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ABSTRACT

We report a measurement of a beam-target double-polarisation observable (E) for the \( \gamma n(p) \rightarrow K^+ \Sigma^- (p) \) reaction. The data were obtained impinging the circularly-polarised energy-tagged photon beam of Hall B at Jefferson Lab on a longitudinally-polarised frozen-spin hydrogen deuteride (HD) nuclear target. The \( E \) observable for an effective neutron target was determined for centre-of-mass energies \( 1.70 \leq W \leq 2.30 \) GeV, with reaction products detected over a wide angular acceptance by the CLAS spectrometer. These new double-polarisation data give unique constraints on the strange decays of excited neutron states. Inclusion of the new data within the Bonn-Gatchina theoretical model results in significant changes for the extracted photocouplings of a number of established nucleon resonances. Possible improvements in the PWA description of the experimental data with additional “missing” resonance states, including the \( N(2120)^{1/2} \) resonance, are also quantified.

Crown Copyright © 2020 Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). Funded by SCOAP3.

1. Introduction

A central aim of hadron spectroscopy is to obtain a deeper understanding of how bound quark systems form from their fundamental partonic degrees of freedom (the quarks and gluons). The properties of such bound quark systems reveal valuable information on the underlying dynamics and their structure, while providing an important challenge to quantum chromodynamics (QCD) and its ability to fully describe the non-perturbative phenomena underlying hadron structure [1]. Although the nucleon is probably the most abundant bound quark system in the universe, our understanding of its dynamics and structure remains elusive. Specifically, the nucleonic excitation spectra evaluated in QCD-based approaches, (e.g. phenomenological constituent quark models [2–7], and lattice QCD [8–10]) predict many more excited states than currently established in experiment. Consequently, the “missing resonance” problem is an important focus for the world’s electromagnetic beam facilities with the aim of achieving a better understanding of the nucleon from QCD.

The excited nucleon spectrum is characterised by interfering, broad, and overlapping resonances for all but the lowest mass states, making the determination of their properties (e.g. pho-
tocouplings, lifetimes, spins, parities, decay branches) challenging. The four complex amplitudes that determine the reaction dynamics at fixed kinematics [11] can be unambiguously determined from eight well-chosen combinations of observables, referred to as a “complete” measurement. Therefore, kinematically (in $W$, and $\cos \theta$) complete and precise measurements of single- and double-polarisation observables using combinations of linearly- and circularly-polarised photon beams, transversely- and longitudinally-polarised targets, as well as the final state (recolliding baryon polarimetry, in combination with partial wave analysis, are essential to resolve these states [11,13,17–19]. Furthermore, various resonances can have different photoproductions to neutron or proton targets [20,21] and also differ in their preferred decay branches, necessitating data from a wide range of final states such as $N\pi$, $KA$, $KS$, multiple meson decays such as $N\pi\pi$, and even vector meson decays such as $N\omega$ [3,11,22]. In fact, constituent quark model calculations [3] indicate that a number of currently “missing” or poorly established states could have escaped experimental constraint because of a stronger decay coupling to the strange sector ($KA$ or $KS$) rather than the (comparatively) well studied $\pi N$. Recent double-polarisation measurements from proton targets in the strange-decay sector have been particularly successful in establishing new states [23–32]. Disappointingly, the current database of such reactions for neutron targets is sparse, with only a single double-polarisation measurement obtained for $K^0\Lambda$ and $K^0\Sigma^0$ final states [33], obtained with quite limited statistics.

In this work, we present the first measurement of the double-polarisation beam-target helicity asymmetry ($E$) for the reaction $\bar{\gamma}n \rightarrow K^+\Sigma^-$, exploiting a circularly-polarised tagged-photon beam and a longitudinally-polarised hydrogen deuteride (HD) target, as an effective polarised-neutron target. This measurement is an important addition to the present world database for $K^+\Sigma^-$, which currently only comprises cross section determinations from CLAS [34,35] and a measurement of a single-polarisation observable, the beam-spin asymmetry ($\Sigma$), measured in a restricted kinematic range at LEPS [31], and it provides new constraints to the reaction mechanism.

