Old Dominion University ODU Digital Commons

OTS Master's Level Projects & Papers

STEM Education & Professional Studies

1979

Creating a Humanized Environment to Enhance Self Esteem

James J. Thompson Old Dominion University

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.odu.edu/ots_masters_projects

Part of the Education Commons

Recommended Citation

Thompson, James J., "Creating a Humanized Environment to Enhance Self Esteem" (1979). *OTS Master's Level Projects & Papers*. 536. https://digitalcommons.odu.edu/ots_masters_projects/536

This Master's Project is brought to you for free and open access by the STEM Education & Professional Studies at ODU Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in OTS Master's Level Projects & Papers by an authorized administrator of ODU Digital Commons. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@odu.edu.

Please return to:

Dr. David I. Joyner Industrial Arts Department Old Dominion University

CREATING A HUMANIZED ENVIRONMENT TO

An environment

ENHANCE SELF ESTEEM

A PROPOSAL FRESENTED TO THE FACULTY OF THE CHAPTY OF SCHOOL OLD DONINGOUT UNIVERSITY

IN PARTIAL FULFILISMUT AN THE REQUIREMENTS NOW THE DEGREE NAMEUR OF ANISONS

.

IN BDUCATION

This research proposal was prepared by James J. Thompson under direction of the instructor in Education 535, Research Methods in Education and the Industrial Arts Department graduate advisor.

Date_____

Approved by:

Mr. David I. Joyner Industrial Arts Department Graduate Advisor

•

1

FAG ELEVE	Ξ
a	
1	
······································	
i station of Itaiostions	
201 > 481 pro pe ?	
Deltettice of Tenn	
II. RIVING OF THE LITERATURE	
III. THE RESEARCE METHOD AND PROCEDURES	
The Legipt	
Instrumentation	
Serplite	
Data Collection	
Date Analysis?	
BIRIIOGRAPHY	
ALPENDIX A	
APPENDIX A ¹	
AFFENDIX F	
AFFENDIX C	

.

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

This granulation that education without self knowledge is increased to be knowledge, like education, is a process with ones on throughout life. In my opinion, the public education spate in this country has ignored shelf knowledge develconst in its surriculm. Self knowledge is a price factor in the provide of self esteem. Research shows the relationship between self esteem and academic achievement to have a positive computation. This suggests that the importance of self esteem should up longer be ignored in the educational process.

I. PURFOSE

This is an investigation to show a correlation between bucanized environment and growth of self esteer. The hyptobesized results should prove that a humanized classes on environment will foster an increase in self esteer.

II. SCOPE

25

1

This study will include the self esteen growth records of 15 students who will have been exposed to a investiged classroom environment and 15 students who will not have been exposed to a to environment. The study will be conducted from the first week in February 1978, to the second week in Ferch 1070.

III. ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITATIONS

It is assumed that the resulting data will demonstrate that today's education system has a need for a humanized environment to create an atmoshpere which will enhance self esteem.

The first limitation is the teacher. The success of the study will depend upon the teacher's own self esteem. Only if I, the teacher, am my own being, value myself, feel at ease and comfortable with myself, and give the students in the study their right to be themselves, can I hope to enhance their self esteem.

The second limitation is the number of subjects who will be involved in the study. Because only 30 students could be included in this study, I will not be able to prove conclusively that a humanized environment will create an atmoshpere to enhance self esteem.

The third limitation is the wide range of ability levels among the students in the study because I had to use students who had signed up for my classes. This factor could not be controlled in the selection of the experimental and control groups.

A forth limitation is prior to the beginning of the study in January, I will have interacted with the students for one semester. Thus, the classroom environment would have been influenced by my presence.

IV. SIGNIFICANCE

Research literature indicates that cognitive learning is enhanced when stef esteem is enhanced. This study should demonstrate to educators that there is a relationship between humanized environment and enhanced self esteem.

S

The data from this study will provide educators with a methodlolgy of how to enhance self esteem within the classroom. I will be able to help other teachers who want to enhance self esteem in thiir classroom by explaining methodology used in the study.

V. DEFINITION OF TERMS

For the purposes of the study the terms listed below have the following meanings:

SELF ESTEEM

SELF ESTEEM includes all the beliefs and attitudes one has about oneself. Self esteem will be measured by the self observation scale form C.

