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Abstract

The rate of change in DNA is an important parameter for understanding molecular evolution and hence for inferences drawn from studies of phylogeography and phylogenetics. Most rate calibrations for mitochondrial coding regions in marine species have been made from divergence dating for fossils and vicariant events older than 1–2 My and are typically 0.5–2% per lineage per million years. Recently, calibrations made with ancient DNA (aDNA) from younger dates have yielded faster rates, suggesting that estimates of the molecular rate of change depend on the time of calibration, decaying from the instantaneous mutation rate to the phylogenetic substitution rate. aDNA methods for recent calibrations are not available for most marine taxa so instead we use radiometric dates for sea-level rise onto the Sunda Shelf following the Last Glacial Maximum (starting ~18,000 years ago), which led to massive population expansions for marine species. Instead of divergence dating, we use a two-epoch coalescent model of logistic population growth preceded by a constant population size to infer a time in mutational units for the beginning of these expansion events. This model compares favorably to simpler coalescent models of constant population size, and exponential or logistic growth, and is far more precise than estimates from the mismatch distribution. Mean rates estimated with this method for mitochondrial coding genes in three invertebrate species are elevated in comparison to older calibration points (2.3–6.6% per lineage per million years), lending additional support to the hypothesis of calibration time dependency for molecular rates.
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Introduction

The observation that genetic distances between taxa are correlated with the amount of time since they diverged first gave rise to the idea that DNA may evolve at a relatively constant rate: the molecular clock (Zuckerkandl and Pauling 1965). Like radiometric dating, molecular clocks have allowed illumination of life’s evolutionary history but not without a good deal of scientific controversy (see reviews in Arbogast et al. 2002; Smith and Peterson 2002; Bromham and Penny 2003; Takahata 2007). The original observation of rate constancy led directly to the neutral theory of molecular evolution, which initially predicted that the rate of evolutionary change, $k$, would be equal to the neutral mutation rate $\mu$, regardless of the effective population size ($N_e$) and slightly deleterious selection (Kimura 1968). It wasn’t long, however, before heterogeneity in amino acid substitution rates was observed (Kimura and Ohta 1971; Langley and Fitch 1974), and the neutral theory was soon amended to account for the effects of effective population size ($N_e$) and slightly deleterious selection (“nearly neutral theory”; Ohta 1972; Takahata 1991). Under the nearly neutral theory, the substitution rate scales inversely with $N_e$ so it is possible for a large number of alleles with neutral and slightly deleterious mutations to remain transient in a large population for relatively long periods of time before slowly being fixed or removed by genetic drift or purifying selection.

The event that is typically used for a molecular clock calibration is a divergence of known age between two genetically distinct lineages. However, as noted above, at the time of actual population divergence, the gene of interest was likely represented in the ancestral population by a number of divergent alleles. Thus, divergence in the gene of interest will predate the actual population divergence by an average of $2N_e$ generations (Nei and Li 1979; Edwards and Beerli 2000). Because gene divergence is more easily measured than population divergence, the two measures are often conflated, leading to overestimates of population divergence times (in mutational units) and therefore of molecular substitution rates (Arbogast et al. 2002). This effect is magnified by larger effective population sizes and more recent population divergence times (Peterson and Masel 2009). It is only recently that divergence-dating methods have been able to explicitly consider the effects of ancestral polymorphism under a coalescent model (Edwards and Beerli 2000; Nielsen and Wakeley 2001; Hickerson et al. 2003).
With increased availability of radiometrically dated ancient DNA (aDNA) from subfossil tissues, it has become possible to calibrate molecular clocks using radiometric dates assigned to lineages that terminate at some known time in the past (Drummond et al. 2002; Ho, Kolokotronis, et al. 2007). In a coalescent framework, this method circumvents the problem of ancestral polymorphism by essentially sampling directly from the ancestral population (but see Navascues and Emerson 2009 for potential problems with this method). In 2005, Ho and coworkers used coalescent rate calibrations from contemporary and aDNA to show that there is an exponential relationship between the date used for calibration and the resultant rate, with high rates for recent calibration dates that decline to more familiar substitution rates for dates over 1–2 Ma (the “lazy J,” Penny 2005), a result that supported previous observations from pedigree studies in humans (Parsons et al. 1997; Howell et al. 2003). In addition to avoiding, through coalescent models, the problem of ancestral polymorphism, their analysis suggests that this inverse relationship cannot be entirely explained by errors in calibration or sequencing nor by saturation at fast-evolving sites (but see debate in Emerson 2007; Ho, Shapiro, et al. 2007). Instead, they suggest that this time-dependent relationship between apparent molecular rate and calibration time results from the prolonged action of purifying selection on slightly deleterious mutations.