The paper is organised as follows: Section 1 presents a short introduction, Section 2 describes the experimental setup, Section 3 introduces the polarisation observable $E$, and Section 4 gives an overview of the event selection and the analysis procedure to extract $E$. In Section 5, the new $E$ data are compared with current theoretical models and the implications for the neutron excited states is discussed. Further details on the analysis procedure and systematic studies are presented in the online supplementary documentation accompanying this paper.

2. Experimental setup

The experiment was conducted at the Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility (JLab) utilising the Continuous Electron Beam Accelerator Facility (CEBAF) and the CEBAF Large Acceptance Spectrometer (CLAS) [36] in Hall B (see Fig. 1). CLAS is a toroidal magnetic field analysing spectrometer covering polar angles between $\sim 8^\circ$ and $140^\circ$ with large azimuthal acceptance ($\sim 83\%$). The spectrometer was composed of a variety of tracking, time-of-flight, and calorimeter systems to provide particle identification and 4-vector determination for particles produced in electro- or photo-induced reactions.

The current data were obtained as part of the E06-101 experiment [37] (referred to as the g14 experiment), in which an energy-tagged polarised photon beam impinged on a 5-cm-long solid target of polarised hydrogen deuteride (HD) [38,39] placed in the centre of CLAS. The energy-tagged (with energy resolution $\Delta E \sim 0.2\%$) and circularly-polarised photon beam was produced by impinging a longitudinally-polarised electron beam on a thin gold radiator, with post-bremsstrahlung electrons’ momenta analysed in a magnetic tagging spectrometer [40]. The degree of photon polarisation varied between 20% and 85% depending on the incident photon energy, the electron-beam energy and the electron polarisation. The photon polarisation was determined using the Maximon and Olsen formula [41] taking into account the energy of the incident and bremsstrahlung electrons, as well as the polarisation of the incident electron beam, which was on average $P_e = 0.82 \pm 0.03$. This was periodically measured using the Hall B Möller polarimeter [42]. Information from the tagging spectrometer was used to identify and reconstruct the energy of the photon that initiated the reaction in CLAS.

During the experiment, the polarisation of the photon beam was flipped with $\sim 960$ Hz flip rate between the two helicity states. The vector polarisation for deuterons (i.e. bound neutrons) within the HD target was between 23% and 26% and it was continuously monitored using nuclear magnetic resonance measurements [38]. An in-beam cryostat that produced a 0.9 T holding field operating at 50 mK was used to hold the target polarisation, achieving relaxation times of about a year. The orientation of the target polarisation was also periodically flipped between directions parallel or anti-parallel to the incoming photon beam. The flipping of the photon and target polarisations allowed the determination of $E$ using asymmetries, as described below, that significantly suppressed systematic uncertainties related to the detector acceptance. For more details on the experimental setup for the g14 experiment, see Ref. [33].

3. Polarisation observable $E$

Measurements employing a circularly-polarised photon beam in combination with a longitudinally-polarised target give access to the double-polarisation observable $E$. The differential cross section for the $p\bar{n} \rightarrow K^+\Sigma^-$ reaction for this case of a polarised beam and target is given by [17,43]:

$$
\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \left( \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} \right)_0 (1 - P_T^p P_\Sigma E),
$$

where $P_T^p$ and $P_\Sigma$ are the polarisation of the photon beam and target, respectively, and $E$ is the observable of interest.
where \( \left( \frac{d^{2} \sigma}{d \Omega} \right)_{0} \) denotes the unpolarised differential cross section, \( P_{T}^{\text{eff}} \) denotes the effective target polarisation (accounting for events that originate from unpolarised material within the target cell), and \( P_{\odot} \) the degree of circular photon polarisation.\(^9\) The observable \( E \) is extracted from asymmetries, \( A \), in the reaction yields arising from different orientations of the beam and target polarisations, for each kinematic bin \( W = \sqrt{s} \) (\( s \) is the usual Mandelstam variable and denotes the total energy available in the reaction) and \( \cos \theta_{K^{\pm}} \), with \( \theta_{K^{\pm}} \) denoting the kaon polar angle in the center-of-mass frame:

