Cape Romain and the Charleston Bump: Historical and Recent Hydrographic Observations

J.J. Singer
L. P. Atkinson

Old Dominion University, latkinso@odu.edu

J. O. Blanton
J. A. Yoder

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.odu.edu/ccpo_pubs

Part of the Oceanography Commons

Original Publication Citation

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Center for Coastal Physical Oceanography at ODU Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in CCPO Publications by an authorized administrator of ODU Digital Commons. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@odu.edu.
Cape Romain and the Charleston Bump: Historical and Recent Hydrographic Observations

J. J. Singer
Science Applications, Inc., Raleigh, North Carolina 27606
L. P. Atkinson, J. O. Blanton, and J. A. Yoder
Skidaway Institute of Oceanography, Savannah, Georgia 31406

A review and analysis of historical and new hydrographic data are presented for the Charleston Bump region. An area of doming isotherms is identified primarily between 31.5° and 34.5°N and the 200 and 400 m isobaths. The highest incidences of doming are found off Long Bay (86%), Cape Fear (38%), and Cape Romain (25%). Evidence suggests that low salinity shelf water collects in the doming area off Long Bay in July and that seasonal fluctuations in the depth of the main thermocline layer in this area are linked to Gulf Stream transport and local winds. At times there is a gradual offshore-onshore movement of the Gulf Stream opposite Long Bay roughly following the 400 m isobath and at other times an abrupt eastward movement near 32°N. Much of the time there appears to be a direct seasonal relationship between historical seasonal velocity fields and offshore deflection with higher (lower) velocities corresponding to greater (lesser) deflection.

INTRODUCTION

Recently, there has been a growing interest in the study of the seaward deflection of the Gulf Stream off Charleston, South Carolina. This interest has been fueled significantly by the advent of satellite infrared imagery. Rao et al. [1971] and DeRycke and Rao [1973] presented the first of this type data for the region, noting the recurring meander and eddy features. They suggested these were transient phenomena caused either by any one or a combination of factors like strong northwest winds, bottom topography, and baroclinic instability associated with the Gulf Stream boundary. Legeckis [1976], using satellite imagery, again tied the phenomenon to the bathymetry (see Figure 1). However, the suggestion of a bathymetric influence was perhaps first implied by Bache in Pillsbury [1891], where he reported that observations off Charleston suggested some effect on the Gulf Stream due to the shape of the bottom of the sea.

Through the years, many periodic observations have been made of the excursions of the Gulf Stream in the area. Bartlett [1883] and Pillsbury [1891] both noted an eastward flow of the Gulf Stream from surface drift measurements taken in the region. Fuglister and Worthington [1951] showed an eastward trend of the 100 m temperature front near 33°N, Von Arx et al. [1955] observed an east to northeast trend in the surface frontal outcrop near 32°N, and Pratt [1963] observed eastward flow in the bottom current. Schroeder [1963], Fuglister and Voorhis [1965], and Pratt [1966] all showed the offshore deflection in the 200 m contour of the 15°C isotherm, and Ewing et al. [1966] found that a major erosion channel cut(s) across the southward extension of the Cape Fear arch at about 32°N 78°W. Bushy [1969] reported the entrainment of a deep-sea subsurface in an eddy, and Knauss [1969] noted the apparent occurrence of a large meander. Richardson et al. [1969], showed the Gulf Stream's high velocity core well offshore of the 200 m isobath just north of this area, and Pashinski and Maul [1973] stated that on one occasion the Gulf Stream had been observed to flow eastward as far as 76.5°W before turning northward.

More recently, the quasi-permanence of the deflection of the Gulf Stream surface thermal front has been documented for this area from Very High Resolution Radiometer (VHRR) and Radar Altimeter (RA) satellite data [Brooks and Bane, 1978; Pietrafesa et al., 1978; Legeckis, 1979]. In addition, at least two models have now been applied to the region examining bottom topography as an explanation for the feature [Rooney et al., 1978; Chao and Janowitz, 1979].

In studying this region, it is pointed out that satellite IR imagery is presently incapable of year-round monitoring because of periodic cloud cover and a negligible temperature gradient during the summer [Maul et al., 1978; Pietrafesa et al., 1978; Legeckis, 1979]. This is further emphasized by Strack's [1953] observation that June–October are the worst months for using sea surface temperature gradients as Gulf Stream indicators. Subsequently, there are distinct advantages of subsurface hydrographic data over satellite imagery.

