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We present the first measurement of the reaction \( \gamma p \rightarrow a_2(1320)^0 \) \( p \) in the photon energy range 3.5–5.5 GeV and four-momentum transfer squared 0.2 \( < t < 2.0 \) GeV\(^2\). Data were collected with the CEBAF Large Acceptance Spectrometer detector at the Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility. The \( a_2 \) resonance was detected by measuring the reaction \( \gamma p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta p \) and reconstructing the \( \pi^0 \eta \) invariant mass. The most prominent feature of the differential cross section is a dip at \( -t \approx 0.55 \) GeV\(^2\). This can be described in the framework of Regge phenomenology, where the exchange degeneracy hypothesis predicts a zero in the reaction amplitude for this value of the four-momentum transfer.
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It has been more than 40 yr since quantum chromodynamics was postulated as the theory of strong interactions. Although much progress has been made in understanding the high-energy phenomena through this theory, perturbative methods fail to describe the strong interaction at low energies. A clear understanding of this regime is of key importance since it corresponds to the dominant manifestation of the strong force in nature in terms of hadrons that constitute the bulk of the visible mass of the Universe.

Hadron spectroscopy is a valuable tool to investigate this regime. The measurement of the meson spectrum, searching for exotic states not compatible with the quark model, would provide access to the gluonic degrees of freedom that contribute to the quantum numbers of the hadrons. Investigating the properties and interactions of gluons is critical since their dynamics give rise to the strong interaction that binds the hadrons. In this context, the photoproduction of a \( \pi^0 \eta \) pair on the proton (\( \gamma p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta p \)) is one of the most promising reaction channels since any \( P \)-wave resonance would be unambiguously interpreted as an exotic non-\( q\bar{q} \) state. So far, only a few results have been reported for this reaction. At low energies, in the fully nonperturbative regime, high-quality cross-section data have been collected by the GRAAL [1], Crystal Ball, TAPS, A2 [2,3], and CB-ELSA [4,5] Collaborations. In the multi-GeV photon-beam energy range, optimal for meson spectroscopy, instead, no data have been published so far.

In this energy regime, the \( a_2(1320) \) meson is expected to make the dominant contribution to the \( \pi^0 \eta \) invariant-mass spectrum [6]. It can be, thus, taken as the reference state for a partial-wave analysis of this channel, for example, allowing for the interpretation of the variations of the \( P - D \) phase difference as a signature for the existence of exotic resonances [7,8]. Photoproduction of the charged \( a_2 \) resonance has been
measured at the Stanford Linear Accelerator Center [9–11].
However, to the best of our knowledge, the neutral $\alpha_2$ channel has never been studied in photoproduction.

In this Rapid Communication, we report the first measurement of the neutral $\alpha_2(1320)$ meson photoproduction on the proton for photon-beam energies between 3.5 and 5.5 GeV, and four-momentum transferred squared ($-t$) in the range of 0.2–2.0 GeV$^2$. The differential cross-section $d\sigma/dt$ was obtained by measuring the cross-section $d^2\sigma/dt\,dM$ for the exclusive production of a $\pi^0\eta$ pair on the proton, where $M$ is the two-meson invariant mass and extracting the contribution of the $\alpha_2$ resonance in each kinematic bin. The measurement was performed with the CEBAF Large Acceptance Spectrometer (CLAS) in Hall B at the Jefferson Laboratory in a dedicated high-energy high-statistics run g12.

The experiment used a bremsstrahlung photon beam produced by the interaction of the primary $E_0 = 5.72$-GeV electron beam with a converter of $10^{-4}$ radiation lengths. A magnetic spectrometer (photon tagger) with energy resolution $0.1\%E_0$ was used to tag photons in the energy range of 0.2$E_0$–0.95$E_0$ [12,13]. The target was a 40-cm-long cell filled with LH$_2$. During the run, the high-intensity photon flux $\approx 4 \times 10^7 \gamma/s$ was measured by sampling the “out-of-time” electron hits in the photon tagger [14].

Outgoing particles were measured with the CLAS detector [15]. This was a large-acceptance spectrometer, based on a toroidal magnet made of six superconducting coils arranged symmetrically around the beamline [16]. The momentum of a charged particle was determined from the radius of curvature of its trajectory in the magnetic field as measured by a multiwire drift-chamber system [17]. A set of plastic scintillator counters time of flight, installed behind the drift chambers, provided the time of flight of each particle [18]. Particle identification was performed through the $\beta$ vs $p$ technique. The energies and angles of the photons were measured with a lead and scintillator electromagnetic calorimeter, covering polar angles in the range $^\circ$–$^\circ$, with energy resolution $\sigma_E/E \approx 10\%/\sqrt{E/\text{GeV}}$, and angular resolution $\sigma_\theta \approx 10$ mrad [19].

