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A series of electron spin resonance (ESR) experiments is done to quantitatively measure the concentrations of aqueous $^{1}\text{O}_2$ and OH produced by a surface micro-discharge air plasma device. $^{1}\text{O}_2$ is tested to be existed in the plasma treated solution by using the spin trap of TEMP. However, the unexpected DMPOX spectrum is observed in measuring OH by the spin trap of 5,5-Dimethyl-1-Pyrroline-N-Oxide (DMPO). With more chemical scavenger experiments, it is found that removal of aqueous $^{1}\text{O}_2$ leads to the disappearance of DMPOX in ESR. Therefore, the generation of DMPOX is directly related to the oxidation of DMPO by plasma-produced aqueous $^{1}\text{O}_2$. This oxidation process and interactions between DMPO and chemical scavengers used in experiments can all be well explained by a proposed reaction mechanism. The revelation of interactions between aqueous $^{1}\text{O}_2$ and the spin trap DMPO shows that the observation of spectra of DMPOX in the ESR measurement can be regarded as a marker of high concentrations of plasma-produced $^{1}\text{O}_2$ in liquid. These results also prove the existence of interactions between spin traps and non-targeted plasma-produced reactive species in ESR experiments. Also, these results have offered a better understanding of the use of spin traps such as DMPO in the plasma-induced highly oxidative aqueous environment. Published by AIP Publishing. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4986008]

I. INTRODUCTION

Recently, interactions between cold atmospheric plasma (CAP) and liquid have received more and more attention in the research field of plasma medicine.1–3 Aqueous reactive oxygen and nitrogen species (RONS) produced by CAP including $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$, OH, $\text{O}_2^-$, $^{1}\text{O}_2$, NO, and peroxynitrites have been found to play important roles in many biomedical effects of CAP.4–6 Several quantitative investigations on these plasma-produced RONS have yielded some preliminary results to reveal the details of the chemical processes that happen during plasma treatment. According to recently reported research results, long-lived aqueous RONS including $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$, NO$_2^-$, and NO$_3^-$ have already been measured quantitatively using different methods such as fluorescent probes,7 liquid chromatography,8 and laser spectroscopy.9 For some short-lived species, electron spin resonance (ESR) spectroscopy is widely accepted as an effective method for measuring the concentrations of radical-related species such as OH, $\text{O}_2^-$, and $^{1}\text{O}_2$. Reuter et al.10–12 have done a series of ESR measurement studies on OH and $\text{O}_2^-$ produced by the argon plasma jet called kinpen in water. Their results have proved the existence of these short-lived species and also shown their quantitative generation rates. Similar work presented by Wu et al.13 reported the concentrations of OH, $^{1}\text{O}_2$, and $\text{O}_2^-$ induced by a pure helium microplasma jet in water. Takamatsu et al.14 have compared the concentrations of short-lived species including OH, NO, and $^{1}\text{O}_2$ produced by a plasma jet with different feeding gases. All these experimental results provide a lot of information about aqueous short-lived species produced by different plasmas.

Because of the high chemical activity of short-lived species, they can easily react with other components in liquid so that it is hard to detect them after plasma treatment. Therefore, chemical probes called spin traps are widely used in ESR experiments to react with these short-lived reactive species to generate stable and detectable radicals (spin trap adduct) during the whole treatment process. The different spectra of these spin trap adducts in the ESR can be used to identify the corresponding targeted species which are captured by spin traps. For example, DMPO (5,5-Dimethyl-1-Pyrroline-N-Oxide) is a spin trap for measuring $\text{OH}$ with a long history of use in ESR.15,16 The reaction between the ESR-silent DMPO and OH can generate the spin trap adduct of DMPO-OH, which has a specific spectrum of four peaks with a height ratio of 1:2:2:1. The appearance of this spectrum directly indicates the existence of OH in tested samples. Likewise, several other spin traps including TEMP, BMPO, TEMPO-H, and DTCS have been used in measurements on other plasma-produced short-lived species.10,13,14

However, plasma can generate multiple types of RONS in the treated solution, which is vividly called making the “cocktails” of reactive species. This situation makes it possible for the spin traps added into treated liquid to react with other non-target species during plasma treatment. These unexpected interactions would directly influence the effectiveness of ESR...
measurements on plasma-produced short-lived species. In fact, there are already some experiments that have provided several abnormal results when using spin traps such as DMPO. Liu et al.\textsuperscript{17} and Plimpton et al.\textsuperscript{18} have reported the observation of DMPOX, an oxidative form of DMPO, which appeared in air plasma treated liquid instead of the expected spin trap adduct of DMPO-OH. However, the sources/oxides that lead to this result and mechanisms of the interaction still remain unknown.