Substantial hydrographic data sets have been collected in the area by numerous investigators. They are discussed in Bane [1983], Bane et al. [1980b, 1981], Vukovich and Crisman [1980], Mathews and Pashuk [1977], and Hazelworth [1976]. Earlier, the Gill cruises of 1953 and 1954 also sampled this region in considerable detail. Other investigators including Pierce [1953] and Costin [1969] have also periodically sampled the region.

The purpose of this paper is to review and examine these and other historical hydrographic data and to present new data for the 'Bump' region. It is the authors' view that this will serve as a foundation for future work in the area. A region of doming isotherms will be identified, and the seasonal characteristics of the region will be discussed. Onshore-offshore sections of temperature, salinity, nitrate, and total chlorophyll will be presented as well as a discus-
break (Figure 2b) and most frontal eddies associated with a cyclonic flow pattern) is defined for a cross-section of the characteristics of Gulf Stream deflection off Long Bay.

**METHODS**

The historical data discussed in this paper are taken from technical publications that describe the respective sampling techniques and analytical methods. Data from the NOAA Peirce [Hazelworth, 1976] and R. V. Dolphin [Mathews and Pashuk, 1977] cruises were obtained from the National Oceanographic Data Center (NODC) on magnetic tape and were processed at Skidaway Institute of Oceanography (SKIO).

A Grundy model 9400 conductivity-temperature-depth (CTD) recorder, a General Oceanics model 1015 Rosette with Niskin bottles, and an expendable bathythermograph (XBT) were used on the authors' cruises. CTD data were processed according to Chandler et al. [1978] and XBT data were manually digitized. Nutrient samples were frozen in 125 ml polyethylene bottles and stored in the dark until thawed and analyzed on shore. Standard Technicon Autoanalyzer methods were used [Gilbert and Loder, 1977]. Duplicate chlorophyll samples were filtered and frozen and stored in the dark. Total chlorophyll was determined by the method of Yentsch and Menzel [1963] as described by Strickland and Parsons [1972].

**DOMING REGION**

Vertically, hydrographic data in the region opposite Long and Onslow Bays (Figure 1) are often characterized by doming (an upward and downward bending) of the isopleths in the area between the shelf break and the Gulf Stream. For the purpose of this paper, this phenomenon (probably associated with a cyclonic flow pattern) is defined for a cross-shelf section as an upward and then downward sloping of the 15°C isotherm in the upper layer (0–200 m) offshore of the 200 m isobath (Figure 2a). This definition distinguishes the phenomenon from upwelling that may occur along the shelf break (Figure 2b) and most frontal eddies [Lee et al., 1981 observed south of 31.5°N. The 15°C dome of these latter features is generally shoreward of the 200 m isobath and much less broad. No attempt is made to distinguish between doming associated with an apparent quasi-permanent meander opposite Long Bay and that observed further downstream (NE) associated with larger frontal eddies that occur with 2 to 14 day periods.

In examining the available data (Tables 1, 2, and 3), it was noted that in some instances only an upward sloping was observed as the sampling did not extend far enough offshore to detect the eastern extent of the dome. In such cases, the location of the furthest sample offshore from the 200 m isobath was considered the maximum dome (inflection or center) position. Further, in most instances at least two stations offshore of the 200 m isobath were necessary in order to apply the above definition. When two such stations were not available, a careful examination was made of the contours at shallower depths. This eliminated some sections that otherwise appeared applicable.

**DOMING STATISTICS**

A region of maximum doming was identified between 31.5° and 34.5°N (Figure 3; sub-regions II, III, and IV). This corresponds to the same region where the Gulf Stream surface thermal front has been observed to move offshore and then back onshore by Bane and Brooks [1979] and where subsurface temperature data (15°C at 200 m) reported by Bane et al. [1980a, b, 1981], Bane and Brooks [1981], Pratt [1966], Fuglister and Voorhis [1965], and Schroeder [1963] all show the same trends. It is also the region over which the 400 m isobath has been observed to diverge from and return toward the 200 m isobath.

Nearly 75% of all doming observations occurred in the area between the 200 and 400 m isobaths. However, doming was also observed offshore of the 500 m isobath, particularly north of 33°N where the continental slope steepens. The average water depth at the center dome position was 360 m for all data and 310 m for the observations occurring between the 200 and 400 m isobaths.

