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1 Introduction

The Drell-Yan (DY) process [1] has been extensively studied in high energy physics field for precise tests of QCD, investigation of the structure of the proton, and searches for possible new physics. Since the advent of high-energy colliders, the attention shifted to processes with large invariant mass of DY pair produced both by photon and Z-boson. The important part of these studies is the transverse-momentum dependence of angular distribution of DY lepton pairs with large invariant mass produced in hadronic collisions. It was extensively studied in the framework of collinear factorization [2–7] leading to good agreement with
experiment [8]. If one considers, however, the DY process at transverse momentum of lepton pair much smaller than their invariant mass, the collinear factorization should be replaced by TMD factorization [9–13]. In this paper the DY process will be studied in so-called Sudakov plus small-x region $s \gg Q^2 \gg q^2_\perp$ where $Q^2$ is the invariant mass of DY pair and $q^2_\perp$ is the transverse momentum of produced leptons. The typical case is the lepton pair production at LHC with DY invariant mass in the vicinity of $Z$-boson and transverse momentum of DY pair of order of ten or few tens of GeV.

This paper is the third in a series of papers devoted to description of DY process in terms of TMD rapidity factorization. In the first paper [14], A. Tarasov and the author calculated power corrections to the total cross section of $Z$-boson production using the method developed in earlier paper [15]. The second paper [16] was devoted to calculation of angular coefficients for DY process mediated by virtual photon. Unfortunately, it is hard to compare these results with experiment due to the fact that the LHC measurements of angular distributions are performed at the invariant mass $\sim 100$ GeV where the contribution of $Z$-boson is dominant. The present paper is devoted to generalization of the approach of papers [14] and [16] to angular distributions of DY pair production by unpolarized protons at LHC kinematics.

The differential cross section of DY process is determined by the sum of products of leptonic tensors and hadronic tensors. The leptonic tensors are given by simple first-order EW diagrams while hadronic tensors are determined by QCD correlation functions

$$W_{\mu\nu}(q) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int d^4x \ e^{-iqx} \langle p_A, p_B | j_\mu(x) j_\nu(0) | p_A, p_B \rangle. \quad (1.1)$$

where $p_A, p_B$ are hadron momenta, $q$ is the momentum of DY pair, and $j_\mu$ is either electromagnetic or $Z$-boson current.

As was mentioned above, a golden standard of QCD analysis of such hadronic tensors in the region where transverse momenta are much smaller than the invariant mass of the DY pair is the TMD factorization. The leading-twist hadronic tensors can be represented as

$$W_i = \sum_{\text{flavors}} e_f^2 \int d^2k_\perp D_{f/A}^{(i)}(x_A, k_\perp) D_{f/B}^{(i)}(x_B, q_\perp - k_\perp) C_i(q, k_\perp)$$

$$+ \text{power corrections} + Y - \text{terms} \quad (1.2)$$

where $D_{f/A}(x_A, k_\perp)$ is the TMD density of a parton $f$ in hadron $A$ with fraction of momentum $x_A$ and transverse momentum $k_\perp$, $D_{f/B}(x_B, q_\perp - k_\perp)$ is a similar quantity for hadron $B$, and coefficient functions $C_i(q, k)$ are determined by the cross section $\sigma(ff \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)$ of production of DY pair of invariant mass $q^2$ in the scattering of two partons. The DY angular distributions are conventionally parametrized by eight angular coefficients $A_i$ in Collins-Soper frame [2]

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dQ^2dyd\Omega_l} = \frac{3}{16\pi} \frac{d\sigma}{dQ^2dy} \left[ (1 + c_\theta^2) + \frac{A_0}{2} \left( 1 - 3c_\theta^2 \right) + A_1 s_\theta c_\phi + \frac{A_2}{2} s_\theta^2 c_2\phi \right.$$  

$$\left. + A_3 s_\theta c_\phi + A_4 c_\theta + A_5 s_\theta^2 s_2\phi + A_6 s_\theta s_\phi + A_7 s_\theta s_\phi \right] \quad (1.3)$$
where \( y \) is the rapidity of DY pair and \( c_\phi \equiv \cos \phi, s_\phi \equiv \sin \phi \) etc. The aim of this paper is to express \( A_i(Q^2, q^2_\perp) \) at Sudakov kinematics \( s \gg Q^2 \gg q^2_\perp \) in terms of TMDs and compare (at least qualitatively) to ATLAS [17] and CMS [18] measurements.

Unfortunately, the TMD analysis of Drell-Yan angular distributions \( A_i \) is hindered by the fact that not all hadronic tensors are determined by leading-twist quark-antiquark TMDs which have parton interpretation. Some tensor structures in the r.h.s. of eq. (1.1) are determined by power corrections to leading-twist TMDs expressed in terms of quark-antiquark-gluon distributions which are virtually unknown. Fortunately, as demonstrated recently in ref. [14], at the leading order in \( N_c \) these power corrections are still determined by leading-twist TMDs. Moreover, at small \( x_A \) and \( x_B \) the majority of hadronic tensors depends only on two leading-twist TMDs: \( f_1 \) responsible for total DY cross section, and Boer-Mulders function \( h_{\perp 1} \). The rest of hadronic tensors determined by power corrections due to quark-antiquark-gluon distributions are down by at least one \( \frac{1}{N_c} \) factor which seems to qualitatively agree with LHC measurements of angular distributions.

Note that in addition to power corrections due to QCD dynamics, there are fiducial power corrections arising from fiducial cuts on experimental measurements. These cuts introduce linear power corrections in \( q_\perp/Q \), see the discussion in ref. [19]. In this paper we do not consider fiducial power corrections.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 I set up the notations, present the formula for differential cross section of DY lepton pair, and list the relevant hadronic tensors. In section 3 I briefly outline the method of calculation of power corrections to hadronic tensors developed in refs. [14] and [15]. Section 4 contains the streamlined calculation of photon-mediated DY process which is used as a reference point to calculation of \( Z^- \)-mediated and interference terms in sections 5 and 6. The results for hadronic tensors and angular coefficients are presented in section 7 which also contains the comparison to LHC measurements. Conclusions are summarized in section 8 and the necessary technical details are listed in the appendix.

2 Drell-Yan cross section in the Sudakov region

At high energies, the production of a neutral \( e^+e^- \) (or \( \mu^+\mu^- \)) pair in hadron-hadron collisions is mediated by virtual photon or by \( Z \)-boson, see figure 1

\[
h_A(p_A) + h_B(p_B) \rightarrow \gamma, Z(q) + X \rightarrow l_1(l) + l_2(l') + X,
\]

where \( h_{A,B} \) denote the colliding hadrons with momenta \( p_A \) and \( P_B \) and \( l_{1,2} \) denote the outgoing lepton pair with total momentum \( q = l + l' \). To avoid cluttering of \( \mu \)'s if our formulas, we will consider production of \( e^+e^- \) pairs, the results for \( \mu^+\mu^- \) pairs are the same.