HUMANIZED ENVIRONMENT

A humanized environment is released, friendly and cooperative. A sense of community exists between members of the class and teacher. The students and teacher are supportive of each other, offering and accepting each other's help and encouragement. Trust and lack of competition is felt within the classroom. The teacher acts more as a friend and resource person that as a dispenser of knowledge. The teacher must let students know that he dares about them. He, also, must be willing to listen to them attentively without passing judgement.

CHAPTER II

REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE

The most important issue adolescents must define is the clarification of what their lives mean to them, (Freidenburg,1959). How Solves we see ourself and what we will do to maintain and enhance self is the motive behind all behavior. (Combs and Snygg, 1959) Teach of us is constantly striving to maintain, enhance and protect the self that we know.

Without a humanized environment of trust, caring, and openness, the teacher's efforts to enhance pupils' sense of self esteem will be seriously limited. Roger's summary of research on facilitive relationships in the classroom has indicated that supportive invironment (humanized environment) will optimise growth of self esteem. One can enhance the impact of a positive strengthening experience by relating it to other people in a trusting, open, accepting atmosphere. (Roger's 1969) Contributing factors to a positive classroom environment are:

1. Accepting pupil contributions without judgement.

- 2. Maintaining a "you can do it" attitude.
- 3. Listening, Listening, Listening.
- 4. Being, in all ways, a friend.

(Wells and Canfield 1971,76)

The major task in education today is to define self esteem, and develop ways to nurture self esteem in education. California has added self esteem in its list of primary goals for education. (Vasconcellos 1976,11) In 1970, a study by Furkey revealed students' subjective and personal evaluations of themselves as an over powering influence on their success in school. (Purkey, 1970) A considerable number of studies support the positive correlation being seelf esteem and achievement. Watterberg and Clifford studied kindergarden youngsters in an attempt to see if self esteem could predict reading success. After a 25 year study, they found that children with low self esteem did not learn to read as well as children with high self esteem. (Watternberg and Clifford, 1962, 377)

Development of positive esteem is the most neglected facet of our education. If one's self esteem is a measure of fuccess or failure in life and of relationship with others, we should realize that most of us received at best and inadequate education in the area of self esteem. There is a gap between the basic philosophy of public education which professes to develop the "whole" person and actual classroom instruction, which makes no attempt to teach knowledge of oneself. I hope this study and others like it may show a need to revise curriculums to include the development of self.

CHAPTER III

THE RESEARCH METHOD AND PROCEDURES

I. THE DESIGN

A control group and an experimental group of fifteen male and female students, (Appendix A), will receive the self observation scale as a pre-and post-test which measures the following dimensions of a student's self esteem: 1) self acceptance, 2) self security, 3) social confidence, 4) self assertion, 5) peer affiliation, 6) teacher affiliation, 7) school affiliation. The experimental class will paricipate in ten activities on week apart. The activities will be designed to develop self-knowledge (Appendix B). The pre-and post-test was reviewed and approved by David I. Joyner Phd., Industrial Arts Department, Graduate Advisor.

II. INSTRUMENTATION

The Self Observation Scale, Form C, is the research instrument/which will be used to collect data for this study. A copy of the Instructor's Manuel and Scoring Sheet is included in Appendix C.

III. SAMPLING

This study will include students who registered for Mechanical Drawing I at Phoebus High School in the spring of 1977. These students were then placed in the two Mechanical Drawing classes by computer scheduling.

NELT IV. DATA COLLECTION

The students in the study were protested on October 3, 1977. The students will receive the post-test the second week in March, after they have participated in the ten activities simed at humanizing the classroom environment.

V. DATA ANALYSIS

Scoring of the Self Observation Scale is based on national norms. For each cale, a child receives a standard score (T score), representing a distribution with a mean of 50 and a standecration of 10 dard secret also are provided. Responces to individual items are not given. The test result are returned in the following format:

Rosters which present T scores, percentiles and stanines for each pupil on each scale;

Summary Tables which present the number of pupils, the average T score, the standard deviation of the T scores and the percentile equivalent of the average T score for each scale; <u>Profiles</u> which present graphically the percentile equivalent of the average T score **each** for each scale as well as the complete range of individual percentile score and the interquartile band, (Containing the second and third quartiles of the distribution).