This hypothesis of time dependency for molecular rates may have far-reaching consequences for studies of genetic variation within populations and species, as substitution rates from relatively old calibration points are frequently used to estimate divergence time as well as a number of population genetic parameters. For example, if time dependency occurs, then the application of rates from old (> 2 Ma) calibrations to analyses of recent time scales will result in the consistent overestimates of divergence times and effective population sizes and consistent underestimates of the proportion of migrants in structured populations (Ho et al. 2008). However, further inquiry into this hypothesis of time dependency is hindered by a lack of recent calibration points (Ho, Shapiro, et al. 2007), as aDNA samples are rare and pedigree studies can be prohibitively lengthy. In particular, we are not aware of any recent (< 1 Ma) calibration points for marine fishes and invertebrates (but see Burridge et al. [2008] for an example from freshwater fish). Molecular clock calibration in these groups typically relies on vicariance due to the rise of the Isthmus of Panama, which led to the closure of the Panamanian Seaway 2.8–3.1 Ma (Lessios 2008; Hellberg 2009), although calibrations from fossils and other geological events exist as well (e.g., Marko 2002; Wares 2002). Here, we develop a new coalescent-based method for the calibration of molecular clock rates that uses a population expansion rather than divergence as its calibrating event. We demonstrate the method for a recent well-documented marine population expansion event and use it to test whether time dependency can be detected in marine invertebrates.

Extending from the Malay Peninsula to the eastern sides of Java and Borneo, the Sunda Shelf covers $1.8 \times 10^6$ km$^2$, making it the largest shelf area outside the polar regions (Hanebuth et al. 2000). With the onset of Pleistocene glacial cycles about 3 Ma, global sea levels have fluctuated with maximum amplitudes of up to 140 m (Lambeck et al. 2002). Over the past ~120 Ky, sea level remained between 30 and 100 m below present day sea level but dropped more than 120 m during the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM; Chappell et al. 1996), leaving the Sunda Shelf completely exposed. Since the LGM, $^{14}$C dating of corals and littoral debris has confirmed a rapid rise to current sea levels, with the fastest rate of sea level change occurring between 15 and 10 Ka (Hanebuth et al. 2000). This sea level rise produced an unparalleled expansion in range (fig. 1; Voris 2000; Sathiamurthy and Voris 2006) for the numerous marine species that now inhabit the Sunda Shelf, and a genetic signature of the expansion can be detected in nearly every species sampled in the region (e.g., Chenoweth and Hughes 2003; Lind et al. 2007; Crandall, Frey, et al. 2008; Crandall, Jones, et al. 2008).

In the present study, we analyze mitochondrial data sets from three invertebrate species sampled from the Sunda Shelf for the signature of range expansion using the traditional mismatch distribution (Rogers and Harpending 1992), as well as a novel two-epoch approach. For this new method, we first create a Bayesian Skyline Plot (BSP) (Drummond et al. 2005), which portrays changes in $N_e$ across multiple coalescent intervals. We use these results to inform Bayesian priors for a simpler two-epoch model of the coalescent (Shapiro et al. 2004). This model provides an estimate of the genealogical depth (in mutational units) at which the expansion occurred to produce a per lineage rate of change (one-half of the commonly estimated divergence rate), together with associated error. By explicitly and simultaneously incorporating into our model the demographic signal that is encoded in the genetic data, we overcome any bias associated with ancestral polymorphism or fluctuating population sizes (Navascues and Emerson 2009). Our goals are to 1) develop a method for finding recent molecular calibrations in taxa that are not represented by aDNA or pedigree calibrations and 2) test the hypothesis of time dependency of molecular rates (Ho et al. 2005) using this method.

**Materials and Methods**

**Data Characterization**

To ensure that any population expansions detected could be firmly attributed to sea-level rise on the Sunda Shelf following the LGM, we selected three species for which previous analyses revealed major clades that were largely confined to the Sunda Shelf or to central Indonesia. These species comprised the boring giant clam, *Tridacna crocea* (Mollusca: Bivalvia), whose “black clade” is dominant from South Sumatra to Western Papua (DeBoer et al. 2008), the mantis shrimp *Haplosquilla pulchella* (Arthropoda: Malacostraca), whose “white clade” is limited to the Sunda Shelf and the lesser Sunda islands (Barber et al. 2002), and the chocolate-chip sea star *Protoreaster nodosus*...
(Echinodermata: Asteroidea), which has a relatively limited range stretching from Sri Lanka to New Caledonia that is dominated by the Sunda and Sahul shelves (Crandall, Jones, et al. 2008). All three species are found in shallow lagoonal waters no deeper than 10 m. We subsampled mitochondrial cytochrome-c oxidase subunit 1 (CO1) sequence data from larger published data sets to include only localities on or very near the Sunda Shelf (table 1, fig. 1H).

We used DNAsp 5.10 (Librado and Rozas 2009) to characterize these data sets for standard population genetic statistics, including $D^*$ and $F_S$, which identify departures from neutrality due to an excess of recent mutations (Fu and Li 1993; Fu 1997), with significance for these neutrality tests determined with 10,000 coalescent simulations. For data sets where more than one locality was sampled, we also measured $\Phi_{ST}$ among localities using the AMOVA algorithm implemented in Arlequin 3.11 (Excoffier et al. 2005) and only took calibrations from data sets for which $\Phi_{ST} = 0$. We ascertained the best-fitting model of molecular evolution using the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) in ModelTest 3.7 (Posada and Crandall 1998) and PAUP* 4.0 (Swofford 2002). Finally, we constructed statistical parsimony networks in TCS 1.21 (Clement et al. 2000), using a 95% connection limit.

