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One-Dimensional Lateral Force Anisotropy at the Atomic Scale in Sliding Single Molecules on a Surface


ABSTRACT: Using a q+ atomic force microscopy at low temperature, a sexiphenyl molecule is slid across an atomically flat Ag(111) surface along the direction parallel to its molecular axis and sideways to the axis. Despite identical contact area and underlying surface geometry, the lateral force required to move the molecule in the direction parallel to its molecular axis is found to be about half of that required to move it sideways. The origin of the lateral force anisotropy observed here is traced to the one-dimensional shape of the molecule, which is further confirmed by molecular dynamics simulations. We also demonstrate that scanning tunneling microscopy can be used to determine the comparative lateral force qualitatively. The observed one-dimensional lateral force anisotropy may have important implications in atomic scale frictional phenomena on materials surfaces.
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The lateral force needed to move a molecule on a surface is important for understanding dynamics as well as related tribological phenomena at the atomic and molecular scales. With the advancement of surface science techniques, such as atomic force microscopy (AFM) and scanning tunneling microscopy (STM), it has become possible to gain deeper insight into the lateral forces and corresponding tribological phenomena of the atoms, molecules, and nanoscale systems on surfaces. Although a myriad of studies have been conducted to elucidate the friction at the nano- and atomic scale, many tribological phenomena are yet to be understood. At the atomic scale, the potential energy landscape of surfaces plays a critical role in friction. While barrier height diminishes under a constant load in friction force microscopy, dynamic force microscopy enables the investigation of barrier height contributions to friction. Since barrier height dictates dynamics of individual atoms and molecules on surfaces, measuring the magnitude of lateral force required to move them on a surface will impact the fundamental understanding of friction at the single molecule level on periodic atomic potential surfaces. Here, we unravel one-dimensional anisotropic behavior in lateral forces to move a molecule on a twodimensional symmetric surface using a q+ atomic force microscope (q+AFM) tip. Additionally, we show that scanning tunneling microscopy can be used to qualitatively determine the lateral force required to move a molecule on a surface.

Consider an atomically flat area of the single crystal surface of Ag(111), which has a close-packed surface atomic layer with trigonal symmetry. On this surface, an equal magnitude of lateral force is expected to move an adsorbate along six equivalent directions formed by the surface close-packed atomic rows. This is the case for lateral movement of individual atoms simply due to the surface symmetry and the zero-dimensional nature of the adsorbed atom. But for a one-dimensional (1D) adsorbate, moving it along six equivalent close-packed atomic rows involves two directions; one is parallel to its long axis (parallel direction), and the other is 60° with respect to its long axis (sideways direction). If the adsorbate obeyed the microscopic laws of friction, the lateral force required to move it would be the same regardless of direction as its contact area with the substrate is always the same. Here, we demonstrate that this is not necessarily the case at the atomic scale.

We chose para-sexiphenyl (6P) molecules for this study because they have a representative 1D shape. 6P is composed of six π-rings connected as a linear chain and it is widely investigated due to potential applications in optoelectronic devices. The experiments were performed using a low-temperature Createc GmbH system in the q+AFM modality for lateral force measurements and the STM modality for lateral manipulation. For the substrate, a Ag(111) single crystal surface was cleaned by repeated cycles of Ar+ ion sputtering and annealing to ~650 K. 6P molecules were deposited onto this substrate via thermal evaporation using a custom built Knudsen cell. The sample was then transferred to the q+AFM or STM scanner under ultrahigh vacuum conditions.
vacuum (UHV) condition and cooled down to ∼5 K for the measurements. In STM images, the molecule appears as a 2.7 nm long rodlike structure on the Ag(111) surface (Figure 1c), and its long molecular axis is adsorbed parallel to the surface close-packed rows,22 that is, the [110] surface directions. Figure 1d,e shows an STM image and corresponding schematic drawing of a 6P molecule and a silver adatom on Ag(111) surface for a comparison.

