# Old Dominion University ODU Digital Commons

Mathematics & Statistics Faculty Publications

Mathematics & Statistics

1978

# **Complementary Extremum Principles**

J. Swetits Old Dominion University

C. Rogers

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.odu.edu/mathstat\_fac\_pubs Part of the <u>Applied Mathematics Commons</u>, and the <u>Mathematics Commons</u>

## **Repository Citation**

Swetits, J. and Rogers, C., "Complementary Extremum Principles" (1978). *Mathematics & Statistics Faculty Publications*. 115. https://digitalcommons.odu.edu/mathstat\_fac\_pubs/115

### **Original Publication Citation**

Swetits, J., & Rogers, C. (1978). Complementary extremum principles. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 62(3), 445-452. doi:10.1016/0022-247x(78)90138-5

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Mathematics & Statistics at ODU Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Mathematics & Statistics Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of ODU Digital Commons. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@odu.edu.

# **On Complementary Extremum Principles**

J. SWETITS

Department of Mathematics, Old Dominion University, Norfolk, Virginia 23508

AND

C. ROGERS

Department of Mathematics, University of Western Ontario, London, Canada

Submitted by W. F. Ames

Important complementary extremum principles are generated without recourse to general variational theory. The results are illustrated by an application to a class of boundary value problems in Magnetohydrodynamics.

The early work on complementary variational principles is due to Noble [1]. The method is concerned with the construction of upper and lower bounds for the solution of variational problems. The technique has been subsequently developed, in an abstract form, by Rall [2] and especially Arthurs ([3–7], for example). The latter author has given many interesting physical applications. In [3], general dual extremum principles are established for linear boundary value problems by use of the general canonical theory of variational calculus. Here, the results are established in a new direct manner. As an illustration, application is made to magnetohydrodynamic channel flow.

It is noted that a valuable account of dual extremum principles and their diversity of application is given by Noble and Sewell [8].

#### THE EXTREMUM PRINCIPLES

Consider the linear boundary value problem defined by

$$A\phi = f \quad \text{in} \quad V, \tag{1}$$

$$\sigma_T(\phi - \phi_B) = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial V, \tag{2}$$

$$A = T^*T + Q, \tag{3}$$

0022-247X/78/0623-0445\$02.00/0 Copyright © 1978 by Academic Press, Inc. All rights of reproduction in any form reserved. where  $T: H_{\phi} \to H_{u}$  and its adjoint  $T^*: H_{u} \to H_{\phi}$  are, in turn, linear operators on the real Hilbert spaces  $H_{\phi}$  and  $H_{u}$  with inner products  $\langle \rangle$  and (), respectively, and are such that

$$(u, T\phi) = \langle T^*u, \phi \rangle + [u, \sigma_T \phi], \quad \forall \phi \in D_T, \quad u \in D_{T^*}.$$
(4)

Here,  $\sigma_T: H_{\phi} \to H_u$ , while  $[u, \sigma_T \phi]$  denotes boundary terms. Further,  $Q: H_{\phi} \to H_{\phi}$  is a symmetric positive operator on  $D_Q$ ; that is,

$$\langle \phi_1, Q \phi_2 \rangle = \langle Q \phi_1, \phi_2 \rangle, \qquad \phi_1, \phi_2 \in D_Q, \qquad (5)$$

$$\langle \phi, Q\phi \rangle \geqslant 0, \qquad \phi \in D_Q.$$
 (6)

Finally,  $f \in H_{\phi}$  is specified while  $\phi_B$  is a prescribed function on the boundary  $\partial V$  of the region V.  $D_A$  is dense in  $H_{\phi}$ .

The complementary extremum principles state that

$$G(T\Psi) \leqslant I(\phi) \leqslant J(\Phi),$$
 (7)

where  $\phi$  is the exact solution of the boundary value problem defined by (1)-(3) and the functionals  $G(T\Psi)$ ,  $I(\phi)$ ,  $J(\Phi)$  are given, in turn, by

$$G(T\Psi) = -\frac{1}{2}(T\Psi, T\Psi) - \frac{1}{2}\langle Q\Psi_1, \Psi_1 \rangle + [T\Psi, \sigma_T \phi_B],$$

$$Q \neq 0 \qquad (Q\Psi_1 = f - T^*T\Psi, \Psi \in D_T),$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2}(T\Psi, T\Psi) + [T\Psi, \sigma_T \phi_B],$$

$$Q = 0 \qquad (\Psi \in \{\Psi: T^*T\Psi = f \text{ in } V\}),$$
(8)

$$I(\phi) = -\frac{1}{2}\langle f, \phi \rangle + \frac{1}{2}[T\phi, \sigma_T \phi_B],$$

$$J(\Phi) = \frac{1}{2}(T\Phi, T\Phi) + \frac{1}{2}\langle \Phi, Q\Phi \rangle - \langle f, \Phi \rangle - [T\Phi, \sigma_T(\Phi - \phi_B)]$$

$$([T(\Phi - \phi), \sigma_T(\Phi - \Phi_B)] \leqslant 0, \Phi \in D_A).$$
(10)

