the spirochete Borrelia burgdorferi a more rapid response occurred, with a 3.1 fold increase in numbers 1 hour after challenge, but cell numbers declined to normal levels within 24 hours as the spirochetes were lysed and cleared from the system (26). A similar pattern of hemocyte population increase was found in bacteria-challenged black-legged ticks, Ixodes scapularis, although to a much lesser degree, with only a 2.6-fold increase in the hemocyte population at one hour (27). Most of the increase in hemocyte abundance may be due to increases in the population of plasmatocytes and granulocytes as seen in O. moubata (28). In O. moubata nymphs undergoing ecdysis, granulocytes constituted the majority (80%) of the increase in hemocytes (29). However, despite extensive study of the different cell types, the tissue site and regulation of hemocyte blastogenesis remains to be discovered. Similarly, rates of multiplication for the different cell types in response to a specific pathogen have not been fully clarified.

In O. moubata plasmatocytes and type I granulocytes destroy small invading microbes such as

bacterial cells and viral particles by phagocytosis, either by engulfing and ingesting them or by forming aggregates around them (28). When bacteria such as the Lyme disease spirochete B. burgdorferi are injected into D. variabilis, a non-competent vector, they are lysed by antimicrobial peptides (Section 6) and the fragments or even intact spirochetes ingested by phagocytes (30). In B. burgdorferi infected black-legged nymphal ticks, only 5.4 percent of the spirochetes in the midgut are found in the hemolymph. Most of these spirochetes are then phagocytosed such that only a very small percentage (0.7 percent) of the thousands of spirochetes in the midgut ever reach the salivary glands (31). Currently it is not understood how ticks deal with typical gram-positive bacterial pathogens such as Staphylococcus aureus. It would seem most likely these organisms would be killed primarily by lysis and phagocytosis. In contrast, control of gram-negative bacteria such as Escherischia coli appears to be by nodulation, a form of non-melanotic encapsulation (32). These cellular responses are described below.

5.3. Phagocytosis

Phagocytosis is a complex, multi-step process similar to receptor-mediated endocytsosis. Typically, it begins with recognition (Section 3) and binding of the foreign object to the plasmatocytes or granulocyte cell surface receptor, followed subsequently by signal transduction and extrusion of pseudopods that surround and engulf the bound particle (33).

As noted previously (Section 3), in insects the most important signal transduction pathways are the FAK/Src and mitogen activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathways which activate the proPO cascade (33). In addition, factors external to the hemocytes have been reported to enhance the process. Among these is ecdysone, which was shown recently to enhance phagocytic clearing of yeast cells (Saccharomyces cerevisiae) in kissing bugs (34). Another important signaling molecule is calreticulin which is up-regulated in response to particle binding and pattern recognition (35). This protein is found in a number of tick species and has a variety of biological functions in addition to a role in innate immunity (36). The trapped particle is internalized by endocytosis into a vesicle that may in turn fuse with lysosomes, forming a phagolysosome where digestive enzymes, especially acid phosphatases and lysozyme complete the killing process. Once inside the phagolysosome, a cascade of intracellular enzymes is activated, leading to the rapid death and digestion of the trapped microbe or particle. Recent evidence also implicates the use of reactive oxygen species (ROS) in the hemocytes of Rhipicephalus (previously Boophilus) microplus, and this is thought to involve an oxidative burst modulated by protein kinase C, in a manner similar to that found in mammalian leucocytes (37).

Much less is known about the surface receptors on the hemocytes of ticks. Tick hemocytes recognize and phagocytose both gram positive bacteria and spirochetes, e.g., B. burgdorferi. Most likely, this is accomplished by the presence of PGRPs on the surface of the cells. In contrast to insects, recognition of LPS on the surface of

gram-negative bacteria leads to microbial control by nodulation rather than phagocytosis, as described below (Section 5.4). Small (1-2 um) inanimate objects such as fluorescent-coated beads are also recognized and ingested by phagocytes patrolling the tissues, even though they are not coated with PAMPs (28). In studies done in our laboratory, fluorescent-labeled beads administered to D. variabilis females by capillary oral feeding were observed to pass from the midgut into the hemolymph where they were phagocytosed by granulocytes (Sonenshine et al unpublished). Little else is known about the molecular factors that initiate and control phagocytosis by tick hemocytes.

5.4. Nodulation

In insects, nodule formation is a major, yet poorly process mediated by eicosanoids, prostaglandins and lipoxygenase-derived products (38). Recently, a novel protein, known as Nodular, was found to be up-regulated following bacterial challenge and shown to be involved in nodulation in a silkmoth (Antheraea mylitta) (39). This protein was shown to bind microorganisms and their ligands. In ticks, hemocytes recognize components of the surfaces of bacteria (such as LPS) and respond by nodulation. In this process, if it is similar to that described in the silkworm, the first steps would involve hemocyte aggregation resulting in entrapment of the bacteria (39). Opsonizing molecules such as lectins may also cause the bacteria to aggregate. A lectin with high hemagglutinating activity, Dorin-M, was found in the hemolymph plasma of the soft tick O. moubata (40). Dorin-M was isolated from hemocytes of O. moubata, while a similar molecule Ixoderin A was found in the hemocytes and midgut of I. ricinus (20). Lectin recognition leads to recruitment of hemocytes that form a thick mass around the bacterial aggregate, thereby walling it off and eventually digesting it. This process, termed nodulation, is similar to melanotic encapsulation observed in insects, but without melanin (32).

5.5. Encapsulation

Pathogens (parasitoids and nematodes) that are too large to be destroyed by phagocytosis or nodulation may be eliminated by encapsulation. Encapsulation in insects is broadly similar to nodulation but with noteworthy differences, in particular the presence of melanin. The melanization and production of toxic free radicals, such as quinines or semiquinones, and asphyxiation result in destruction of the parasite (41). In the typical encapsulation response, hemocytes accumulate around the parasite, foreign object, or clump of microbes and become organized in concentric layers. In insects, the process also includes melanin. Initially, granulocytes degranulate and deposit a matrix-like material around the particles. Subsequently, plasmatocytes attach to the matrix, undergo apoptosis, become highly flattened and form a thick layer encapsulating the particle. An example of this process was described by Eggenberger et al. who injected plastic particles into the hemocoel of D. variabilis, and observed a sequence of encapsulation events as described previously in insects but without melanization (42). Similar results were reported with bacterial aggregates without the hemocytes

		%simi	*similarity	
		entire	mature	
	* * * * * *			
Dv1	mrglciclvfilvcglvsataaapaes-evahirvrrgfgcplnggachnhcrsi-rrrggycsgiikqtctcyrn	-	-	
Ah	MNAVFASCLIVAALVAFASAHHLELCKKNDQVLATELECI#QHIP#ETNAAFDEAVTKLQCSDRSCAIRKLCEGNDLEGAMAKYFTPEQIKHVHDAALTCDPDARHDHDHGHGHGHGHDHDPH	12.2	13.5	
Ah1	MATVRNSRPEAAGEPSGVSSTEGDWRHIEKRDVSYQGEGN†RRFD PFGCPADEGKCFDHCNNK-AYDIGYCGGSYRATCVCYRK	26.2	48.6	
Ah2	MATQRREISWTFGPLYTWRTTKGYGTTLETTNATSTSSKP\$RRYENPYGCPTDEGKCFDRCNDS-EFEGGYCGGSYRATCVCYRT	29.8	48.6	
amer	MKVLAVAF1FVLVAGLVS-TADEEDKS-QVPL√RVRRGFGCPFNQYQCHSHCLS1-GRRGGYCGGSFKTTCTCYN	64.9	67.6	
Argas1	mnkslvivlvlaiavaattaqsvdep-ershg#vrr	60.0	65.8	
Argas2	MRCLTVTFVCLLVLGAFALSTVDGRRH	40.5	44.7	
Argas3	MFSESTMRSLTILLVCVLTFSVFTVTVALPPRIIHHNTG\$AYGCPDDYSRCQQHCSAT-FGWTGWCGGVTRGECKCRE	41.3	47.4	
Bm	mrgiyiclxfvlxcglvsgladvpaes-emahirvrrgfgcpfnqgachrhcrsi-rrrggycaglikqtctcyrn	89.2	94.6	
Bmp	mkaifvsallvvalvastsahhqelctkgddalvtelec‡rlri\$petnaafdnavqqlnclnracayrkmcatnnleqamsvyftneqikeihdaatacdpeahhehdh	11.7	13.2	
Dacell	mrglciclvfllvcglvsataaapaes-evahirvrrgfgcplnqgachnecrsi-rrrggycsgiikqtctcyrn	100	100	
Dv2	mrftsaiflvaviaafvvmitatgerse{erse‡arasgckadacksycksl-gsgggycdqgtwcvcn	31.1	35.1	
HLAMP	MKVLAVALIFVLVAGLFCTAAAQDDES-DVPH\RVRRGFGCPLNQGACHNHCRSI-GRRGGYCAGIIKQTCTCYRK	81.1	94.6	
Hlgut	mkvfsalflvglllaflafaagdeeds-skplvrvrgfgcpfderachahcqsv-grrggycgn-frmtcycykn	58.1	67.6	
Hlsgl	mkllvvcaaltvlggilqgatcddsdhg#rtahydlvcpdnpdnciqqcvsk-gaqggyctnekctcyekipsatkrvriva	37.2	43.2	
Ir	mkvlavslafiliaglistslaqneeggekel√rvrr\$gyycpffqdkchrhcrsf-grkagycggflkktcicv	53.9	59.5	
Is	mrviavtliallvagafmtssaqeeen-qvahvrrrgfgcpfdqgachrhcqsi-grrggycagfikqtctcyhn	71.6	81.1	
Issg	mrviavtliallvagalmtssaqeeen-qvahvrvrgfgcpfdqgachrhcqsi-grrggycagfikqtctcyhn	73.0	81.1	
OmA	mnklfivalvlalavatmahevyddve-epsv#rvrrgygcpfngygchshcsgirgykggyckglfkgtcncy	52.0	65.8	
OmB	mnklfivalvvalavatmaqevhddve-eqsv#rvrrgygcpfngyqchshcrgirgykggyctgrfkqtckcy	53.3	68.4	
OmC	MNKLFIVALVLALAVATMAHEVYDDVE-EPSV#RVRRGYGCPFNQYQCHSHCSGIRGYKGGYCKGLFKQTCNCY	52.0	65.8	
OmD	mnklfivalvlalavatmahevhddie-epsvervrrgfgcpfnqyechahcsgvpgykggyckglfkqtcncy	50.7	63.2	
			•	