\[
A(W, \cos \theta_{K^{\pm}}) = \left( \frac{d^{2} \sigma}{d \Omega} \right)^{\uparrow \downarrow} - \left( \frac{d^{2} \sigma}{d \Omega} \right)^{\uparrow \uparrow} + \left( \frac{d^{2} \sigma}{d \Omega} \right)^{\downarrow \downarrow},
\]

where \( \uparrow \downarrow \) and \( \uparrow \uparrow \) denote a parallel or anti-parallel orientation of the photon and target polarisations, respectively. The polarisation observable \( E \) is then given by

\[
E = \frac{1}{P_{T}^{\text{eff}} P_{\odot}} A(W, \cos \theta_{K^{\pm}}).
\]

This method allows the determination of \( E \) from the reaction yields for different combinations of the beam–target polarisations, while significantly reducing systematic effects from the detector acceptance.

### 4. Data analysis

Events containing a single \( K^{\pm} \) and a single \( \pi^{\pm} \) in the final state (without further restrictions on any additional neutral tracks), were selected to provide a sample of \( \gamma n(p) \rightarrow K^{\pm} \Sigma^{\pm} \) (\( p \)), where the \( \Sigma^{\pm} \) has decayed to \( \pi^{\pm} n \) (with 99.8% branching ratio). Particle identification and photon selection were done following standard procedures adopted for E06-101 analyses, as discussed in Refs. [33] and [44].

The \( K^{\pm} \pi^{\pm} \) yield was further analysed to select the reaction of interest and remove unwanted backgrounds. Due to limitations in the separation of pions and kaons at high momenta in CLAS, a fraction of events from the \( \pi \pi \) final state were present in our yield. These were removed using kinematical cuts as described in the online supplementary documentation.

Further cuts were applied to the remaining event sample to eliminate background contributions. The kaon mass \( (MM_{y \rightarrow K^{\pm} \pi^{\pm} X}) \) and the \( K^{\pm} \pi^{\pm} \) mass \( (MM_{y \rightarrow K^{\pm} \pi^{\pm} X}) \) were calculated assuming a free neutron target (the systematic effect on the determination of \( E \) using this assumption was investigated as discussed later in this Section), and these are plotted in a two-dimensional histogram shown in Fig. 2. Events from the reaction of interest lie where the \( MM_{y \rightarrow K^{\pm} \pi^{\pm} X} \) corresponds to the nominal mass of the \( \Sigma^{\pm} \) and \( MM_{y \rightarrow K^{\pm} \pi^{\pm} X} \) corresponds to the nominal mass of the neutron. The red lines in Fig. 2 indicate the two-dimensional cuts used to select the reaction of interest. The parameters of the two-dimensional cut were optimised to avoid background contributions while maintaining a good event sample, as described below. Fig. 2 indicates the background channels, such as \( \gamma p \rightarrow K^{\pm} \Lambda, \gamma p \rightarrow K^{\pm} \Sigma^{0}, \gamma p(n) \rightarrow K^{\pm} Y \) and \( \gamma p(n) \rightarrow K^{\pm} \Sigma^{*} \), which can potentially contribute to the \( \gamma n \rightarrow K^{\pm} \Sigma^{\pm} \) yield. To quantify the contribution of background events to the event sample, a comprehensive list of reactions that included the above

\footnote{The full cross-section equation indicates that two additional polarisation observables, \( P \) and \( H \), are also accessible by studying the angular dependence of the decay products of the hyperon (taking into account the analysing power of \( \Sigma^{\pm}, \alpha = 0.068 \). In this analysis, the observables \( P \) and \( H \) are integrated out.}

channels was simulated, processed through the CLAS acceptance and analysed identically to the \( K^{\pm} \Sigma^{\pm} \) events. The final selection cuts applied to the data were optimised to reduce the background-to-total (B2T) ratio to the level of a few percent. With the tuned cuts (Fig. 2) the dominant background of \( \gamma n \rightarrow K^{\pm} \Sigma^{*} \) was reduced to \( B2T_{\gamma n \rightarrow K^{\pm} \Sigma^{*}} \sim 2 \% \), while retaining a large fraction of the true yield. Contributions from \( \gamma p(n) \rightarrow K^{\pm} Y \) were even smaller. The quantification of the background contributions allowed us to include their effects in the systematic uncertainty estimation, as described in the online supplementary documentation.

