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Abstract To assess phytoplankton nutritional status in seasonally oligotrophic waters of the southern Mid-Atlantic Bight, and the potential for rain to stimulate primary production in this region during summer, shipboard bioassay experiments were performed using natural seawater and phytoplankton collected north and south of the Gulf Stream. Bioassay treatments comprised iron, nitrate, iron + nitrate, iron + nitrate + phosphate, and rainwater. Phytoplankton growth was inferred from changes in chlorophyll \(a\), inorganic nitrogen, and carbon-13 uptake, relative to unamended control treatments. Results indicated the greatest growth stimulation by iron + nitrate + phosphate, intermediate growth stimulation by rainwater, modest growth stimulation by nitrate and iron + nitrate, and no growth stimulation by iron. Based on these data and analysis of seawater and atmospheric samples, nitrogen was the proximate limiting nutrient, with a secondary limitation imposed by phosphorus. Our results imply that summer rain events increase new production in these waters by contributing nitrogen and phosphorus, with the availability of the latter setting the upper limit on rain-stimulated new production.

Plain Language Summary Human activities have substantially increased the atmospheric loading and deposition of biologically available nitrogen, an essential nutrient, to the surface ocean. Such atmospheric inputs to the ocean will likely impact on oceanic primary production by phytoplankton, and thus the marine ecosystem and ocean carbon cycling, although the scale and spatial distribution of such impacts are not well known. In this study, we used shipboard experiments, observations, and laboratory measurements to assess the potential impacts of atmospheric nitrogen deposition in rainfall on oceanic waters of the Mid-Atlantic Bight, off the U.S. eastern seaboard, during the summer. We find that the growth of phytoplankton in these waters is limited by the availability of nitrogen during summer, such that nitrogen added to the ocean by summer rain events can considerably stimulate phytoplankton primary production. However, the biological impact of these rainwater nitrogen inputs appears to be limited by the availability of another essential nutrient, phosphorus, which is present at relatively low concentrations in rainwater. This is the first study to directly examine the nutritional status of phytoplankton in relation to the impacts of rainwater nitrogen addition on primary production in oceanic waters off the U.S. East Coast.

1. Introduction

Atmospheric deposition provides an important source of macronutrients, micronutrients, and toxicants to the surface ocean (Duce et al., 2008; Guieu et al., 2014; Jickells et al., 2016; Jickells & Moore, 2015; I.-N. Kim, Lee et al., 2014; Mahowald et al., 2010; Prospero et al., 1996). Nearshore regions are expected to receive the largest atmospheric inputs of bioactive chemical species, given their proximity to natural and anthropogenic sources of aerosols and gases that may impact biological production in adjacent nutrient-poor ocean waters. One such area is the continental shelf and slope of the Mid-Atlantic Bight, off the U.S. eastern seaboard, where surface waters typically become nutrient deficient during the summer months, and there is some evidence that links atmospheric nutrient deposition to elevated phytoplankton biomass (e.g., T.-W. Kim, Najjar, & Lee, 2014; Paerl, 1985; Paerl et al., 1999; Willey & Cahoon, 1991). Previous studies in this region have focused on atmospheric nitrogen (N) deposition, which is relatively high over the North Atlantic Ocean (Jickells, 2006; Paulot et al., 2015; Zamora et al., 2010), where anthropogenic emissions from surrounding continents account for as much as 80% of the air to sea N flux (Duce et al., 2008).
There is also good reason to consider the atmospheric deposition of phosphorus (P) and iron (Fe), which have been implicated as limiting (Fe) or colimiting (Fe, P) to primary production in the North Atlantic Ocean (Browning, Achterberg, Rapp, et al., 2017; Browning, Achterberg, Yong, et al., 2017; Moore et al., 2008, 2013; Paerl et al., 1999; Wu et al., 2000). The molar ratio of inorganic N to soluble reactive P in aerosols and rainwater is generally higher than the Redfield ratio (Baker et al., 2003, 2010; Zamora et al., 2013), such that atmospheric deposition to nutrient-depleted waters may potentially result in a secondary limitation of phytoplankton growth due to P deficiency (Fanning, 1989; Moore et al., 2008, 2013; Wu et al., 2000). In addition, despite the expectation of relatively high dissolved Fe concentrations (>0.3 nM) in the Mid-Atlantic Bight during summer (Wu & Luther, 1994), phytoplankton blooms can draw dissolved Fe down to low concentrations (~0.1 nM), even in coastal settings and in regions where annual dust deposition is high (e.g., Birchill et al., 2017, Hutchins et al., 1998, Sedwick et al., 2005). Indeed, based on results of shipboard experiments, Paerl et al. (1999) have suggested that Fe availability might regulate phytoplankton growth in oligotrophic waters offshore of North Carolina, although corresponding data on seawater Fe concentrations are lacking.