In this paper, we have first quantitatively measured the aqueous $^1$O$_2$ produced by a surface micro-discharge (SMD) air plasma device. When using spin trap DMPO to measure plasma-produced OH, we observe that the spin trap adducts detected are DMPOX but not DMPO-$^1$OH. With a series of experiments by chemical scavengers, the source of oxidation on DMPO into DMPOX is confirmed to be $^1$O$_2$. Concluded from all phenomena observed in different experiments, the reaction mechanism of interactions between $^1$O$_2$ and DMPO is proposed.

II. METHODS

The SMD air plasma device is constructed by a specially designed printed circuit board (PCB). In Fig. 1, the schematic diagram of this device is shown with its geometric parameters. FR-4 fiberglass is the widely used material for the PCB dielectric substrate. In the designed SMD device, this material is also used as the dielectric layer with a thickness of 1.6 mm. Copper/tin electrodes (43 $\mu$m in thickness) are deposited onto the dielectric layer on both sides, respectively. The high voltage electrode is constructed as a 25.4 mm diameter disc. On the other side of the board, the grounded electrode is designed as hexagonal grids with the specified edge to edge spacing of 6.35 mm and the width of the hexagonal mesh of 0.76 mm. When discharging, air surrounding the surface of the grounded electrode is excited to generate plasma. The discharging photograph is shown in Fig. 1(c).

A sinusoidal power supply with a peak-to-peak voltage in the range of 8.8–9.0 kV at a frequency of 23 kHz is applied on this device to generate plasma. Typical discharging waveforms of voltage and current are shown in Fig. 2 with the averaged power of 14.6 W. The 300 $\mu$L phosphate buffering solution (PBS) enclosed in a plastic well (standard 12-well plate) is treated for 60 s by the SMD plasma device, as shown in Fig. 1(b).

Electron spin resonance (ESR) spectroscopy is used to measure air plasma-produced $^1$O$_2$ and OH in PBS. Spin traps of TEMP (39.5 mM, 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine, Sigma) and DMPO (1–100 mM, Dojindo) are added into the solution before plasma treatment. During plasma treatment, these spin traps are expected to keep reacting with $^1$O$_2$ and OH to generate stable spin trap adducts of TEMPO and DMPO-$^1$OH, respectively. A Bruker EMX$^+$ ESR spectrometer is used to carry out all these experiments. ESR parameters are set as follows: sweep range, 100 G; microwave power, 2 mW; time constant, 0.01 s; and center magnetic field, 3513 G. Spectra obtained from the ESR spectrometer are converted into absolute concentrations of spin trap adducts by a standard curve calibrated from the stable radicals of TEMPO.$^-$ Several chemical scavengers have also been used in experiments including D-Mannitol (Sigma), sodium azide (NaN$_3$, Sigma), and Trolox (6-Hydroxy-2,5,7,8-tetramethylchroman-2-carboxylic acid, Sigma). Their concentrations vary according to different experimental demands, which will be discussed in detail in Sec. III.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Measurement on $^1$O$_2$ and OH in air plasma treated PBS

The spin trap of TEMP is used to capture plasma-produced $^1$O$_2$ in PBS. TEMP in liquid can be oxidized by $^1$O$_2$ through an oxygen addition reaction occurring on the nitrogen of TEMP, as shown in Fig. 3(a). A stable radical of TEMPO is generated through this trapping reaction, showing a three-peak spectrum obtained by ESR spectroscopy. Figure 3(a) shows the TEMPO spectrum obtained from PBS treated by SMD plasma for 60 s. The hyperfine coupling constant of 17.17 indicates that this spectrum is of the standard TEMPO without any other radicals mixed.