The incoming photon was identified based on a $\pm 1.0$-ns coincidence between the vertex times obtained from the photon tagger and from the CLAS detector. The latter was determined by measuring the time of the outgoing charged particle with an array of plastic scintillator counters surrounding the target [20]. Due to the large photon flux, a fraction $f_{\text{multi-}}\gamma = 12.5\%$ of events with more than one tagged photon within the coincidence window was observed. To avoid any bias in the analysis, these events were discarded. This effect was accounted for in the cross-section normalization by scaling the measured event yield by $1/(1 - f_{\text{multi-}}\gamma)$. The systematic uncertainty of this correction, evaluated from the run-by-run variation of $f_{\text{multi-}}\gamma$ is $\approx 0.7\%$.

The trigger condition required one charged particle and two photons in the CLAS detector. The corresponding efficiency was evaluated from minimum bias runs and found to be on average $\epsilon_{\text{trg}} = 80\%$. A trigger efficiency map was derived and used to correct the cross-section normalization for the residual efficiency dependence on the charged particle impact point on the detector.

This analysis focuses on the $\gamma p \rightarrow \pi^0\eta p$ reaction with all three final-state hadrons measured. Although the CLAS was optimized for charged multiparticle final states, this reaction could be measured thanks to the high statistics and the specific setup of the g12 run with the target moved upstream to maximize the detector acceptance. Events were selected requiring detection of both the proton and the four photons from the $\pi^0$ and $\eta$ decays. The standard g12 procedures, including momentum corrections and fiducial cuts, were applied [21]. A 4C kinematic fit (energy and momentum conservation imposed) was used to select events belonging to the exclusive $\gamma p \rightarrow 4\gamma p$ reaction by introducing a cut on the corresponding confidence level (CL) [22,23]. To optimize this cut, the difference between the missing mass on the proton squared and the four photon invariant mass squared—here denoted as $K$—was considered. From energy and momentum conservation, it follows that signal events $(\gamma p \rightarrow 4\gamma p)$ are distributed around $K = 0$ with a Gaussian distribution, whereas background events $(\gamma p \rightarrow 4\gamma pX)$ manifest as a tail in the $K > 0$ region. Therefore, the following figure of merit (FOM) was defined

$$\text{FOM} = \frac{n_s}{\sqrt{n_s + n_b}},$$

where $n_s/2$ ($n_s/2 + n_b$) was the number of events with $K < 0$ ($K > 0$). The optimal CL cut was determined by maximizing the FOM and found to be 1.86%.

The following procedure was then adopted to isolate the $\gamma p \rightarrow \pi^0\eta p$ reaction. First, the photons were ordered event by event by naming $\gamma_1$ and $\gamma_2$ those with the smallest opening angle. This algorithm exploits the fact that due to the lower $\pi^0$ mass, the two photons from its decay are expected to have, on average, a smaller opening angle than those from $\eta$ decay. The corresponding efficiency, estimated from Monte Carlo simulations, is approximately 82% [24]. The correlation between the invariant masses of the two photon pairs $M_{\gamma_1\gamma_2}$ vs $M_{\gamma_1\gamma_3}$ is shown in Fig. 1. Signal events were identified as those corresponding to the bottom-right cluster centered at $M_{\gamma_1\gamma_2} = M_{\pi^0}$, $M_{\gamma_1\gamma_3} = M_\eta$. A small fraction of events, corresponding to $\approx 4\%$ of the main signal yield, appeared in the opposite combination and was not considered in the following.

After ordering the photons, the $M_{\gamma_1\gamma_3}$ distribution showed a clear peak corresponding to $\eta$ with some residual background events underneath. To reject these and extract the signal yield, the $\mathcal{J}$Plot method was used [25]. This considers that events in the data sample originate from different independent sources and are characterized by a set of kinematic variables that can be split into two components. The method allows to reconstruct for each event source the distributions of control variables from the knowledge of the probability density function (PDF) associated with independent discriminating variables. In this analysis, the invariant mass $M_{\gamma_1\gamma_3}$ was used discriminating variable, whereas $M$ and $M_{\gamma_1\gamma_2}$ were used as control variables. Two event sources were assumed: A signal source corresponding to the $\eta$ meson decay, modeled with a Gaussian PDF with exponential tails, and a background source parametrized with a polynomial PDF. To avoid any
correlation between variables that was induced by the kinematic fit, resulting in a possible bias, events were first divided into independent $M$ bins, and the $\gamma\gamma\pi\eta\pi\eta$ distribution for the signal source was investigated, finding that no residual background was present below the $\pi^0$ peak.