South of 31.5°N, a few doming events were observed in region I. These were related to frontal eddies with the exception of the event at 30.8°N where a Gulf Stream meander was identified. The events in region IV are also thought to be attributable to the periodic occurrence of frontal eddies though much farther offshore. Region II leads into the region of the quasi-permanent meander, and region III is opposite the meander. No particular significance should be inferred from the locations indicated as subregional barriers. They are only intended as estimates.

**Fig. 2.** (a) Doming and (b) upwelling at the shelf break off Long Bay, North Carolina [from Anderson et al., 1956a; Anderson and Gehringer, 1957a].
Relative Frequency

The relative frequency of doming off Cape Romain, Long Bay, Cape Fear, and Onslow Bay was inferred from the Gill cruises (two cruises in each season) run along these sections (Figure 4; sections B, D, F, and G, respectively). The Gill cruises constitute the largest data set of seasonal repetitions of identical sections available. Doming occurred most frequently off Long Bay (six of seven times, 86%) and to a lesser extent off Cape Romain (two of eight times, 25%) and Cape Fear (three of eight times, 38%). No doming observations were made on nine sections (not shown) that extended south to 27°N, and only Onslow Bay (one of six times, 17%) exhibited any doming on two northerly sections to Cape Lookout. Only in August 1953 (Gill cruise 3) did all four sections show no evidence of doming.

The higher incidence of doming off Long Bay tends to support the view of Pietrafesa and Janowitz [1980] and Pietrafesa [1983] that there is a cyclonic circulation pattern persisting throughout much of the year in this area. Such a circulation would be independent of the passage of frontal eddies, though perhaps modified by their occurrence or enhanced by NE winds, which would strengthen southward flow along the western side of the dome. The lower incidence of doming events further downstream (NE) from Long Bay supports the view that they are caused there by the occasional appearance of frontal eddies well offshore of the 200 m isobath.

Seasonal Variations in Temperature, Salinity, and \( \Sigma T \) in the Doming Region Off Cape Romain

Twenty-five biweekly NOAA cruises from 1965 to 1966 [Hazelworth, 1976] present an excellent opportunity to examine the seasonal characteristics of the doming region off Cape Romain. The cruise track for this work is shown in Figure 4, section A. This section occurs rather close to and traverses southeastward across 32°N 79°W, the location at which Brooks and Bane [1978], Pietrafesa et al. [1978], and Legeckis [1979] reported repeated seaward deflection of the surface thermal front from the 200 m isobath. Station spacing was approximately 10 nautical miles (18.5 km). These data reveal that the Gulf Stream was always east of station 4 and that the lowest temperatures at 200 m depth occurred at stations 3 or 4 of the data set in 316 and 403 m of water, respectively (Figure 4). The lower station temperatures at

### Table 1. Published Data Sources Examined in Compiling the Region of Maximum Doming

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ship</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>References for Vertical Plots of Temperature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Caryn and Atlantis</td>
<td>1953–1954</td>
<td>Bumpus and Pierce [1955]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gill</td>
<td>1953–1954</td>
<td>Andersen et al. [1956a, b] and Anderson and Gehring [1957a, b] 1958, 1959a, b, c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atlantis</td>
<td>1955</td>
<td>Hazelewth [1976]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peirce (NOAA)</td>
<td>1965–1966</td>
<td>Stefansson et al. [1971]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dolphin</td>
<td>1973</td>
<td>Atkinson [1978]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastward</td>
<td>1973–1974</td>
<td>Deschamps et al. [1979]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iselin</td>
<td>1976</td>
<td>Atkinson et al. [1979]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iselin</td>
<td>1977</td>
<td>O'Malley et al. [1978]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advance II and Blue Fin</td>
<td>1977</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northstar</td>
<td>1977</td>
<td>Vukovich and Crissman [1980]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John de Wolf II</td>
<td>1977–1978</td>
<td>Curtin 1979a, b, c, d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iselin</td>
<td>1978</td>
<td>Lasley et al. 1979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AXBT's*</td>
<td>1979</td>
<td>Bane et al. 1980a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AXBT's*</td>
<td>1979</td>
<td>Bane and Brooks 1981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endeavor</td>
<td>1979</td>
<td>Bane et al. 1980b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endeavor</td>
<td>1979</td>
<td>Brooks et al. 1980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gillis</td>
<td>1979</td>
<td>Lasley et al. 1981</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Airborne XBT’s dropped.