,

		Asinilar		
		entire	hature	2
DVI	mrglci ciw filiyosiy sataaa paes -eya hiry rh gfocp lingga Chihicrs 1 - rhrggycpgi i kgrctc (nn	-	-	
Ab	MNAVFASCLIVAALVAFASAHHLELOO NDOVLA TELBOT NONI PLETNAAF DEAV TKLOOS DIE CAL KILCEGNOLBEANAKYFTFEQIE NVHDAALT ODE ARHDHONDHSHGHGHD HDPH	12.3	23.5	
Ahl	MA TVIRNSR PERAGEPS OV SSITE GUMRHUE KROVS VOGE, NIER FULL PHANDER PERAFER PHONEN A VOU GESTRAT OVE VIK	26.2	48.6	
Ab2	HAYORRELENTED LYTHRITKGYGTTLETTNATSISSKPERKYERFYOOFTDEGKOFDHONDS-EFE GYCGGS TRATCVCYRT	29.5	48.6	
2MQ.E	MKYLAVAFI FYLVAGLU - TALEEDES-QVPL KYRP GF JCFFMQYQCHS HCLSI-GREG YC GSFKTYC Y YN	64.9	67.6	
Aggasl	MANYSIVIVIAIAVAATTAJSVDEP-ERSHS-KVRROYGOPFNOYECHNHOKGVPGYKOGYCDGFLKNTCRCY	60.0	Ab.B	
Argas2	MRCLIVTFYCILVIAFAISTYDGRRHPTGHIYGCFVFQIECQQNCSAT-FRWQGRCGGGRRESECICRH	40.5	44.7	
Argas3	MES ESTMES LTI LLWCVLTES VETV TVALEPER I HHHTG 4AYGCPDDYSRCQQNCSAT-FGNT GN GGVT RGECNCRE	41.3	47.4	
Bm	MRSI YI CLKFYLNOSUVS SLADV PAES-ENAHIKVRRGFOOF FINGSACHEHORS I - REKOGYOAGLI KOTCTOYEN	119.7	94.6	
Bmp	mkai fysaily valvastsahhosi the dhalv telectriki petnaafdna voolne inraca yrkm catnileonh. Vyftnegi kei hdaata tipeahhehdh	11.	11.2	
Dacell	MRGLC: CLYFLLVOSLVEA TAAAPAES-EVAHIRVER EFOCP LEGGA CHERCESI-EFREGGYCEGII KOT CTCYPR	100	100	
Dv2	Mrptsaiflyaviaafvvni tatgerseerselapassckadacksycksl-csgggycdgstwoycn	31.1	35.1	
HIAMP	MKVLAVALLI FVLVA LIF CRAAQDDES-DVPH KVRR GFOCF LNQGACHNHCRSI - GREGOYCAGII KQFCYCYRK	11.1	94.6	
H1qut.	MKYFSALFLYGLLLAFLAFAA DEEDS-SKPLYKYRP GCPFDERACHAHOUSV-GRRGGYGGN-FRHTCYCYKN	58.1	ET.6	
HASGI	MKLLAVCAALTV LEGLLQ GATCDDSDHG#CAH#DU/CPDEFDNCLQQ V SK-GAQGGVCTNEKCT_YEKLPSATKR/RIVA	37.	93.2	
Ir	MKVLAVSLAFILLIAGIJSTSLAQNEDGEKELYKVRPCGYYCPFTQDKCHRHCRSF-GRKAGYCHGFLKKTCICV	53.9	59.5	
I.=	mrylaythlallvagafing sageelingvafi kvrri of copfe of chrings I—gregovorgfi koj ctovin	71.5	BL.I	
Issg	mrviavtliallus saimts sageeen-qva hirvrr of coppogachricosi-gregov cagpi rot ctcyhn	73.0	81.1	
CmA	MNKLFTVALVLALAVATMAHEVYDDVE-EPSVEKVRP GYGCP PRQYQCHSHCS OLF OYKGOV CKGLFKQP CNCY	52.0	55.6	
OnB	MNKLFTVALVVALAVATMAQEVHDOVE-EGSV #KVKP UYGCPFNQYQCHSHCRGTR-YKUUYCTGRFTQT CHCY	53.	68.4	
ONG	MNKLFIVALVIA IAVATMA HEVYDDYE-EFEYYEV RP GYOLF FWQYQCHS HOS GIRGYKGOYCKGLFKQTCNCY	52.0	55.8	
Om D	MRKLFIVALY LALAVATNA HEVHOOT E-EPSY	50.T	63.2	

Figure 1. Amino acid sequence alignment (cds-region) of defensins or defensin-like peptides reported from ixodid and argasid ticks deposited in GenBank. Percent similarity to *D. variabilis varisin* of entire peptide and mature peptide region (after cleavage site) is shown. The * indicates position of the highly conserved cysteine residues while the boxed region indicates the RVRR cleavage site. GenBank accession numbers are given for each sequence (scientific names in brackets): Dv1 = (*Dermacentor variabilis*, varisin) AY181027; Ah = (*Amblyomma hebraeum*, hebraein) AY437139; Ah1 = (*A. hebraeum*) AY437137; Ah 2 = (*A. hebraeum*) AY437138; Amer = (*Amblyomma americanum*, americin) DQ864986; Argas1 = (*Argas monolakensis*) DQ886900; Argas2 = (*Argas monolakensis*) DQ886769; Argas3 = (*Argas monolakensis*) DQ886902; Bm = (*Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus*) AY233213; Bmp = (*Rhipicephalus microplus*, microplusin) AY233212; (Dacell = (*Dermacentor andersoni*) EF060192; Dv2 = (*D. variabilis*) AY159879; HIAMP (*Haemaphysalis longicornis*) = AB105544; Hlgut = (*Haemaphysalis longicornis* gut) EF432731; Hlsgl = (*Haemaphysalis longicornis* sal.gland) EF432732; Ir = (Ixodes ricinus) EF067917; Is = (*I. scapularis*, scapularisin) AY660970; Issg = (*I. scapularis* salivary glands) AY775825; OmA = (*Ornithodoros moubata*) BAB41028; OmB = (*O. moubata*) BAB41027; OmC = (*O. moubata*) BAC22074; OmD = (*O. moubata*) BAC22073.

forming the circular layers seen in encapusulation processes (32).

6. HUMORAL FACTORS: MOLECULAR BASIS OF HUMORAL IMMUNE RESPONSE

In invertebrates, invasion of the animal's body tissues by foreign organisms induces or up-regulate expression of molecules that act directly on the invader. Those that are secreted into the hemolymph plasma are termed humoral factors. Included are a variety of antimicrobial peptides, lectins, lysozymes, coagulation factors, proteases and protease inhibitors.

6.1. Antimicrobial peptides

Antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) in the hemolymph include a variety of relatively small (ca 4 - 20 kDa) molecules that bind to the cell wall or cell membrane of the invading microbe and disrupt its structure and/or membrane potential, eventually killing the target cell. Antimicrobial peptides are generally fast acting agents and effective at micro- or even nanomolar concentrations.

In insects, a remarkable array of antimicrobial peptides have been described, with additional ones being added to this list every year. The fat body is considered to be the primary site for biosynthesis of these defensive compounds, especially defensins (43). The hemocytes and the midgut also serve as important sites of AMP expression and secretion (10). Insect AMPs include defensins and cercropins that attack Gram-positive bacteria or both Grampositive and Gram-negative bacteria, attacins and

sarcotoxins that are bacteriostatic against many species of gram-negative bacteria, and a variety of proline- or glycine-rich AMPs that are produced by a few insect species or specific families (10).

To date, far less is known about the AMPs of ticks compared to insects. At present, the only known humoral AMPs described from ticks are defensins, lysozymes, lectins, proteases and protease inhibitors.

6.1.1. Defensins

The best known AMPs in ticks are the defensins. a family of relatively small (3 - 6 kDa) cysteine-rich cationic peptides. The first evidence of tick defensins was found in the hard tick, D. variabilis and the soft tick, O. moubata (44, 45). In ticks, like in insects, most defensins are 3- to 6-kDa, arginine-rich, cationic beta-sheet peptides that contain 6 - 8 cysteine residues that are folded in a precise manner by 3 or 4 disulfide bridges. The disulfide bonds stabilize the molecule and maintain the tertiary structure known generically as the "defensin fold" (46). Comparison of the defensin sequences with defensins from insects and other invertebrates indicates that the mature peptides are highly conserved, while the leader regions show much more variation (Figure 1). Their spatially separated hydrophobic and charged regions enable them to insert into the bacterial membrane and cause voltage dependent channels or pores to form, gradually disrupting the membrane and killing the cell (47). At least 20 different defensins have been identified in ticks from 11 different ixodid and argasid species (Table 1). Most are small cationic molecules similar to the insect group of

Table 1. Summary of tick defensins and their characteristics

Tick species	Name	Length (aminoacids)		Primary Tissue Source (s)	GenBank Accession No.	Reference	
t ack species	1 Commo	Prepro defensin	Mature Peptide	Source (s)	Accession (vo.	Reserve	
A. hebraeum	Defensin Ahl	84	61	Hemolymph	AY437137	(58)	
A. hebraeum	Defensin Ah2	84	61	Hemolymph	AY437138	(58)	
A. hebraeum	Hebraein	123	102	Hemolymph	AY437139	(59)	
A. americanum	Americin	72	37	Hemolymph	ABI74752	(57)	
A. monolakensis	Defensin	77	49	Salivary glands	DQ886769	(129)	
A. monolakensis	Defensin	72	37	Salivary Glands	DQ886900	(129)	
A. monolakensis	Defensin	68	50	Salivary Glands	DQ886902	(129)	
R. (Boophilus) microplus	Preprodefensin	97	38	Hemocytes	AY233213	(130)	
R. microplus	Microplusin	110	90	Hemolymph	AY233212	(130)	
D. andersoni	Defensin	74	38	Cultured cells	EF060192	(65)	
D. variabilis	Varisin	74	38	Hemolymph	AY181027	(131)	
D. variabilis	Defensin 2	67	33	Midgut	AY159879	(52)	
H. longicornis	Preprodefensin	73	37	Midgut	EF432731	(93)	
H. longicornis	Antimicrobial peptide	74	38		AB105544	(132)	
H. longicornis	Preprodefensin	81		Salivary glands	EF432732	(93)	
I. ricimus	Preprodefensin	76	37		AY335442	(110)	
1. scapularis	Scapularisin	74	38	Hemocytes	AY660970	(55)	
I. scapularis	Defensin	74	38	Salivary glands	AY775825	Alarcon-Chaidez et al. unpublish	
O. moubata	Defensin A	73	37	Midgut	BAB41028	(45)	
O. moubata	Defensin B	73	37	Midgut	BAB41027	(45)	
O. moubata	Defensin C	73	37	Midgut	BAC22074	(50)	
O. moubata	Defensin D	73	37	Fat body	BAC22073	(50)	

defensins. They range from 67 – 92 amino acids in length, including the prepro-region which is cleaved when the mature peptide is secreted. Most have a signal peptide with the sequence "RVRR" between the prepro- and mature regions of the peptide (Figure 1). Mature peptides range from 37 – 61 amino acids in length. Several species have multiple isoforms, with differential expression seen in different tissues. Analysis of an amino acid alignment of different defensins (full length protein sequences) shows that most align very closely, especially in the mature region. The exceptions are the three defensins from the hard tick Amblyomma hebraeum, one from the salivary glands of the hard tick Haemaphysalis longicornis, and microplusin from R. microplus (Figure 1).

In D. variabilis, defensin appears to be upregulated in response to challenge with B. burgdorferi or Bacillus subtilis, leading to lysis of these microbes. Defensin alone is effective after prolonged incubation, however, when combined with chicken lysozyme, 65 percent of cultured B. burgdorferi are killed within 1 hour (44). This indicates a possible synergism between these two molecules.

In the soft tick, O. moubata, 4 different isoforms, A - D, have been identified. Injection of bacteria or bacterial wall components (peptdioglycan or lipotechoic acid) directly into the hemocoel of these argasid ticks upregulates defensin expression in the granulocytes as determined by semiquantitative RT-PCR (48). Using an ELISA assay defensin-like materials appear to increase approximately 2-fold after bacterial challenge. All 4 defensin isoforms are expressed, but defensin A, B and C are more strongly expressed in the midgut than in the fat

body, indicating that contact with the outer membrane of the midgut was stimulatory. Defensin D is more strongly expressed in the fat body (49). Defensin C and D isoforms are strongly up-regulated in the midgut after blood feeding and are believed to play an important role in midgut immunity (50) (see below section 9.2). This supports the idea that defensins are differentially regulated in various tissues in response to various stimuli. Whether different receptors and signaling pathways are involved needs to be investigated.