*Proof.* (a)  $I(\phi) \leqslant J(\Phi)$ . It is given that  $\Phi \in D_A$  and

$$[T(\Phi - \phi), \sigma_T(\Phi - \phi_B)] \leq 0.$$
(11)

Now,

$$0 \leq [T(\Phi - \phi), T(\Phi - \phi)]$$

$$= (T\Phi, T\Phi) - 2(T\phi, T\Phi) + (T\phi, T\phi)$$

$$= (T\Phi, T\Phi) - 2\langle T^*T\phi, \Phi \rangle - 2[T\phi, \sigma_T\Phi] + \langle T^*T\phi, \phi \rangle + [T\phi, \sigma_T\phi_B]$$

$$(using (2) and (4))$$

$$= (T\Phi, T\Phi) - 2\langle f, \Phi \rangle + 2\langle Q\phi, \Phi \rangle + \langle f, \phi \rangle - \langle Q\phi, \phi \rangle - [T\phi, \sigma_T\phi_B]$$

$$+ 2\{[T\phi, \sigma_T\phi_B] - [T\phi, \sigma_T\Phi]\} \qquad (using (1) and (3))$$

$$= \{(T\Phi, T\Phi) + \langle \Phi, Q\Phi \rangle - 2\langle f, \Phi \rangle - 2[T\Phi, \sigma_T(\Phi - \phi_B)]$$

$$+ \langle f, \phi \rangle - [T\phi, \sigma_T\phi_B]\} + 2\langle Q\phi, \Phi \rangle - \langle \Phi, Q\Phi \rangle - \langle Q\phi, \phi \rangle$$

$$+ 2\{[T\Phi, \sigma_T(\Phi - \phi_B)] + [T\phi, \sigma_T\phi_B] - [T\phi, \sigma_T\Phi]\}. \qquad (12)$$

But, from (5) and (6) it is seen that

$$2\langle Q\phi, \Phi \rangle - \langle \Phi, Q\Phi \rangle - \langle Q\phi, \phi \rangle = -\langle Q(\Phi - \phi), \Phi - \phi \rangle \leqslant 0.$$
 (13)

Further,

$$[T\Phi, \sigma_T(\Phi - \phi_B)] + [T\phi, \sigma_T\phi_B] - [T\phi, \sigma_T\phi] = [T(\Phi - \phi), \sigma_T(\Phi - \phi_B)].$$
(14)

Use of (13) and (14) in (12) shows that

$$- \frac{1}{2} \langle f, \phi \rangle + \frac{1}{2} [T\phi, \sigma_T \phi_B]$$

$$\leqslant \frac{1}{2} (T\Phi, T\Phi) + \frac{1}{2} \langle \Phi, Q\Phi \rangle - \langle f, \Phi \rangle - [T\Phi, \sigma_T (\Phi - \phi_B)]$$

$$- \langle Q(\Phi - \phi), \Phi - \phi \rangle + [T(\Phi - \phi), \sigma_T (\Phi - \phi_B)].$$

$$(15)$$

In view of (11) and (13), relation (15) implies the complementary variational principle  $I(\phi) \leq J(\Phi)$ .

(b)  $G(T\Psi) \leqslant I(\phi).$ (i)  $Q \neq 0$ . Now,

$$0 \leq (T(\Psi - \phi), T(\Psi - \phi))$$
  
=  $(T\Psi, T\Psi) - 2(T\Psi, T\phi) + (T\phi, T\phi)$   
=  $(T\Psi, T\Psi) - 2\{\langle T^*T\Psi, \phi \rangle + [T\Psi, \sigma_T\phi_B]\} + \langle T^*T\phi, \phi \rangle + [T\phi, \sigma_T\phi_B]$   
(using (2) and (4)). (16)