### Table 2. Seasonal Cruises by the Authors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ship</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Dates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pierce (Tracor)</td>
<td>PP-001-78</td>
<td>March 6–12, 1978</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iselin</td>
<td>IC-004-78</td>
<td>April 12–23, 1978</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iselin</td>
<td>IC-006-78</td>
<td>July 26–30, 1978</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iselin</td>
<td>IC-008-78</td>
<td>Nov. 9–14, 1978</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pierce (Tracor)</td>
<td>PP-001-79</td>
<td>March 14–19, 1979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pierce (Tracor)</td>
<td>PP-002-79</td>
<td>May 28 to June 2, 1979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pierce (Tracor)</td>
<td>PP-003-79</td>
<td>Aug. 22–27, 1979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iselin</td>
<td>IC-002-79</td>
<td>Oct. 27 to Nov. 2, 1979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastward*</td>
<td>EZ-002-80</td>
<td>April 10–27, 1980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iselin*</td>
<td>IC-003-80</td>
<td>April 10–26, 1980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pierce (Tracor)</td>
<td>PP-001-80</td>
<td>Sept. 3–14, 1980</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data presented in unpublished reports.
*cruise did not extend into the shelf break region north of 31.5°N.

### Table 3. NODC Ship of Opportunity XBT Data and Plots Examined in Compiling the Region of Maximum Doming

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NODC Identification</th>
<th>Originator’s Identification</th>
<th>Ship</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50203</td>
<td>7611</td>
<td>Lash Turkie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51303</td>
<td>7703</td>
<td>Lash Turkie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51390</td>
<td>7704</td>
<td>Mormac Argo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52342</td>
<td>7712</td>
<td>Mormac Argo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52990</td>
<td>7810</td>
<td>Lash Atlantico</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52994</td>
<td>7811</td>
<td>Lash Atlantico</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

An attempt was made to include naval XBT data; however, these efforts were unsuccessful as the data were not made available in a usable format.
Fig. 3. Region of maximum doming. See text for discussion of sub-regions I-IV.

this depth and corresponding salinities and densities are plotted versus time in Figure 5. Also presented are the corresponding surface and 100 m observations.

Surface Observations

In the surface layer, high temperatures corresponded to low densities, and vice versa. There was no obvious relation to salinity. The highest temperatures occurred in late August and early September and the lowest in early February. The lowest surface salinities occurred primarily between late June and early August (during a period of extended south-westerly winds) with a secondary low in mid-May. The highest surface salinities were observed in December, January, February, and April.

The temperature and sigma t observations are readily explained as responses to seasonal warming and cooling and compare favorably in trend with Chase [1971] for Frying Pan Shoals Light Station off Cape Fear. In addition, Chase [1969] has shown that historically the lowest mean surface salinities off Cape Fear occur in July.

Atkinson and Targett [1983] reported surface shelf break (60 m isobath) salinities lower than $36.00 \times 10^{-3}$ in late June 1980 between 31° and 32°40'N, suggesting an offshore flow of water in the region. Southwesterly winds, which occurred, favor such observations, causing low salinity shelf water to move offshore into the frictional drag of the Gulf Stream as suggested by Bumpus [1955]. Here, however, the shelf water first moves off-shelf into the doming region and then into the frictional drag of the Gulf Stream.

Interestingly, Weber and Blanton [1980] have found that southerly to southwesterly winds occur primarily in July in this area. This suggests for the annual cycle that the largest quantities of low salinity shelf water are moved off shelf en masse in the ‘Bump’ region in July. If this is in fact the case, then aerial mapping of surface salinity might define the path of the Gulf Stream in this area in July when surface temperature observations are inappropriate.

100 m Observations

At 100 m, higher temperatures corresponded to higher salinities and lower densities. Lower temperatures corre-
sponded to lower salinities and higher densities. Observations at this depth oscillated between coupling with surface and deeper (200 m) observations. They were coupled to the surface in January and to the bottom in July. A periodicity of 2–3 months was observed.