Quantitative concentrations of TEMPO are presented by a time curve (red curve) in Fig. 3(b). The total plasma

---

**FIG. 1.** (a) Schematic diagram of the PCB device. ($d_2=1.6$ mm, $d_3=43$ $\mu$m, $d_4=6.35$ mm, and $d_5=0.76$ mm). (b) Diagram of experiments on plasma treating PBS (300 $\mu$L) in a closed well. (c) Photograph of discharge, viewed from the ground electrode grids.

**FIG. 2.** Electrical waveforms of the voltage (left axis) and the current (right axis) of air plasma when discharging.

**FIG. 3.** (a) TEMPO spectrum obtained from PBS treated by SMD plasma for 60 s. The hyperfine coupling constant of 17.17 indicates that this spectrum is of the standard TEMPO without any other radicals mixed.
treatment time is 60 s. It is obvious to find that the concentration of TEMPO is kept increasing along with plasma treatment time. Considering that there is no generation pathway for $^1\text{O}_2$ by reaction in liquid,19,20 gaseous $^1\text{O}_2$ generated in plasma is the only source for these captured aqueous $^1\text{O}_2$. This monotonically increasing time curve of TEMPO shows, 2 mM sodium azide added into plasma treated liquid, in order to prevent $^1\text{O}_2$ from being captured by TEMP. If the addition of sodium azide inhibits the trapping reaction of TEMP to $^1\text{O}_2$, it proves that the TEMPO spectrum of $^1\text{O}_2$ rather than other species. As the blue curve in Fig. 3(b) shows, 2 mM sodium azide added into plasma treated liquid leads to only 25% TEMPO measured in ESR compared to the no sodium azide case (all treated by plasma for 60 s). With the increase in sodium azide concentrations, it is observed that 10 mM sodium azide can remove most of the $^1\text{O}_2$ (83%), which proves that the TEMPO is indeed from the effective capture of $^1\text{O}_2$ by TEMP.

As mentioned above, spin trap DMPO is used to test the OH produced by air SMD plasma in PBS. DMPO reacts with OH to form the spin trap adduct of DMPO-OH with a four-peak spectrum with a height ratio of 1:2:2:1. However, in our ESR experiments using DMPO, the standard four-peak spectrum of DMPO-OH is not appeared. Instead, as shown in Fig. 4(a), a seven-peak spectrum is observed. This spectrum is appeared at the same center magnetic field of 3513 G to DMPO-OH under the same microwave power. Fitted with the simulation spectrum using the SpinFit software, the hyperfine coupling constants of this spectrum are $A^N = 7.26$ and $A^H = 4.04$. According to reported literatures,22–24 this spectrum is from DMPOX, an oxidized form of DMPO, without any other spectra mixed. The chemical structure of DMPOX is also showed in Fig. 4(a) together with the origin spin trap DMPO and the spin trap adduct of DMPO-OH. It can be found that the appearance of DMPOX shows an unexpected oxidation process occurring in the air.

In Fig. 4(b), we try to find whether the appearance of DMPOX is determined by the DMPO concentration or plasma treatment time. It is found that DMPOX can all appear when the DMPO concentration varies between the recommended concentrations from 1 mM to 100 mM. With 60 s plasma treatment, spectra obtained are all of DMPOX without other spectra mixed. The results in Fig. 4(b) show that the concentrations of DMPOX vary nonlinearly both along with DMPO concentrations and plasma treatment time. In the first 20–30 s, concentrations of DMPOX increase when the DMPO concentration increases from 1 mM to 10 mM. However, it starts to drop when DMPO is more than 50 mM (up to 100 mM). This shows that the excess of DMPO can reduce the generation of DMPOX. On the other hand, with more than 30 s treatment, concentrations of DMPOX start to decrease in all cases of different DMPO concentrations. Similar phenomena that longer exposure time on DMPO containing samples leads to lower DMPOX concentrations are observed in the UVA irradiated solution25 and metal-related reactions.26 The decrease can be explained by the dimerization of DMPOX at high concentrations.

The observation of DMPOX instead of DMPO-OH shows an unexpected oxidation process occurring in the air.
plasma treated PBS. DMPOX has also been found to appear in other air plasma treated samples. Therefore, the revelation on the oxidation process of DMPO can offer a clearer view on the chemistry in the high oxidative solutions treated by air plasma.