**Calibration Points and Demographic Analysis**

Calibration of a molecular clock based on population expansion requires geological dates for when the expansion
began. We used two dates taken from studies of radiocarbon dates for sediment cores and litoral organics (Geyh et al. 1979; Hesp et al. 1998; Hanebuth et al. 2000; summarized in Sathiamurthy and Voris 2006; Hanebuth et al. 2009). The first date, 19.6 Ka, reflects the earliest time following the LGM that sea level rise first became statistically distinguishable from the 2 m tidal range, during a rise of ~10 m over 800 years (Hanebuth et al. 2009). However, sea level rise was gradual at first (0.41 m/100 years after this pulse) and did not result in the significant flooding of the Sunda Shelf (fig. 1). We therefore used another calibration point, at 14.58 Ka (corresponding to the Bølling interstadial period), during which sea level rose at an average of 3.33 m/100 years and flooding of the Sunda Shelf began in earnest. Unless stated otherwise, we report all rates in this paper as lineage mutation rates ( \( \lambda \) per million years).

In addition to the information from the sources noted above, we estimated the area of shallow water habitat (0–10 m) that became available to these species with each 10 m increase in sea level using spatially gridded data from the ETOPO1 1 arc-minute Global Relief Model (Amante 2010). Using the spatial analyst toolkit in ArcMap 10.0, we quantified the number of cells between the ETOPO1 grid but likely represent a slight underestimate. The calibration also requires accurate estimates of the mutational depth in the genealogy at which the transition to expansion growth began, together with an assessment of the associated error. Here, we use two different methods to make this estimate: the mismatch distribution (Rogers and Harpending 1992) and a two-epoch coalescent model (Shapiro et al. 2004).

The mismatch distribution is the distribution of pairwise differences among haplotypes, which Rogers and Harpending (1992) observed to be unimodal after exponential population growth in a single deme. They described this with an analytical model for which the modal value, \( \tau = 2 \mu t \), estimates the time of population expansion \( t \) in terms of the mutation rate \( \mu \). Schneider and Excoffier (1999) amended this “sudden expansion” method for a finite-sites model with rate heterogeneity and fitted each parameter using a least-squares approach. We analyzed our data under this model in Arlequin 3.11 (Excoffier et al. 2005) and established confidence intervals (CIs) for \( \tau \) with 10,000 parametric bootstraps of the analytical model. Model fit was evaluated using the sum of squared deviations, with significance established with the same bootstrapped data set. We calculated lineage mutation rates as \( \lambda = \tau / (2c) \), where \( c \) is one of the two calibration points mentioned above, and \( \tau \) is divided by the number of sites in the data set. We give results as % change per million years.

To get an overall image of the information on demographic history that was available in each genetic data set, we analyzed them each under a Bayesian skyline model (Strimmer and Pybus 2001; Drummond et al. 2005) implemented in BEAST 1.5.3 (Drummond and Rambaut 2007). Under this model, a large sample of possible coalescent genealogies are broken into piecewise intervals and effective population size \( (N_e) \) is estimated at each interval from the number of observed coalescent events. The resultant BSP makes few a priori assumptions about the historical demographic trajectory of the population and can thus provide a framework for constructing more specific models. For these analyses, we used ten piecewise linear intervals, a strict clock model, and the molecular evolution model from ModelTest. We used uniform, relatively uninformative priors for the population size at each interval, and a gamma prior for \( \kappa \), the transition:transversion ratio. Each skyline analysis was run, at minimum, three times for 30 million steps. We assessed convergence with estimates of effective sample size (ESS) in Tracer and by comparing the marginal posterior distributions for each parameter among runs. Our criterion was \( ESS > 200 \) as indicated in the BEAST manual. Finally, we combined the logged parameter values and trees from replicate runs using Log-Combiner 1.4.9 and used Tracer to create a BSP for each data set.

The BSP for each data set indicated a period of constant population size, followed by rapid growth that slowed as it reached the present. We therefore used a two-epoch coalescent model (Shapiro et al. 2004), implemented in BEAST, that simulated either two-parameter exponential growth ( \( \Theta \), and intrinsic growth rate, \( r \)) or three-parameter logistic
Evolution for all data sets, with the addition of a parameter for invariant sites (I) in \( H. \) pulchella. Statistical parsimony networks from TCS showed numerous star-like polytomies that are diagnostic of population expansions (supplementary fig. 1).

Mismatch distributions were generally unimodal for \( P. \) nodosus CO1 and \( H. \) pulchella CO1 but bimodal for \( T. \) crocea CO1 (fig. 2). The bimodal distribution for \( T. \) crocea, which is expected for a constant-sized population (Rogers and Harpending 1992), resulted in very large estimates of \( \tau \) and exceptionally high values for \( \mu \) (table 2). None of the data sets rejected a sudden expansion model.

Bayesian skyline models (fig. 3) for all data sets converged to the same posterior distribution, as demonstrated by ESS values \( > 200 \) and agreement among multiple runs. Model runs for each different demographic scenario (constant size through two-epoch with logistic growth) also converged well for all data sets, with ESSs generally much greater than 200 and agreement across multiple runs. The two-epoch model with logistical growth consistently had the strongest Bayes factor support, beat out a similar model with exponential growth for all three species, as well as three simpler models of population growth (exponential, logistic, and expansion; table 3). This model was also decisively better than a constant population size model for \( H. \) pulchella and \( P. \) nodosus but was only weakly supported over a constant population size for \( T. \) crocea.