**200 m Observations**

At 200 m, the temperature, salinity, and density relationships were as noted above for the 100 m depth. Generally, the lowest temperatures were observed from January through March and July through November and the highest from April through June and December. Related trends are apparent in the 200 m salinity and sigma r plots (Figures 5b and 5c). When the 200 m data from the Gill and authors' cruises along sections B and C (Figure 4) are included with the Peirce data, the lowest temperature periods are narrowed to January–February and July–October, and the highest temperature periods are lengthened to March–June and November–December (Figure 6). These results compare favorably with Iselin's [1940] observations of the seasonal variation in the depth of the main thermocline (12°–6°C) of the Gulf Stream's northern and southern edges between Montauk Point and Bermuda. There he noted that the isotherms of the main thermocline layer tended to be nearer the surface (cooler at 200 m) in January and in the June–August period. Also, he noted a deepening of isotherms (warmer at 200 m) in March or April and again in October or November. Subsequently, it appears that the 200 m observations off Cape Romain are coupled to seasonal variations in the main thermocline.

**Temperature-Salinity Correlations**

Figure 7 presents temperature-salinity (TS) correlations for seven of the nine cruises by the authors. The plots are from the same location off Cape Romain (32°20.0’N 78°25.0’W) in the doming region in 305 m of water (station ‘TS,’ Figure 4, section C). These plots show seasonal warming of surface water between March and August and cooling between August and November. They also reveal that only in July did surface salinities fall significantly below 36 x 10^-3 (to as low as 35.62 x 10^-3) which further supports our earlier observations. In August, mid-depth water (20°–36°C) exhibited the highest salinities. These were due to the warming of surface water between March and August and further offshore exhibited similar structure with a more gradual thermocline (5.6°C over 65 m depth), and Hazelworth [1976] has shown the occasional occurrence of similar structure at station 3 of the Peirce cruise data.

**Repeated Vertical Sections of Salinity, Temperature, Nitrate, and Total Chlorophyll Off Cape Romain**

Vertical plots of salinity, temperature, nitrate, and total chlorophyll are presented in Figures 8–11. These correspond to the authors’ cruises off Cape Romain (Table 2; Figure 4, section C). Note that the only repeated cruise month was March.

**Salinity and Temperature**

Figures 8b, 8e, 8f, 8h, 9b, 9e, 9f, 9h show that the greatest upward penetration of cold, low salinity (and high density) water occurred in March 1979, July 1978, and August and October 1979; and the least in March, April, and November 1978 (Figures 8a, 8c, 8i, 9a, 9c, 9l). These same observations (for temperature) were presented graphically in Figure 6 and have been discussed as relating to an apparent variation in the seasonal thermocline. If that is the case, then the fact that March exhibits both a maximum and minimum may indicate that it is a transitional month. May 1979 and September 1980 (Figures 8d, 8g, 9d, 9g) appear as intermediates.

The temperature structure of Figure 9 shows that doming (as defined earlier) may or may not be observed along this section. This is not surprising in view of the frequency of occurrence statistics reported earlier. There is also a sharp structural contrast between March 1978 and March 1979 (Figures 9a and 9b). The 1978 observations were coincident with SW-SSW winds (5–25 kn) and the 1979 observations corresponded to a period of ENE-E winds (5–15 kn). Since the Gulf Stream was approximately the same distance offshore for both periods (<10 km difference), it is possible that the winds were in part responsible for the structural differences (i.e., changing wind patterns probably affect the intensity of flow on the western side of the dome).
Fig. 8. Vertical salinity data for nine cruises by the authors (Cape Romain section): C = CTD station; X = XBT station.

Fig. 9. Vertical temperature data for nine cruises by the authors (Cape Romain section).
Fig. 10. Vertical nitrate data for nine cruises by the authors (Cape Romain section).

winds could increase cyclonic flow and tighten the dome, whereas southwesterly winds should decrease cyclonic flow and broaden the dome. This relationship appears generally to apply for all periods with wind speeds greater than 5 kn.

Nitrate

The greatest upward nitrate penetration occurred for the same months (March 1979, July 1978, and August and October 1979; Figures 10b, 10c, 10f, 10h) as exhibited the greatest upwelling of cold, low salinity (and high density) waters. However, high near-surface nitrate levels (1–5 µM) were also observed in March and April 1978 and May 1979 (Figures 10a, 10c, 10d) when surface waters were less than 22–23°C. This is probably explained by the nitrate-temperature relationship of Atkinson et al. [1978] which suggests that high surface nitrate levels can be sustained longer at such temperatures than at the higher surface temperatures of July 1978 and August 1979. Subsequently, the high springtime surface levels are probably of older upwelled origin than those observed in July and August.