First, the lateral force measurements were performed with a q+AFM setup using a procedure demonstrated by Ternes et al.1 q+AFM operates as a noncontact AFM where a tip is attached to a tuning fork with a high "Q" value that vibrates at a certain frequency. To measure the lateral force required to move a 6P molecule in the parallel direction, the tip with an oscillation amplitude of 3.25 nm is scanned over a 4 nm line directly above the molecule along its long molecular axis (Figure 2a) while recording the change in sensor frequency (df) as a function of lateral position (x). Initially, the tip is scanned at a larger height and then the tip height is gradually reduced at subsequent scans. When the tip approaches the molecule, the tip–sample force increases. This leads to change in the sensor frequency and corresponding vertical stiffness of the tuning fork (Kz). This procedure is repeated until the molecule is laterally moved from its initial position. The lateral movement of the molecule is confirmed by acquiring a topographic image (Figure 2b). From each scan of df versus x, the changes in vertical stiffness of the tuning fork can be determined. In order to remove the contribution of van der Waals forces, the background is subtracted and the resultant Kz versus x scans are plotted as a function of the tip height (Figure 2c). As the tip height is reduced, a dip in Kz starts to appear over the molecule due to its interaction with the tip until finally a discontinuity occurs, which is associated with the lateral displacement of the 6P molecule. The vertical force curves (Fz) are deconvoluted using the Sader-Jarvis method (Figure 2d, and Supporting Information).28 The potential (Uz) is then generated by integrating the vertical force curves for each tip height (Figure 2e). The lateral force component (F_L) is then extracted by differentiating Uz along the lateral distance, x (Figure 2f). The magnitude of the lateral force (F_L) required to move the 6P molecule along the parallel direction is determined to be 118.8 ± 15.8 pN. Next, this procedure is repeated for the sideways displacement of 6P as shown in Figure 2g–l. Here the tip is scanned for 4 nm along the surface close-packed direction, which is 60° with respect to the long molecular axis (Figure 2g). The lateral force (F_L) required to move the 6P molecule in the sideways direction is determined to be 252.4 ± 21.6 pN. To confirm the validity of the q+AFM force measurements, we have performed an inflection point test29,30 with the results showing that the measurements are conducted in the well-posed regime (see Supporting Information). From the measured force values, the ratio of required lateral force between the parallel and sideways directions (F_L/ F_L) is determined to be ∼2.1. Thus, these measurements indicate that the shape of the molecule plays a vital role in the lateral force required to move the molecule where it takes about.

Figure 1. (a) A drawing depicting two different shaped adsorbates on Ag(111): an atom as a symmetric ball and a rectangular adsorbate. The dashed rectangles depicts after moving along parallel and sideways directions on Ag(111). (b) A ball and stick model of 6P. (c) An STM image showing individual 6P molecules adsorbed on a Ag(111) surface at 5 K [13.3 × 7.6 nm2, I_t = 1.0 × 10−10 A, V_t = −2 V]. (d) STM image of a sexiphenyl molecule and a silver atom on a Ag(111) surface [6.3 × 5.0 nm2, I_t = 4.9 × 10−10 A, V_t = 0.48 V]. (e) A drawing illustrating a 6P molecule and a silver atom on a Ag(111) surface. The yellow arrows indicate lateral movement directions across the surface using scanning probe tip.
twice as much force to move the molecule sideways as it does parallel.

We supplement the q+AFM quantification of lateral force with STM lateral manipulation, which offers a straightforward method to observe the displacement of the manipulated molecules. STM lateral manipulation of 6P molecules (Figure 3) are performed by using a tunneling resistance ranging from 0.1 to 2 MΩ. Here, the STM tip height is reduced from 3 to 4 Å toward the molecule to enhance the tip molecule interaction, and then the tip is scanned over the molecule along the surface close-packed row directions. For the parallel direction, the molecule is moved by pulling the front π-ring (Figure 3a). For the sideways directions, the molecule is moved from the center as well as from π-ring positions (Figure 3d). We find the same result regardless of the tip positions over the molecule for the sideways manipulations (see Supporting Information). During this process, the molecule moves across the surface together with the tip, and the corresponding tip height signal is recorded. At the final location, the tip is retracted back to the imaging height while the molecule is left on the surface. Successful lateral displacement of the molecule is confirmed by an STM topographic image acquired after the manipulation (Figure 3b,e). In both the parallel and sideways directions, the 6P molecule moves across the surface mostly by hopping over single silver atomic sites (Figure 3c,f), and the molecule remains rigid most of the time although occasional flipping of the alternate π-rings could occur (Supporting Information). These manipulation curves resemble stick–slip style movement in pulling (attractive tip–molecule interactions). Interestingly, the lateral manipulation signal (Figure 3c,f) from the sideways direction reveals a larger tip height variation than that from the parallel direction. Consequently, averaged manipulation curves provide a smaller force angle (φ) in moving 6P along the sideways direction than it does for the parallel direction (Figure 3g and Supporting Information). Note that the aperiodicity in the manipulation curves (Figure 3c,f) is caused by a slight deviation (~1 to 3°) of the manipulation paths from the exact [110] surface direction inducing flipping of the π-rings (Supporting Information). Only single surface-atom site hopping signals are counted for the force measurement and aperiodic manipulation signals are discarded in force analysis. From the STM lateral manipulation signal, the magnitude of F₁ required to move the 6P molecule can be estimated by using a directional cosine relationship