B. Tests on sources of oxidation of DMPO

No satisfactory explanation about the original oxides that oxidize DMPO into DMPOX has been reported yet. In different experiments, several chemicals including HAuCl₄, ClO₂, and O₃ have been proposed to complete this process. Some researchers reported that DMPOX is oxidized from DMPO-·OH but not DMPO itself. However, it is unlikely the case in our plasma system. We use the scavenger for ·OH radicals, D-Mannitol, to inhibit plasma-produced ·OH in liquid in order to prevent the generation of DMPO-·OH. The addition of D-Mannitol has not scavenged all DMPOX when the DMPOX concentrations become stable, as shown in Fig. 5(a). In Fig. 5(b), the spectrum pattern of DMPOX is also not influenced by the highest D-Mannitol concentration of 320 mM. These results show that the oxidation of DMPO into DMPOX is related to ·OH in the solution, but it is not initialized by OH. There are still other plasma-produced oxides that oxidize DMPO into DMPOX directly. This process is not started from DMPO-·OH but from DMPO.

Since O₂ has already been measured to be existed in plasma treated liquid by using the spin trap of TEMP, O₂ as another possible oxide to form DMPOX has also been tested. Similar to D-Mannitol, varying concentrations of sodium azide are added into PBS together with 10 mM DMPO. It is found that sodium azide can much more effectively reduce the intensities of the DMPOX spectrum than D-Mannitol, as shown in Fig. 6(a). Only 10 mM sodium azide leads to no any spectra tested in ESR at all. This result is well agreed with the results shown in Fig. 3(b) that 10 mM sodium azide can also effectively inhibit the capture of O₂ by TEMP. Additionally, we have also tested the addition of sodium azide into samples with different concentrations of DMPO. The addition of sodium azide can reduce DMPOX concentrations in all three cases directly, as shown in Fig. 6(b). These results have proved the possibility that the oxidation of DMPOX is a O₂ initialized process.

Chemical scavengers added into solution can remove the corresponding aqueous RONS. As analyzed above, aqueous O₂ directly comes from those generated inside plasma. Therefore, if O₂ indeed acts as the direct oxide to DMPO, the reduction in the supply of gaseous O₂ should also result in the decrease in the DMPOX concentration. As our SMD device generates air plasma, we use two methods to influence gaseous O₂ to diffuse into liquid. Putting the same volume of treated liquid in an open environment rather than a closed well would lead to side loss of plasma generated O₂ in surrounding ambient air, which reduces the amount of O₂ diffusing into liquid, as shown in Fig. 7. Meanwhile, putting the treated liquid into a ventilating system with disturbance on discharging air can further blow away plasma generated reactive species before they enter into the treated solution. In Fig. 7, we have compared ESR measurements on DMPO containing samples (10 mM) treated by air plasma in these three cases. The results show that the reduction in supply of gaseous O₂ can also significantly reduce concentrations of DMPOX in downstream PBS. There are only 9.6% DMPOX detected in the Open case compared to the Close case. In the Open + Flow case, there is no effective spectrum observed from the treated sample. Together with the chemical

FIG. 4. Observation of DMPOX when measuring OH in the plasma treated solution. (a) The spectrum of spin trap adduct DMPOX obtained with 20 s plasma treatment and 10 mM DMPO added into the sample. The hyperfine coupling constants of DMPOX are A_N = 7.26 and A_H = 4.04. Differences on the chemical structure of DMPO, DMPO-·OH, and DMPOX are shown as well. (b) Time curves of concentrations of DMPOX during 60 s plasma treatment with different DMPO concentrations added into plasma treated samples.

FIG. 5. Influences on DMPOX from the addition of D-Mannitol to scavenge OH in the plasma treated solution. (a) Variation on D-Mannitol concentrations to reduce DMPOX concentrations. (b) No influence has been observed on the spectra patterns of DMPOX even with the highest D-Mannitol concentrations of 320 mM. Hyperfine coupling constants of the two spectra are A_N = 7.26 and A_H = 4.04 for the upper one and A_N = 7.26 and A_H = 4.03 for the lower one.
scavenger of sodium azide tests in Fig. 6, all these results indicate the fact that \( ^1\text{O}_2 \) is the most possible oxide of DMPO into DMPOX.