The relevant terms of the Lagrangian for quark fields \( \psi^f \) are

\[
\mathcal{L}_\gamma = e \int d^4x \; J_\mu A^\mu(x), \quad J_\mu = \bar{e}_\gamma e - \sum_{\text{flavors}} e_f \bar{\psi}^f \gamma_\mu \psi^f
\]

\[
\mathcal{L}_Z = e \int d^4x \; J_\mu Z^\mu(x), \quad J_\mu = c_e \bar{e}(e - \gamma_5) e - \sum_{\text{flavors}} c_f \bar{\psi}^f \gamma_\mu (a_f - \gamma_5) \psi^f
\]
The differential cross section of production of pair of leptons with momenta $l$ and $l'$ by scattering of two unpolarized protons is given by

\[
\frac{d\sigma}{d^3q} = \frac{d^3q}{2s} \int \frac{d^3l d^3l'}{(2\pi)^6} \frac{\delta(q - l - l')}{(2\pi)^6} e^4 \int \frac{dx}{E_l E_l'} d^4x \, e^{-i q x} \langle p_A, p_B \rangle \left[ A^\lambda J_\lambda(x) + Z^\lambda J_\lambda(x) \right] \\
\times \left[ \lambda(s, s') \langle l, s; l', s' | l, s; l', s' \rangle \left[ A^\nu \bar{e} \gamma_\mu e(x') + c_e Z^\nu \bar{e} \gamma_\mu (a_e - \gamma_5) e(x') \right] \right] \\
\times \left[ A^\nu J_\nu(0) + Z^\nu J_\nu(0) \right] \langle p_A, p_B \rangle.
\]  

where $\sum_X$ denotes summation over all intermediate hadron states. Performing contractions to get photon, Z-boson and lepton propagators, one obtains

\[
\frac{d\sigma}{d^3q} = \frac{d^4 q}{2s} \int \frac{d^3l d^3l'}{(2\pi)^6} \frac{\delta(q - l - l')}{(2\pi)^6} e^4 \left[ \frac{1}{q^2} L^{\mu\nu} W_{\mu\nu}(q) \right] \\
+ \frac{1}{m_Z^2 - q^2} \left[ (a_e^2 + 1) L^{\mu\nu} - 2ia_e \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} l_\lambda l'_\rho \right] W_{\mu\nu}^Z(q) \\
+ 2c_e \frac{(q^2 - m_Z^2)}{m_Z^2 - q^2} W_{\mu\nu}^{11}(q) \left[ a_e L^{\mu\nu} - i\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} l_\lambda l'_\rho \right] \\
+ 2c_e \frac{i\Gamma Z m_Z}{m_Z^2 - q^2} W_{\mu\nu}^{12}(q) \left[ a_e L^{\mu\nu} - i\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} l_\lambda l'_\rho \right]
\]  

(2.5)
where \( L_{\mu\nu} = l_{\mu}' l_{\nu}' + l_{\mu}' l_{\nu} - g_{\mu\nu} l \cdot l' \) and hadronic tensors \( W_{\mu\nu}^i \) are defined as

\[
W_{\mu\nu}^i(q) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int d^4x \ e^{-iqx} W_{\mu\nu}^i(x)
\]

\[
W_{\mu\nu}(x) = N_c \langle A, B| J_\mu(x) J_\nu(0)|A, B \rangle
\]

\[
W_{\mu\nu}^2(x) = N_c \langle A, B| J_\mu(x) J_\nu(0) + J_\nu(x) J_\mu(0)|A, B \rangle
\]

\[
W_{\mu\nu}^{12}(x) = N_c \langle A, B| J_\mu(x) J_\nu(0) - J_\nu(x) J_\mu(0)|A, B \rangle
\]

(2.6)

Hereafter \(|p_A, p_B\) \(\equiv |A, B\) for brevity. Note that hadronic tensors are defined with an extra \( N_c \) so that the leading-twist contribution will be \( \sim N_c^0 \).

To convolute with leptonic tensors, we need to find symmetric and antisymmetric parts separately so we define

\[
W_{\mu\nu}^{ZS} = \frac{1}{2} (W_{\mu\nu}^Z + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu), \quad W_{\mu\nu}^{ZA} = \frac{1}{2} (W_{\mu\nu}^Z - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu),
\]

(2.7)

\[
W_{\mu\nu}^{1S} = \frac{1}{2} (W_{\mu\nu}^{11} + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu), \quad W_{\mu\nu}^{1A} = \frac{1}{2} (W_{\mu\nu}^{11} - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu),
\]

(2.8)

\[
W_{\mu\nu}^{2S} = \frac{1}{2} (W_{\mu\nu}^{22} + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu), \quad W_{\mu\nu}^{2A} = \frac{1}{2} (W_{\mu\nu}^{22} - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu).
\]

(2.9)

We will calculate these hadronic tensors with \( O(1/Q^2) \) accuracy and express them in terms of TMDs like eq. (1.2). It turns out that each \( W^i \) is a sum of three parts

\[
W_{\mu\nu}^i(q) = (W_1)_\mu^i q_\nu(q) + (W_2)_\mu^i q_\nu(q) + (W^{ex})_\mu^i q_\nu(q)
\]

(2.10)

The first part is determined by leading-twist TMDs \( f_1 \) and \( h_1^1 \) as mentioned in the Introduction and satisfies the condition\(^1\)

\[
q^\mu (W_1)_\mu^i(q) = 0
\]

(2.11)

The two remaining terms \( (W_2)_\mu^i(q) \) and \( (W^{ex})_\mu^i(q) \) are power corrections \( \sim O(q^2/Q^2, q^2 Q^2) \) which come from the diagrams of the figure 1a,b type, respectively. They are expressed in terms of quark-antiquark-gluon matrix elements which cannot be reduced to leading-twist TMDs. The term \( (W_2)_\mu^i(q) \) is \( \sim q^2/Q^2 \) and \( \sim N_c^0 \) as while \( (W^{ex})_\mu^i(q) \) is \( \sim 1/N_c^0 \). On the other hand, since \( (W^{ex})_\mu^i(q) \) comes from exchange-type diagrams it may be numerically larger than \( (W_2)_\mu^i(q) \) coming from annihilation-type diagrams.

3 TMD factorization from rapidity factorization

We use Sudakov variables \( p = \alpha p_1 + \beta p_2 + p_L \), where \( p_1 \) and \( p_2 \) are light-like vectors close to \( p_A \) and \( p_B \) so that \( p_A = p_1 + m^2/2 \) and \( p_A = p_1 + m^2/2 \) with \( m \) being the proton mass.