Mean values for the time of transition between constant population size and logistic growth were generally a bit lower than the inflection point depicted in the skyline model for each gene (fig. 3). However, the 95\% CIs for \( t_{\text{transition}} \) generally encompassed the period of growth detected by the skyline model and fit within the very large CIs generated for the mismatch distributions (table 2). For the conservative calibration point at 19.60 Ka, mean estimates of \( \mu \) for \( T. \) crocea and \( P. \) nodosus were very similar (2.30%/million years and 2.61%/million years, respectively), while \( H. \) pulchella had a mean rate that was over twice as fast (6.58%/million years; table 2). Posterior distributions for \( t_{\text{transition}} \), \( \Theta_0 \), and \( \Theta_1 \) were unimodal, while those for growth rate (\( r \)) and \( t_{50} \) were mostly uninformative. With the exception of the \( H. \) pulchella samples from Pulau Seribu, which showed little evidence of population growth, two-epoch models for individual sampling localities showed strong concordance with the pooled-locality data sets in \( T. \) crocea and \( H. \) pulchella albeit with larger CIs (results not shown).

**Discussion**

Traditional molecular clock calibrations have relied almost exclusively on vicariant events or fossil calibrations, both of which have limited applicability in most marine taxa, particularly for recent time scales. Our use of a well-documented recent population expansion instead of a divergence allows us to estimate a molecular rate of change at a relatively recent timescale, and in combination with an explicit coalescent model of constant population size followed by expansion,
allows us to account for polymorphisms that predate the expansion (Peterson and Masel 2009). Point estimates from both mismatch analysis and the two-epoch coalescent model resulted in lineage mutation rates that are much higher than the 1%/million years (≈2%/million years divergence rate) commonly assumed for many marine phylogeographic studies, although our CIs often include these lower values. Allowing for higher rates in future phylogeographic inference may often bring it into line with a region’s recent palaeoclimate (see Ho et al. 2008). For example, divergence dates across the Maluku Sea between populations of *H. pulchella*, previously estimated to be about 470,000 years ago using a rate of 1.4%/million years, (Barber et al. 2006), can now be estimated at about 100,000 years ago. This is much closer to the last time that sea levels approached current levels 120,000 years ago, before dropping again (Chappell et al. 1996). In the following discussion, we first compare estimates from the sudden expansion and two-epoch models. We then discuss potential sources of error in our calibration and compare our calibrated rates to rates from older calibrations.

Rate calibrations from the spatial expansion mismatch analyses were generally much higher than those from the two-epoch coalescent model (table 2), although very wide CIs on τ always included the two-epoch estimate. Of the two, we favor the two-epoch method, which takes advantage of the genealogical information in the data through Bayesian parameter estimation from an explicit coalescent model. In contrast, the mismatch analyses used here provide only an analytical approximation of substitution patterns expected from a spatial expansion, without considering any underlying genealogy, and so likely yield less precise estimations. As a case in point, the mismatch distributions for *T. crocea* were bimodal due to several star polytomies in the genealogies (supplementary fig. 1, Supplementary Material online). A bimodal distribution tends to increase the estimate of τ (relative to unimodal distributions) and therefore of the substitution rate. However, in a time-reversed coalescent framework, these polytomies can be interpreted as a potentially simultaneous increase in the rate of coalescence.

Sources of Error
Our use of a two-epoch coalescent model (Shapiro et al. 2004) as a way to more accurately calibrate substitution rates is new and uses an intraspecific process (population expansion) to make inferences for phylogeographic time scales, in contrast to interlineage divergence methods that have been used previously. As such, our calibration method is subject to some of the same sources of error and bias as

![Mismatch distributions for all three species calculated in Arlequin 3.11 (Excoffier et al. 2005). Histograms show the frequency of each pairwise difference in the sample, and dotted lines show the expected frequencies under a sudden expansion model and a spatial expansion model (Excoffier et al. 2005). Sum of squared deviations (SSD) are given for each gene and model. Parameters of the spatial expansion model are given in table 2.](https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article-abstract/29/2/707/1028854)
divergence methods, while introducing new ones, and avoiding others (Arbogast et al. 2002). First and foremost, calibration points, as independent estimates of elapsed time, are central to any scheme for separating the effects of substitution rate and time and are probably the largest sources of error in molecular clocks (Benton and Ayala 2003). Here, we used two possible points for the beginning of population expansion: one at 19.6 Ka and the other at 14.6 Ka, as a way to provide some assessment of the potential for calibration error. For the sake of discussion, we use rates from the date that is more conservative with respect to the time dependency hypothesis (19.6 Ka), but the 14.6 Ka date may be more accurate, as this was the time that sea level rise first resulted in significant flooding of the