Also from Figs. 6 and 7, it can be seen that when all DMPOX disappears by both scavengers and gaseous \( ^1\text{O}_2 \) supply, the spectrum of DMPO-\( \cdot \)OH still does not appear. This proves that there is almost no \( \cdot \)OH radicals generated in air plasma treated PBS. Considering the 17.5 mm air gap between the plasma device and treated sample surface, this is agreed with previous studies that \( \cdot \)OH can hardly diffuse into the downstream solution treated by air plasma.\(^{17,20}\)

C. Interaction between DMPO and \( ^1\text{O}_2 \) and oxidation mechanism of DMPO

Another interesting phenomenon about DMPOX has been observed as well. The addition of Trolox, a phenolic compound, into DMPO containing PBS treated by air plasma has led to the appearance of DMPO-\( \cdot \)OH but not DMPOX, as shown in Fig. 8. In Fig. 8(a), the intensity of DMPO-\( \cdot \)OH spectra kept increasing when more Trolox is added with the same concentration of DMPO (100 mM). Concentrations of DMPO-\( \cdot \)OH do not rely on DMPOX when the concentration of 10 mM of DMPO which is higher than that of DMPOX does not lead to more generation of DMPO-\( \cdot \)OH (Fig. 8). This situation reveals that the appearance of DMPO-\( \cdot \)OH in this case is a process depending on the addition of Trolox but not from plasma-produced \( \cdot \)OH in the solution.

Similar transfer of DMPOX to DMPO-\( \cdot \)OH by Trolox has also been observed in the oxidation of DMPO by photodynamics-produced \( ^1\text{O}_2 \) experiments by Ueda et al.\(^{25}\) According to the reaction mechanism they proposed, phenolic compounds including Trolox act as electron donors for an intermediate from oxidation of DMPO by \( ^1\text{O}_2 \) to generate DMPO-\( \cdot \)OH. This reaction interrupts the origin pathway for this intermediate to be oxidized into DMPOX. Well explained by this proposed mechanism, phenomena we observed in Fig. 8 have proved that DMPOX generated in air plasma treated PBS is mostly from oxidation of DMPO by \( ^1\text{O}_2 \). The reason for DMPOX has not been observed from other plasma jet treated solutions can also be explained by there are much less aqueous \( ^1\text{O}_2 \) be generated in those noble gas feeding plasma jets\(^ {10,13,14} \) than in air plasma (Fig. 3). Therefore, the revelation of interactions between aqueous \( ^1\text{O}_2 \) and spin trap DMPO shows that the observation of DMPOX in the ESR measurement can be regarded as a marker of high concentrations of \( ^1\text{O}_2 \) in plasma treated liquid.
Concluded from all results of tests we have done on the appearance of DMPOX from Fig. 5 to Fig. 8, a reaction mechanism of the generation of DMPOX and influences from D-Mannitol, sodium azide, and Trolox is proposed in Fig. 9. DMPO in the solution is first oxidized by $^1$O$_2$ to generate the intermediate of DMPO-$\cdot$OO with two oxygen atoms added onto the C=N bond of DMPO. The outer oxygen in DMPO-OO is easy to capture an H$^+$ in the solution and then become the important intermediate DMPO$^{\cdot}$H$_2$O$_2$. Because of the captured H$^+$, this intermediate DMPO$^{\cdot}$H$_2$O$_2$ is highly oxidative and needs a negative charge to be electrically balanced. In treatment without other chemicals added into the solution, these DMPO$^{\cdot}$H$_2$O$_2$ can continue oxidizing, making DMPO to form DMPOX that has the seven-peak spectra through Pathway 1. As analyzed above, excess DMPO can react with DMPOX to generate an ESR-silent Product I so that the concentration is higher than 10 mM of DMPO in PBS leads to drop in the DMPOX concentration in previous experiments.