\(^1\)Strictly speaking, the Z-boson current is not conserved so one should not expect \( q^\mu (W_1)_\mu^i(q) = 0 \). However, if we consider quarks to be massless, the non-conservation is due to axial anomaly so the corresponding terms in \( q^\mu (W_1)_\mu^i(q) \) will be proportional to \( (p_A, p_B|\alpha f_{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}(x) e_{\nu}(0)|p_A, p_B) \). Such matrix elements will be non-zero only at the two-loop level \( O(\alpha_s^2) \) which is beyond the accuracy of this paper.
Figure 2. Rapidity factorization for DY particle production.

Also, we use the notations \( x^{\bullet} \equiv x_{\mu}p_1^{\mu} \) and \( x^{\star} \equiv x_{\mu}p_2^{\mu} \) for the dimensionless light-cone coordinates \( x^{\bullet} = \sqrt{E^2 - p^2} \) and \( x^{\star} = \sqrt{E^2 + p^2} \). Our metric is \( g^{\mu\nu} = (1, -1, -1, -1) \) which we will frequently rewrite as a sum of longitudinal part and transverse part:

\[
g^{\mu\nu} = g^{\parallel \mu\nu} + g^{\perp \mu\nu} = \frac{2}{s} \left( p_1^{\mu} p_2^{\nu} + p_2^{\mu} p_1^{\nu} \right) + g^{\perp \mu\nu} \quad (3.1)
\]

Consequently, \( p \cdot q = (\alpha_1 \beta_2 + \alpha_2 \beta_1) \frac{s}{2} - (p, q)_\perp \) where \( (p, q)_\perp \equiv -p_1 q_2 \). Throughout the paper, the sum over the Latin indices \( i, j, \ldots \) runs over two transverse components while the sum over Greek indices \( \mu, \nu, \ldots \) runs over four components as usual.

Following ref. [15] we separate quark and gluon fields into three sectors (see figure 2): “projectile” fields \( A_\mu, \psi_A \) with \( |\beta| < \sigma_p \), “target” fields \( B_\mu, \psi_B \) with \( |\alpha| < \sigma_t \) and “central rapidity” fields \( C_\mu, \psi_C \) with \( |\alpha| > \sigma_t \) and \( |\beta| > \sigma_p \), see figure 2. Our goal is to integrate over central fields and get the amplitude in the factorized form, i.e. as a product of functional integrals over \( A \) fields representing projectile matrix elements (TMDs of the projectile) and functional integrals over \( B \) fields representing target matrix elements (TMDs of the target). In the spirit of background-field method, we “freeze” projectile and target fields and get a sum of diagrams in these external fields. Since \( |\beta| < \sigma_p \) in the projectile fields and \( |\alpha| < \sigma_t \) in the target fields, at the tree level one can set with power accuracy \( \beta = 0 \) for the projectile fields and \( \alpha = 0 \) for the target fields — the corrections will be \( O \left( \frac{m^2}{s \sigma_p} \right) \) and \( O \left( \frac{m^2}{s \sigma_t} \right) \). In the coordinate space, projectile fields depend on \( x^{\bullet} \) and \( x^\perp \) and target ones on \( x^{\star} \) and \( x^\perp \). Beyond the tree level, the integration over \( C \) fields produces logarithms of the cutoffs \( \sigma_p \) and \( \sigma_t \) which match the corresponding logs in TMDs of the projectile and the target, see the discussion in ref. [16]

As discussed in ref. [16], central fields at the tree level are given by a set of Feynman diagrams with retarded propagators in background field \( A + B \) and \( \psi_A + \psi_B \), see figure 3. The set of such “retarded” diagrams represent the solution of QCD equations of motion

\[\text{– 6 –}\]
with sources being projectile and target fields. After summation of these diagrams the hadronic tensor (1.1) can be represented as

$$W_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int d^4x e^{-i q x} \sum_{m,n} \int dz_m c_{m,n}(q, x) \langle p_A | \hat{\Phi}_A(z_m) | p_A \rangle \int d'z'_n \langle p_B | \hat{\Phi}_B(z'_n) | p_B \rangle.$$  (3.2)

where $c_{m,n}$ are coefficients and $\Phi$ can be any of the background fields promoted to operators after integration over projectile and target fields.

In general, the summation of diagrams of figure 3 type is a formidable task which still awaits its solution. Fortunately, as demonstrated in ref. [16], at our kinematics we have a small parameter $q^2 \ll 1$ and it is possible to expand classical solution for central fields in powers of this parameter.

Now we expand the classical quark and gluon fields in powers of $p_\perp^2 / p_\parallel^2 \sim m^2$. It is convenient to choose a gauge where $A_\star = 0$ for projectile fields and $B_\bullet = 0$ for target fields. (The existence of such gauge was proved in appendix B of ref. [15] by explicit construction.) As demonstrated in ref. [14], expanding it in powers of $p_\perp^2 / p_\parallel^2$ we obtain

$$\Psi(x) = \Psi_1(x) + \Psi_2(x) + \ldots,$$  (3.3)

where

$$\Psi_1 = \psi_A + \Xi_1, \quad \Xi_1 = -\frac{p_2}{s} \gamma^i B_i \frac{1}{\alpha + i \epsilon} \psi_A = \frac{i}{s} \sigma_{\star i} B_i \frac{1}{\alpha + i \epsilon} \psi_A,$$
$$\bar{\Psi}_1 = \bar{\psi}_A + \bar{\Xi}_1, \quad \bar{\Xi}_1 = -\left( \bar{\psi}_A \frac{1}{\alpha - i \epsilon} \right) \gamma^i B_i \frac{p_2}{s} = -\bar{\psi}_A \frac{1}{s} \left( \gamma^i B_i \frac{1}{\alpha - i \epsilon} \right) B^i \sigma_{\star i},$$
$$\Psi_2 = \psi_B + \Xi_2, \quad \Xi_2 = -\frac{p_1}{s} \gamma^i A_i \frac{1}{\beta + i \epsilon} \psi_B = \frac{i}{s} \sigma_{\star i} A_i \frac{1}{\beta + i \epsilon} \psi_B,$$
$$\bar{\Psi}_2 = \bar{\psi}_B + \bar{\Xi}_2, \quad \bar{\Xi}_2 = -\left( \bar{\psi}_B \frac{1}{\beta - i \epsilon} \right) \gamma^i A_i \frac{p_1}{s} = -\bar{\psi}_B \frac{1}{s} \left( \gamma^i A_i \frac{1}{\beta - i \epsilon} \right) A_i \sigma_{\star i}.$$  (3.4)
and dots stand for terms subleading in $\frac{q^2}{Q^2}$ and/or $\alpha_q, \beta_q$ parameters. In this formula

$$
\frac{1}{\alpha + i\epsilon} \psi_A(x_\bullet, x_\perp) \equiv -i \int_{-\infty}^{x_\bullet} dx_{\bullet}' \psi_A(x_{\bullet}', x_\perp),
$$

$$
\left(\frac{\bar{\psi}_A}{\alpha - i\epsilon}\right)(x_\bullet, x_\perp) \equiv i \int_{-\infty}^{x_\bullet} dx_{\bullet}' \bar{\psi}_A(x_{\bullet}', x_\perp)
$$