But,

$$Q\Psi_1 = f - T^*T\Psi, \qquad \Psi \in D_T,$$

so that, from (16), (1), and (3),

$$0 \leq (T\Psi, T\Psi) - \langle f, \phi \rangle + [T\phi, \sigma_T \phi_B] - \langle Q\phi, \phi \rangle + 2 \langle Q\Psi_1, \phi \rangle - 2[T\Psi, \sigma_T \phi_B] \\= \{ (T\Psi, T\Psi) + \langle Q\Psi_1, \Psi_1 \rangle - 2[T\Psi, \sigma_T \phi_B] - \langle f, \phi \rangle + [T\phi, \sigma_T \phi_B] \} \\- \langle Q(\Psi_1 - \phi), \Psi_1 - \phi \rangle \quad (\text{using (5)}) \\\leq \{ (T\Psi, T\Psi) + \langle Q\Psi_1, \Psi_1 \rangle - 2[T\Psi, \sigma_T \phi_B] - \langle f, \phi \rangle + [T\phi, \sigma_T \phi_B] \}.$$

Hence,  $G(T\Psi) \leq I(\phi), Q \neq 0.$ 

(ii) Q = 0. Relation (16) is derived as above. But now,

$$T^*T\Psi = f$$
 in  $V$ 

so that

$$0 \leq (T\Psi, T\Psi) - 2[T\Psi, \sigma_T \phi_B] - \langle f, \phi \rangle + [T\phi, \sigma_T \phi_B]$$

and the result  $G(T\Psi) \leqslant I(\phi), Q = 0$ , follows.

#### SWETITS AND ROGERS

#### MAGNETOHYDRODYNAMIC CHANNEL FLOW

Extremum principles for magnetohydrodynamic channel flow problems have been discussed by Wenger [9], Smith [10, 11] and Sloan [12]. Here the use of the above formulation is illustrated in the context of such a problem.

The steady flow of a viscous, incompressible electrically conducting fluid in an insulated cylindrical pipe with cross-sectional area A and boundary  $\partial A$ is considered. The X, Y-plane is normal to the axis of the channel. There is a uniform pressure gradient K in the Z-direction and an applied magnetic field  $H_0$ in the X-direction. The governing equations are [13]

$$\nabla^2 W + M \frac{\partial B}{\partial x} = -1, \tag{17}$$

$$\nabla^2 B + M \frac{\partial W}{\partial x} = 0, \tag{18}$$

$$W = B = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial A, \tag{19}$$

where dimensionless variables and parameters have been introduced according to

$$W = \nu \rho W_{z} / [\alpha^{2} K], \qquad B = H_{z} (\nu \rho / \sigma)^{1/2} / [\alpha^{2} K], (X, Y) = a(x, y), M = \mu H_{0} \alpha (\sigma / \nu \rho)^{1/2},$$
(20)

where  $W_z$  is the fluid velocity,  $H_z$  is the induced axial magnetic field,  $\alpha$  is a representative length in the cross section of the pipe, and M is the Hartmann number. Further,  $\rho$  is the density,  $\nu$  is the kinematic viscosity,  $\mu$  is the magnetic permeability, and  $\sigma$  is the electrical conductivity of the fluid.

Equations (17), (18) may be written in the operator form

$$[T^*T+Q] \boldsymbol{\phi} = \mathbf{f} \quad \text{in} \quad A, \tag{21}$$

where

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{grad} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad T^* = \begin{bmatrix} -\operatorname{div} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (22), (23)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\phi} = \begin{bmatrix} w \\ B \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \mathbf{f} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad (24), (25)$$

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -M\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \\ M\frac{\partial}{\partial x} & \nabla^2 \end{bmatrix},$$
(26)

while the boundary conditions become

$$\boldsymbol{\phi} = \boldsymbol{0} \quad \text{on} \quad \partial A. \tag{27}$$

Here,  $\phi$  is treated as an element of the real vector Hilbert space  $H_{\phi}$  with inner product defined by

$$\langle \boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{\Psi} \rangle = \int_{A} (\boldsymbol{\phi}^{\tau} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Psi}) \, dA,$$
 (28)

where  $\phi^{\tau}$  denotes the transpose of  $\phi$ . It is seen that

$$T: H_{\underline{\phi}} \to H_{\underline{\phi}} \times H_{\underline{\phi}} , \qquad T^*: H_{\underline{\phi}} \times H_{\underline{\phi}} \to H_{\underline{\phi}} ,$$

$$Q: H_{\underline{\phi}} \to H_{\underline{\phi}} .$$
(29)