Table 2. Mean Parameter Values and Lineage Mutation Rates from the Sudden Expansion Model for the Mismatch Distribution and the Two-Epoch Model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Taxon</th>
<th>Mismatch Distribution Parameters</th>
<th>Lineage Mutation Rate* (% per million years)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\Theta_0$</td>
<td>$\Theta_1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tridacna crocea; “Black clade”—CO1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$1.50 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haptosquilla pulchella; “White clade”—CO1</td>
<td>$4.00 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$2.54 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protoreaster nodosus—CO1</td>
<td>$8.12 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$3.72 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Two-Epoch Model Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Taxon</th>
<th>$\Theta_0$</th>
<th>$\Theta_1$</th>
<th>$t_{\text{transition}}$</th>
<th>$\mu$, 95% low</th>
<th>$\mu$, 95% high</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tridacna crocea; Black clade—CO1</td>
<td>$5.45 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$7.47 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>$4.50 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>0.05%</td>
<td>2.30%b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.07%</td>
<td>3.09%c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10.97%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haptosquilla pulchella; White clade—CO1</td>
<td>$9.29 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$2.37 \times 10^{-1}$</td>
<td>$1.29 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>2.16%</td>
<td>6.58%b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.91%</td>
<td>8.85%c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15.98%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protoreaster nodosus—CO1</td>
<td>$9.35 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$1.03 \times 10^{-1}$</td>
<td>$5.11 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>0.36%</td>
<td>2.61%b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.48%</td>
<td>3.50%c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7.41%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note.—All values are per site.

* Lineage mutation rates calculated as $\mu = (t/b)/(2c)$ for the mismatch distribution, and $\mu = t_{\text{transition}}/c$ for the two-epoch model, where $b$ is the number of sites, $c$ is the calibration point given below.

b Values for calibration point at 19.60 Ka.

c Values for calibration point at 14.60 Ka.

d A two-epoch model of logistical growth was only weakly supported over a model of constant population size for this species (table 3).

Fig. 3. Bayesian skyline plots (BSP) for all three species estimated in BEAST 1.5.3 (Drummond and Rambaut 2007). Plots are log linear, and the x axis is in mutational units. Dotted black lines depict the median value for $\langle \ln N(t) \rangle$ (right-hand vertical axis), and thin lines depict 95% CIs. Marginal posterior distributions for the time of transition to logistic growth from the two-epoch model are overlaid on the BSPs with gray shading (posterior density given on right-hand vertical axis). Thick lines depict the mean value for the time of transition ($t_{\text{transition}}$). Dark shaded areas depict areas beyond the region of 95% highest posterior density. The upper-right panel shows a schematic for the two-epoch model used to estimate the time of population expansion, using BSP CIs as priors. Black lines show parameters, whereas gray lines show prior boundaries. The lower limits for $t_{\text{transition}}$ and $t_0$ were set to $10^{-6}$ mutations per site, which is not visible in this figure.
Sunda Shelf (fig. 1). We are also making the assumption that coral reef communities colonized the Sunda Shelf as soon as new shallow marine habitat was available. This is justifiable because coral reef communities have shown the potential for rapid long-distance recolonization of coastal waters, with species richness, coral cover, and genetic diversities returning to their ambient levels less than 150 years after being obliterated by volcanic eruptions (Tomascik et al. 1996; Barber, Moosa, et al. 2002; Starger et al. 2010). Nevertheless, even the 14.6 Ka date may be somewhat simultaneously. This is analogous to the assumption of simultaneity that has often been made in divergence dating across multiple taxa (but see Hickerson et al. 2006 for a statistical test of simultaneous divergence) and rests on the idea that coral reef and their associated lagoon communities would move concurrently onto the Sunda Shelf (Pandolfi and Jackson 2001; Tager et al. 2010). Although no tests currently exist for simultaneity in expansion, the BSPs provide a sketch of demographic history for each species back to the most recent common mitochondrial ancestor and have the potential to detect multiple demographic fluctuations (e.g., fig. 4 in Crandall, Frey, et al. 2008), which are not evident for the present data sets. However, although the fact of recent marine population expansions onto the Sunda Shelf is not in doubt, the wide CIs and weak support for a two-epoch model of logistical growth in T. crocea suggests that this species may have expanded later or less rapidly than the other two species. This could be the result of changes in other less important habitat factors such as sea surface temperature or primary production. More rigorous tests of this assumption of simultaneity await data from multiple loci (Heled and Drummond 2008).

By setting priors of the two-epoch model to CIs for the mitochondrial ancestor and have the potential to detect multiple demographic fluctuations (e.g., fig. 4 in Crandall, Frey, et al. 2008), which are not evident for the present data sets. However, although the fact of recent marine population expansions onto the Sunda Shelf is not in doubt, the wide CIs and weak support for a two-epoch model of logistical growth in T. crocea suggests that this species may have expanded later or less rapidly than the other two species. This could be the result of changes in other less important habitat factors such as sea surface temperature or primary production. More rigorous tests of this assumption of simultaneity await data from multiple loci (Heled and Drummond 2008).

By setting priors of the two-epoch model to CIs for the mitochondrial ancestor and have the potential to detect multiple demographic fluctuations (e.g., fig. 4 in Crandall, Frey, et al. 2008), which are not evident for the present data sets. However, although the fact of recent marine population expansions onto the Sunda Shelf is not in doubt, the wide CIs and weak support for a two-epoch model of logistical growth in T. crocea suggests that this species may have expanded later or less rapidly than the other two species. This could be the result of changes in other less important habitat factors such as sea surface temperature or primary production. More rigorous tests of this assumption of simultaneity await data from multiple loci (Heled and Drummond 2008).