(3.5)

and similarly for $\frac{1}{\beta \pm i\epsilon}$. For brevity, in what follows we denote $(\bar{\psi}_A^{\frac{1}{\alpha}})(x) \equiv (\bar{\psi}_A^{\frac{1}{\alpha-i\epsilon}})(x)$ and $(\bar{\psi}_B^{\frac{1}{\beta}})(x) \equiv (\bar{\psi}_B^{\frac{1}{\beta-i\epsilon}})(x)$. The corresponding expansion of classical gluon fields is presented in ref. [15], but we do not need it here.\footnote{Since we are dealing with tree approximation and quark equations of motion, it is convenient to include coupling constant $g$ in the definition of gluon fields.}

Let us estimate the relative size of corrections $\Xi$ in eq. (3.4) at small $x$. As we will see, $\frac{1}{\alpha}$ and $\frac{1}{\beta}$ transform to $\frac{1}{\alpha_q}$ and $\frac{1}{\beta_q}$ in our TMDs so

$$
\Xi_1 \sim \psi_A \frac{m_\perp}{\alpha_q \sqrt{s}} \sim \psi_A \frac{q_\perp}{Q}, \quad \Xi_2 \sim \psi_B \frac{m_\perp}{\beta_q \sqrt{s}} \sim \psi_B \frac{q_\perp}{Q}
$$

(3.6)

if $\alpha_q \sim \beta_q \sim \frac{Q}{s}$ (recall that we assume that the DY pair is emitted in the central region of rapidity). For example, the correction $\sim \frac{q^2}{Q^2}$ will be of order of $\frac{q^2}{Q^2}$ in comparison to leading-twist contribution $[\bar{\psi}_A \gamma_\mu \psi_B][\bar{\psi}_B \gamma_\nu \psi_A]$.\footnote{The reader may wonder why there are no corrections $\sim \frac{q^2}{Q^2}$ coming from next terms in the expansion (3.3) like $[\bar{\psi}_A(x) \gamma_\mu \psi_B(x)\frac{1}{\alpha_q} \frac{1}{\beta_q} \frac{1}{\alpha_q} \frac{1}{\beta_q} \gamma^1 \partial, B_1(0)]$. The reason is that $\frac{1}{\alpha_q}$ between $\bar{\psi}_B(0)$ and $B_1(0)$ does not transform to $\frac{1}{\alpha_q}$ and remains $\sim O(1)$, see the discussion in the appendix 8.3.4 of ref. [14].}

As demonstrated in ref. [16], the relevant terms contributions to hadronic tensors (2.6) with this accuracy are

$$
g_{\perp}^\mu \nu \left\{ 1, \frac{q_\perp^2}{\alpha_q \beta_q s} \right\}, \quad g_{\parallel}^\mu \nu \left\{ 1, \frac{q_\perp^2}{\alpha_q \beta_q s} \right\}, \quad \frac{1}{\beta_q s} (p_1^\mu q_1^\nu \pm p_2^\mu q_2^\nu), \quad \frac{1}{\alpha_q s} (p_1^\mu q_1^\nu \pm p_2^\mu q_2^\nu), \quad \frac{q_\perp^2}{\beta_q s^2}, \quad \frac{q_\perp^2}{\alpha_q s^2}
$$

(3.7)

Let us also specify the terms which we do not calculate. Roughly speaking, they correspond to terms in eq. (3.7) multiplied by $\frac{q_\perp^2}{Q^2}$ or by either $\alpha_q$ or $\beta_q$.

In addition, in this paper we will consider only leading-$N_c$ power corrections. As we will see below, leading-twist hadronic tensor is $\sim N_c^0$ and power corrections can be $\sim N_c^0$, $\sim \frac{1}{N_c^2}$, or $\frac{1}{N_c^2}$. The corrections $\sim \frac{1}{N_c^2}$ were found in ref. [14] for the case of total cross section, i.e. for eq. (2.5) integrated over $l$. In this paper such corrections $\sim \frac{1}{N_c^2}$ will be neglected.

Thus, the calculation of power corrections with our accuracy boils down to calculation of tensors (2.6) with $\psi \rightarrow \Psi_1 + \Psi_2$. In the next sections we will consider five lines in eq. (2.5) for the differential cross section.

## 4 Hadronic tensor for photon-mediated DY process

In this section I briefly summarize the calculation of $W_{\mu\nu}^f$ performed in ref. [16] paying attention only to terms giving non-negligible contributions listed in eq. (3.7) at the leading-$N_c$
level. The reason is that hadronic tensors listed in eq. (2.6) differ from $W_{\mu\nu}$ by replacement(s) $\gamma_\mu(\nu) \rightarrow \gamma_\mu(\nu)\gamma_5$ and/or $\mu, \nu$ antisymmetrization instead of symmetrization. Both operations do not change power counting in $q_2^{\perp}$ and $\alpha_q, \beta_q$ parameters so the calculation of the rest of the terms in (2.6) will be based on the calculation of (non-negligible) contributions to in $W_{\mu\nu}$ outlined in this section.

In this section we take into account hadronic tensor due to electromagnetic currents of $u, d, s, c$ quarks and consider these quarks to be massless. It is convenient to define coordinate-space hadronic tensor multiplied by $\frac{2}{s}$ (and denoted by extra “check” mark) as follows

$$\hat{W}_{\mu\nu}(x) \equiv \frac{2}{s} \langle p_A, p_B| J_\mu(x) J_\nu(0)|p_A, p_B \rangle$$ (4.1)

$$W_{\mu\nu}(q) = \frac{s/2}{(2\pi)^4} \int d^4x \ e^{-i q x} \hat{W}_{\mu\nu}(x).$$

For future use, let us also define the hadronic tensor in mixed representation: in momentum longitudinal space but in transverse coordinate space

$$W_{\mu\nu}(\alpha_q, \beta_q, x_\perp) \equiv \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int dx^\bullet dx^\star e^{-i \alpha_q x^\bullet - i \beta_q x^\star} \hat{W}_{\mu\nu}(x^\bullet, x^\star, x_\perp).$$ (4.2)

With the definition (4.1), power counting of contributions to $\hat{W}_{\mu\nu}(x^\bullet, x^\star, x_\perp)$ will mirror that of $W_{\mu\nu}(q)$ terms without extra $\frac{1}{s}$ factor.