The inner product of two elements  $\phi$ ,  $\Psi \in H_{\phi} \times H_{\phi}$  is defined by

$$(\underline{\phi}, \underline{\Psi}) = \int_{A} (\phi_1 \Psi_1 + \phi_2 \Psi_2) \, dA, \qquad (30)$$

where

$$\underline{\phi} = \begin{bmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \underline{\Psi} = \begin{bmatrix} \Psi_1 \\ \Psi_2 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{31}, (32)$$

Thus, if

$$\underline{\mathbf{u}} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_1 \\ \mathbf{u}_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

then

$$(\underline{\mathbf{u}}, T\boldsymbol{\phi}) = \left(\underline{\mathbf{u}}, \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{grad} w \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \int_{A} \mathbf{u}_{1} \operatorname{grad} w \, dA,$$
 (33)

$$\langle T^* \underline{\mathbf{u}}, \boldsymbol{\phi} \rangle = \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} -\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} w \\ B \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle = - \int_A w \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_1 \, dA,$$
 (34)

whence, by Green's theorem in the plane,

$$(\underline{\mathbf{u}}, T\boldsymbol{\phi}) = \langle T^* \underline{\mathbf{u}}, \boldsymbol{\phi} \rangle + [\underline{\mathbf{u}}, \sigma_T \boldsymbol{\phi}], \qquad (35)$$

where the conjoint of  $\mathbf{u}$  and  $\boldsymbol{\phi}$  is given by

$$[\underline{\mathbf{u}}, \sigma_T \boldsymbol{\phi}] = \oint_{\partial A} w\{-u_{12} \, dx + u_{11} \, dy\},\tag{36}$$

where

$$\mathbf{u}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} u_{11} \\ u_{12} \end{bmatrix}.$$

The domain  $D_Q$  is taken as the collection of elements in  $H_{\phi}$  which satisfy (18), possess the required derivatives in  $A \cup \partial A$ , and satisfy B = 0 on  $\partial A$ . It is assumed throughout that A and  $\partial A$  are of such a type as to permit the use of Green's theorem in the plane.

If

$$\boldsymbol{\phi}_i = \begin{bmatrix} w_i \\ B_i \end{bmatrix} \in D_Q, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

then

$$\begin{split} \langle \phi_{1}, Q\phi_{2} \rangle &- \langle Q\phi_{1}, \phi_{2} \rangle \\ &= \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} w_{1} \\ B_{1} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -M\frac{\partial B_{2}}{\partial x} \\ M\frac{\partial w_{2}}{\partial x} + \nabla^{2}B_{2} \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle - \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} -M\frac{\partial B_{1}}{\partial x} \\ M\frac{\partial w_{1}}{\partial x} + \nabla^{2}B_{1} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} w_{2} \\ B_{2} \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle \\ &= \int_{A} \left\{ -Mw_{1}\frac{\partial B_{2}}{\partial x} + MB_{1}\frac{\partial w_{1}}{\partial x} + B_{1}\nabla^{2}B_{2} \\ &+ Mw_{2}\frac{\partial B_{1}}{\partial x} - MB_{2}\frac{\partial w_{1}}{\partial x} - B_{2}\nabla^{2}B_{1} \right\} dA; \end{split}$$

that is, from (18),

$$\begin{split} \langle \phi_{1}, Q\phi_{2} \rangle &- \langle Q\phi_{1}, \phi_{2} \rangle \\ &= \int_{A} \left[ -Mw_{1} \frac{\partial B_{2}}{\partial x} + Mw_{2} \frac{\partial B_{1}}{\partial x} \right] dA \\ &= \int_{A} \left[ -M \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (B_{2}w_{1}) + MB_{2} \frac{\partial w_{1}}{\partial x} + M \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (B_{1}w_{2}) - MB_{1} \frac{\partial w_{2}}{\partial x} \right] dA \\ &= M \left[ \oint_{\partial A} \left[ B_{1}w_{2} - B_{2}w_{1} \right] dy + \int_{A} \left[ -B_{2}\nabla^{2}B_{1} + B_{1}\nabla^{2}B_{2} \right] dA \right] \\ &= M \left\{ \oint_{\partial A} \left[ \left( B_{1}w_{2} - B_{2}w_{1} - B_{2} \frac{\partial B_{1}}{\partial x} + B_{1} \frac{\partial B_{2}}{\partial x} \right] dy \right. \\ &+ \left[ B_{2} \frac{\partial B_{1}}{\partial y} - B_{1} \frac{\partial B_{2}}{\partial y} \right] dx \right] \right\} \\ &= 0, \end{split}$$

since  $B_1 = B_2 = 0$  on  $\partial A$ . Thus, Q is a symmetric operator on  $D_Q$ . Further, in view of (18),