A recent analysis of satellite data by T.-W. Kim, Najjar, and Lee (2014) has demonstrated an association between precipitation events and chlorophyll biomass accumulation in seasonally oligotrophic coastal waters off the southeastern United States, which they posit reflects stimulation of primary production by wet deposition of biologically available N. The project Deposition of Atmospheric Nitrogen to Coastal Ecosystems set out to test this hypothesis, using a combination of field observations and numerical model simulations. Here we report results from the field component of this project, during which water column measurements and shipboard experiments were used to establish the nutritional status of phytoplankton in seasonally oligotrophic waters of the Mid-Atlantic Bight, and to assess the potential for atmospheric deposition of N, P, and Fe to stimulate primary production in this ocean region during summer.

2. Methods

2.1. Water Column Sampling and Analyses

Water column hydrographic data and samples were collected in the Mid-Atlantic Bight aboard the RV Hugh R. Sharp, 1–14 August 2014. During this cruise we focused our observations and sampling in two oligotrophic, anticyclonic eddies that were identified using satellite sea level altimetry data. These eddies were located over the continental slope to the north (“eddy 1”) and south (“eddy 2”) of the Gulf Stream (Figure 1). Water column profiles of temperature, salinity, chlorophyll fluorescence, and samples for analysis of dissolved nitrate and nitrite, dissolved phosphate, and dissolved Fe were collected using a trace metal clean conductivity-temperature-depth sensor (SBE 19 plus, SeaBird Electronics) mounted on a custom-built trace metal clean carousel (SeaBird Electronics) fitted with custom-modified 5-L Teflon-lined external-closure Niskin-X samplers (General Oceonics), deployed on a Kevlar line.

Inside a trace metal clean container laboratory, water column samples were immediately filtered through precleaned 0.2 μm pore AcroPak Supor filter capsules (Pall) into 60 mL polypropylene tubes (Falcon) for shipboard macronutrient analyses, and acid-cleaned 125 mL low-density polyethylene bottles (Nalgene) for shore-based dissolved iron determinations. Dissolved nitrate and nitrite and dissolved phosphate were determined at sea using an Astoria Pacific nutrient autoanalyzer using standard colorimetric methods with estimated detection limits of 0.14 μM and 0.03 μM, respectively (Parsons et al., 1984; Price & Harrison, 1987). In surface waters, nitrate and nitrite were determined using the same autoanalyzer equipped with a liquid waveguide capillary cell (World Precision Instruments) (Zhang, 2000) to achieve a detection limit of 0.02 μM. Dissolved ammonium was determined at sea using the manual orthophthalaldialdehyde method (Holmes et al., 1999) with an estimated detection limit of 10 nM. Dissolved Fe was determined postcruise using flow injection analysis (Sedwick et al., 2015), with an estimated detection limit of 0.04 nM.