In D. variabilis, the major defensin of hemolymph (known as varisin) is produced primarily in the hemocytes, but transcript for varisin is also found in the fat body and midgut upon microbial challenge or blood feeding (51-53). In addition, transcript is prevalent in all life stages, including the embryonated egg. In this tick, as in mussels, it appears that injection of bacteria such as B. burgdorferi results in rapid release of stored defensin peptide from the hemocytes, contributing to early clearing of spirochetes from circulating hemolymph (54). B. burgdorferi could not be cultured from hemolymph recovered from the injected ticks, even as early as one hour after injection. Preliminary evidence indicated that injection also stimulated up-regulation of defensin transcript and eventual production of new defensin peptide to replenish the depleted stores (51). A second defensin isoform, defensin 2, was described recently from the midgut (Section 9.2) (52).

In the blacklegged tick, *I. scapularis*, a defensin, scapularisin, was identified with 78.9 percent similarity to the mature defensin from *D. variabilis*. The same isoform of the gene was found in the hemolymph, midgut and fat

body. However, no evidence of defensin peptide could be demonstrated in ticks injected with B. burgdorferi (55). Intact spirochetes remained viable (as demonstrated by culture) for up to 24 hours post-injection. In addition, spirochetes incubated in the presence of I. scapularis hemolymph remained viable and active (30). Thus, although defensin transcript is present in this species, the peptide does not appear to contribute to immune defense. The reason for this unusual finding is unclear. It is not known whether defensin peptide is translated or, if translated, perhaps it is not cleaved and secreted as the mature, active peptide (55). In the mosquito it has been suggested that defensin may have an alternative role other than bacterial killing (56). This is in part because even though transcript levels increase, peptide levels do not follow. The authors also suggest the occurrence of multiple levels of regulation from induction to defensin pentide production. Such regulation may also explain the results observed in some ticks where no mature defensin peptide is

In the lone star tick, A. americanum, the transcript for a single isoform of a defensin, americin, was found in hemocytes, midgut, fat body and salivary glands. In contrast to the defensins from the bont tick, A. hebraeum, which had only 44.7 percent and 42.1 percent amino acid similarity, the americin mature peptide has 73.7 percent and 71.1 percent amino acid similarity with the mature peptides from I. scapularis and D. variabilis, respectively. Similarity with 11 other tick defensins ranged from 42 to 71 percent (57).

Most tick defensins are small cationic peptides. However, unusual defensins have also been reported. Two novel non-cationic defensins were identified in a cDNA library of the synganglion of the hard tick A. hebraeum (58). The two defensins each have 92 amino acid residues in the preprotein with a predicted 41 amino acid mature peptide. Despite some novel features, they show similarity to defensins from other ticks, in particular the alignment of the cysteine residues (Figure 1). A. hebraeum defensin peptide 2 was isolated from fed female hemolymph and shown to be active against gram-negative as well as grampositive bacteria (58). Another even more remarkable antimicrobial peptide is hebraein, a novel 11 kDa molecule purified from the hemolymph of the same hard tick, A. hebraeum. Hebraein was found to have multiple histidine residues as well as the 6 cysteine residues characteristic of most arthropod defensins. Characterization of the gene and peptide indicated that it is translated as a 123 amino acid pre-protein which is cleaved to a mature peptide of 102 amino acids. It is anionic and has an all alpha-helical structure instead of the typical beta-sheet structure found in most arthropod defensins. Comparison of its sequence with known proteins indicated little similarity with other defensins. However, it did show similarity to microplusin. an antimicrobial protein produced by R. microplus, with both proteins having the same cysteine motif (59). Like the other anionic antimicrobial peptides from this species, hebraein was active against both gram-negative and grampositive bacteria. Protein profiling of tick hemolymph suggested that hebraein is up-regulated by blood feeding (59).

Another novel defensin is longicin, an antimicrobial peptide from the tick, *H. longicornis* (60). This AMP is similar to the small cationic defensins from other arthropods, including most ticks, with a characteristic beta-sheet at the C-terminus, as well as the typical 6 cysteine residues. However, the most unusual feature of longicin is its ability to inhibit eukaryotic pathogens, especially *Babesia* species and malaria-like parasites of cattle and other mammals. This was the first report of a tick defensin active against eukaryotic parasites (60). It is discussed further in section 9.2.

In the defensin genes of the soft tick, O. moubata, introns appear frequently. All four O. moubata defensin genes have four exons and three introns, similar to the gene pattern seen in some other invertebrates such as mussels, but not in insects. Most of the ixodid defensins described to date (D. variabilis, I. scapularis or A. americanum) lacked introns (55, 57). However, a defensin with two introns separating the three exons was reported in the European tick, Lxodes ricinus (61). This defensin also has two distinct isoforms, with isoform 1 being four times more abundant than isoform 2. The mature isoforms are 97 percent identical to one another, with only three amino acid substitutions. Whether there is a relationship between the presence of introns and multiple isoforms is currently unknown, but it is interesting that introns and multiple isoforms seem to go together.

6.1.2. Lysozymes

Lysozymes are small proteins (approximately 14 kDa) that can serve as digestive enzymes and antimicrobials against a variety of different microorganisms. Lysozymes act against bacteria by hydrolyzing the bonds between the N-acetyl-muramic acid and N-acetyl-D-glucosamine residues (alternating sugar residues) that make up the peptidoglycan backbone. This results in disruption of the integrity of the cell wall. Clear evidence for the role of lysozyme in tick innate immunity was demonstrated in the hard tick, D. variabilis which expresses a 121 amino acid long (mature protein) C-type lysozyme (62). Transcript levels were elevated in hemolymph following injection of E. coli, reaching a 17fold increase within 72 hours post-challenge. Comparison of tissue levels showed that D. variabilis lysozyme was most abundant in hemolymph but present in very low levels in the midgut or other organs. Blood feeding did not result in increased lysozyme expression in this hard tick, consistent with the findings of lysozyme transcript but not the active protein in the midgut of this species (53, 62). In the hemolymph, lysozyme may act synergistically with defensin in disrupting the bacterial cell wall, thereby greatly accelerating the killing action and perhaps broadening the range of bacteria inhibited by the activity of the antimicrobial peptides (44). In the hemocytes, lysozyme occurs in the cisternae of the endoplasmic reticulum and in the primary lysosomes (63). Lysozyme antimicrobial activity has been reported from the hemocytes of *Ixodes ricinus*, from cell lines derived from *I*.

scapularis and D. andersoni and from Ixodes persulcatus (21, 64, 65). Most reports showed that lysozyme acted on the cell wall of gram positive bacteria. A noteworthy finding was that lysozyme expression was not up-regulated in I. scapularis or D. andersoni cell cultures in response to challenge with Rickettsia peacockii, but expression was up-regulated after stimulation of the cells with E. coli and M. luteus (65). This may indicate that tick endosymbionts could avoid recognition by the tick's innate immune system

In contrast to hard ticks, the pattern of lysozyme expression appears to be different in soft ticks. O. moubata expresses a 124 amino acid C-type lysozyme similar to the lysozymes found in hard ticks (66). Comparison of its phylogenetic relationships suggests that the O. moubata lysozyme is a digestive-adaptive C-type lysozyme. In studies done with this tick, blood feeding was found to stimulate increased expression in the midgut but not in the hemolymph. However, the authors found no evidence that transcription of the lysozyme gene is up-regulated in response to bacterial infection, perhaps because it was already strongly expressed in the midgut (66).

6.2. Proteases

In mosquitoes, serine proteases are reported to be up-regulated in response to invasion of the hemolymph by malarial parasites, contributing to the normal innate immune response (67). This is believed to be an important factor in the refractoriness of these mosquitoes for a number of malaria parasites. In tick hemolymph, an immune-responsive factor D-like serine protease was expressed in hemocytes in response to E. coli challenge (68). This protease shows high sequence similarity (54 percent identity) to a serine protease from hemocytes of the horseshoe crab, Tachypleus tridentatus (69). Proteins with similar Clip domains are found in a variety of invertebrates suggesting that such molecules are widespread (68). The precise role of the antimicrobial proteases in tick innate immunity needs further investigation. The role of proteases in the midgut is described in section 9.4

6.3. Protease inhibitors

Proteases are important virulence factors used by infectious pathogens, both prokaryotes and eukaryotes, during various stages of the infection process. Protease inhibitors, although not being directly antimicrobial, are produced by many invertebrate and vertebrate species that selectively target pathogen proteases in various ways (70). Inactivating these important virulence factors for the pathogen may prevent survival of the organism in the vector.

In ticks, a serine proteinase inhibitor (serpin) and an a-macroglobulin type protease inhibitor have been reported. The serpin reported from D. variabilis was found primarily in the hemocytes and has the characteristic "clip domain" found in pro-clotting enzymes of vertebrates. However, the active site serine was replaced by glycine (68). This protein also shares similarity with a phenoloxidase activating cofactor, perhaps adding evidence for the existence of a phenoloxidase (PO) pathway in ticks.

The presence of PO in ticks is a subject of debate. PO was reported to be present in the hemolymph plasma during ecdysis in 4th instar nymphs of the argasid tick *O. moubata*, but no evidence of enzyme was detected in hemolymph or tissues of three different species of ixodid ticks (71, 72).

Another family of protease inhibitors includes the alpha₂-macroglobulins, which act by entrapping the proteases secreted by invading microorganisms within a "molecular cage" (bait region) formed when these molecules recognize substrate. Proteases trapped in the bait region undergo proteolytic cleavage, which in turn activates thioester rupture (rupture of 4 thioester bonds). Both bait region cleavage and thioester rupture is essential in stabilising alpha-macroglobulin complexes. This is followed by conformational changes that complete the entrapment- and inactivation processes. The alpha₂macroglobulins and their entrapped proteases are then transported to circulating hemocytes, where they are internalized and degraded in secondary lysosomes of these phagocytic cells (73). An alpha₂-macroglobulin, an abundant acidic glycoprotein, that inhibits trypsin and other endopeptidases was sequenced from the hemolymph plasma of the soft tick, O. moubata (74). Molecular characterization studies showed that the molecule consists of two subunits generated from a precursor polypeptide by post-translational processing (75). Evidence for an alphamacroglobulin was also found following sequencing of a cDNA library of the salivary glands of the hard tick I. scapularis (76). The role of these alpha-macroglobulins in tick innate immunity or pathogen entry needs to be addressed since alpha2-macroglobulins are broad spectrum protease inhibitors capable of reacting with all four catalytic classes of proteases. Midgut protease inhibitors are specifically discussed in section 9.5.

6.4. Lectins

Lectins are proteins or glycoproteins that contain binding sites for specific mono- or oligosaccharides (77). They are capable of binding to these carbohydrate moieties commonly found on the cell walls/membranes of bacteria, yeast and protozoan pathogens. Originally defined by their role as hemagluttinating agents, lectins are now recognized as important mediators of the innate immune response in invertebrates as well as vertebrate animals where they can also activate the complement pathway. These proteins also bind to microbial pathogens which enables hemocytes to recognize and engulf them in a process known as opsonization. This involves carbohydrate recognition by ficolins and mannose binding lectins (78, 79). In addition, lectins can also bind to one another. In the horseshoe crab, Tachypleus tridentatus, the TPL-1 and TPL-2 lectins form clusters of interlocking molecules, binding to one another as well as to microbes (78). The clustering effect enables them to immobilize invading organisms, a process that has also been shown to occur in insects, ascidians, crustaceans and ticks (10, 79, 20, 80). Lectin binding causes the entrapped, immobilized organisms to aggregate, whereupon they are surrounded by degranulating hemocytes that destroy them by encapsulation or nodulation. Lectins are also involved in recognition, opsonization, phagocytosis and destruction of infecting microbes by tick cells (63).