$$\begin{split} \langle \phi, Q\phi \rangle &= \int_{A} \left\{ -Mw \, \frac{\partial B}{\partial x} + MB \, \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} + B\nabla^{2}B \right\} dA \\ &= \int_{A} \left\{ -Mw \, \frac{\partial B}{\partial x} \right\} dA \\ &= -\int_{\partial A} MBw \, dy - \int_{A} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( B \, \frac{\partial B}{\partial x} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( B \, \frac{\partial B}{\partial y} \right) \right. \\ &- \left( \frac{\partial B}{\partial x} \right)^{2} - \left( \frac{\partial B}{\partial y} \right)^{2} \right\} dA \\ &= \oint_{\partial A} \left[ \left\{ -MBw - B \, \frac{\partial B}{\partial x} \right\} \, dy + B \, \frac{\partial B}{\partial y} \, dx \right] + \int_{A} (\nabla B)^{2} \, dA \\ &= \int_{A} (\nabla B)^{2} \, dA \ge 0, \end{split}$$

since B = 0 on  $\partial A$ ,  $\phi \in D_Q$ . Hence, Q is a positive operator on  $D_Q$ .

Result (7) may now be used to give

$$\begin{cases} \int_{A} \left[ 2w_{1} - (\nabla B_{1})^{2} - (\nabla w_{1})^{2} \right] dA + 2 \oint_{\partial A} \left[ w_{1} \frac{\partial w_{1}}{\partial x} dy - w_{1} \frac{\partial w_{1}}{\partial y} dx \right] \end{cases}$$

$$\leq \int_{A} w \, dA \leq \int_{A} \left[ (\nabla B_{2})^{2} + \mathbf{U}_{1} \cdot \mathbf{U}_{1} + \mathbf{U}_{2} \cdot \mathbf{U}_{2} \right] dA, \qquad (37)$$

where

$$\mathbf{\underline{U}} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{U}_1 \\ \mathbf{U}_2 \end{bmatrix} \in H_{\mathbf{\phi}} \times H_{\mathbf{\phi}}, \qquad \begin{bmatrix} w_i \\ B_i \end{bmatrix} \in D_Q, \qquad i = 1, 2,$$

and  $B_2$ ,  $\mathbf{U}_1$  are related according to

$$M \frac{\partial B_2}{\partial x} = -\{1 + \text{div } \mathbf{U}_1\}.$$
(38)

The sharpest upper bound is obtained by taking  $U_2 = 0$ . Thus, upper and lower bounds have been generated for the efflux of the conducting fluid through the insulated channel.

#### Acknowledgment

One of the authors (C.R.) wishes to acknowledge, with gratitude, support under NRC Grant A8780.

#### References

- 1. B. NOBLE, "Complementary Variational Principles for Boundary Value Problems. I. Basic Principles with an Application to Ordinary Differential Equations," MRC Technical Summary Report No. 473, November 1964.
- 2. L. B. RALL, On complementary variational principles, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 14 (1966), 174-184.
- 3. A. M. ARTHURS, "Complementary Variational Principles," Clarendon, Oxford, 1970.
- 4. A. M. ARTHURS, Canonical approach to biharmonic variational problems, *Quart.* Appl. Math. 28 (1970), 135-138.
- 5. A. M. ARTHURS, A note on Komkov's class of boundary value problems and associated variational principles, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 33 (1971), 402-407.
- 6. A. M. ARTHURS, Dual extremum principles and error bounds for a class of boundary value problems, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 41 (1973), 781-795.
- A. M. ARTHURS, Complementary variational principles for linear equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 49 (1975), 237-239.
- B. NOBLE AND M. J. SEWELL, On dual extremum principles in applied mathematics, J. Inst. Math. Appl. 9 (1972), 123-193.
- N. C. WENCER, A variational principle for magnetohydrodynamic channel flow, J. Fluid. Mech. 43 (1970), 211-224.

- 10. P. SMITH, Some extremum principles for magnetohydrodynamic flow in conducting pipes, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 72 (1972), 303-313.
- 11. P. SMITH, Some extremum principles for pipe flow in magnetohydrodynamics, Z. Angew. Math. Phys. 23 (1972), 753-764.
- 12. D. M. SLOAN, Extremum principles for magnetohydrodynamic channel flow, Z. Angew. Math. Phys. 24 (1973), 689-698.
- 13. C. C. CHANG AND T. S. LUNDGREN, Duct flow in magnetohydrodynamics, Z. Angew. Math. Phys. 12 (1961), 100-114.