2.2. Shipboard Bioassay Experiments

For the three shipboard bioassay experiments, near-surface (~4 m depth) seawater and resident plankton were collected using a trace metal clean underway “towfish” system (Sedwick et al., 2011), which was used to fill two 60 L polyethylene carboys in parallel inside the shipboard trace metal clean container laboratory, after passing through precleaned 180 μm nylon screen to exclude larger organisms. From these carboys, 2 L aliquots of seawater were dispensed into fifty-six 2.4 L Nalgene polycarbonate bottles, which were
immediately amended with macronutrients and/or iron or rainwater (described below), capped and sealed with polyvinyl chloride tape, and placed in deckboard acrylic incubator tanks. These tanks were shaded to ~55% of incident irradiance with neutral density screening to simulate in situ irradiance in the upper mixed layer and were supplied with circulating surface seawater to maintain them near ambient sea temperature. Subsamples for analyses of initial concentrations dissolved ammonium and Fe were taken directly from the towfish line outlet after filtration with a 0.8/0.2 μm AcroPak Supor filter capsule (Pall), before and after filling the 60 L carboys, whereas subsamples for analysis of initial nitrate, nitrite, phosphate (filtered through 0.8 μm pore size AcroDisc Supor syringe filters; Pall), and chlorophyll a (filtered on to combusted 0.7 μm pore size GF/F filters; Whatman) were taken from the seawater remaining in the 60 L carboys.

The 2 L seawater aliquots were amended by adding either (1) nitrate (+2.4 μM), (2) Fe (+1.4 nM), (3) nitrate and Fe (+2.4 μM and +1.4 nM, respectively), (4) nitrate and Fe and P (+2.4 μM, +1.4 nM, and +0.24 μM, respectively), or (5) 100 mL of a filtered rainwater composite corresponding to additions of +0.73 μM nitrate, +2.9 nM Fe, +0.007 μM P, and +1.7 μM ammonium (these nutrient and/or iron concentrations were added to the ambient seawater; ambient seawater conditions are described in section 3). Bottles of unamended seawater served as control incubations. In addition, 100 mL of ultrapure deionized water (18.2 MΩ cm resistivity, Barnstead Nanopure) was added to treatments (1)–(4) and the control treatments, to achieve the same salinity as the rainwater-amended bottles. Nitrate and P were added as 20 mM sodium nitrate and 2 mM potassium dihydrogen phosphate solutions, respectively, which had been purified to remove iron and other trace metals as described by Sedwick et al. (2002); Fe was added as a 17.9 μM solution of ferric nitrate in 0.1% hydrochloric acid. The rainwater added was a composite of combined 0.2 μm filtered samples collected from several rain events at Old Dominion University, Norfolk, Virginia, USA, and was stored frozen prior to the cruise, when it was thawed and stored in a shipboard refrigerator. For the rainwater treatments, the rainwater to seawater mixing ratio (100 mL to 2 L) is equivalent to 250 mm (~10 in.) of precipitation over a 5 m surface mixed layer; that is, an intense rain event. All sample containers were precleaned and experimental manipulations were performed following rigorous trace metal clean protocols (e.g., Sedwick et al., 1999, 2002).

Over the course of each incubation experiment, triplicate bottles for each treatment were sacrificed (i.e., completely subsampled) at three time points. These time points were chosen based on observed accumulation of chlorophyll a in additional “monitoring” bottles that were amended with nitrate, iron, and...
phosphate and incubated alongside the other bottles. The time points were typically separated by about 1 day, or less for the first sampling point. From each sacrificed incubation bottle, subsamples were filtered with 0.8 μm pore size AcroDisc Supor syringe filters (Pall) for measurement of dissolved macronutrients, or with combusted 0.7 μm pore size GF/F filters (Whatman) used for fluorimetric determination of chlorophyll a (Chl a) (Welschmeyer, 1994). Primary productivity was also estimated at each sampling time point by incubating 1 L subsamples amended with 200 μM of carbon-13 (13C) labeled bicarbonate (final enrichment 9.2%) in polycarbonate bottles in the screened deck incubators from sunrise (~6:30 a.m.) to sunset (~9:00 p.m.), then filtering the contents of the bottles through precombusted GF/F filters, which were frozen for transport to the laboratory. In the laboratory, these filters were dried and pelletized in tin discs, and the ratio of 13C to carbon-12 was measured using a Europa isotope ratio mass spectrometer. Primary productivity was calculated using a mixing model (Montoya et al., 1996) and the equations presented by Mulholland and Bernhardt (2005). Because of the limited volumes available in the incubation bottles, rates were not corrected for dark 13C uptake.