Ŷ

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Combs, A.W., and Snygg, D. <u>Individual Behavior</u>, second ed., New York: Harper&Row, 1959.
- Friedenberg, E.Z. The Vanishing Adolescent, New York: Bell Publishing Co., 1959.
- Purkey, William Watson: <u>Self Concept and School Achievement</u>, Englewood Cliffs, N.J.: Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1970.
- Stanford, Gene&Roark, Albert E. <u>Human Interaction In Education</u>, Rogers page 8, Boston: Allyn and Bacon, Inc., 1974.
- Wattenberg, W.W., and Clifford, C.C. Relationship of self <u>Concept to Beginning Achievement in Reading.</u>, U.S. office of education, cooperative research project no. 377, Detroit: Wayne State University, 1962.
- Wells, Harold C. and Canfield, Jack, <u>100 Ways to Enhance</u> <u>Self-concept in the classroom</u>, Forword, John Vasconcellos, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey: Prentice Hall, Inc., 1976.
- Wells, Harold C. and Canfield, Jack, "About Me" Teacher's Guide, Chicago, Ill.: Encyclopedia BritannicaEducational Corp., 1971.

READING BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Ballard, Jim, Circlebook A Leader Handbook for Conducting Circletime A Curriculum to Affect, Amherst, Massachusetts: Mandala, 1975.
- Briggs, Dorothy Corkille, Your Child's Self Esteem, New York: Doubleday & Company, Inc., 1970.
- Johnson, David W., <u>Reaching Out-Interperonal Effectiveness and Self-</u> Actualization, Englewood Cliffs: Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1972.
- Hall, Brian P. Value Clarification As A Learning Process, New York: Paulist Press, 1973.
- Hawley, Robert C. and Hawley, Isabel L., <u>Developing Human Potential</u>, Amherst, Massachusetts: Education Research Associates, 1975.
- Howe, Leland W. and Howe, Mary Martha, Personalizing Education, New York: Hart Publishing Company, Inc., 1975.
- Kirschenbaum, Howard, <u>Advanced Value Clarification</u>, LaJolla: University Associates Publisher, Inc., 1977.
- Pfeiffer, J. William and Jones, John E., <u>The 1977</u>, <u>Annual Handbook For</u> <u>Group Facilitators</u>, LaJolla: University Associates Publisher, Inc., 1977.
- Pfeiffer J. William and Jones, John E., <u>The 1974 Annual Handbook For Group</u> Facilitators, LaJolla: University Associates Publisher, Inc., 1974.
- Pfeiffer J. William and Jones, John E., <u>The 1973, Annual Handbook For Group</u> Facilitators, LaJolla: University Associates Publisher, Inc., 1973.
- Pfeiffer J. William and Jones, John E., <u>The 1972</u>, <u>Annual Handbook For Group</u> Facilitators, LaJolla: University Associates Publisher, Inc., 1972.
- Pfeiffe& J. William and Jones, John E., <u>A Handbook of Structured Esperiences</u> For Human Relations Training, Volume I, LaJolla: University Associates Publisher, Inc., 1974.
- Pfeiffet J. William and Jones, John E.,<u>A Handbook of Structured Experiences</u> For Human Relations Training, Volume II, LaJolla: University Associates Publisher, Inc., 1974.
- Pfeiffe^A, J. William and Jones, John E., <u>A Handbook of Structured Experiences</u> For Human Relations Training, Volume III, LaJolla: University Associates Publisher, Inc., 1974.
- Pfeiffe& J. William and Jones, John E. <u>A Handbook of Structured Experiences</u> For Human Relations Training, Volume IV, LaJolla: University Associates Publisher, Inc., 1974.

- Pfeiffek J. William and Jones, John E. <u>A Handbook of Structured Experiences</u> For Human Relations Training, Volume V, LaJolla: University Associates Publisher, Inc., 1974.
- Pfeiffe& J. William and Jones, John E. <u>A Handbook of Structured Experiences</u> For Human Relations Training, Volume VI, LaJolla: University Associates Publisher, Inc., 1974.
- Samuels, Don and Samuels, Mimi., <u>The Complete Handbook of Peer Counseling</u>, Miami: Fiesta Publishing Corp., 1975.
- Simon, Sidney B., Howe, Leland W. and Kirshcenbaum, Howard, Value Clarification A Handbook of Practical Strategies for Teachers and Students, New York: Hart Publishing Co., Inc., 1972.