Much less is known about the role of lectins in ticks. Lectins have been identified in the hemolymph plasma of the soft tick O. moubata, from the hemocytes of the hard tick, I. ricinus, from the saliva of the cattle tick R. microplus and O. moubata and from various body tissues from the soft tick Argas polonicus and from Ornithodoros tartakovskyi, O. tholozani (papillipes), and Rhipicephalus appendiculatus (20, 40, 77, 81, 82, 83). Lectins may be secreted into the hemolymph or may be localized on the cell surfaces of the different tissues. Lectins have even been found in the folds of Gene's organ, the organ that secretes the waxy material that coats the eggs of ticks (81). In the soft tick, O. moubata, the hemolymph lectin Dorin M is a 640 kDa glycoprotein synthesized in the hemocytes and secreted into the hemolymph plasma, that may play a role as a pattern recognition molecule (80). Study of the molecular structure showed that it has a fibrinogen-like domain that recognizes carbohydrate sequences, especially sialic acid and N-acetyl-D-glucosamine, indicating that it is very similar to the tachylectins of the horseshoe crab T. tridentatus. It is also similar to the fibrinogens found in the blood of many vertebrates and is related to the ficolin family of proteins (80). Current evidence suggests that there is only one isoform of Dorin-M in O. moubata and that it is mainly expressed in the hemocytes and salivary glands, with some expression in the malphigian tubules, but no expression in either the midgut or ovary (80). Another potential lectin in O. moubata, OMFREP, has been identified in hemocytes (20). OMFREP shows a similar tissue distribution to Dorin M (20). Recently, a different lectin, Omgalec, with a broad tissue- and life stage distribution was discovered in this same species (84). In the hard tick, I. ricinus, two lectins, Ixoderin A and B. have been identified that show different tissue expression. These lectins, like OMFREP and Dorin M all show a fibrinogen-domain similar to the ficolins of mammals. Ixoderin A is expressed in the hemocytes, salivary glands and midgut while Ixoderin B is expressed only in the salivary glands (20), and shows differences that may reflect a different function to Ixoderin A. The reason for the selective expression of these different isoforms in the different tissues is unknown, although the high degree of tissue specificity raises the possibility that they have distinctly different roles. Like limulin, the sialic acid-specific lectin of the horseshoe crab, Limulus polyphemus, tick lectins may recognize a wide range of Gram-negative bacteria due to its specificity for sialic acid, N-acetyl-D-glucosamine and other cell wall carbohydrate moieties (77). In addition to their role in controlling invasive microorganisms, another intriguing hypothesis suggested by several investigators is that the vector lectins may facilitate pathogen transmission (summarized by 77, 80).

6.5. Host serum proteins

Several host serum proteins with potential antimicrobial activity, e.g., immunoglobulins such as IgG, cross the midgut into the hemolymph where they can inhibit or kill microorganisms, especially if host complement is also present. Calreticulin has been shown to bind host complement component C1q and potentially

prevents classical pathway activation (85). Since calreticulin is found in a large number of tick species determining whether it has any function in innate immunity, such as protection of tick tissues from host complement activation, needs to be established (86). Host immunoglobulins (IgGs) ingested with the blood meal migrate into the hemolymph where they are sequestered by immunoglobulin-binding proteins (IGBPs). IGBPs are believed to protect the tick from the potentially harmful effects of host IgGs in the skin lesion during blood feeding. IGBPs also transport host IgGs from the ingested blood back into the host via the saliva, thereby modulating the ability of the host to reject the feeding tick (87).

6.6. Transferrin

In mosquitoes, transferrin synthesis and secretion are increased on exposure of mosquito cells to bacteria and in response to filarial worm infestations, suggesting that this protein participates in the insect's immune response (88, 89). In the filarial vector, Culex quinquefasciatus, transferrin is known to function in immune defense, along with other defense molecules such as defensin, gambicin and cercropin (89). Transferrin from the host blood has also been reported to cross into the hemolymph of ticks, however, it may not inactivate bacteria or other microbial invaders (63). Only a single study on the potential antimicrobial effect of transferrin in ticks has been done, using a similar protein called lactoferrin. This study with Rickettsia spp. showed no sensitivity of the microbes to lactoferrin, but confirmed sensitivity towards other AMPs (90).

7. COAGULATION FACTORS

Hemolymph clotting is the first response to wounding in insects and many other invertebrates, limiting fluid loss and initiating the healing process. Hemolymph coagulation also serves as an important immune defense by immobilizing bacteria, protozoan parasites or other microbes. The mesh of clot fibers subsequently hardens and darkens, undergoing the melanization process mediated by the phenoloxidase pathway. In horseshoe crabs, Tachypleus tridentatus and other species, injury (or microbial invasion) stimulates release of proteins known as proxins from the hemocytes as well as expression of transglutaminase (TGase). The latter promotes crosslinking of proxins with the plasma protein coagulin, resulting in the formation of stable coagulin fibrils. A second hemocyte-derived protein, stabilin, also interacts with proxin and contributes to the formation of the fibril mesh. In addition, stabilin binds to gram positive and gram negative bacteria, immobilizing them within the clot where they are more susceptible to destruction by AMPs (91). In insects, several different molecules, especially hemolectin and fondue, were identified as contributing to initiating the clotting process. Nevertheless, the process of hemolymph clotting in insects and most other arthropods is poorly understood (92). A similar clotting process occurs in ticks when injured by cuts or wounds. Hemolymph clots at the site of injury, limiting further loss and preventing microbial invasion. However, the molecular basis of the clotting mechanism is unknown.

8. SALIVARY GLAND ANTIMICROBIAL ACTIVITY

Some of the same classes of immune-related proteins and peptides described previously have been reported in the saliva, or at least in the salivary glands of different tick species. Among the most important are 1) lectins, including the ixoderins A and B (section 6.4), which are also found in the hemocytes and midgut in I. ricinus and OmFREP from the salivary glands and hemocytes of O. moubata. Both lectins contain fibrinogenrelated domains with high sequence similarity to mammalian ficolins (20); 2) peptidoglycan recognition proteins; 3) ML-domain proteins that recognize lipids important in innate immunity; 4) antimicrobial peptides and proteins, including lysozyme, defensins, microplusin and histidine-rich defensin-like proteins. Defensin transcript has been reported from the salivary glands of several tick species (57, 61, 93); and finally, 5) the histidine-rich proteins, microplusin and hebraein.

9. MIDGUT ANTIMICROBIAL ACTIVITY

The midgut is the first site of contact between ingested blood and microbes, and the tick's internal tissues. In contrast to the haematophagous insects, relatively little is known about protein expression in the tick's midgut or how it responds to microbial challenge. However, rather than being a benign environment, the tick midgut expresses an impressive arsenal of antimicrobial agents, substantially greater than previously recognized. The following discussion reviews the midgut's multiple defenses that severely limit the opportunities for infection by invading microorganisms.

9.1. Peritrophic membrane

During feeding in ixodid ticks, a peritrophic membrane (PM) is formed from extracellular secretions, resulting in a chitin-enriched covering that protects the delicate epithelial cells. The PM divides the midgut lumen into two regions, the endoperitrophic space, encompassing the great bulk of the lumen, and the narrow ectoperitrophic space adjacent to the epithelial cells of the lumen (94). It also serves as a barrier against microbial penetration of the midgut epithelium. In mosquitoes, for example, ookinetes of the malaria parasite Plasmodium gallinaceum secrete chitinases that lyse portions of the membrane, allowing them access to the underlying midgut epithelium (95). In I. ricinus, the PM begins to form as early as 18 hours after the commencement of feeding and remains intact for many days after repletion. The membrane ranges in thickness from as little as 0.30 - 0.48 um in larvae to as much 0.04 -0.93 um in replete females. In these ticks, the fully formed membrane initially forms about 0.2 - 0.8 um from the epithelial cells but gradually contracts and withdraws away from the epithelium after repletion (96). In studies on 5 different species of Ixodes, the PM was found to be replenished throughout the feeding process; each new generation of midgut cells synthesized its own matrix which was deposited on the apical surface of each new cell (97). Some microbial pathogens, e.g., Babesia microti, are capable of penetrating the peritrophic matrix by means of a

specialized structure, the arrowhead organelle (94). However, it is not known whether prokaryotes can traverse the PM in ticks. Most probably they cannot, and those that are ingested late in the feeding cycle are likely to be excluded from direct contact with the midgut epithelium. Some prokaryotic pathogens express outer surface proteins that bind to receptors found on the epithelial cells lining the midgut. One example is B. burgdorferi, in which the outer surface protein OspA binds to the I. scapularis receptor TROSPA, enabling the bound spirochetes to conceal themselves among the microvilli (98). Another is Anaplasma marginale, wherein an outer surface protein, MSP1a, binds to unknown receptors in the midgut lining of D. variabilis or D. andersoni, enabling some of the ingested bacteria to invade and colonize the epithelial cells (99). Presumably, microbes that lack these features remain trapped in the midgut lumen and are less likely to survive and spread into the tick's body tissues.

9.2. Antimicrobial peptides.

In haematophagous insects, microbes ingested with the blood meal encounter an array of antimicrobial agents, including lectins, lysozyme, defensins, cercropins and many others (10, 17, 100-103).

In contrast to insects, the tick's molecular response to microbes ingested during blood feeding is not as robust. The midgut of the soft tick, O. moubata, secretes defensin peptides which effectively control gram positive bacteria (50). Whether lysozyme contributes to this defensive response is uncertain; it was found to be strongly up-regulated by blood feeding in this species, but not by bacterial challenge (66). The midgut lysozyme found in O. moubata has a pI of 9.7; on the other hand it has a pH optimum of 5-7. This raises questions as to a role in defense in the tick midgut (104). Whether lysozyme expression in O. moubata is increased in response to bacterial infection is unknown, but transcription is increased during the bloodmeal (66). In addition to its expression in the gut, lysozyme is also expressed in hemocytes and the salivary glands (66). In contrast to O. moubata, D. variabilis lysozyme levels did not increase following blood meal digestion, but a role in innate immunity was demonstrated (62). Other studies indicated that gram-negative bacteria such as E. coli were not immediately inhibited by the midgut contents of O. moubata, even though expression of defensin was slightly up-regulated after E. coli ingestion (105). Some E. coli survived in the guts of these ticks, although in diminishing numbers, for as long as 20 days and were destroyed gradually only after they were endocytosed within the midgut epithelial cells. None were able to penetrate into the hemocoel (105). Whether these lysozyme and defensin-like antimicrobial peptides also occur in the midguts of ixodid ticks is uncertain. In D. variabilis, midgut lysozyme mRNA levels are not significantly affected by blood-feeding (52, 62). Moreover, no evidence of either the lysozyme or defensin peptides has been observed in other studies of the midgut of this tick (53). Attempts to re-culture bacteria, B. burgdorferi, E. coli and Bacillus subtilis from the midgut following their ingestion by capillary oral feeding were unsuccessful, although the

spirochetes of B. burgdorferi remained intact for up to 3 hours (53). The persistence of intact spirochetes was especially noteworthy, since these bacteria were previously shown to be susceptible to lysis by defensin and lysozyme (44). However, it is possible that the defensin peptide was not detected or was inactivated at the time the samples were collected. Defensin from the blood-sucking fly Stomoxys calcitrans has been shown to bind with a serine protease to form an SDS-stable complex with an apparent molecular weight greater than 26 kDa (106). Whether such complexes form in the midgut of D. variabilis is unknown, but this may explain why the peptide has not been detected. Ceraul et al. reported increased expression of varisin (defensin 1) and a second defensin, defensin 2, in the midgut following blood feeding (52). Varisin levels increased 35-fold compared to a 5-fold increase for defensin 2. When challenged with Rickettsia montanensis, varisin expression was increased approximately 2.6 and 1.7 fold after 24 and 48 hours post-injection, respectively, while a 1.9 fold increase in defensin 2 was only observed at 24 hours post-injection. These findings indicate that varisin may play an important role in protection of the midgut against microbial challenge during feeding, but the role of defensin 2 is unknown (105).