For OH radicals that do not take part in Pathway 1, the use of OH scavenger, D-Mannitol, would not function to remove OH in the generation process of DMPOX. Instead, D-Mannitol added into the solution can still act as the reductant to offer electrons for DMPO$^{\cdot}$H$_2$O$_2$ to generate another ESR-silent Product II through Pathway 2. The result from Fig. 5 that it takes 150 mM D-Mannitol to make the DMPOX concentration stable indicates this reaction to be a slow one. The other part of DMPO$^{\cdot}$H$_2$O$_2$ would still go through Pathway 1 to generate DMPOX. Therefore, this is the reason why the addition of D-Mannitol could not totally scavenge the concentrations of DMPOX in Fig. 5(a).

Phenolic compound Trolox can also function as the electron donor for the intermediate DMPO$^{\cdot}$H$_2$O$_2$. The addition of Trolox leads to DMPO$^{\cdot}$H$_2$O$_2$ to turn into Pathway 3. The difference is the reaction between DMPO$^{\cdot}$H$_2$O$_2$ and Trolox, which would generate DMPO$^{\cdot}$OH that shows the four-peak spectrum [Fig. 8(a)]. Only 1 mM Trolox is enough to inhibit the appearance of DMPOX. Compared to the high D-Mannitol concentration, it needs to reduce the DMPOX concentration [Fig. 5(a)], and this result reveals that reaction between DMPO$^{\cdot}$H$_2$O$_2$ and Trolox is much faster than reaction with D-Mannitol. Also because Trolox functions as the interrupter to Pathway 1, the concentration of generated DMPO-OH is relied on that of Trolox as mentioned above. The proposed mechanism diagram shown in Fig. 9 can explain all phenomena observed from our experiments. However, more experiments as to determine the identities of Product I and Product II are still needed.

FIG. 8. Interactions between DMPO and Trolox to generate DMPO-OH with 20 s plasma treatment. (a) Spectra obtained in DMPO + Trolox containing samples treated by plasma for 20 s. The DMPO concentration in samples is set to be 100 mM, with variations in Trolox concentrations from 0 mM to 4.5 mM. Hyperfine coupling constants of spectra are $A_N=7.26$, $A_H=4.04$ (0 mM Trolox); $A_N=14.86$, $A_H=14.61$ (1 mM Trolox); $A_N=14.90$, $A_H=14.77$ (3 mM Trolox); and $A_N=14.92$, $A_H=14.68$ (4.5 mM Trolox). Different intensity scales of DMPOX and DMPO-OH in (a) are also marked. (b) Concentrations of DMPOX and DMPO-OH with both variations on DMPO and Trolox concentrations.

FIG. 9. Mechanism of the oxidation of DMPO initiated by $^1$O$_2$ into different pathways by different chemicals acting as electron donors.
IV. CONCLUSIONS

In this study, electron spin resonance spectroscopy is used to quantitatively measure aqueous $^{1}O_{2}$ and OH produced by air SMD plasma in PBS. By using the spin trap of TEMP, the concentration of the spin trap adduct of TEMPO of plasma-produced $^{1}O_{2}$ is measured to be 110 $\mu$M with 60 s plasma treatment. However, when measuring OH using DMPO, the spectrum of DMPOX instead of typical DMPO-OH has appeared in all treated samples with different DMPO concentrations. A series of test experiments with several scavengers shows that the DMPOX comes from the oxidation of DMPO by $^{1}O_{2}$ and no OH is generated in PBS by air plasma. In addition, a phenolic compound, Trolox, added into the solution with DMPO leads to the appearance of DMPO-OH.

Consequently, interactions between DMPO and plasma-produced $^{1}O_{2}$ are summarized from all phenomena observed about DMPOX. The mechanism of the oxidation process from DMPO to DMPOX has also been proposed. Initialized by $^{1}O_{2}$, the oxidation of DMPO would generate a series of intermediates. Intermediate DMPO-OH or other ESR-silent products. The proposed oxidation mechanism of DMPO by $^{1}O_{2}$ can well explain all phenomena reported. The revelation of interactions between aqueous $^{1}O_{2}$ and spin trap DMPO shows that the observation of DMPOX in the ESR measurement can be regarded as a marker of high concentrations of $^{1}O_{2}$ in plasma treated liquid. This interaction proves the existence of interference on spin traps used in ESR from other non-targeted plasma-produced components in liquid. Also, these results have offered a better understanding of the use of spin traps such as DMPO in a plasma induced highly oxidative aqueous environment.
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