Table 3. Bayes Factor Tests Comparing a Model of Constant Population Size to Two Different Two-Epoch Models.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Ln P (model)</th>
<th>Standard Error</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tridacna crocea — “Black clade”</td>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>-705.65</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>-8.24</td>
<td>-4.94</td>
<td>-6.99</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>-0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Exponential growth</td>
<td>-713.89</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>-16.48</td>
<td>-6.60</td>
<td>-2.51</td>
<td>-16.17</td>
<td>-18.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Logistic growth</td>
<td>-710.59</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>-9.88</td>
<td>6.60**</td>
<td>4.09*</td>
<td>-9.56</td>
<td>-11.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Expansion growth</td>
<td>-712.63</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>-13.97</td>
<td>2.51*</td>
<td>-4.09</td>
<td>-13.65</td>
<td>-15.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Two-epoch (exp. growth)</td>
<td>-705.81</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>-0.32</td>
<td>16.17***</td>
<td>9.56**</td>
<td>13.65***</td>
<td>-1.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Two-epoch (log. growth)</td>
<td>-704.82</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>18.15***</td>
<td>11.54***</td>
<td>15.63***</td>
<td>1.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haptosquilla pulchella — “White clade”</td>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>-1280.59</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>-3.81</td>
<td>-11.62</td>
<td>-16.71</td>
<td>-27.00</td>
<td>-37.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Exponential growth</td>
<td>-1278.68</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>3.81*</td>
<td>-7.81</td>
<td>-12.89</td>
<td>-23.19</td>
<td>-33.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Logistic growth</td>
<td>-1274.78</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>11.62***</td>
<td>7.81**</td>
<td>-5.08</td>
<td>-15.38</td>
<td>-25.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Expansion growth</td>
<td>-1272.23</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>16.71***</td>
<td>12.89***</td>
<td>5.08*</td>
<td>-10.29</td>
<td>-20.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Two-epoch (exp. growth)</td>
<td>-1267.09</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>27.00***</td>
<td>23.19***</td>
<td>15.38***</td>
<td>-10.29</td>
<td>-10.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Two-epoch (log. growth)</td>
<td>-1261.91</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>37.36***</td>
<td>33.55***</td>
<td>25.74***</td>
<td>20.65***</td>
<td>10.36***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protoreaster nodosus</td>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>-1130.94</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>-17.65</td>
<td>-9.80</td>
<td>-11.49</td>
<td>-29.32</td>
<td>-32.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Exponential growth</td>
<td>-1122.11</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>17.65***</td>
<td>7.86**</td>
<td>6.16**</td>
<td>-11.66</td>
<td>-14.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Logistic growth</td>
<td>-1126.04</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>9.80**</td>
<td>-7.86</td>
<td>-1.69</td>
<td>-19.52</td>
<td>-22.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Expansion growth</td>
<td>-1125.20</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>11.49***</td>
<td>-6.16</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>-17.83</td>
<td>-20.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Two-epoch (exp. growth)</td>
<td>-1116.28</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>29.32***</td>
<td>11.66***</td>
<td>19.52***</td>
<td>-18.33</td>
<td>-3.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Two-epoch (log. growth)</td>
<td>-1114.71</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>32.46***</td>
<td>14.81***</td>
<td>22.66***</td>
<td>20.97***</td>
<td>3.15*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note.—*, positive support; **, strong support; ††, very strong support.
* Comparisons are row by column.

Sunda Shelf (fig. 1). We are also making the assumption that coral reef communities colonized the Sunda Shelf as soon as new shallow marine habitat was available. This is justifiable because coral reef communities have shown the potential for rapid long-distance recolonization of coastal waters, with species richness, coral cover, and genetic diversities returning to their ambient levels less than 150 years after being obliterated by volcanic eruptions (Tomascik et al. 1996; Barber, Moosa, et al. 2002; Starger et al. 2010). Nevertheless, even the 14.6 Ka date may be conservative, as the Sunda Shelf environment may not have been immediately appropriate for coral reef development following inundation of terrestrial habitats. Thus, as we learn more about recolonization of the Sunda Shelf, it may become apparent that the above rates are actually conservative estimates and we may need to revise the estimated rates upward.

A related assumption is that populations of all three of these lagoonal species expanded onto the Sunda Shelf somewhat simultaneously. This is analogous to the assumption of simultaneity that has often been made in divergence dating across multiple taxa (but see Hickerson et al. 2006 for a statistical test of simultaneous divergence) and rests on the idea that coral reef and their associated lagoon communities would move concurrently onto the Sunda Shelf (Pandolfi and Jackson 2001; Tager et al. 2010). Although no tests currently exist for simultaneity in expansion, the BSPs provide a sketch of demographic history for each species back to the most recent common mitochondrial ancestor and have the potential to detect multiple demographic fluctuations (e.g., fig. 4 in Crandall, Frey, et al. 2008), which are not evident for the present data sets. However, although the fact of recent marine population expansions onto the Sunda Shelf is not in doubt, the wide CIs and weak support for a two-epoch model of logistical growth in T. crocea suggests that this species may have expanded later or less rapidly than the other two species. This could be the result of changes in other less important habitat factors such as sea surface temperature or primary production. More rigorous tests of this assumption of simultaneity await data from multiple loci (Heled and Drummond 2008).
by calibrating from an intraspecific process, we avoid the potential errors arising from phylogenetic divergence methodologies, such as problems with rooting, branching order, and missing or extinct taxa (Smith and Peterson 2002). Finally, the 95% CIs given in table 2 should largely account for error due to Poisson-distributed mutations, rate variation across sites, and the stochasticity of the coalescent.