Chlorophyll

Chlorophyll data were collected in the upper 200 m along this section. The highest total chlorophyll concentrations (chlorophyll-a plus phaeopigment-a) occurred during two of the four periods of strong upwelling: March 1979 and July 1978 (Figures 11b, 11e). These observations were associated with the leading edge of strong thermal gradients at temperatures less than 17°C (Figures 9b, 9e) with nitrate concentrations greater than 10 µM (Figures 10b, 10e).

In the absence of upwelling offshore of the shelf break and in the Gulf Stream, one would expect total chlorophyll values less than 0.1–0.2 mg/m³ at the surface [Bishop et al., 1980]. Here, however, offshore of the break, five of the nine sections exhibited higher values at the surface and seven exhibited higher values in the upper 50 m. The highest value observed was 3.1 mg/m³ at 70 m in July 1978 (Figure 11e). In contrast, surface values as high as 5.0 mg/m³ have been observed further south in the SAB during upwelling events associated with meanders and frontal eddies [Bishop et al., 1980; Yoder et al., 1981]

Gulf Stream Deflection Off Long Bay

Temperature data from the Gill and Dolphin cruises and two of the authors’ cruises (March 1978 and October 1979) were examined in the horizontal at 150 m depth (Figure 12). These cruises were selected because they provide sufficient data collected off Cape Romain, Long Bay, and Cape Fear to permit contouring of the region. The only other comprehensive data in the literature identified for this region are the AXBT data for November and February 1979 reported by Bane et al. [1980a] and Bane and Brooks [1981] of which Bane et al. [1981] and Bane [1983] are subsets.

A depth of 150 m was selected for presentation as fewer data are available at the 200 m level. Shallower depths (0, 50, and 100 m) were ignored since they are more susceptible to
seasonal warming and cooling. The 17°-17.5°C isotherm is indicative of the Gulf Stream’s western boundary at the 150 m level. The horizontal spacing of the isotherms in Figure 12 should be representative of the trends, but they are not presumed to be absolutely accurate as to the width of the gradient along the western edge of the Stream. Obviously, the ‘total area’ short-term synopticity is in question for Figures 12c, 12f, and 12k where sampling occurred over 12–30 days. However, most of the data were collected over a period of 3–6 days.

Processes

Before examining these data, it is pointed out that there seem to be two different processes occurring in the region. First, as noted earlier from the Hazelworth [1976] data, there appears to always be an offshore deflection of the Gulf Stream from the 200 m isobath. Second, periodic meanders appear to amplify this deflection, at least in surface infrared imagery. The work of Bane et al. [1981] suggests that the influence of these meanders may be felt to depths in excess of the 150 m used here. However, they also point out that the offshore deflection has been observed to decrease with depth. Subsequently, the observations presented in Figure 12 may or may not include the influence of meander events, as they cannot be ascertained from the existing data.

General Observations

The data indicate that throughout the year the Gulf Stream moves offshore, and an upwelling region is formed in the offing of Long Bay. Second, at times there is a gradual offshore-onshore movement roughly following the 400 m isobath (Figures 12h, 12i, 12l) and at other times an abrupt eastward movement near 32°N (Figures 12a, 12c, 12e, 12g). This latter observation is highly correlated to a greater offshore movement of the Gulf Stream opposite Long Bay. Third, seasonally, the least movement offshore opposite Long Bay occurred in the August through November period, and the greatest movement offshore occurred in the February through March, May, and July periods. The July deflection was particularly large. Interestingly, these same time frames are nearly identically reproduced by the authors’ repeated section off Cape Romain (Figure 9) for much shorter sampling intervals (<12 hours). There, seasonally, the least deflection from the 200 m isobath occurred in April and August through October (Figures 9c, 9f, 9g, 9h) and the greatest deflection occurred in March 1978, May, July, and November (Figures 9a, 9d, 9e, 9i). Only the November observation is contradictory with the above. Figure 12a shows the authors’ section (line C) with respect to the horizontal field. Clearly, this section would have been a good indicator of the relative offshore deflection opposite Long Bay for 9 of the 12 composites presented in Figure 12.