Measurements with an empty-target cell (i.e. without the HD target material) were used to quantify the contribution to the yield of events originating from the aluminium cooling wires or entrance/exit windows. These events originated from unpolarised nucleons (i.e. are associated with \( P_{T} = 0 \)) and account must be made for the resulting “dilution” of the target polarisation. This was calculated based on the ratio of empty-target to full-target data within \( z \)-vertex cuts (with \( z \) along the beamline) that define the target cell (see Fig. 1 in Ref. [33], and online supplementary documentation). This dilution factor, \( D_{F} \), was then used in the extraction of the helicity asymmetry from the data by determining the effective target polarisation: \( P_{T}^{\text{eff}} = D_{F} P_{T} \). Our studies have shown no statistically significant variation in the kinematic dependence of the dilution factor and thus an overall constant value of \( D_{F} = 0.728 \pm 0.003 \) was used.

A thorough assessment of systematic effects in the extracted \( (E) \) observable was carried out, with more details provided in the online supplementary documentation. This included examining the effects of the particle identification cuts and reaction-vertex cuts (and therefore the effective target polarisation), as well as determining systematic uncertainties originating from the determination of the photon and target polarisations. Contributions from background channels as well as the Fermi motion of the target nucleon were extensively investigated by varying the reconstruction cuts, and these were the major contributor to the systematic uncertainty \( (\Delta_{E_{\text{background/Fermi}}}^{\text{yst}} = 0.087) \). The systematic uncertainties arising from the Fermi motion of the target nucleon was investigated in detail using an independent (but low statistics) sample where the final-state neutron was identified. This absolute systematic uncertainty was estimated to be smaller than 0.02 and further details on these studies are provided in the supplementary documentation. Overall, no kinematic dependence of the systematic uncertainties was evident and therefore an upper estimate of a kinematic-independent uncertainty was established. The absolute systematic uncertainty associated with the determination of \( E \) was found to be \( \Delta E_{\text{yst}}^{\text{H}} = 0.116 \). In addition, a relative sys-
5. Results and discussion

The measured beam–target polarisation observable $E$ is presented in Fig. 3 for six centre-of-mass energy ($W$) bins between 1.7 and 2.3 GeV and for six bins in $K^+$ center-of-mass angle ($\theta_{K^+}^c$). The centre-of-mass frame is calculated assuming the target neutron at rest. However, the effect of Fermi motion on the value of $W$ is small compared to the bin widths. The reported $W$ value for each $E$ bin (see figure) is obtained from the event-weighted mean of the $E$ distribution. The angular bins are contiguous and have varying widths in response to the angular variation of the reaction yield. On average, the statistical sample per kinematic bin is of the order of 10$^3$, which results in an uncertainty of the asymmetry, $\frac{\Delta W}{W}$, of the order of 0.02. From this, the statistical uncertainty of $E$ is of the order of 0.2, taking into account the effective target ($\sim 25\% \times 0.728$) and photon (30-85\%) polarisations. The experimental data show a positive value of $E$ for most of the sampled bins. The measured values of $E$ at the edges of our angular acceptance range ($\cos \theta_{K^+}^c = -0.7$ and $\cos \theta_{K^+}^c = 0.7$) are, on average, less than 0.5. $\frac{\Delta W}{W}$ must have a value of $\pm 1$ at $\cos \theta_{K^+}^c \rightarrow \pm 1$ to conserve angular momentum, the observable values of $E$ outside of our acceptance range (i.e. between $\cos \theta_{K^+}^c = 0.7$ and $\cos \theta_{K^+}^c = 1$ for forward and between $\cos \theta_{K^+}^c = -0.7$ and $\cos \theta_{K^+}^c = -1$ for backward angles) must vary rapidly to reach 1. The curves in Fig. 3 are the predictions of the $E$ observable from the Kaon-MAID-2000 [45] (dashed green), Kaon-Maid-2017 [46] (dotted magenta) and Bonn-Gatchina-2017 [47] (solid black) PWA models (see supplementary material for data included in the Bonn-Gatchina-2017 fit). It is clear that the models give rather divergent predictions for this observable, and none of the current solutions give consistent agreement with the experimental data over the sampled kinematic range. This suggests that the relevant photo-production amplitudes are not well constrained by the current world-data, and that the new data have the potential to provide new information. The Bonn-Gatchina-2017 [47] solution is fitted to the entire database of meson photoproduction from the nucleon (see Ref. [48] for data sets used in PWA). In this solution the only direct $K^+ \Sigma^+$ constraints in the database are from the cross-section determination [34,35].