In interpreting the results of the bioassay experiments, we infer (1) net algal community growth rates in the incubation bottles from accumulation of algal biomass, as indicated by increases in chlorophyll a and decreases dissolved inorganic nitrogen concentrations; and (2) net primary production from the 13C-based productivity measurements, which likely provide values that represent somewhere between net and gross primary production rates. These experiments are principally intended to be diagnostic: where biomass accumulation, nutrient drawdown, and primary production are significantly enhanced in bottles treated with nutrients and/or iron, relative to control treatments, we infer that algal community growth rates were limited by deficiencies in those nutrients and/or iron. However, due to potential “bottle effects” (e.g., Venrick et al., 1977), the predictive capability of such experiments is unlikely to be robust.

3. Results and Discussion

The two anticyclonic eddies sampled (Figure 1) were characterized by shallow surface mixed layers (<20 m), with low phytoplankton biomass (<0.1 μg L−1 Chl a), low concentrations (<0.2 μM) of dissolved inorganic N (DIN = nitrate + nitrite + ammonium) and dissolved phosphate, and moderate concentrations (~0.2–1 nM) of dissolved Fe in the upper water column, which are comparable to values observed in the oligotrophic Sargasso Sea during summer (e.g., Sedwick et al., 2005). Representative vertical profiles of temperature, salinity, in situ chlorophyll fluorescence, and the concentrations of dissolved nitrate + nitrite and dissolved Fe for each eddy are shown in Figure 2. Eddy 1 (located north of the Gulf Stream) exhibited a slightly shallower, cooler, and saltier surface mixed layer, slightly higher concentrations of dissolved nitrate + nitrite, and lower concentrations of dissolved Fe, relative to eddy 2 (located south of the Gulf Stream). Two shipboard bioassay experiments (experiments 1 and 2) used seawater collected from eddy 1, and one experiment (experiment 3) used seawater collected from eddy 2.

The three bioassay experiments yielded generally consistent results, which are well illustrated by the statistically significant differences in the accumulation of Chl a between the experimental treatments (Figure 3), where experimental uncertainties are estimated as ±1 standard deviation on the mean of values measured for each triplicate incubation treatment. For each experiment, the order of increasing Chl a accumulation, relative to the unamended control treatments, was +Fe (little or no difference from control); + nitrate and + nitrate + Fe (both treatments elicited similarly modest yet significant increases in Chl a); +rainwater (substantial increases in Chl a); and + nitrate + Fe + P (largest increases in Chl a). The 13C-based primary production estimates convey a similar picture, with the highest production rates in the nitrate + Fe + P treatments, and significantly elevated rates in the + nitrate, + nitrate + Fe, and + rainwater treatments, relative to the control incubations (Figure 4). Assimilation numbers calculated for the final samples (3.2–13.9; Table S1 in the supporting information) fall within the typical range for marine phytoplankton (e.g., Harrison & Platt, 1980; Picart et al., 2014) but show no clear trend in the three experiments.

The + nitrate, + nitrate + Fe, and + nitrate + Fe + P treatments all exhibited a significant drawdown in nitrate + nitrite concentrations during the course of the experiments (Figure 5), presumably due to assimilation by phytoplankton, and consistent with observed accumulations of Chl a and increases in primary production relative to the control treatments. However, the incubation bottles amended with rainwater (which initially contained 0.81–0.96 μM nitrate + nitrite and 1.72 μM ammonium; Table S1) showed no
significant drawdown in nitrate + nitrite, despite substantial accumulations of Chl $a$ (Figure 5). This apparent incongruity is reconciled by the measured drawdown of 0.37–1.23 $\mu$M ammonium in the rainwater-amended bottles (Table S1), noting that no ammonium was added in the other experimental treatments. This result is not surprising, given that uptake of ammonium is energetically favorable for phytoplankton, relative to
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**Figure 2.** Upper water column profiles of dissolved iron (dFe) concentration, temperature, salinity, in situ chlorophyll fluorescence, and dissolved nitrate + nitrite ($\text{NO}_3^- + \text{NO}_2^- \)$ concentrations from (a) eddy 1, immediately before sampling for experiment 1, and (b) eddy 2, immediately before sampling for experiment 3.
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**Figure 3.** Particulate chlorophyll $a$ concentrations in incubation bottles for each treatment as a function of time for the three bioassay experiments. Each data point represents the mean value for triplicate bottles, with error bars representing ±1 standard deviation on the mean.
Thus, uptake of ammonium likely supported most of the biomass accumulation in the bottles amended with rainwater, with \(0.18 - 0.19\) μg Chl \(a\) produced per μmol DIN consumed in the three experiments (Table S1). The control and + Fe treatments, in which Chl \(a\) did not significantly accumulate, generally showed slight increases in nitrite + nitrate concentrations (0.05 – 0.37 μM, Figure 5 and Table S1) during the incubations, perhaps reflecting cellular release or remineralization and nitrification (e.g., Clark et al., 2008).