Another source of antimicrobial peptides in the midgut are products resulting from the digestion and breakdown of hemoglobin by the midgut cells. In soft ticks, *O. moubata*, and in hard ticks, *R. microplus* and *D. variabilis*, small fragments (3 –11 kDa) resulting from the digestion of alpha- and beta-chain hemoglobin are antimicrobial, with activity against gram positive bacteria (53, 107, 108).

9.3. Midgut lectins and pathogen recognition proteins.

Several lectins have been reported from ticks, as noted previously (section 6.4). However, the only midgut lectins described to date is ixoderin A, reported from the hard tick I. ricinus and omgalectin, a novel lectin from the midgut and other tissues of the soft tick, O. moubata (20, 84). A similar lectin with high affinity to these previously recognized lectins was found in a cDNA library constructed from the midgut of D. variabilis. It contained a signal peptide, suggesting that it may be a secreted protein (5). Lectins bind to sialic acid, hexosamines and other compounds characteristic of the cell walls of bacteria and fungi, resulting in clumping that reduces the ability of these microorganisms to disperse. Lectins also act as pattern recognition proteins, thereby opsonizing invading microbes and enhancing their susceptibility to attack by other antimicrobial agents in the tick midgut.

ML-domain containing proteins are implicated in lipid recognition, in particular as pathogen recognition proteins (109, 110). Among the best known as Bm86 and Bm95 from the midguts of the cattle tick, *R. microplus* (111, 112). In *I. ricimus*, ML domain and von Willebrand factor-containing proteins were induced after *B. burgdorferi* infection but not by blood feeding alone (110). These and similar proteins in other tick species have been targeted as antigens for vaccines useful in controlling tick infestations and the transmission of tick-borne disease

agents (113). Their precise role in pathogen recognition and control is not understood.

9.4. Proteases

A large variety of cysteine-, aspartic- and serine proteases have been identified in the midgut of ticks. Most of these are believed to be involved in bloodmeal digestion. Among the most important are the serine proteases, which function as hemolytic agents in the midgut lumen, and cysteine and aspartyl proteases because of their role in hemoglobin digestion, e.g., longespin, an aspartyl protease found in the salivary glands and midgut of H. longicornis (114-116). Whether any of these proteases also play a role in immune defense is unknown. However, evidence from insects suggests that metalloproteases may be important in cellular immune defense (117). Evidence for three metalloproteinases has been found in a cDNA library from the midgut of D. variabilis (5). These proteinases had very little similarity to proteases of ticks, other acarines, or insects, suggesting these may be novel proteinases.

9.5. Protease inhibitors

Protease inhibitors are important as innate immune proteins by compromising virulence factors expressed by pathogens invading host tissues. A number of protease inhibitors have been reported in ticks. However, most were from the salivary glands or hemolymph. Among the most important are the Kunitz-domain serine proteases found in a number of different tick species from both the Ixodidae and the Argasidae, that function as inhibitors of thrombin, factor X (FX), activated factor X (FXa), tissue factor pathway and kallikrein-kinin systems so as to prevent blood coagulation (118-120). Similar molecules have also been found in the tick midgut (5). However, none are known to prevent microbial infection. Less common are the serine protease inhibitors which disrupt serine proteases secreted by a variety of invasive microbes and play an important role in preventing microbial infections (121). In R. appendiculatus four serine protease inhibitors (serpins) were described from salivary glands, midguts and other internal tissues (119). A large number of transcripts for serpins were described from the lone star tick, A. americanum, many of which (11 out of 15) were ubiquitously expressed in the midgut as well as in the ovary and salivary glands. At least three were most strongly expressed in the midgut (118). A different type of serpin was reported from the midgut of H. longicornis (120).

Another important group of antimicrobial proteins are the cysteine proteases inhibitors (cystatins). Cysteine proteases secreted by infectious bacteria or pathogenic protozoa serve as important virulence factors. Cystatins disrupt the activity of these enzymes, thereby minimizing the ability of the microbes to colonize the tick's digestive tract and other tissues. One such cystatin reported from the midguts and hemocytes of *H. longicornis* was found to be highly effective against *Babesia* spp. When *H. longicornis* were exposed to *Babesia gibsoni* or *B. bovis*, cystatin expression was 1.8 times greater than in uninfected controls. The recombinant protein also inhibited growth of *B. bovis* grown in culture (122). Both secreted and intracellular cystatins have been reported from ticks,

including the midgut (123). All of the different types of protease inhibitors remain to be tested for any effect on the innate immunity in ticks.

9.6. Oxidative stress/detoxifying proteins

Haematophagous arthropods have molecules that protect the delicate midgut epithelial cells against the harmful effects of oxidative stress that results from reactive oxygen species (ROS, e.g. H₂O₂) and reactive nitrogen species (RNOS) produced during blood feeding and digestion. In mosquitoes, as in many other animals, enzymes such as catalase are up-regulated to cope with ROS cellular toxicity (124). Other enzymes, e.g. peroxiredoxins, members of a family of antioxidant peroxidases, also function as antioxidants. Microbial infection is also known to cause oxidative stress, leading to up-regulation of stress reducing proteins (6). However, although ROS and RNOS are cytotoxic to pathogens and parasites, suppression of oxidants in the midgut may be exploited by the microbial invaders to facilitate infection of the host's tissues (125). Some insects have evolved enzymes to enhance the lethal action of ROS against invading microbes while simultaneously protecting their tissues with antioxidants. For example, in the fruit fly (D. melanogaster), the nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADPH) oxidase enzyme, known as the dual oxidase (dDuox) enzyme system, controls microbes in the gut by generating oxidative bursts that kill or inhibit microbial growth (126). Glutathione S-transferases (GSTs), members of a diverse family of enzymes found in virtually all living organisms, also play a central role in the detoxification of stress-causing agents, particularly by removing toxic oxygen free radical species and harmful toxicants (127). GSTs are also believed to be important in suppressing microbial infection. Two GST isoforms were reported from the midgut of D. variabilis, DvGST1, and DvGST₂ both of which were up-regulated during blood feeding; DvGST₂ was also found in the tick ovary (128). In I. ricinus GST and several other genes were up-regulated in response to B. burgdorferi infection (110).

Other oxidative stress reducing compounds have been found in the midguts of ticks, including thioredoxins, glutaredoxins, glutathione peroxidases and at least one species of Cu/Zn superoxide dismutase (5). Although of obvious importance in reducing the oxidative stress involved in hemoglobin digestion, their role in curtailing microbial infections, if any, is unclear.

10. CONCLUSIONS AND PERSPECTIVES

Ticks have a robust innate immune system that presents a complex array of cellular and humoral defenses to prevent microbial infection. In addition to physical barriers, ticks express a variety of antimicrobial proteins, proteases, protease inhibitors, opsonins, anti-oxidants and other lethal molecules when challenged by invading microbes. Organisms recognized as foreign are also destroyed by phagocytic hemocytes or trapped in clusters where they are engulfed by hemocytes and destroyed. Many of these same responses are also activated by blood feeding, providing an early barrier to ingested microbes.

However, pathogens that survive and colonize the tick's body organs usually bind to receptors on target cells and, in most cases, are internalized and sheltered from immune destruction. Further study using transcriptomics, proteomics, microarrays and other modern tools may be expected to discover how tick-borne pathogens and other microbes are recognized as well as how the successful pathogens evade or escape the tick's innate immune system. Pathogen recognition and how the tick regulates its response is an area in which considerable research still needs to be carried out. Is the regulation of the response in a tick similar to that of *Drosophila* or other invertebrates? This aspect remains to be examined in depth in the ticks.

11. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors gratefully acknowledge the support given by the National Science Foundation (IBN 0212901) and the Thomas F. Jeffress and Kate Miller Jeffress Memorial Trust Fund, Richmond, VA.

12. REFERENCES

- 1. C. Janeway, P. Travers, M. Walport and M. Shlomchik: Immunobiology: The Immune System in Health and Disease. *Garland Publishing*, Ltd., London, UK (2001)
- 2. B. Lemaitre and J. Hoffman: The host defense of Drosophila melanogaster. Ann Rev Immunol 25, 697 743 (2007)
- 3. D. E. Sonenshine and A. F. Azad: Ticks and mites in disease transmission. In: Hunter's Tropical Medicine and Emerging Infectious Diseases. W. B. Saunders, Philadelphia, PA (1999)
- 4. J. Griesch, M. Wedde and A. Vilcinskas: Recognition and regulation of metalloproteinase activity in the haemolymph of *Galleria mellonella*: a new pathway mediating induction of humoral immune responses. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* 30, 461-472 (2000)
- 5. J. F. Anderson, D. E. Sonenshine and J. Valenzuela: Exploring the mialome of ticks: an annotated catalogue of midgut transcripts from the hard tick *Dermacentor variabilis* (Acari: Ixodidae). *Submitted* (2008)
- 6. L. Shi and S. M. Paskewitz: Proteomics and insect immunity. *Invert Surv J* 3, 4 17 (2006)
- 7. T. Tanji and Y. T. Ip: Regulators of the Toll and Imd pathways in the *Drosophila* innate immune response. *Trends Immunol* 26, 193-198 (2005)
- 8. E. DeGregorio, P. T. Spellman, G. M. Rubin and B. Lemaitre: Genome-wide analysis of the *Drosophila* immune response by using oligonucleotide microarrays. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*, 98, 12590 12595 (2001)
- 9. G. Dimopoulos, G. K. Christophides, S. Meister, J. Schultz, K. P. White, C. Barillas-Mury and F. C. Kafatos: Genome expression analysis of *Anopheles gambiae*:

- responses to injury, bacterial challenge and malaria infection. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA, 99, 8814 8819 (2002)
- J. P. Gillespie, M. R. Kanost and T. Trenczek: Biological mediators of insect immunity. *Annu Rev Entomol* 42, 611-643 (1997)
- 11. C. A. Brennan and K. V. Anderson: *Drosophila*: the genetics of innate immune recognition and response. *Ann Rev Immunol* 22, 457 483 (2004)
- 12. R. Dziarski and D. Gupta: The peptidoglycan recognition proteins (PGRPs). Genome Biol 7, 232 (2006)
- 13. S. Kurata, S. Ariki and S. Kawabata: Recognition of pathogens and activation of immune responses in *Drosophila* and horseshoe crab innate immunity. *Immunbiol* 211, 237 249 (2006)
- 14. A. Metheniti, N. Paraskevopoulou, M. Lambropoulou and V. J. Marmaras: Involvement of FAK/Src complex in the processes of *Escherischia coli* phagocytosis by insect hemocytes. *FEBS Lett* 496, 55 99 (2001)
- 15. S. E. Giradin, P. J. Sansonetti and D. J. Philpott:: Intracellular vs extracellular recognition of pathogens-common concepts in mammals and flies. *Trends Microbiol* 10, 193 199 (2002)
- 16. D. A. Kimbrell and B. Beutler: The evolution and genetics of innate immunity. *Nat Rev Genet*, 2, 256-267 (2001)
- 17. N. Boulanger, R. Brun, L. Ehret-Sabatier, C. Kunz and P. Bulet: Immunopeptides in the defense reactions of *Glossina morsitans* to bacterial and *Trypanosoma brucei brucei* infections. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* 32, 369-375 (2002)
- 18. M. Liao, J. Zhou, T. Hatta, R. Umemiya, T. Miyoshi, N. Tsuji, X. Xuan and K. Fujisaki: Molecular characterization of *Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus* Bm86 homologue from *Haemaphysalis longicornis* ticks. *Vet Parasitol* 146, 148-157 (2007)
- 19. L. G. Evangelista and A. C. Leite: Histochemical localization of N-acetyl-galactosamine in the midgut Lutzomyia longipalpis (Diptera: Psychodidae). J Med Entomol 39, 432-439 (2002)
- 20. R. O. Rego, O. Hajdusek, V. Kovar, P. Kopacek, L. Grubhoffer and V. Hypsa: Molecular cloning and comparative analysis of fibrinogen-related proteins from the soft tick *Ornithodoros moubata* and the hard tick *Lxodes ricinus*. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* 35, 991 1004 (2005)
- 21. K. H. Kuhn and T. Haug: Ultrastructural, cytochemical, and immunocytochemical characterization of haemocytes of the hard tick *Ixodes ricinus* (Acari; Chelicerata). *Cell Tissue Res* 277, 493 504 (1994)