In Fig. 4, the impact of including the new data of $E$ in the Bonn-Gatchina database is explored. The predictions of $E$ from the new fits (Bonn-Gatchina-2019) are shown by the dashed red lines and blue dotted lines.\textsuperscript{10} It is seen that the new solution gives a much improved fit to the data (for comparison, the Bonn-Gatchina-2017 solution is repeated on this figure (solid black line)). The implications of the new Bonn-Gatchina-2019 fit for the properties of the excited states are shown in Table 1, where the helicity couplings calculated at the pole position are compared with previously published values [49]. In the new solution, the phase of the coupling residues – defined by the interference of the resonance with other contributions including non-resonance terms and tails from other states – between the $L_1^{\pi\Sigma} = S_{11}$ and $P_{13}$ partial waves has changed substantially from earlier fits. In fact, this is now better constrained by data since the $E$ observable allows separation of the helicity projections 1/2 and 3/2 (corresponding to projections of the $S_{11}$ and $P_{13}$, respectively). As a result, the new data produce significant changes in the extracted photocouplings of the individual states, particularly the $N(1720)^{3/2+}$ and $N(1900)^{3/2+}$ as indicated in Table 1.

\textsuperscript{10} Note that the new fit also included the beam asymmetry data in very forward kaon kinematics from LEPS [31] which was not included in the previous Bonn-Gatchina-2017 fit. See Ref. [48] for a complete list of data used in this fit.
The new Bonn-Gatchina description of the helicity asymmetry data. The error bars reflect the total statistical and absolute systematic uncertainty; the bar charts on zero axis show the magnitude of the absolute and scale systematic uncertainties at each point respectively. The Bonn-Gatchina-2017 solution [47] is shown with the solid black curves. The solution, with the new data on the helicity asymmetry included in the fit, is shown with dashed red lines. The solution with the added $D_{13}$ state is shown with dotted blue lines.