APPENDIX A

CONTROL GROUP

	14 	्रहर	GRADE IN SCHOOL	VR/NR	MECHANICAL REASONINC	SPACE RELATIONS
$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ \left \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{i} \right \leq 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ \left \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{i} \right \right\} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ \left \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{i} \right \right\} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ \left \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{i} \right \right\} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ \left \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{i} \right \right\} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ \left \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{i} \right \right\} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ \left \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{i} \right \right\} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ \left \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{i} \right \right\} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ \left \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{i} \right \right\} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ \left \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{i} \right \right\} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ \left \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{i} \right \right\} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ \left \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{i} \right \right\} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ \left \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{i} \right \right\} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ \left \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{i} \right \right\} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ \left \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{i} \right \right\} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ \left \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{i} \right \right\} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ \left \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{i} \right \right\} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ \left \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{i} \right \right\} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ \left \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{i} \right \right\} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ \left \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{i} \right \right\} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ \left \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{i} \right \right\} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ \left \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{i} \right \right\} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ \left \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{i} \right \right\} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ \left \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{i} \right \right\} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ \left \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{i} \right \right\} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ \left \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{i} \right \right\} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ \left \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{i} \right \right\} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ \left \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{i} \right \right\} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ \left \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{i} \right \right\} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ \left \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{i} \right \right\} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ \left \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{i} \right \right\} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ \left \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{i} \right \right\} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ \left \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{i} \right \right\} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ \left \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{i} \right \right\} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ \left \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{i} \right \right\} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ \left \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{i} \right \right\} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ \left \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{i} \right \right\} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ \left \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{i} \right \right\} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ \left \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{i} \right \right\} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ \left \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{i} \right \right\} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ \left \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{i} \right \right\} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ \left \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{i} \right \right\} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ \left \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{i} \right \right\} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ \left \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{i} \right \right\} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ \left \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{i} \right \right\} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ \left \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{i} \right \right\} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ \left \left$	15	Μ	10	<u>ب</u> ج	9.5	55
$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}$	1	- 1	11	ЦC	?~	ĔĊ
ROLD DURC	1'	M	1 G	97	C. **	8 <u>5</u>
auñ loud	1 ~	1	1 0		1 1	-
	15	И	11	75	<u>5</u>	50
DOTALD URDERD	17	™ ./* 1. [*] -	11	50	?	25
CAT PL MANDY	17	Ŀ	1 1	L(2)	1	35
ANNO JON TO	14	E.	11	97		<u>ð</u> ð
<u>сальські істьрії</u>	1 🕄	75.7° 1° 2	10	20	1	15
	1 🔨	त्र त इ.स.	10	70	0-1	25
STOKEN KILIEM	16	F	11	$\int \frac{d^2 t}{dt} \frac{dt}{dt} = 0$		1
RIECH LANCE W	1 4,	M	11	1n		20
PONALD MARGUNS	15	М	1 ೧	೯೯	r	25
CURTOM V LABRER	16	F	11	75	rŋ ·	$f_{\rm st}$ 5
MACK FEATFIELD	16	M	11	エビ	K	1,5

a an an an an an an an

1. 19-1 1-19-1 8-1

APPENDIX A

EXPERIENTIAL GROUP

	17	SEX M	GRADE IN SCHOOL 12	VR/NA -	MECHANICAI. Readon	SPACE RELATIONS	
	1+	ŗ	11	65	$r^{1/2}$		
	17	के प्र	10	25	. A		
$i \in \mathcal{A}$ is the set	1	Ъл.	10	$\frac{1}{c}$		$\pi_{\hat{\gamma}}$	
uelini⊉ unbder ce B	1 🗍	7	12	tye `	: `	35	
2711-712-117-1277-432	1		10	00		90	
ACTION SELE	17	P	11	1.5	**	-	
AB ANA MARDIA	17	त्तु	11		<u><u></u>.</u>		
	17	ŗ	10	1	s pres	O \(\circ)	
TTOTTO MATAGE	1 🗆	7.8	1 ^	• *	A	20	
	1-	76. AT 21	1 ^	<u>^</u>	0	. *	
lan nipIk	÷ ->		1 ~	المنع بنار		() :	
MATTINA STAN	1 '		1 ^	n <i>v</i> ⁴	-	•	
MICLIPAL MIRIN	1 6	P.C.	1		1		
FREIAU MENDE	1 ′	P *	1 :	. .	•		

ن.

٠

Service Arrive