\[ F_L = F_T \cos \phi \]  

where \( F_T \) is the total tip-molecule force. When \( \phi = 90° \), \( F_T \) is along the direction normal to the surface and thus equals to the vertical force. When \( \phi \) deviates from 90°, the direction of \( F_T \) changes but its magnitude can be assumed to remain the same. For the same tip height, the same magnitude of \( F_T \) is exerted on the molecule and therefore a smaller force angle \( \phi \) will produce a larger lateral force.

In order to clarify the observed phenomenon, we perform STM lateral manipulation at different tip heights between ~2 and 3 Å for both directions. The measured force angles, \( \phi \), are plotted as a function of tip heights in Figure 3h. Within the measured tip height range, the force angle decreases with increasing tip height for both directions. However, the force angles from the sideways direction appear consistently smaller than those from the parallel direction. Since the vertical tip set-point (tip height) for the manipulation is the same for both
directions, the magnitude of $F_T$ is the same. Thus, eq 1 can be restructured as

$$ F_T = \frac{R_{lp}}{\cos \phi_p} = \frac{R_{ls}}{\cos \phi_s} $$

(2)

Here, $R_{lp}$ and $R_{ls}$ are the lateral forces for the parallel and sideways directions while $\phi_p$ and $\phi_s$ are the force angles for these two directions, respectively. From eq 2, the ratio of the two lateral forces ($R_{ls}/R_{lp}$) will be equal to the ratio of the directional cosine of the two force angles. From the average manipulation signals recorded at different tip heights for the parallel and sideways directions, the $R_{ls}/R_{lp}$ is determined to be $1.9 \pm 0.3$. This means that the lateral force required to move the molecule along the sideways direction is about twice as large as compared to the parallel direction in agreement with the direct lateral force measurement performed by q+AFM.

To unravel the observed lateral force phenomenon, we have performed density functional theory (DFT) and classical molecular dynamics (CMD) calculations. Geometrically relaxed DFT calculations including vdW interactions of the 6P adsorbed on a Ag(111) surface (Supporting Information) confirm the adsorption of the molecule along the [1 1 0] surface directions, in agreement with the experimental finding (Figure 4a). The calculations also reveal twisting of alternate π-rings (indicated with arrows in Figure 4a) with a torsional angle of $\sim 18^\circ$ (Supporting Information).

Next, using DFT computed adsorption structure of 6P on Ag(111) as input, we perform CMD calculations to investigate the lateral forces required to move 6P along the parallel and sideways directions (Supporting Information). The calculations provide the threshold lateral force to displace the molecule along the parallel direction, $F_{lp}$, as $\sim 125$ pN while that along the sideways direction, $F_{ls}$, as $\sim 200$ pN (Figure 4b). Thus, threshold lateral force along the sideways direction is larger than that of the parallel direction, and the ratio of the lateral forces, $F_{ls}/F_{lp}$, is 1.6. This ratio relatively agrees with the experiments. Additionally, to estimate the effect of temperature fluctuations on the observed threshold lateral force, we have performed five independent simulations over a temperature range of $5 \sim 15$ K for both the directions. Variations of $\pm 8$ and $\pm 10$ pN in the magnitude of the lateral force in the sideways and parallel directions are found, respectively, and the ratio of lateral forces remains the same.

The directional lateral force phenomenon observed here can be explained as follow: When the 6P adsorbs on the surface, the molecule–surface attractive interactions lower the potential energy underneath the molecule. When moving toward the parallel direction, only the front π-ring will encounter the potential barrier imposed by the bare Ag(111) surface area (depicted with blue color in Figure 4c). However, when the 6P is moved along the sideways directions, all the π-rings will have to overcome the barrier imposed by the bare Ag(111) surface (red color in Figure 4c). Therefore, although the number of surface atoms interacting with the molecule is the same in both cases, a higher barrier exists in the sideways direction.