After integration over central fields in the tree approximation we obtain

$$\hat{W}_{\mu\nu}(x) \equiv N_c \frac{2}{s} \langle A, B| J_\mu(x^\bullet, x^\star, x_\perp) J_\nu(0)|A, B \rangle$$ (4.3)

where

$$-J^\mu = J_1^\mu + J_2^\mu + J_{12}^\mu + J_{21}^\mu,$$

$$J_1^\mu = \sum_f e_f \bar{\Psi}_f \gamma_\mu \Psi_f, \quad J_{12}^\mu = \sum_f e_f \bar{\Psi}_f \gamma_\mu \Psi_f$$ (4.4)

and similarly for $J_2^\mu$ and $J_{21}^\mu$. Here $\langle A, B| \mathcal{O}(\psi_A, A_\mu, \bar{\psi}_B, B_\mu)|A, B \rangle$ denotes double functional integral over $A$ and $B$ fields which gives matrix elements between projectile and target states of eq. (3.2) type.

The leading-twist contribution to $W_{\mu\nu}(q)$ comes only from annihilation-type product $J_1^\mu(x) J_{21}^\mu(0) + 1 \leftrightarrow 2$ while power corrections may come also from $J_1^\mu(x) J_{2}^\mu(0) + 1 \leftrightarrow 2$. As demonstrated in ref. [16], power corrections from $J_1^\mu(x) J_{2}^\mu(0)$ terms are down by one power of $N_c$ in comparison to leading-$N_c$ terms. On the other hand, they come from exchange-type diagrams like figure 1b so they are determined by product of two quark distributions (one with additional gluon) rather than from annihilation-type diagrams in figure 1a proportional to product of quark and antiquark distributions.
We will first calculate annihilation-type contributions coming from $J_{12}^\mu(x)J_{21}^\nu(0)+1 \leftrightarrow 2$ terms. Since leptonic tensor $L_{\mu\nu}$ is symmetric, we consider

$$\tilde{W}_{\mu\nu}^\alpha (x) = \frac{N_c}{s} (A,B) \gamma_{\mu} J_{12}^\nu (x) J_{21}^\nu (0) + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu |A,B) = \sum_f e_f^2 \tilde{W}_{\mu\nu}^f (x),$$

$$\tilde{W}_{\mu\nu}^f (x) = \frac{N_c}{s} (A,B) \left[ \bar{\Psi}_1^f (x) \gamma_\mu \Psi_2^f (x) \right] \left[ \bar{\Psi}_2^f (0) \gamma_\nu \Psi_1^f (0) \right] + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu |A,B) + x \leftrightarrow 0 \quad (4.5)$$

After Fierz transformations (A.1) and (A.3) they can be sorted out as

$$\tilde{W}_{\mu\nu}^F (x) = \tilde{W}_{\mu\nu}^f (q) + \tilde{W}_{\mu\nu}^H (q)$$

$$\tilde{W}_{\mu\nu}^H (x) = \frac{N_c}{2s} \left( g_{\mu\nu} g^{\alpha\beta} - \delta_\mu^\alpha \delta_\nu^\beta - \delta_\mu^\beta \delta_\nu^\alpha \right) \langle A,B \rangle \left[ \bar{\Psi}_1^m (x) \gamma_\alpha \Psi_2^m (0) \right] \left[ \bar{\Psi}_2^m (0) \gamma_\beta \Psi_2^m (x) \right] + \gamma_\alpha \otimes \gamma_\beta \leftrightarrow \gamma_\alpha \gamma_5 \otimes \gamma_\beta \gamma_5 |A,B) + x \leftrightarrow 0, \quad (4.6)$$

$$\tilde{W}_{\mu\nu}^C (x) = \tilde{W}_{\mu\nu}^G (x) + \tilde{W}_{\mu\nu}^T (x)$$

$$\tilde{W}_{\mu\nu}^G (x) = - g_{\mu\nu} \frac{N_c}{2s} \langle A,B \rangle \left[ \bar{\Psi}_1^m (x) \Psi_2^m (0) \right] \left[ \bar{\Psi}_2^m (0) \Psi_2^m (x) \right] - \left[ \bar{\Psi}_1^m (x) \gamma_5 \Psi_1^m (0) \right] \left[ \bar{\Psi}_2^m (0) \gamma_5 \Psi_2^m (x) \right] |A,B) + x \leftrightarrow 0, \quad (4.8)$$

$$\tilde{W}_{\mu\nu}^T (x) = \frac{N_c}{2s} \left( \delta_\mu^\alpha \delta_\nu^\beta + \delta_\mu^\beta \delta_\nu^\alpha - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} g^{\alpha\beta} \right) \times \langle A,B \rangle \left[ \bar{\Psi}_1^m (x) \sigma_\alpha \xi \Psi_2^m (0) \right] \left[ \bar{\Psi}_2^m (0) \sigma_\beta \xi \Psi_2^m (x) \right] |A,B) + x \leftrightarrow 0 \quad (4.9)$$

for flavor $f$ which we are considering. As discussed in section 3, $x_*$ in projectile matrix elements is set to be zero and similarly for $x_*, = 0$ in target matrix elements. To save space, we will often assume this instead of explicitly displaying.

In the remainder of this section we will outline calculation of leading power corrections to the above equations starting with $W^F$ terms.

### 4.1 $W^F$ contribution

As we discussed in section 3, to calculate (4.6) one needs to plug in $\Psi_i$ in the form (3.4). First, the leading-twist contribution is

$$\tilde{W}_{\mu\nu}^{F,lt} (x) = \frac{N_c}{2s} \left( g_{\mu\nu} g^{\alpha\beta} - \delta_\mu^\alpha \delta_\nu^\beta - \delta_\mu^\beta \delta_\nu^\alpha \right) \langle p_A,p_B \rangle \left[ \bar{\Psi}_1^m (x) \gamma_\alpha \psi_A^m (0) \right] \left[ \bar{\psi}_B^m (0) \gamma_\beta \psi_B^m (x) \right] + \gamma_\alpha \otimes \gamma_\beta \leftrightarrow \gamma_\alpha \gamma_5 \otimes \gamma_\beta \gamma_5 \left| p_A,p_B \right) + x \leftrightarrow 0$$

$$= \frac{1}{2s} \left( g_{\mu\nu} g^{\alpha\beta} - \delta_\mu^\alpha \delta_\nu^\beta - \delta_\mu^\beta \delta_\nu^\alpha \right) \langle \psi (x) \gamma_\alpha \psi (0) \rangle_A \langle \bar{\psi} (0) \gamma_\beta \psi (x) \rangle_B + \psi (0) \otimes \psi (x) \leftrightarrow \gamma_5 \psi (0) \otimes \gamma_5 \psi (x) \rangle + x \leftrightarrow 0 \quad (4.10)$$

Hereafter we use notations $\langle O \rangle_A \equiv \langle p_A | O | p_A \rangle$ and $\langle O \rangle_B \equiv \langle p_B | O | p_B \rangle$ for brevity. Using parametrizations (A.39) and (A.40) we can write down the corresponding contribution to $W^F_{\mu\nu}$ in the form

$$W_{\mu\nu}^{F,lt} (q) = \frac{1}{16 \pi^2} \int dq_\perp d^2 q_\perp \ e^{-i q_\perp x_\perp - i q_\perp x_\perp + i (q,x) \perp} \tilde{W}_{\mu\nu}^{lt} (x) = - g_{\mu\nu} \int d^2 k_\perp F(q,k_\perp) \quad (4.11)$$
where
\[ F^f(q, k_\perp) = f_1^f(\alpha_q, k_\perp) \vec{f}_1^f(\beta_q, q - k_\perp) + f_1^f \leftrightarrow \vec{f}_1^f \] (4.12)
Here the term with \( f \leftrightarrow \vec{f} \) comes from \( x \leftrightarrow 0 \) contribution.