- 22. E. R. Haine, J. Rolff and M. T. Siva-Jothy: Functional consequences of blood clotting in insects. *Dev Comp Immunol* 31, 456 464 (2007)
- 23. B. Borovickova and V. Hypša: Ontogeny of tick hemocytes: a comparative analysis of *Ixodes ricinus* and *Ornithodoros moubata*. *Exp Appl Acarol* 35, 317 333 (2005)
- 24. D. E. Sonenshine: The Biology of Ticks. Oxford University Press, New York (1991)
- 25. R. Johns, D. E. Sonenshine and W. L. Hynes: Control of bacterial infections in the hard tick *Dermacentor variabilis* (Acari: Ixodidae): evidence for the existence of antimicrobial proteins in tick hemolymph. *J Med Entomol* 35, 458-464 (1998)
- 26. R. Johns, D. E. Sonenshine and W. L. Hynes: Response of the tick *Dermacentor variabilis* (Acari: Ixodidae) to hemocoelic inoculation of *Borrelia burgdorferi* (Spirochetales). *J Med Entomol* 37, 265-270 (2000)
- 27. R. Johns. Tick immunology and its influence on vector competence. Ph.D. Dissertation. Department of Biological Sciences. Old Dominion University. Norfolk, VA, USA. (2003)
- 28. N. Inoue, K. Hanada, N. Tsuji, I. Igarashi, H. Nagasawa, T. Mikami and K. Fujisaki: Characterization of phagocytic hemocytes in *Ornithodoros moubata* (Acari: Ixodidae). *J Med Entomol* 38, 514-519 (2001)
- 29. K. Kadota, S. Walter, F. G. Claveria, I. Igarsash, D. Taylor and K. Fujisaki: Morphological and populational characteristics of hemocytes of *Ornithodoros moubata* nymphs during the ecdysial phase. *J Med Entomol* 40, 770 776 (2003)
- 30. R. Johns, J. Ohnishi, A. Broadwater, D. E. Sonenshine, A. M. De Silva and W. L. Hynes: Contrasts in tick innate immune responses to *Borrelia burgdorferi* challenge: immunotolerance in *Lxodes scapularis* versus immunocompetence in *Dermacentor variabilis* (Acari: Ixodidae). *J Med Entomol* 38, 99-107 (2001)
- 31. J. L. Coleman, J. A. Gebbia, J. Piesman, J. L. Degen, T. H. Bugge and J. L. Benach: Plasminogen is required for efficient dissemination of *B. burgdorferi* in ticks and for enhancement of spirochetemia in mice. *Cell* 89, 1111-1119 (1997)
- 32. S. M. Ceraul, D. E. Sonenshine and W. L. Hynes: Resistance of the tick *Dermacentor variabilis* (Acari: Ixodidae) following challenge with the bacterium *Escherichia coli* (Enterobacteriales: Enterobacteriaceae). *J Med Entomol* 39, 376-383 (2002)
- 33. I. Lamprou, I. Mamali, K. Dallas, V. Fertakis, M. Lampropoulou and V. J. Marmaras: Distinct signalling pathways promote phagocytosis of bacteria, latex beads and

- lipopolysaccharide in medfly haemocytes. *Immunol* 21, 314 327 (2007)
- 34. M. B. Figueiredo, D. P. Castro, N. F. Nogueira, E. S. Garcia and P. Azambuja: Cellular immune response in *Rhodnius prolixus*: role of ecdysone in hemocyte phagocytosis. *J Insect Physiol* 52, 711 716 (2006)
- 35. S. Asgari and O. Schmidt: Is cell surface calreticulin involved in phagocytosis by insect hemocytes? *J Insect Physiol* 49, 545 550 (2003)
- 36. J. Gao, J. Luo, R. Fan, V. Fingerle, G. Guan, Z. Liu, Y. Li, H. Zhao, M. Ma, J. Liu, A. Liu, Q. Ren, Z. Dang, C. Sugimoto and H. Yin: Cloning and characterization of a cDNA clone encoding calreticulin from *Haemaphysalis qinghaiensis* (Acari: Ixodidae). *Parasitol Res* (2007)
- 37. L. S. Pereira, P. L. Oliveira, C. Barja-fidalgo and S. Daffre: Production of reactive oxygen species by hemocytes from the cattle tick *Boophilus microplus*. *Exp Parasitol* 99, 66-72 (2001)
- 38. J. S. Miller, T. Nguyen and D. W. Stanley-Samuelson: Eicosanoids mediate insect nodulation responses to bacterial infections. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*, 91, 12418 12422 (1994)
- 39. A. S. Gandhe, S. H. John and J. Nagaraju: Noduler, a novel immune up-regulated protein mediates nodulation response in insects. *J Immunol* 179, 6943-6951 (2007)
- 40. V. Kovar, P. Kopacek and L. Grubhoffer: Isolation and characterization of Dorin M, a lectin from plasma of the soft tick *Ornithodoros moubata Insect Biochem Mol Biol* 30, 195-205 (2000)
- 41. J. Royet, M. Meister and D. Ferrandon: Humoral and cellular responses in *Drosophila* innate immunity. In: *Innate Immunity*. Ed R. A. B. Ezekowitz and J. A. Hoffmann. Humana Press Inc, Totowa (2003)
- 42. L. R. Eggenberger, W. J. Lamorreaux and L. B. Coons: Hemocytic encapsulation of implants in the tick, *Dermacentor variabilis*. *Exp Appl Acarol* 9, 279-287 (1990)
- 43. J. A. Hoffmann: Immune responsiveness in vector insects. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 94, 11152-11153 (1997)
- 44. R. Johns, D. E. Sonenshine and W. L. Hynes: Identification of a defensin from the hemolymph of the American dog tick, *Dermacentor variabilis. Insect Biochem Mol Biol* 31, 857-865 (2001)
- 45. Y. Nakajima, A. van der Goes van Naters-Yasui, D. Taylor and M. Yamakawa: Two isoforms of a member of the arthropod defensin family from the soft tick, *Ornithodoros moubata* (Acari: Argasidae). *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* 31, 747-751 (2001)
- 46. T. Ganz: Defensins: antimicrobial peptides of innate immunity. *Nat Rev Immunol* 3, 710-720 (2003)

- 47. S. Cociancich, A. Ghazi, C. Hetru, J. A. Hoffmann and L. Letellier: Insect defensin, an inducible antibacterial peptide, forms voltage-dependent channels in *Micrococcus luteus*. *J Biol Chem* 268, 19239-19245 (1993)
- 48. Y. Nakajima, H. Saido-Sakanaka, D. Taylor and M. Yamakawa: Up-regulated humoral immune response in the soft tick, *Ornithodoros moubata* (Acari: Argasidae). *Parasitol Res* 91, 476-481 (2003)
- 49. Y. Nakajima, D. Taylor and M. Yamakawa: Involvement of antibacterial peptide defensin in tick midgut defense. Exp Appl Acarol, 28, 135-140 (2002)
- 50. Y. Nakajima, A. van der Goes van Naters-Yasui, D. Taylor and M. Yamakawa: Antibacterial peptide defensin is involved in midgut immunity of the soft tick, *Ornithodoros moubata*. *Insect Mol Biol* 11, 611-618 (2002)
- 51. S. M. Ceraul. Defensin in the ticks *Dermacentor variabilis* and *Ixodes scapularis*. PhD Dissertation. Department of Biological Sciences. Old Dominion University. Norfolk. (2005)
- 52. S. M. Ceraul, S. M. Dreher-Lesnick, J. J. Gillespie, M. S. Rahman and A. F. Azad: New tick defensin isoform and antimicrobial gene expression in response to *Rickettsia montanensis* challenge. *Infect Immun* 75, 1973-1983 (2007)
- 53. D. E. Sonenshine, W. L. Hynes, S. M. Ceraul, R. Mitchell and T. Benzine: Host blood proteins and peptides in the midgut of the tick *Dermacentor variabilis* contribute to bacterial control. *Exp Appl Acarol* 36, 207 223 (2005)
- 54. G. Mitta, F. Vandenbulcke, F. Hubert and P. Roch: Mussel defensins are synthesised and processed in granulocytes then released into the plasma after bacterial challenge. *J Cell Sci* 112, 4233-4242 (1999)
- 55. W. L. Hynes, Ceraul, S.M., Todd, S.M., Seguin, K.C. & Sonenshine, D.E.: A defensin-like gene expressed in the black-legged tick, *Ixodes scapularis*. *Med Vet Entomol* 19, 339-344 (2005)
- 56. L. C. Bartholomay, J. F. Fuchs, L. L. Cheng, E. T. Beck, J. Vizioli, C. Lowenberger and B. M. Christensen: Reassessing the role of defensin in the innate immune response of the mosquito, *Aedes aegypti. Insect Mol Biol* 13, 125-132 (2004)
- 57. S. M. Todd, D. E. Sonenshine and W. L. Hynes: Tissue and life-stage distribution of a defensin gene in the Lone Star tick, *Amblyomma americanum*. *Med Vet Entomol* 21, 141 147 (2007)
- 58. R. Lai, L. O. Lomas, J. Jonczy, P. C. Turner and H. H. Rees; Two novel non-cationic defensin-like antimicrobial peptides from haemolymph of the female tick, *Amblyomma hebraeum*. *Biochem J* 379, 681-685 (2004)
- 59. R. Lai, H. Takeuchi, L. O. Lomas, J. Jonesy, D. J. Ridgen, H. W. Rees and P. C. Turner: A new type of

- antimicrobial protein with multiple histidines from the hard tick Amblyomma hebraeum. Faseb J 18, 1447 1449 (2004)
- 60. N. Tsuji, B. Battsetseg, D. Boldbaatar, T. Miyoshi, X. Xuan, J. H. Oliver, Jr. and K. Fujisaki: Babesial vector tick defensin against *Babesia* sp. parasites. *Infect Immun* 75, 3633-3640 (2007)
- 61. N. Rudenko, M. Golovchenko and L. Grubhoffer: Gene organization of a novel defensin of *Ixodes ricinus*: first annotation of an intron/exon structure in a hard tick defensin gene and first evidence of the occurrence of two isoforms of one member of the arthropod defensin family. *Insect Mol Biol* 16, 501 507 (2007)
- 62. J. A. Simser, K. R. Macaluso, A. Mulenga and A. F. Azad: Immune-responsive lysozymes from hemocytes of the American dog tick, *Dermacentor variabilis* and an embryonic cell line of the Rocky Mountain wood tick, *D. andersoni. Insect Biochem Mol Biol* 34, 1235 1246 (2004)
- 63. U. Munderloh, S. D. Jauron and T. J. Kurtti: The tick: a different kind of host for human pathogens. In: *Tick-borne Diseases of Humans*. Ed J. L. Goodman, D. T. Dennis and D. E. Sonenshine. ASM Press, Washington, D.C (2005)
- 64. V. M. Podbornov: The lysozyme of *Ixodes persulcatus* ticks. *Med Parazitol (Mosk)* 3, 21 23 (1990)
- 65. J. T. Mattila, U. G. Munderloh and T. J. Kurtti: Rickettsia peacockii, an endosymbiont of Dermacentor andersoni, does not elicit or inhibit humoral immune responses from immunocompetent D. andersoni or Ixodes scapularis cell lines. Dev Comp Immunol 31, 1095-1106 (2007)
- 66. L. Grunclova, H. Fouquier, V. Hypsa and P. Kopacek: Lysozyme from the gut of the soft tick *Ornithodoros moubata*: the sequence, phylogeny and post-feeding regulation. *Dev Comp Immunol* 27, 651-660 (2003)
- 67. W. Y. Xu, F. S. Huang, H. H. X., J. H. Duan and Z. W. Qiu: Two serine proteases from *Anopheles dirus* haemocytes exhibit changes in transcript abundance after infection of an incompatible rodent malaria parasite, *Plasmodium yoelii* 139, 93 101 (2006)
- 68. J. A. Simser, A. Mulenga, K. R. Macaluso and A. F. Azad: An immune responsive factor D-like serine proteinase homologue identified from the American dog tick, *Dermacentor variabilis*. *Insect Mol Biol* 13, 25-35 (2004)
- 69. S. Kawabata, F. Tokunaga, Y. Kugi, S. Motoyama, Y. Miura, M. Hirata and S. Iwanaga: Limulus factor D, a 43-kDa protein isolated from horseshoe crab hemocytes, is a serine protease homologue with antimicrobial activity. *FEBS Lett* 398, 146 150 (1996)
- 70. P. B. Armstrong: The contribution of proteinase inhibitors to immune defense. *Trends Immunol* 22, 47-52 (2001)