Deflection Range Along Line A

Line A is shown in Figure 12a. It is the cruise track for the Peirce cruises. The deflection offshore from station 3 (Figure 4, line A) along this section has been determined relative to the mean for all the data. This information is presented in Figure 13. The Gulf Stream was defined as the 15°C isotherm

---

Fig. 11. Vertical total chlorophyll data for nine cruises by the authors (Cape Romain section).
line and stations were nearly identically (±2 km across shelf) reproduced through stations 4, 5, or 6 as applicable (the furthest offshore extent of the western wall of the Gulf Stream) for 16 of the sections. Four other sections showed SW or NE alongshore displacement between 7.4 and 17.6 km at 200 m, and the distances were obtained from the vertical plots of temperature presented by Hazelworth [1976]. Examination of station locations reveals that the same section at the furthest applicable offshore extent but had comparable across-shelf station spacing (18.5 km).

The range of deflection was nearly 38 km, with maximum and minimum distances from station 3 of 56.4 and 18.5 km, respectively. The mean distance was 35.6 km. The short-term variability over 2-week periods was as much as 32 km (in August) but generally less than 21 km. Seasonally, the least offshore movement occurred in March, mid-August, and early December and the greatest in May, June, early August, and early November. These observations contradict our earlier findings for March but also suggest a transitional period for November, thereby possibly explaining the contradiction of the Cape Romain section cited above. In addition, by applying this section to the plots of Figure 12, the February–March period would exhibit both large and small deflections along this line, and the relative deflection opposite Long Bay would be predicted accurately 7 of 10 times.

**Gulf Stream Transport and Deflection**

Between April and August 1966, transport measurements were made corresponding to eight of the Peirce cruises. These values from Hazelworth [1976] are reported (in boxes) in Figure 13 and are the average of two values believed in error by no more than ±10%. The first five of these values suggest a direct relationship between Gulf Stream transport and the relative distance offshore from station 3. However, the latter two observations, in particular, appear to contradict this trend.

Fugleter [1951] reported that the minimum and maximum currents for this region occur in November and July, respectively, and Montgomery [1938] has shown that sea level data
indicate minimum and maximum flow generally occur in October and July, respectively. There is also some indication that a secondary minimum occurs in April and a secondary maximum in January. Niler and Richardson [1973] have presented evidence of an annual cycle to Gulf Stream transport for the Florida Straits. Their data suggest a similar time frame with minimums and maximums occurring in December and June, respectively. Interestingly, Brooks [1979] and Duńg [1975] have both indicated that an eastward displacement of the Gulf Stream axis in the Florida Straits usually corresponds to an increase in transport. Correlation of these findings with those above suggests that frequently there is a direct relationship between transport and deflection. In contrast, the latter three observations of the transport opposite station 3 of the Peirce cruise data tend to support the view of Rooney et al. [1978] that higher (lower) velocities would induce lesser (greater) deflection. Perhaps the differences between these and the other five observations are attributable to changes in the angle of incidence of the Gulf Stream into the region or there is some other unidentified factor of influence. However, if the late June observation could be identified as an explainable anomaly (which will not be attempted here), the latter two observations would remain consistent with the earlier arguments.

A direct relationship might in part be explained by adherence to Iselin's [1938] theory of the circulation of the North Atlantic. He proposed a large anti-cyclonic eddy that contracts as transport increases and presses closer to the coast as transport decreases. In the 'Bump' region, the increasing current speed would deepen the Gulf Stream and increase its sensitivity to the bathymetry further offshore. A decreasing current speed would decrease its depth and sensitivity to the offshore bathymetry, and the Stream would move nearer shore but still be well offshore of the 200 m isobath.

As a corollary, if cyclonic flow is in fact generated off Long Bay, one would expect it to decrease with the Stream offshore particularly with southwest winds blowing against a southward return on its western side (creating a larger, more diffuse cyclone) and to increase with the Stream onshore, particularly with northeast winds enhancing southward flow on its western side (creating a smaller, tighter cyclone). Such seasonal onshore-offshore motion associated with current strength could explain much of the implied seasonal temperature structure in the doming region presented earlier in Figures 5 and 6. Hazelworth [1976] in fact directly credited the thermocline fluctuations in this area to 'meanderings of the Gulf Stream,' and Iselin [1938] has noted in the deep ocean that 'As the current decreases in strength, bottom temperatures in the depths occupied by the main thermocline layer will rise and vice versa.' This could readily explain the low temperatures observed in July but creates a problem for October if one accepts the seasonal transport trends suggested by Montgomery [1938] as cited above. The seasonal occurrence of northeast winds in October [Weber and Blanton, 1980], however, may compensate for the implied seasonal onshore movement of the Stream which would otherwise deepen the thermocline.