### 4.1.1 Terms with one quark-quark-gluon TMD (one-gluon terms)

Next, here will be terms with one or two gluon fields in eq. (4.6) coming from replacement(s) (3.4). Terms with one gluon are

\[
W_{1\mu
\nu}^{(1)F}(x) = \frac{N_c}{2s} \left( g_{\mu\nu} g^{\alpha\beta} - \delta_\mu^\alpha \delta_\nu^\beta - \delta_\mu^\beta \delta_\nu^\alpha \right) \langle p_A, p_B \rangle \left\{ \left[ \psi^m_A(x) \right] \gamma_\alpha \Xi^m_1(0) [\psi^m_B(0) \gamma_\beta \psi^m_B(x)] \right. \\
+ \left[ \Xi^m_1(x) \gamma_\alpha \psi^m_A(0) \right] \left[ \psi^m_B(0) \gamma_\beta \psi^m_B(x) \right] + \left[ \psi^m_A(x) \gamma_\alpha \psi^m_A(0) \right] \left[ \psi^m_B(0) \gamma_\beta \Xi^m_1(x) \right] \\
+ \left[ \psi^m_A(x) \gamma_\alpha \psi^m_A(0) \right] \left[ \Xi^m_2(0) \gamma_\beta \psi^m_B(x) \right] + \gamma_\alpha \otimes \gamma_\beta \leftrightarrow \gamma_\alpha \gamma_5 \otimes \gamma_\beta \gamma_5 \right\} |\langle p_A, p_B \rangle + x \leftrightarrow 0 \right.
\]

Let us consider the first term in the r.h.s. of the above equation. As demonstrated in ref. [16], the only non-negligible contribution comes from longitudinal \( \mu \) and transverse \( \nu \) (or vice versa), the term \( \sim g_{\mu\nu} \) vanishes, and we obtain

\[
W_{1\mu
\nu}^{(1)F}(x) = \frac{N_c}{2s} \langle A, B | \left[ \psi^m_A(x) \gamma_\mu \Xi^m_1(0) \right] \left[ \psi^m_B(0) \gamma_\nu \psi^m_B(x) \right] \\
+ \gamma_\mu \otimes \gamma_\nu \leftrightarrow \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 \otimes \gamma_\nu \gamma_5 |A, B\rangle \left( \mu \leftrightarrow \nu \right) + x \leftrightarrow 0 \rangle \\
= \frac{p_{2\mu}}{s^3} \left\{ \left( \bar{\psi}(x) \gamma_\nu, x_\perp p_2^1 \frac{1}{\alpha} \psi(0) \right)_A (\bar{\psi} B^i(0) \gamma_1 \psi(x)) B + \left( \bar{\psi}(x) \gamma_1 \psi(x) \right)_B \right\} \\
+ \left( \bar{\psi}(x) \gamma_\nu, x_\perp p_2^1 \frac{1}{\alpha} \psi(0) \right)_A (\bar{\psi} B^i(0) \gamma_1 \psi(x)) \left( \bar{\psi}(x) \gamma_1 \psi(x) \right)_B \\
+ i \left( \bar{\psi}(x) \gamma_\nu, x_\perp \sigma_{\nu\nu} p_2^1 \frac{1}{\alpha} \psi(0) \right)_A (\bar{\psi} B^i(0) \gamma_1 \psi(x)) \left( \bar{\psi}(x) \gamma_1 \psi(x) \right)_B \right\} \left( \mu \leftrightarrow \nu \right) + x \leftrightarrow 0 \rangle \] (4.14)

Here we separated color-singlet contributions and used eq. (A.21) to reduce number of \( \gamma \)-matrices.

Using formulas (A.45), (A.46), (A.48), and (A.51) for quark-antiquark-gluon operators and parametrizations from section A.2 we get the contribution to \( W_{1\mu\nu} \) in the form

\[
W_{1\mu\nu}^{(1)F}(q) = \frac{N_c}{16\pi^4} \frac{1}{s^3} \int dx_\star dx_\perp d^2 x_\perp \epsilon^{\alpha x_\star \nu x_\perp + i(q, x_\perp)} \int dx_\star d^2 x_\perp d^2 x_\perp \epsilon^{\alpha x_\star + i(k, x_\perp)} \int dx_\star d^2 x_\perp d^2 x_\perp \epsilon^{i\beta x_\star + i(q - k, x_\perp)} \\
\times \langle A, B \rangle \left[ \bar{\psi}_A(x) \gamma_\mu \psi_B(x) \right] \left[ \psi_B(0) \gamma_\nu \Xi_1(0) \right] + x \leftrightarrow 0 |A, B\rangle \left( \mu \leftrightarrow \nu \right) + x \leftrightarrow 0 \rangle \\
= \frac{1}{64\pi^4} \frac{p_{2\mu}}{s^3} \int d^2 k_\perp \int dx_\star d^2 x_\perp d^2 x_\perp \epsilon^{\alpha x_\star + i(k, x_\perp)} \int dx_\star d^2 x_\perp d^2 x_\perp \epsilon^{i\beta x_\star + i(q - k, x_\perp)} \int dx_\star d^2 x_\perp d^2 x_\perp \epsilon^{i\beta x_\star + i(q - k, x_\perp)} \\
\times \langle \bar{\psi}(x_\star, x_\perp) \gamma_\nu, x_\perp p_2^1 \frac{1}{\alpha} \psi(0) \rangle_A (\bar{\psi} B^i(0) \gamma_1 \psi(x)) B + x \leftrightarrow 0 \rangle + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu \\
= \frac{p_{2\mu}}{\alpha_q s} \int d^2 k_\perp (q - k) \nu F^f(q, k_\perp) + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu
\]
where \( f_1 \leftrightarrow \vec{f}_1 \) term in \( F \) comes from \( x \leftrightarrow 0 \) contribution.
As demonstrated in ref. [16], contribution of the second term in r.h.s. of eq. (4.13)

\[
\tilde{W}_{2\mu\nu}^{(1)}(x) = \frac{N_c}{2s}(A, B)[\Xi_1^\nu(x)\gamma_\mu\bar{\psi}_A(0)[\psi_B^n(0)\gamma_\nu\bar{\psi}_B^n(x)] + \ldots
\]