- 71. K. Kadota, E. Satoh, M. Ochiai, N. Inoue, N. Tsuji, I. Igarashi, H. Nagasawa, T. Mikami, F. G. Claveria and K. Fujisaki: Existence of phenol oxidase in the argasid tick *Ornithodoros moubata*. *Parasitol Res* 88, 781 784 (2002)
- 72. E. Zhioua, M. T. Yeh and R. A. Lebru: Assay for phenoloxidase activity in *Amblyomma americanum*, *Dermacentor variabilis* and *Ixodes scapularis*. *J Parasitol* 83, 553 554 (1997)
- 73. P. B. Armstrong and J. P. Quigley: Alpha2-macroglobulin: an evolutionarily conserved arm of the innate immune system. *Develop Comp Immunol*, 23, 375-390 (1999)
- 74. T. Saravanan, C. Weise, D. Sojka and P. Kopáček: Molecular cloning, structure and bait region splice variants of alpha 2-macroglobulin from the soft tick *Ornithodoros moubata*. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* 33, 841 851 (2003)
- 75. P. Kopacek, C. Weise, T. Saravanan, K. Vitová and L. Grubhoffer: Characterization of an alpha-macroglobulin-like glycoprotein isolated from the plasma of the soft tick Ornithodoros moubata. Eur J Biochem 267, 465 475 (2000)
- 76. J. G. Valenzuela, I. M. Francischetti, V. M. Pham, M. K. Garfield, T. N. Mather and J. M. Ribeiro: Exploring the sialome of the tick *Ixodes scapularis*. *J Exp Biol* 205, 2843-2864 (2002)
- 77. L. Grubhoffer, V. Kovar and N. Rudenko: Tick lectins: structural and functional properties. *Parasitol (Suppl)* 129, S113 S125 (2004)
- 78. S. C. Chen, C. H. Yen, M. M. S. Yeh, C. H. Huang and T. Y. Liu: Biochemical properties and cDNA cloning of two new lectins from the plasma of *Tachypleus tridentatus*. *J BiolChem* 276,, 9631 9639 (2001)
- 79. S. Natori: Insect lectins and innate immunity. Adv Exp Med Biol, 484, 223 228 (2001)
- 80. R. O. Rego, V. Kovar, P. Kopacek, C. Weise, P. Man, I. Sauman and L. Grubhoffer: The tick plasma lectin, Dorin M, is a fibrinogen-related molecule. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* 36, 291 299 (2006)
- 81. K. H. Kuhn, J. Uhlir and L. Grubhoffer: Ultrastructural localization of a sialic acid-specific hemolymph lectin in the hemocytes and other tissues of the hard tick *Ixodes ricinus* (Acari; Chelicerata). *Parasitol Res* 82, 215-221 (1996)
- 82. C. R. Bautista-Gargias, M. A. Martinez-Cruz and F. Cordoba-Alva: Lectin activity from the cattle tick *Boophilus microplus* saliva. *Rev Latinoam Microbiol* 39, 83 89 (1997)
- 83. l. Grubhoffer, J. Veres and F. Dusbabek: Lectins as the molecular factors of recognition and defence reation of ticks. *Modern Acarology* 2, 381-388 (1991)

- 84. X. Huang, N. Tsuji, T. Miyoshi, S. Nakamura-Tsuruta, J. Hirabayashi and K. Fujisaki: Molecular characterization and oligosaccharide-binding properties of a galectin from the argasid tick *Ornithodoros moubata*. *Glycobiology* 17, 313-323 (2007)
- 85. H. Kovacs, I. D. Campbell, P. Strong, S. Johnson, F. J. Ward, K. B. M. Reid and P. Eggleton: Evidence That C1q Binds Specifically to CH2-like Immunoglobulin γ Motifs Present in the Autoantigen Calreticulin and Interferes with Complement Activation. *Biochemistry* 37, 17865-17874 (1998)
- 86. G. Xu, Q. Q. Fang, Y. Sun, J. E. Keirans and L. A. Durden: Hard tick calreticulin (CRT) gene coding regions have only one intron with conserved positions and variable sizes. *J Parasitol* 91, 1326-1331 (2005)
- 87. H. Wang and P. A. Nuttall: Immunoglobulin-binding proteins in ticks: New target for vaccine development against a blood-feeding parasite. *Cell Mol Life Sci* 56, 286 295 (1999)
- 88. T. Yoshiga, V. P. Hernandez, A. M. Fallon and J. H. Law: Mosquito transferrin, an acute-phase protein that is up-regulated upon infection. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 94, 12337 13342 (1997)
- 89. K. P. Paily, B. A. Kumar and K. Balaraman: Transferrin in the mosquito, *Culex quinquefasciatus* Say (Diptera: Culicidae), up-regulated upon infection and development of the filarial parasite. *Parasitol Res* 101, 325 330 (2007)
- 90. G. D. Baldridge, T. J. Kurtti and U. G. Munderloh: Susceptibility of *Rickettsia monacensis* and *Rickettsia peacockii* to Cecropin A, Ceratotoxin A, and lysozyme. *Curr Microbiol* 151, 233 238 (2005)
- 91. Y. Matsuda, T. Osaki, T. Hashii, T. Koshiba and S. Kawabata: A cysteine-rich protein from an arthropod stabilizes clotting mesh and immobilizes bacteria at injury sites. *J Biol Chem* 282, 33545-33552 (2007)
- 92. C. Scherfer, M. R. Qazi, K. Takahashi, R. Ueda, M. S. Dushay, U. Theopold and B. Lemaitre: The Toll immune-regulated *Drosophila* protein Fondue is involved in hemolymph clotting and puparium formation. *Develop Biol* 295, 156 163 (2006)
- 93. J. Zhou, M. Liao, M. Ueda, H. Gong, X. Xuan and K. Fujisaki: Sequence characterization and expression patterns of two defensin-like antimicrobial peptides from the tick *Haemaphysalis longicornis*. *Peptides* 28, 1304 1310 (2007)
- 94. M. A. Rudzinska, S. Lewengrub, A. Spielman and J. Piesman: Invasion of *Babesia microti* into epithelial cells of the tick gut. *J Protozool* 30, 338 346 (1983)
- 95. J. M. Vinetz, J. G. Valenzuela, C. A. Sprecht, L. Aravind, R. C. Langer, J. M. Ribeiro and D. C. Kaslow:

- Chitinases of the avian malaria parasite *Plasmodium gallinaceum*, a class of enzymes necessary for parasite invasion of the mosquito midgut. *J Biol Chem* 275, 10331 10341 (2000)
- 96. Z. Zhu, L. Gern and A. Aeschlimann: The peritrophic membrane of *Ixodes ricinus*. Parasitol Res 77, 635 641 (1991)
- 97. L. A. Grigor'eva and L. I. Amosoa: Peritrophic matrix in the midgut of tick females of the genus *Ixodes* (Acari: Ixodidae). *Parazitologia* 38 3 11. (2004)
- 98. U. Pau, X. Li, T. Wang, R. R. Montgomery, N. Ramamoorthy, A. M. DeSilva, F. Bao, X. Yang, M. Pypaert, D. Pradhan, F. S. Kantor, S. Telford, J. F. Anderson and E. Fikrig: TROSPA, an *Ixodes scapularis* receptor for *Borrelia burgdorferi*. *Cell* 119, 457 468 (2004)
- 99. J. de la Fuente, J. C. Garcia-Garcia, A. F. Barbet, E. F. Blouin and K. M. Kocan: Adhesion of outer membrane proteins containing tandem repeats of *Anaplasma* and *Ehrlichia* species (Rickettsiales: Anaplasmataceae) to tick cells. *Vet Microbiol* 98, 313 322 (2004)
- 100. B. T. Beerntsen, A. A. James and B. M. Christensen: Genetics of mosquito vector competence. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* 64, 115-137 (2000)
- 101. J. Vizioli, A. M. Richman, S. Uttenweiler-Joseph, C. Blass and P. Bulet: The defensin peptide of the malaria vector mosquito *Anopheles gambiae*: antimicrobial activities and expression in adult mosquitoes. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* 31, 241-248 (2001)
- 102. C. A. Lowenberger, S. Kamal, J. Chiles, S. Paskewitz, P. Bulet, J. A. Hoffman and B. Christensen: Mosquito-Plasmodium interactions in response to immune activation of the vector. *Exp Parasitol* 91, 59 69 (1999)
- 103. N. Boulanger, R. J. Munks, J. V. Hamilton, F. Vovelle, R. Brun, M. J. Lehane and P. Bulet: Epithelial innate immunity. A novel antimicrobial peptide with antiparasitic activity in the blood-sucking insect Stomoxys calcitrans. *J Biol Chem* 277, 49921-49926 (2002)
- 104. P. Kopacek, R. Vogt, L. Jindrak, C. Weise and I. Safarik: Purification and characterization of the lysozyme from the gut of the soft tick *Ornithodoros moubata*. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* 29, 989-997 (1999)
- 105. T. Matsuo, Y. Okoda, B. Badgar, N. Inoue, X. Xuan, D. Taylor and K. Fujisaki: Fate of GFP-expressing Escherichia coli in the midgut and response to ingestion in a tick, Ornithodoros moubata (Acari: Argasidae). Exp Parasitol 108, 67-73 (2004)
- 106. J. V. Hamilton, R. J. Munks, S. M. Lehane and M. J. Lehane: Association of midgut defensin with a novel serine protease in the blood-sucking fly *Stomoxys calcitrans*. *Insect Mol Biol* 11, 197-205 (2002)