Figure 4. Primary production estimated from \(^{13}\)C uptake in incubated subsamples taken from the different experimental treatments in the three bioassay experiments; t1, t2, and t3 refer to the first, second, and third sampling time points, respectively.
In interpreting these bioassay results, we attribute differences in the accumulation of Chl \(a\) (Figure 3) and drawdown of DIN (Figure 5 and Table S1), relative to control treatments, to differences in the net growth rate of phytoplankton between the different experimental treatments (Sedwick et al., 2002). This interpretation is wholly consistent with the primary production rates estimated from \(^{13}\)C uptake in subsamples incubated from the experimental treatments (Figure 4). For all three experiments, there was no evidence for enhanced growth after addition of Fe alone, whereas addition of nitrate, either with or without Fe, elicited increased Chl \(a\) and nitrate + nitrite drawdown: this indicates that N deficiency was the proximate limitation on phytoplankton community growth in the surface waters of eddies 1 and 2. Moreover, the yet greater accumulation of Chl \(a\) and drawdown of DIN in the + rainwater and + nitrate + Fe + P treatments, both of which were amended with inorganic P as well as inorganic N, implies that P deficiency exerts a secondary limitation on phytoplankton growth in these waters, as has been suggested for the oligotrophic waters of the subtropical North Atlantic (Moore et al., 2008, 2013).

Here we note that although similar concentrations of inorganic N (as nitrate or ammonium) were added in the + rainwater and + nitrate + Fe + P treatments (around 2.4 \(\mu M\)), the + nitrate + Fe + P treatment included ~0.24 \(\mu M\) more phosphate and elicited a significantly greater growth response (Figures 3 and 4 and Table S1). This response is readily interpreted by considering the stoichiometric ratios of the added inorganic N and P: the rainwater addition had a molar inorganic N:P ratio of ~350 (much greater than Redfield), whereas the + nitrate + Fe + P treatment had a molar N:P ratio of 10 (slightly less than Redfield). These observations suggest that phytoplankton lacked sufficient P to utilize all of the inorganic N that was added to the rainwater-amended bottles. This result has important implications for the potential of rain events to stimulate primary production in our study region during summer, where atmospheric deposition typically has an inorganic N:P ratio much greater than the Redfield ratio (Baker et al., 2003, 2010; Zamora et al., 2013; C. Sookhdeo, unpublished data, 2017). The relative inputs of N and P might then be expected to restrict the capacity for wet deposition to stimulate primary production, because the biological utilization of atmospherically supplied N will be limited by the combined inventory of ambient and atmospherically supplied inorganic P in surface seawater. However, this secondary P limitation may be mitigated if phytoplankton are able to utilize the pool of dissolved organic phosphorus, which is typically present at concentrations 5–10 times greater than phosphate (e.g., see Mahaffey et al., 2014). Finally, contrary to the findings of Paerl et al. (1999), our field measurements and experimental results provide no evidence that availability of dissolved Fe limits phytoplankton growth in this ocean region during summer, implying that eolian and neritic sources supply sufficient Fe to support primary production.
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