However, it is important to note that our estimates will also be affected by any violations of the assumptions of the Bayesian skyline method (Drummond et al. 2005). One assumption is that the sampled population is unstructured, which is met for our data sets by zero or negative $\Phi_{ST}$ values in table 1. Another assumption is that observed increases in the rate of coalescence are due to demographic changes rather than positive or purifying selection, each of which can leave very similar population genetic patterns. We will address each of these alternate hypotheses in turn.

Because genes on the mitochondrial genome are strongly linked, an advantageous variant in one gene could potentially sweep to fixation through positive selection, bringing all variation on its particular genome with it (Maynard-Smith and Haigh 1974). As such, this genetic hitchhiking effect is nearly indistinguishable from a demographic population expansion since both result from growth in effective population size of a particular mitochondrial haplotype (Fu 1997; Bazin et al. 2006). However, if hitchhiking were occurring frequently in the mtDNA of these species, we might expect nucleotide diversities (table 1) to be more similar to each other than they are (Bazin et al. 2006). Furthermore, we would not expect rapid increases in mtDNA effective size to occur at roughly the same genealogical depth in unlinked genetic regions or across multiple species from the same geographic region. Yet we have observed population genetic patterns consistent with rapid population growth in multiple species and across unlinked loci (Sbrocco E, unpublished data from A. ocellaris mtDNA and scnDNA, Chenoweth and Hughes 2003; Lind et al. 2007; Crandall, Frey, et al. 2008; Crandall, Jones, et al. 2008). Population growth resulting from an expansion in demographic population size is therefore the most parsimonious explanation for the observed patterns.

The process of purifying selection is conceptually more difficult to distinguish from demographic growth, since it is implicated in creating the time-dependency effect, by acting over longer periods of time than previously expected (Ho et al. 2005; Ho, Shapiro, et al. 2007). It is important to note that purifying selection is by no means limited to nonsynonymous mutations. Through a comparison with pseudogenes, Ophir et al. (1999) found that an average of 75% of substitutions are non-neutral, roughly twice what is estimated by $D_n/D_s$ ratios. Many synonymous changes are under very weak selective pressures, as arise from translational selection, or maintenance of nucleotide compositions in the face of biased mutational input (Montooth and Rand 2008). Thus, if purifying selection is occurring at the same time scales at which we are measuring population growth, it may be difficult to tease the two apart because it can be difficult to ascertain exactly how purifying selection would affect genetic variation in a reversed-time coalescent framework. Using a forward-time model, Peterson and Masel (2009) confirm that purifying selection on slightly deleterious variation leads to a period of elevation in the substitution rate at recent time scales, the length of which is increased by large $N_e$ and a highly leptokurtic distribution of selection coefficients, as would be expected under nearly neutral theory (Keightley and Eyre-Walker 2007).

Fortunately, purifying selection is expected to leave only a minor imprint on the shape of the genealogy, while having a similar effect as demographic growth or positive selection on the distribution of substitutions (shifting them toward the tips of the genealogy; Williamson and Orive 2002). If purifying selection were the only process occurring, we would expect to see it act more or less constantly throughout the history of the mitochondrial genome, following a simple model of exponential or logistic growth (e.g., Seger et al. 2010). Instead, as was initially indicated by the BSPs, two-epoch models of constant population size followed by a pulsed increase in the rate of coalescence are consistently favored by odds of more than 300:1 over these simpler growth models (table 3).

The different topologies expected under purifying selection and demographic growth (Williamson and Orive 2002) may also be why Fu (1997) found that $D^*$ (which is based on distribution of substitutions) was more sensitive to purifying selection than $F_2$ (which is based on haplotype frequencies) and vice versa. That Fu’s $F_2$ was significant in many more instances than $D^*$ (table 1) also suggests that demographic change is more important than purifying selection in creating the observed patterns. Nevertheless, it will be important to account for sites under purifying selection when estimating rates from population expansions, perhaps by including rate decay parameters in coalescent genealogy sampling schemes (O’Fallon 2010).