- 107. Y. Nakajima, K. Ogihara, D. Taylor and M. Yamakawa: Antibacterial hemoglobin fragments from the midgut of the soft tick, *Ornithodoros moubata* (Acari: Argasidae). *J Med Entomol* 40, 78-81 (2003)
- 108. A. C. Fogaca, P. I. da Silva, Jr., M. T. Miranda, A. G. Bianchi, A. Miranda, P. E. Ribolla and S. Daffre: Antimicrobial activity of a bovine hemoglobin fragment in the tick *Boophilus microplus*. *J Biol Chem* 274, 25330-25334 (1999)
- 109. A. Marchler-Bauer, J. B. Anderson, C. DeWeese-Scott, N. D. Fedorova, L. Y. Geer, S. He, D. I. Hurwitz, J. D. Jackson, A. R. Jacobs, C. J. Lanczycki, C. A. Liebert, C. Liu, T. Madej, G. H. Marchler, R. Mazumder, A. N. Nikolskaya, A. R. Panchenko, B. S. Rao, B. A. Shoemaker, V. Simonyan, J. S. Song, P. A. Thiessen, S. Vasudevan, Y. Wang, R. A. Yamashita, J. J. Yin and S. H. Bryant: CDD: a curated Entrez database of conserved domain alignments. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 31, 383-387 (2003)
- 110. N. Rudenko, M. Golovchenko, M. J. Edwards and L. Grubhoffer: Differential expression of *Ixodes ricinus* tick genes induced by blood feeding or *Borrelia burgdorferi* infection. *J Med Entomol* 42, 36-41 (2005)
- 111. J. C. Garcia-Garcia, C. Montero, M. Redondo, M. Vargas, M. Canales, O. Boue, M. Rodriguez, M. Joglar, H. Machado, I. L. Gonzalez, M. Valdes, L. Mendez and J. de la Fuente: Control of ticks resistant to immunization with Bm86 in cattle vaccinated with the recombinant antigen Bm95 isolated from the cattle tick, *Boophilus microplus*. *Vaccine* 18, 2275-2287 (2000)
- 112. P. Willadsen, G. A. Riding, R. V. McKenna, D. H. Kemp, R. L. Tellam, J. N. Nielsen, J. Lahnstein, G. S. Cobon and J. M. Gough: Immunologic control of a parasitic arthropod. Identification of a protective antigen from *Boophilus microplus J Immunol*, 143, 1346-1351 (1989)
- 113. J. de la Fuente, K. M. Kocan and E. F. Bluoin: Tick vaccines and the transmission of tick-borne pathogens. *Vet Res Commun (Suppl)* 1, 85 90 (2007)
- 114. T. Miyoshi, N. Tsuji, M. K. Islam, X. Huang, M. Motobu, M. A. Alim and K. Fujisaki: Molecular and reverse genetic characterization of serine proteinase-induced hemolysis in the midgut of the ixodid tick *Haemaphysalis longicornis*. *J Insect Physiol* 53, 195 203 (2007)
- 115. A. Mulenga, O. Misao and C. Sugimoto: Three serine proteinases from midguts of the hard tick *Rhipicephalus appendiculatus*; cDNA cloning and preliminary characterization. *Exp Appl Acarol* 29, 151 164 (2003)
- 116. D. Boldbaatar, C. Sikasunge, B. Battsetseg, X. Xuan and K. Fujisaki: Molecular cloning and functional characterization of an aspartic protease from the hard tick *Haemaphysalis longicornis*. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* 36, 25 36 (2006)

- 117. E. Willot and H. Q. Tran: Zinc and Manduca sexta hemocyte functions. J Insect Science 2, 1 9 (2002)
- 118. A. Mulenga, R. Khumthong and M. A. Blandon: Molecular and expression analysis of a family of the *Amblyomma americanum* tick: Lospins. *J Exp Biol* 210, 3188 3198. (2007)
- 119. A. Mulenga, A. Tsuda, M. Onuma and C. Sugimoto: Four serine protease inhibitors (serpin) from the brown ear tick, *Rhipicephalus appendiculatus*; cDNA cloning and preliminary characterization. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* 33, 237 276 (2003)
- 120. M. Sugino, S. Imamura, A. Mulenga, M. Nakajima, A. Tsuda, K. Ohashi and M. Onuma: A serine proteinase inhibitor (serpin) from ixodid tick *Haemaphysalis longicornis*; cloning and preliminary assessment of its suitability as a candidate for a tick vaccine. *Vaccine* 21, 2844-2851 (2003)
- 121. M. R. Kanost: Serine proteinase inhibitors in arthropod immunity. *Dev Comp Immunol* 23, 291 301. (1999)
- 122. J. Zhou, M. Ueda, R. Umemiya, B. Battsetseg, D. Boldbaatar, X. Xuan and K. Fujisaki: A secreted cystatin from the tick *Haemaphysalis longicornis* and its distinct expression patterns in relation to innate immunity. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* 36, 527 535 (2006)
- 123. L. Grunclova, M. Horn, M. Vancova, D. Sojka, Z. Franta, M. Mares and P. Kopacek: Two secreted cystatins of the soft tick *Ornithodoros moubata*: differential expression pattern and inhibitory specificity. *Biol Chem* 387, 1635 1644. (2006)
- 124. R. J. DeJong, L. M. Miller, A. Molina-Cruz, L. Gupta, S. Kumar and C. Barillas-Mury: Reactive oxygen species detoxification by catalase is a major determinant of fecundity in the mosquito *Anopheles gambiae*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*, 104, 2121 2126 (2007)
- 125. T. M. Peterson and S. Luckhart: A mosquito 2-Cys peroxiredoxin protects against nitrosative and oxidative stresses associated with malaria parasite infection. *Free Radic Biol Med* 40, 1067 1082 (2006)
- 126. R. Chalk, H. Townson, S. Natori, H. Desmond and P. J. Ham: Purification of an insect defensin from the mosquito, *Aedes aegypti. Insect Biochem Mol Biol* 24, 403-410 (1994)
- 127. A. A. Enayti, H. Ranson and J. Hemingway: Insect glutathione transferases and insecticide resistance. *Insect Mol Biol* 14, 3 8 (2005)
- 128. S. M. Dreher-Lesnick, A. Mulenga, J. A. Simser and A. F. Azad: Differential expression of two glutathione Stransferases identified from the American dog tick, *Dermacentor variabilis*. *Insect Mol Biol* 15, 445 453 (2006)

Innate immune response in ticks

- 129. B. J. Mans, J. F. Andersen, I. M. Francischetti, J. G. Valenzuela, T. G. Schwan, V. M. Pham, M. K. Garfield, C. H. Hammer and J. M. Ribeiro: Comparative sialomics between hard and soft ticks: Implications for the evolution of blood-feeding behavior. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* 38, 42-58 (2008)
- 130. A. Fogaça, Lorenzinia, D.M., Kakua, L.M., Estevesa, E., Bulet, P., and Daffre, S.: Cysteine-rich antimicrobial peptides of the cattle tick *Boophilus microplus*: isolation, structural characterization and tissue expression profile. *Develop Comp Immun* 28, 191-200 (2004)
- 131. S. M. Ceraul, D. E. Sonenshine, R. E. Ratzlaff and W. L. Hynes: An arthropod defensin expressed by the hemocytes of the American dog tick, *Dermacentor variabilis* (Acari: Ixodidae). *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* 33, 1099-1103 (2003)
- 132. N. Tsuji, B. Badger, B. Damdinsuren, T. Miyoshi, X. Xuan, J. H. O. Jr and K. Fujisaki: Babesial vector tick defensin against *Babesia* sp. parasites. *Infect Immun* 75, 3633 3640 (2007)
- Key Words: innate immunity, antimicrobial proteins, cellular response, soluble response
- Send correspondence to: Daniel E. Sonenshine, Department of Biological Sciences, Old Dominion University, Norfolk, Virginia USA, Tel: 757-683-3612 Fax: 757-683-5283, E-mail: dsonensh@odu.edu

Asimilarity entire mature

		entire	mature
DVI	MRGLCICIMFLLWOGIMSATAAAPAES-EVAHIRWRRGFSCPINGGACHNHCRSI-RRRGGYCSGIIMQTCTCYRM	-	-
Ah	MNAVFASCLIVAALVAFASAHHLELCKKNEQVIATELECIHOHIP ETNAAFDEAVTKLOOSDESCAIRKLOESHOLESAHAKYFTPEQIKHVHDAALTODPDARHDHDHDHGHGHDHDHDH	12.2	13.5
Ah1	MATVRNSRPEAAGEPSGVSSTEGEWRHIEKROVSYGGEGKURRED FFGCPADEGKOFDHONNK-AYDIGYCGGSYRATOVCYRK		48.6
Ah2	MATORREISWIFGPLYTMRITKGYGTTLETTNAISISSKPSKRYEKPYGCPTDEGKCFDRONDS-EFEGGYCGGSYRAICVCYRI	29.8	48.6
amer	MKVLAVAFIFYLVAGLVS-TADEEDKS-QVFL\kVRRGFGCPFNQYQCHSHCLSI-GRRGGYCGGSFKTTCTCYH	64.9	67.6
Argasl	MNKS D/IVEVIAIAVAATTAGSVDEP-ERSHG-KVRHGYGCPPNOYECHNHCKGVPGYKGGYCDGFLKHTCKCY	60.0	65_B
Argas2	MRCLTVTFVCLLVLGAFALSTVDGRRHPTSHDYGCFVFQIECQQHCSAT-FGMQGRCGGSRRSECICRM	40.5	44.7
Argas3	MPSESTMRS LTILLVCVLTFSVFTVTVALPPRIIHHHTGRAYGCPDDYSRCQOHCSAT-FGWTGACGGVTRGECKCRE	41.3	47.4
Bm	MRGIYICLXFYLXOGLVSGLADVPAES-EMAHLKVRRGFGCPFNQGACHRHCRSI-RRRGGYCAGLIKQTCTCYRN	89.2	94.6
Bmp	MKAI FYSALLIVVALVASTSAHIQELITIKGDDALYTELECIRLRI SPETNAAFDNAVQQLINCLIRA CAYRINICATNILEQAMSVYFTNEQI KETHDAATACD PEAHHEHDH	11.7	13.2
Dace11	MRGLCICIVFLLVCGIVSATAAAPAES-EVAHIRVRRGFOCPINGGACHNHCRSI-RRRGGYCSGIIKQTCTCYRN	100	100
Dv2	MRFTSA1FLWAVIAAFVVMITATGERSEERSFFABASGCKADACKSYCKSL-GSGGGYCDQGTWCVCN	31.1	35.1
HIAMP	MKVLAVALIFVLVAGLFCTAAAQDDES-DVPH,kVRRGFGCPLNQGACHNHCRSI-GRRGGYCAGIIKQTCTCYRK	B1.1	94.6
Hight	MKVFSA1FLVGL1LAFLAFAAGDEEDS-SKFLNKVRPGFGCPFDERACHAHCQSV-GRRGGYCGN-FRHYCYCYKN	58.1	67.6
H1sq1	MKLLIV CAALTVIGGLLQGATCDDSDHG#kTAH"DLVCPDNFDNCIQQCVsK-GAQGGYCTNEKCTCYEKIPSATKR/RIVA	37.2	43.2
IE	MKVLAVSLAFILLIAGLISTSLAGNEEGGEKELVKVRHDGYYCPFFODKCHRHCRSF-GRKAGYCGGFLKKTCICV	53.9	59.5
2.1	MRVIAVILIALLVAGAFMISAGEEEN-(VAK) KVRRGFGCPFDGGACHRHCGSI-GRRGGYCAGFIKGICTCYHN	71.6	81.1
Issg	MRVIAVILIALLVAGAIMISSAQEEEN-QVAKVKKIGFGCPFDQCACHRHCQSI-GRAGGGYCAGFIKOTCTCYHN	73.0	81.1
OnA	MNKLFIVALVLALAVATMAHEVYDDVE-EPSV:kVRAGYGCPFNQYQCHSHCSGIRGYKGGYCKGLFKQTCNCY	52.0	65.8
On B	MNKLFIVALYVALAVAIMAQEVHDDVE-EGSVEKVRR GYGCPFNOYQCHSHCRGIRGYKGGYCTGRFKOTCKCY	53.3	68.4
OnC	MNKLFIVALVIAIAVAIMAHEVYDDVE-EPSVHKVRRGYGCPFNQYQCHSHCSGIRGYKGGYCKGIFKQTCNCY	52.0	65.8
OnD	MNKLFIVALVLALAVAIMAHEVHODIE-EPSVAMANA GFGCFFNOYECHAHCSGVPGYKGGYCKGLFKOCCNCY	50.7	63.2