**Table 1**
The $\gamma pN$ helicity couplings of nucleon states (GeV$^{-1/2} \times 10^3$) expressed in terms of the transverse helicity amplitudes and calculated as residues in the pole position. Previously reported values [40] are indicated in parentheses. Only resonances, which either are most important for the description of the new data or deviate by more than one standard deviation from the published results, are included.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>$A_{1/2}^y$</th>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>$A_{3/2}^y$</th>
<th>Phase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$N(1895)^{1/2+}$</td>
<td>$-20 \pm 7$</td>
<td>$50 \pm 20^\circ$</td>
<td>$-20 \pm 7$</td>
<td>$50 \pm 20^\circ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>($-15 \pm 10$)</td>
<td>($60 \pm 25^\circ$)</td>
<td>($-15 \pm 10$)</td>
<td>($60 \pm 25^\circ$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N(1720)^{3/2+}$</td>
<td>$-45 \pm 15$</td>
<td>$20 \pm 30^\circ$</td>
<td>$-35 \pm 20$</td>
<td>$-15 \pm 30^\circ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>($-25 \pm 40^\circ$)</td>
<td>($-75 \pm 35^\circ$)</td>
<td>($100 \pm 35^\circ$)</td>
<td>($-80 \pm 35^\circ$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N(1900)^{3/2+}$</td>
<td>$-45 \pm 15$</td>
<td>$-5 \pm 20^\circ$</td>
<td>$80 \pm 12$</td>
<td>$0 \pm 20^\circ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>($-98 \pm 20$)</td>
<td>($-13 \pm 20^\circ$)</td>
<td>($74 \pm 15$)</td>
<td>($5 \pm 15^\circ$)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The sensitivity of the new $E$ data to missing or poorly established excited states was also explored within the Bonn-Gatchina framework. The database for reactions off neutron targets is much smaller than for the proton, so there is the potential to gain new sensitivities with the current data. There is significant current interest in gaining sensitivity to the $N(2120)^{3/2+}$, a resonance predicted by many theoretical models of nucleon structure but still escaping proper experimental confirmation. The Bonn-Gatchina fits were repeated to include additional states, one at a time, with varying properties (e.g. helicity couplings). The best description of the new data was obtained when adding a $D_{13}$ resonance of mass 2170 MeV. The results of this new fit (Bonn-Gatchina-2019-2) are shown by the dashed blue lines in Figs. 4 and 5. The new $E$ data are consistent with such a $D_{13}$ contribution, which results in improved fits for many of the sampled $W$ and $K^+$ center-of-momentum angle ranges. However, the level of improvement in the description of the $E$ observable is not sufficient to make strong claims. Quantitatively, the total $\chi^2$ was improved from 40.8 to 34.9, for 36 points, when the $D_{13}$ state was included in the fit. The new solution does however provide a basis to explore sensitivities in other observables. The total $\chi^2$ for the beam-spin asymmetry data from LEPS was significantly reduced from 124 to 84 for 36 points, when contributions from $D_{13}$ resonance were included in the fit. The $D_{13}$ is predicted to have a strong influence on the beam asymmetry and future measurements over a wider angular range could provide valuable constraints on its existence (e.g. see right panels in Fig. 5). Other possibilities were also explored. The inclusion of a missing $N(2060)^{3/2+}$ marginally improved the agreement with data, particularly in the last energy bin, but was slightly worse in the bin which included the resonance central mass value. Furthermore, no improvement was obtained by including missing states with positive parity.

**6. Summary**

We present the first measurement of a double-polarisation beam–target observable ($E$) for the reaction $\gamma pN \rightarrow K^+ \Sigma^-$, employing a circularly-polarised photon beam and spin-polarised HD as an effective neutron target. The new $E$ data are an important addition to the sparse world database constraining the strange decays of excited neutron states. Model predictions for the $E$ observable in this channel were strongly divergent and none gave a good description of the new data over the full kinematic range. Fitting the new data in the framework of one of the models (Bonn-Gatchina) resulted in new constraints on the interference of the $S_{11}$ and $P_{13}$ partial waves, and significant changes in the extracted photocoupling of a number of resonance states, including the $N(1720)^{3/2+}$, $N(1895)^{1/2+}$, and $N(1900)^{3/2+}$. Improved fits to the new $E$ data could be obtained with the inclusion of a “missing” $D_{13}$ resonance, although further measurements are clearly necessary to better establish this state. The determination of the beam spin asymmetry, $\Sigma$, for the reaction $\gamma pN(p) \rightarrow K^+ \Sigma^- (p)$ at backward angles could provide the necessary constraints for further investigations of this excited state.

---

Fig. 4. The new Bonn-Gatchina description of the helicity asymmetry data. The error bars reflect the total statistical and absolute systematic uncertainty; the bar charts on zero axis show the magnitude of the absolute and scale systematic uncertainties at each point respectively. The Bonn-Gatchina-2017 solution [47] is shown with the solid black curves. The solution, with the new data on the helicity asymmetry included in the fit, is shown with dashed red lines. The solution with the added $D_{13}$ state is shown with dotted blue lines.

Fig. 5. The description of the differential cross section (data from [34]) (left) and the beam asymmetry (data from [31]) (right). The Bonn-Gatchina-2017 solution [47] is shown with the solid black curves. The solutions that includes the new data on the helicity asymmetry, $E$, as well as the beam-spin asymmetry data $\Sigma$ from LEPS, are shown with the dashed red and dotted blue lines. The dotted blue line corresponds to the solution with an added $D_{13}$ state.
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