It is noted that in addition to seasonal (several month) variations in the strength of the Gulf Stream, Iselin [1940] has shown that there are year-to-year variations in the mean Gulf Stream transport, and Schmitz and Richardson [1968] have shown (for the Florida Straits) that 75% of the range over 3 years may be observed in 1 month. Brooks [1979] has narrowed the occurrence of that range to 4-14 days, and the transport values presented in Figure 13 would tend to support those findings as being applicable to the 'Bump' region as well. The existence of such short-term variations may also explain the observed deviations from the implied seasonal deflection trends presented above.

**SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS**

Doming was defined for a cross-shelf section as an upward and then downward bending of the 15°C isotherm offshore of the 200 m isobath. A region where such doming was observed was noted as extending between 31.5° and 34.5°N. This is the same region over which the 400 m isobath extends offshore and then back onshore with regard to the 200 m bathymetry. Nearly 75% of all doming observations occurred in the area between the 200 and 400 m isobaths. The incidence of doming for seasonal repeated sections in the SAB (from the Gill cruises) was found to be 86% off Long Bay, 38% off Cape Fear, and 25% off Cape Romain. The higher incidence off Long Bay tends to support the view of Pietrafesa and Janowitz [1980] and Pietrafesa [1983] that a cyclonic circulation pattern persists throughout much of the year in the region.

Evidence was presented showing that lower salinity shelf water moves offshore and is observable in the doming region.
off Long Bay between late June and early August. This was attributed to the occurrence of sustained southerly to southwesterly winds and is probably a seasonal occurrence. Aerial mapping of surface salinity was suggested as a means of quickly defining the path of the Gulf Stream in the area in July when surface temperature gradients are too small to satisfactorily define the western wall of the Gulf Stream.

Temperatures at 200 m depth suggest seasonal fluctuations in the depth of the main thermocline layer (12°–6°C) and subsequent source nutrient levels in the doming region. The colder waters tended to be more shallow for January–February and July–October and deeper for March–June and November–December.

Along the Cape Romain section, the greatest upward nitrate penetration occurred for the same months that exhibited the greatest upwelling of cold, low salinity (and high density) water (i.e., March 1979, and in summer and early fall). However, near-surface nitrate levels of 1-5 μM were observed in March and April 1978 and May 1979 when weaker upwelling was observed. These high springtime surface values were probably of older upwelled origin, being sustained by the cooler (<22°-23°C) surface temperatures.

The highest total chlorophyll observations (≥3.1 mg/m³) were made in March 1979 and July 1978. These observations were associated with the leading edge of strong thermal gradients at temperatures less than 17°C with nitrate concentrations greater than 10 μM. Such values are not as high as have been observed further south in the SAB during upwelling events associated with meanders and frontal eddies (5.0 μM). However, since no special effort was made to sample the region in as much detail (tighter station density and more sampling depths), these total chlorophyll observations should be regarded as background for future work.

Throughout the year the Gulf Stream moves offshore of the 200 m isobath and an upwelling region is formed in the offing of Long Bay. At times there is a gradual offshore-onshore movement roughly following the 400 m isobath and at other times and abrupt eastward movement near 32°N. Much of the time there appears to be an agreement between the historical seasonal transport and offshore deflection, with greater deflection occurring during the season of higher transport (summer) and vice versa. Iselin's [1938] theory of North Atlantic circulation may in part explain these observations. The large anti-cycloonal eddy of the North Atlantic contracts and deepens (moving the Gulf Stream offshore) as transport increases and expands and shoals (moving the Gulf Stream onshore) as transport decreases.

This same theory predicts a larger, more diffuse cyclonic circulation off Long Bay for high Gulf Stream transport and a smaller, tighter cyclonic circulation for lower Gulf Stream transport. In effect, a small eddy is driven by a larger one. It has also been noted that the local winds might enhance or retard such cyclonic flow and that the depth of the main thermocline layer in the doming region is suggestive of a direct seasonal response to the relative transport of the Gulf Stream and the local wind.
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