(4.16)
doubles the result (4.15) and the result for the third and the fourth terms is obtained from eq. (4.15) by replacement \(p_{2\mu}(q-k)^{\perp}_{\alpha_q} \leftrightarrow p_{1\mu}k^{\perp}_{\beta_q}\) so

\[
W_{1\mu\nu}^{(1)}(q) = 2\int d^2k_\perp \left( \frac{p_{1\mu}k^{\perp}_{\beta_q}}{\beta_q s} + \frac{p_{2\mu}(q-k)^{\perp}_{\alpha_q}}{\alpha_q s} \right) F^f(q, k_\perp + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu
\]

(4.17)

This result agrees with the corresponding \(1/Q\) terms in ref. [20].

4.1.2 Terms with two quark-quark-gluon TMDs (two-gluon terms)

Let us now consider terms in \(W_{\mu\nu}^{f}\) from eq. (4.6) with two gluon operators. The first of such terms is

\[
W_{1\mu\nu}^{(2a)}(x) = \frac{N_c}{2s}(g_{\mu\nu}g^{\alpha\beta} - \delta_\mu^\alpha \delta_\nu^\beta - \delta_\mu^\beta \delta_\nu^\alpha) \langle [\psi_A^n(x) \gamma_\alpha \Xi_1^\nu(0) [\psi_B^n(0) \gamma_\beta \Xi_2^\mu(x) + \Xi_1^\nu(x) \gamma_\alpha \psi_A^n(0) [\Xi_2^\mu(x) + \gamma_\alpha \gamma_\beta \psi_A^n(x) + \gamma_\alpha \gamma_\beta \psi_B^n(x)] \rangle_{A, B} + x \leftrightarrow 0
\]

(4.18)

It is convenient to start from the contribution

\[
V_{1\mu\nu}^{(1)}(x) = \frac{N_c}{2s}(A, B)[[\psi_A^n(x) \gamma_\mu \Xi_1^\nu(0) [\psi_B^n(0) \gamma_\nu \Xi_2^\mu(x)] + \gamma_\mu \gamma_\nu \leftrightarrow \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 \gamma_\nu \gamma_5]_{A, B} + x \leftrightarrow 0
\]

(4.19)

As demonstrated in ref. [16], the non-negligible contribution comes only from transverse \(\mu\) and \(\nu\). In this case we can use formula (A.27) and get

\[
V_{1\mu\nu}^{(1)} = -\frac{1}{2s^3} \left\{ \tilde{V}_A(x) \gamma_\mu \tilde{p}_2 \gamma_\mu \frac{1}{\alpha} \tilde{\psi}(0) \right\} A \langle \tilde{V}_B(0) \gamma_\nu \tilde{p}_1 \gamma_\nu \frac{1}{\beta} \tilde{\psi}(x) \rangle_B
\]

(4.20)

This gives the contribution of the first matrix element in the r.h.s. of eq. (4.18) to \(W_{\mu\nu}(q)\) in the form

\[
\frac{1}{2} \left( g_{\mu\nu}g^{\alpha\beta} - \delta_\mu^\alpha \delta_\nu^\beta - \delta_\mu^\beta \delta_\nu^\alpha \right) \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \int dx_{\perp}dx_{\perp} d^2x_{\perp} e^{-i\alpha_q x_{\perp} - i\beta_q x_{\perp} + i(q_{\perp})_x} \tilde{W}_{2\mu\nu}(x)
\]

\[
= \frac{g_{\mu\nu}}{Q^2} \int d^2k_{\perp} \left( k_{\perp}(q - k)_{\perp} \right) F^f(q, k_{\perp})
\]

(4.21)
where we again used formulas from appendices A.2 and A.3. Next, as shown in ref. [16], the contribution of the second matrix element in the r.h.s. of eq. (4.18) is equal to that of the first one so we get

\[
W^{(2a)F}_{\mu\nu}(q) = \frac{2g_{\mu\nu}}{Q^2} \int d^2k_\perp (k, q - k)_\perp F^f(q, k_\perp)
\] (4.22)

The second two-gluon contribution to \( \hat{W}^F \) in eq. (4.6) is

\[
\hat{W}^{(2b)F}_{\mu\nu}(q) = -\frac{N_c}{2s} \left( \delta^\alpha_\mu \delta^\beta_\nu + \delta^\nu_\mu \delta^\alpha_\mu - g_{\mu\nu} g^{\alpha\beta} \right) \langle A, B \rangle \left\{ \langle \tilde{\psi}_A^m(x) \gamma_\alpha \psi_B^n(0) | \bar{\Xi}_1^n(0) \gamma_\beta \bar{\Xi}_2^n(x) \rangle \right. \\
+ \left[ \tilde{\Xi}_1^n(x) \gamma_\alpha \Xi_2^n(0) \right] \left[ \bar{\psi}_B^n(0) \gamma_\beta \psi_B^n(x) \right] \gamma_\alpha \otimes \gamma_\beta \leftrightarrow \gamma_\alpha \gamma_5 \otimes \gamma_\beta \gamma_5 \left\} |A, B\rangle + x \leftrightarrow 0
\] (4.23)

Let us consider the first matrix element in the r.h.s. of the above equation. We get

\[
\hat{W}^{(2b)F}_{1\mu\nu}(x) = -\frac{N_c}{2s} \left( \delta^\alpha_\mu \delta^\beta_\nu + \delta^\nu_\mu \delta^\alpha_\mu - g_{\mu\nu} g^{\alpha\beta} \right) \\
\times \langle A, B \rangle \left\{ \langle \tilde{\psi}_A^m(x) \gamma_\alpha \psi_A^n(0) | \bar{\Xi}_2^n(0) \gamma_\beta \Xi_2^n(x) \rangle |p_A, p_B\rangle + x \leftrightarrow 0 \\
- \frac{1}{s^3} \left( \delta^\alpha_\mu p_{1\nu} + \delta^\nu_\mu p_{1\mu} - g_{\mu\nu} p_0^2 \right) \left( \langle \bar{\psi}(x) A_j(x) \gamma_\alpha A_i(0) \psi(0) \rangle_A \right) \\
\times \{ \langle \bar{\psi}_B^n(0) \gamma_\beta \psi_B^n(x) \rangle_B + \psi(0) \otimes \psi(x) \leftrightarrow \gamma_5 \psi(0) \otimes \gamma_5 \psi(x) \} + x \leftrightarrow 0 \\
= -\frac{4p_{1\mu}p_{1\nu}}{s^4} \left( \langle \bar{\psi}(x) A_j(x) \bar{\psi}_2 A_i(0) \psi(0) \rangle_A \langle \bar{\psi}_B^n(0) \gamma_\beta \psi_B^n(x) \rangle_B \\
\times \psi(0) \otimes \psi(x) \leftrightarrow \gamma_5 \psi(0) \otimes \gamma_5 \psi(x) \right) \right)
\] (4.24)