Rate Comparisons

Perhaps the most surprising result of calibrations from the two-epoch model is the disparity in substitution rate estimates. Although T. crocea and P. nodosus have similar rates (mean values of 2.3% to 2.6% per million years), the substitution rates in H. pulchella appear twice as fast (6.6% per million years). It is possible that this disparity results from nonsimultaneous dates of expansion, with H. pulchella experiencing an expansion event much earlier than the Sunda Shelf flooding or T. crocea and P. nodosus expanding onto the Sunda Shelf about 10,000 years after H. pulchella. A simpler explanation for the rate differences among the three invertebrates can be found in their times to first reproduction, which we use as a conservative proxy for generation time. Tridacna crocea and P. nodosus each take at least 2 years to reach reproductive maturity (Lucas 1988; Bos et al. 2008), whereas H. pulchella can reach reproductive maturity within 1 year (Erdmann MV, personal communication; Erdmann 1997). Thus, since H. pulchella replicates its germ line more than twice as often as the two larger invertebrate species, the per-year rate disparities among the
Invertebrates can be easily reconciled in a per-generation context. A generation-time effect that is independent of body size and other correlates has recently been confirmed in a per-generation lineage framework. A generation-time effect that is independent of body size and other correlates has recently been confirmed in invertebrates can be easily reconciled in a per-generation context. A generation-time effect that is independent of body size and other correlates has recently been confirmed in a per-generation lineage framework. A generation-time effect that is independent of body size and other correlates has recently been confirmed in a per-generation lineage framework.

Conclusions

Although wide error bars on our rather conservative rate estimates preclude definitive statements, these results appear to show higher rates of molecular change for a recent calibration point and thus they offer additional support for the hypothesis of time dependency of molecular rates (Ho et al. 2005). This might provide insight into some persistent problems in marine phylogeography. If purifying selection is removing variation over longer time scales than previously assumed in the marine realm, it would help to explain the widespread pattern of shallow mitochondrial genealogies combined with deep genetic divergences between sister species (Grant and Bowen 1998), as well as the frequent departures from neutrality (Wares 2010) that are commonly observed in marine species.

Continued discovery of rate heterogeneity has nearly led to the abandonment of a global clock for any given region of the genome (Bromham and Penny 2003) in favor of local clocks that are particular to certain taxa (Yoder and Yang 2000) or relaxed clock models that allow the rate of evolution to vary across the phylogeny (Aris-Brosou and Yang 2002; Drummond et al. 2006). A wide variety of explanations for the observed rate variation have been offered, including the correlated effects of generation time (Laird et al. 1969), metabolic rate (Martin and Palumbi 1993; Gillooly et al. 2005), and DNA repair mechanisms (Li et al. 1996). Our results, together with other results showing time-dependent rates (Ho et al. 2005; Ho, Kolokotronis, et al. 2007; Saarma et al. 2007; Burridge et al. 2008; Subramanian et al. 2009) renew support for one of the original explanations for rate heterogeneity: the combined effect of weak negative selection and effective population size \((N_e, \sigma)\) proposed under the nearly neutral theory of molecular evolution (Ohta 1972). Specifically, these combined results suggest that the observed rate of molecular evolution decays as a function of the calibration time and that the rate of decay is a function of effective population size. With additional data from more species and more genes, we expect that our method of expansion dating will provide further insight into rates of molecular change at recent time scales.

\[
\text{Rate of change \text{CO1} (t) = 0.053e^{-0.40t + 0.0065}.} \tag{1}
\]

Under this relationship, marine invertebrate CO1 has an instantaneous mutation rate of 5.3% per million generations after lethal mutations have been removed and declines to long-term “phylogenetic” rates of 0.65% per million generations with a much slower rate (given in the exponential term) than has been found in birds, mammals, or freshwater fish (Ho et al. 2005; Burridge et al. 2008).

The wide CIs on a limited number of recent rate estimates suggest caution in interpreting this curve. Nevertheless, the slower rate of decay that we calculated makes sense in a nearly neutral context because with large census sizes and genetic neighborhoods, marine invertebrates can be expected to have larger long-term effective population sizes than other taxa (Palumbi 1994). The next slowest rate of decay can be found in birds, followed in rank order by mammals and freshwater fish, which agrees intuitively with what we know about effective population sizes in these taxa.

---

**Fig. 4.** Lineage substitution rates (½ divergence rate) “per generation” for marine invertebrate CO1 plotted against their calibration date. Error bars represent 95% credibility intervals. For calibrations < 5 Ma, we only plotted rates that account for ancestral polymorphism (Edwards and Beerli 2000) in a coalescent framework. For calibrations > 5 Ma, we corrected for ancestral polymorphism using net nucleotide divergence (Nei and Li 1979). Rates from present study: (a) Haptosquilla pulchella; (b) Protoreaster nodosus. (c) Rate from simultaneous divergence of seven echinoid species pairs at the Isthmus of Panama (Hickerson et al. 2006). (d) Average rate from two divergence times for 15 alpheid species pairs (Hickerson et al. 2003). Rates from Neritid fossil calibrations (Frey and Vermeij 2008); (e) Nerita fulgurans and N. senegalensis; (f) N. scaabricosta and N. peloranta + versicolor; (g) Nerita exuvia and Nerita textilis; (j) Nerita adanensis + Nerita planospira and Nerita crown clade. (i) Fossil calibration for Bulla striata and B. occidentalis (Malaquias and Reid 2009). Rates from Arcid fossil calibrations (Marko 2002); (h) Andara and Grandiarc; (k) Fugleria and Cucullaeearca.
Supplementary Material

Supplementary figure 1 is available at Molecular Biology and Evolution online (http://www.mbe.oxfordjournals.org/).
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