The q+AFM and STM experiments as well as CMD simulations described so far is for the threshold lateral force to move the molecule from rest, which may be considered as a
Figure 4. Origin of molecule friction. (a) DFT result of a total density plot of 6P on Ag(111) surface. (b) CMD results for distance (travelled by the 6P molecule over 1 ns) as a function of force plots, which reveals the minimum lateral force required to move along the parallel and sideways directions. (c) The adsorption geometry of the 6P on Ag(111). Molecular dynamic simulations are performed along the parallel (P) and sideways (S) directions. The green and red colored regions depict the surface areas for the molecule to move one atom site along parallel and sideways, respectively, during lateral displacement.

In summary, we have determined the lateral forces required to move the nanoscale features such as molecules can be influenced by their shapes, and this directional force anisotropy will impact on the fundamental understanding of friction for the nanoscale objects such as in the movement of molecules on a surface at the atomic scale.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information
The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.nanolett.0c04974.

Movie showing the movement of molecule in sideways manipulation (MP4)

Movie showing the movement of molecule in parallel manipulation (MP4)

Force measurement with AFM; inflection point test; \( \pi \)-ring position dependent manipulation; the effect of alternate \( \pi \)-ring twisting; density functional theory calculations; molecular dynamics simulations; rotation of molecule during manipulation (PDF)

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author
Saw Wai Hla — Center for Nanoscale Materials, Nanoscience and Technology Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Lemont, Illinois 60439, United States; Nanoscale and Quantum Phenomena Institute, Department of Physics and Astronomy, Ohio University, Athens, Ohio 45701, United States; orcid.org/0000-0002-6463-9714; Email: bla@ohio.edu

Authors
Yuan Zhang — Center for Nanoscale Materials, Nanoscience and Technology Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Lemont, Illinois 60439, United States; Nanoscale and Quantum Phenomena Institute, Department of Physics and Astronomy, Ohio University, Athens, Ohio 45701, United States; Department of Physics, Old Dominion University, Norfolk, Virginia 23529, United States
Daniel J. Trainer — Center for Nanoscale Materials, Nanoscience and Technology Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Lemont, Illinois 60439, United States
Badri Narayanan — Center for Nanoscale Materials, Nanoscience and Technology Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Lemont, Illinois 60439, United States; Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Louisville, Louisville, Kentucky 40292, United States
Yang Li — Center for Nanoscale Materials, Nanoscience and Technology Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Lemont, Illinois 60439, United States; Nanoscale and Quantum Phenomena Institute, Department of Physics and Astronomy, Ohio University, Athens, Ohio 45701, United States
Anh T. Ngo — Materials Science Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Lemont, Illinois 60439, United States; Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Illinois, Chicago, Illinois 60607, United States
Sushila Khadka — Nanoscale and Quantum Phenomena Institute, Department of Physics and Astronomy, Ohio University, Athens, Ohio 45701, United States
Arnab Neogi — Center for Nanoscale Materials, Nanoscience and Technology Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Lemont, Illinois 60439, United States; Department of
Mechanical and Industrial Engineering, University of Illinois, Chicago, Illinois 60607, United States

Brandon Fisher — Center for Nanoscale Materials, Nanoscience and Technology Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Lemont, Illinois 60439, United States

Larry A. Curtiss — Materials Science Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Lemont, Illinois 60439, United States; orcid.org/0000-0001-8855-8006

Subramanian K. R. S. Sankaranarayanan — Center for Nanoscale Materials, Nanoscience and Technology Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Lemont, Illinois 60439, United States; Department of Mechanical and Industrial Engineering, University of Illinois, Chicago, Illinois 60607, United States; orcid.org/0000-0002-9708-396X

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acs.nanolett.0c04974

Author Contributions
O.Y.Z. and D.J.T. contributed equally.

Author Contributions
S.W.H. conceived and designed the experiments. Y.Z., D.J.T., and Y.L. performed the STM, and q+AFM experiments. B.F. and Y.L. performed the molecular dynamics simulations, and A.T.N. and L.A.C. performed the DFT calculations. All the authors discussed the results and commented on the manuscript.

Notes
The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS
The STM experiments and analysis were supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Grant DE-FG02-02ER46012. Use of the Center for Nanoscale Materials, an Office of Science user facility, was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, under Contract No. DE-AC02-06CH11357. Y.L. is supported by the U.S. Department of Energy Office of Basic Energy Sciences (SISGR Grant DE-FG02-09ER16109). L.A.C. and A.T.N. were supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Materials Science and Engineering. We gratefully acknowledge the computer time from the Argonne National Laboratory Computing Resource Center (LCRC).

REFERENCES