The CLAS acceptance and efficiency were evaluated by means of Monte Carlo simulations, based on a GEANT code that included knowledge of the full detector geometry and a realistic response to traversing particles. Since the extracted differential cross section is integrated over some of the independent kinematic variables, such as the $\pi^0$ angles in the Gottfried-Jackson frame ($\Omega_{GJ}$), the model used to generate Monte Carlo events had to be as close as possible to the real physical one. To this end, $\gamma p \to \pi^0\eta p$ events were first generated according to a bremsstrahlung photon-beam energy spectrum with a phase-space distribution and reconstructed through the same procedure used for real data. The result was used to compute the acceptance-corrected event distribution from which a new Monte Carlo sample was generated. The procedure was iterated until a good agreement between data and Monte Carlo was found for $-t$ and for $\Omega_{GJ}$ in each $E_{\text{beam}}$ bin. In particular, the good matching between data and Monte Carlo for $\Omega_{GJ}$ ensures that interference effects between different amplitudes contributing to the $\pi^0\eta$ final state is properly considered when computing the detector acceptance. Finally, to account for the effect of the analysis procedures in the cross-section normalization, the same methods were applied to Monte Carlo events.

The differential cross section $d^2\sigma/dt dM$ is shown in Fig. 2 as a function of $M$ for three photon-beam energy bins (rows) and five four-momentum transfer bins (columns). The error bars report the statistical uncertainty only. Table I summarizes the systematic uncertainties. The first four contributions are connected, respectively, to the uncertainty in the LH$_2$ target properties (density and length), the absolute photon flux normalization, the trigger system efficiency, and the $\eta \to \gamma\gamma$ branching fraction. The systematic uncertainties associated with the kinematic fit and the $\gamma\gamma\pi\eta\pi\eta$ procedure have been evaluated by considering, in each bin, the relative variation of the cross section for different choices of the CL cut and of the degree of the background polynomial PDF. Finally, the systematic uncertainty on the CLAS acceptance was evaluated by varying the distribution used to generate the Monte Carlo events: A phase-space distribution was used, leading to a conservative estimate of this uncertainty contribution. The total systematic uncertainty was obtained by adding in quadrature all individual terms.

The differential cross section $d^2\sigma/dt dM$ shows two distinctive structures corresponding to the $a_0(980)$ and $a_2(1320)$ resonances. In particular, the $a_2$ meson is clearly visible as a peak over a smooth background with the latter decreasing at higher beam energies. The exclusive $a_2(1320)$ photoproduction cross section $d\sigma/dt$ has been extracted in the two largest photon-beam energy bins by modeling $d^2\sigma/dt dM$ in the $M$ range of 1.1–1.55 GeV as the incoherent sum of a resonance term and a smooth background, including contributions from both nonresonant $\pi^0\eta$ photoproduction and from the residual high-mass tail of the $a_0(980)$ state. The resonance term was written as the product of a $(E_{\text{beam}},-t)$-dependent production coefficient and a Breit-Wigner function that describes the $a_2$ line shape [26]. The background term was parametrized as a decreasing exponential function. The cross-section model was convoluted with the experimental $M$ resolution, evaluated from Monte Carlo simulations. This ranged from a few MeV at high $M$ values up to $\approx 20$ MeV at $M \approx 0.8$ GeV. A simultaneous $\chi^2$ fit to all $d^2\sigma/dt dM$ data points was then performed with a total of 28 free parameters (nine $a_2$ production coefficients, nine background polynomial terms, nine background exponential slopes, and the $a_2$ mass). In the Breit-Wigner formula, the $a_2$ mass $M_{a_2}$ was left to vary as a free parameter whereas the width $\Gamma_{a_2}$ was fixed to the nominal PDG value of (113.4 $\pm$ 1.3) MeV—the effect of this choice was studied and included in the systematic uncertainty. The $\chi^2/\text{NDF}$ value was $64.3/53 = 1.21$, and the obtained $M_{a_2}$ value was (1308 $\pm$ 2) MeV, in very good agreement with the
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FIG. 1. Correlation between the invariant mass of the two photon pairs for exclusive $\gamma p \rightarrow 4\gamma p$ events. In each event, $\gamma_1$ and $\gamma_2$ are the photons with the smallest opening angle. The bottom-right cluster contains signal events from the $\gamma p \rightarrow \pi^0\eta p$ reaction.
FIG. 2. Differential cross section for the reaction $\gamma p \rightarrow \pi^0\eta p$. Each histogram reports the reaction differential cross-section $d^2\sigma/dt\,dM$ as a function of the $\pi^0\eta$ invariant mass for the specific $E_{\text{beam}}$ and $-t$ bin reported in the same panel. The bottom gray-filled area in each panel shows the systematic uncertainty. The red curve is the result from the best fit performed with the model described in the text. The green and blue areas correspond, respectively, to the contribution of the $a_2$ resonance and of the background, here, reported as the $\pm 1\sigma$ systematic uncertainty bands around the central value. These have been scaled vertically by a factor of $\times 2$ for better readability.

The differential cross section for the reaction $\gamma p \rightarrow a_2(1320)^0 p$ was finally obtained by integrating the resonance term in each kinematic bin, accounting for the $a_2 \rightarrow \pi^0\eta$ branching fraction (14.5 ± 1.2)% [26]. The results are shown in Fig. 3 where the black (red) points refer to the photon energy range of 3.5–4.5 GeV (4.5–5.5 GeV). For each data point, the vertical bar shows the statistical uncertainty, evaluated from the covariance matrix of the $\chi^2$ fit. The colored bands at the bottom show the systematic uncertainty, evaluated summing quadratically the systematic uncertainty for $d^2\sigma/dt\,dM$ and that associated with the fit procedure. This was evaluated by repeating the fit with different choices of the fit range and of the $a_2$ width, that was varied within $\pm 2\sigma$ around the nominal value. $M_{\text{min}} (M_{\text{max}})$ was varied in the interval of 1.0–1.1 GeV (1.55–1.7 GeV). The nominal range reported previously corresponds to the fit with the smallest $\chi^2$/NDF value. The argument of the exponential function was also replaced by polynomials of various orders. The systematic uncertainty was calculated, in each bin, as the rms of the systematic uncertainty bands around the central value.

The most intriguing feature of the $\gamma p \rightarrow a_2(1320)p$ cross section is the presence of a dip at $-t_{\text{dip}} \approx 0.55$ GeV$^2$, observed simultaneously at both beam energies. The hypothesis that this observed was just the effect of a statistical fluctuation was excluded at 99% CL as follows. We made a null hypothesis for $d\sigma/dt$, assuming a monotone shape: We tested both a linear and an exponential behavior. In particular, for this $-t$ and $E_{\text{beam}}$ range within the precision dictated by the large statistical errors, the latter functional form should generally provide a sufficient description of $d\sigma/dt$ in the absence of a dip. We generated $N = 10^5$ toy Monte Carlo datasets, resampling each measured $d\sigma/dt$ point $y_i \pm \sigma_i$ from a Gaussian distribution with $\mu = y_i$ and $\sigma = \sigma_i$. Conservatively, we adopted, for $\sigma_i$, the quadratic sum of the statistical and systematic uncertainties, excluding the contributions that are independent from the kinematic bin. For each toy dataset and each beam energy, we performed a fit with the hypothesized functional form, excluding the point in the dip, extrapolating from it the expected cross-section value at $-t_{\text{dip}}$. The bin width was taken
also vanish at \( \alpha(t) = 0 \), that is, at \( -t = m_{\Delta(1320)}^2 \approx 0.55 \text{ GeV}^2 \), leading to an exact zero in the cross section. However, subleading Regge poles or cut contributions can turn the zero of the amplitude into the dip observed in data and improve the description at higher \(-t\). The results presented, here, are a pure prediction for \( d\sigma/dt \) since the model parameters were tuned on different datasets: The qualitative agreement between data and model, in particular, concerning the position of the dip, demonstrates the effectiveness of a reaction amplitude parametrization based on Regge phenomenology. The use of the present data to fine-tune the model parameters is beyond the scope of this work and will be the subject of a different publication [27]. Finally, we observe that our new data will help in understanding the nature of the \( \Delta(1320) \) resonance. Although many authors describe it as a \( q\bar{q} \) state [31], others propose a different description. For example, Xie et al. [28] recently developed a model where \( \Delta(1320) \) is a molecular state dynamically generated from the \( \rho - \omega \) and \( \rho - \phi \) interactions in the 3 wave with spin 2. This model predicts a smooth \( d\sigma/dt \) shape without any dip. Our data rule out this hypothesis.

To summarize, we have measured, for the first time, the reaction \( \gamma p \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta p \) in the photoproduction energy range of 3.5–5.5 GeV, and for four-momentum transferred squared values between 0.2 and 2 GeV\(^2\), extracting the cross section for the exclusive \( \Delta(1320) \) photoproduction on the proton. The cross section shows a pronounced dip at \(-t \approx 0.55 \text{ GeV}^2\), which can be explained in the framework of Regge theory. Since the \( \Delta(1320) \) is the most prominent structure present in the \( \pi^0 \eta \) invariant mass, detailed knowledge of its production cross section is valuable for any assessment of a possible exotic resonance contribution. This measurement will, thus, help high statistics photoproduction experiments, e.g., the CLAS12 [32], GLUEX [33], and BGOOD [34], to better understand the \( \pi^0 \eta \) mass spectrum and to properly describe the production of the dominant \( \Delta(1320) \) resonance using it as a benchmark in the search for exotic states.
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