up to the terms which are negligible as shown in ref. [16]. Using eq. (A.15) this can be rewritten as

\[
\hat{W}^{(2b)F}_{1\mu\nu}(x) = -\frac{4p_{1\mu}p_{1\nu}}{s^4} \left( \langle \bar{\psi}(x) A(x) \bar{\psi}_2 A(0) \psi(0) \rangle_A \langle \bar{\psi}_B^n(0) \gamma_\beta \psi_B^n(x) \rangle_B \\
+ \psi(0) \otimes \psi(x) \leftrightarrow \gamma_5 \psi(0) \otimes \gamma_5 \psi(x) \right) + x \leftrightarrow 0
\] (4.25)

The corresponding contribution to \( W_{\mu\nu}(q) \) is obtained from QCD equation of motion (A.60) and formula (A.46) from appendix A.3:

\[
W^{(2b)F}_{1\mu\nu}(q) = \frac{4p_{1\mu}p_{1\nu}}{\beta^2 q^2} \int d^2k_\perp k_\perp^2 \hat{F}^f(q, k_\perp)
\] (4.26)

Next, as shown in ref. [16], the contribution of the second matrix element in the r.h.s. of the eq. (4.27)

\[
\hat{W}^{(2b)F}_{2\mu\nu}(x) = -\frac{N_c}{2s} \left( \delta^\alpha_\mu \delta^\beta_\nu + \delta^\nu_\mu \delta^\alpha_\mu - g_{\mu\nu} g^{\alpha\beta} \right) \\
\langle A, B \rangle \left\{ \tilde{\Xi}_1^n(x) \gamma_\alpha \Xi_2^n(0) \right] \left[ \bar{\psi}_B^n(0) \gamma_\beta \psi_B^n(x) \right] \gamma_\alpha \otimes \gamma_\beta \leftrightarrow \gamma_\alpha \gamma_5 \otimes \gamma_\beta \gamma_5 \left\} |A, B\rangle + x \leftrightarrow 0
\] (4.27)
differs from eq. (4.26) by replacements \( p_1 \leftrightarrow p_2 \), \( \alpha_q \leftrightarrow \beta_q \) and exchange of projectile matrix elements and the target ones so we finally get

\[
W^{(2b)F}_{\mu\nu}(q) = \int d^2 k_\perp \left[ \frac{4p_{1\mu}P_{1\nu}}{\beta_2^2 s^2} k_\perp^2 + \frac{4p_{2\mu}P_{2\nu}}{\alpha_2^2 s^2} (q - k)_{\perp}^2 \right] F^j(q, k_\perp)
\]  

(4.28)

The third two-gluon contribution to \( \tilde{W}^F \) in eq. (4.6) has the form

\[
\tilde{W}^{(2c)F}_{\mu\nu}(x) = \frac{N_c}{2s} \left( g_{\mu\nu} \gamma^\alpha \delta_\mu^\alpha - \delta_\mu^\alpha \delta_\nu^\beta - \delta_\mu^\beta \delta_\nu^\alpha \right) \langle p_A, p_B \rangle \left[ \bar{\Xi}_1^m(x) \gamma_\alpha \psi_{n_1}^m(0) \right] \left[ \psi_{n_2}^m(0) \gamma_\beta \Xi_2^m(x) \right] \\
+ \left[ \bar{\psi}_{n_1}^m(x) \gamma_\alpha \Xi_1^m(0) \right] \left[ \Xi_2^m(0) \beta \psi_{n_2}^m(x) \right] + \gamma_\alpha \otimes \gamma_\beta \leftrightarrow \gamma_\alpha \otimes \gamma_\beta \gamma_5 \langle p_A, p_B \rangle + x \leftrightarrow 0
\]

(4.29)

It is easy to see that after separating color-singlet matrix elements this term is \( \mathcal{O}\left( \frac{1}{N_c^2} \right) \) in comparison to (4.19) so we neglect it.

Thus, the result for \( W^F_{\mu\nu}(q) \) is the sum of eqs. (4.11), (4.17), (4.22), and (4.28). After some algebra, it can be rewritten as

\[
W^F_{\mu\nu}(q) = \sum_f c_f^2 W^F_{\mu\nu}(q), \quad W^F_{\mu\nu}(q) = \int d^2 k_\perp F^j(q, k_\perp) W^F_{\mu\nu}(q, k_\perp),
\]

(4.30)

where

\[
W^F_{\mu\nu}(q, k_\perp) = -g_{\mu\nu}^\perp + \frac{1}{Q^2_\parallel} \left( q_\mu^\parallel q_\nu^\perp + q_\mu^\perp q_\nu^\parallel \right) + \frac{q^2_\parallel}{Q^2_\parallel} q_\mu^\parallel q_\nu^\parallel + \tilde{q}_\mu \tilde{q}_\nu \left[ q_{\perp}^2 - 4(k, q - k)_\perp \right] \\
- \left[ \frac{\tilde{q}_\mu}{Q^2_\parallel} \left( g_{\mu\nu}^\perp - \frac{q_\mu^\parallel q_\nu^\parallel}{Q^2_\parallel} \right) (q - 2k)_\perp^\perp + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu \right]
\]

(4.31)

It is easy to see that \( q^\mu W^F_{\mu\nu}(q, k_\perp) = 0 \).

### 4.2 \( W^G \) term of eq. (4.8)

In this section we will repeat the above calculations for the \( W^G_{\mu\nu}(q) \). Let us start from

\[
\tilde{W}^G_{\mu\nu}(x) = -\frac{N_c g_{\mu\nu}}{2s} \langle A, B \rangle \left[ \bar{\Psi}_1^m(x) \Psi_1^m(0) \right] \left[ \bar{\Psi}_2^m(0) \Psi_2^m(x) \right] \\
- \left[ \bar{\Psi}_1^m(x) \gamma_5 \Psi_1^m(0) \right] \left[ \bar{\Psi}_2^m(0) \gamma_5 \Psi_2^m(x) \right] \langle A, B \rangle + x \leftrightarrow 0
\]

(4.32)

First, as seen from parametrizations (A.39) and (A.40), the leading-twist contribution can be neglected. Second, as demonstrated in ref. [16], the contribution of one-gluon operators
also vanishes with our accuracy. Let us now consider two-gluon terms and start with

\[ W^G_{\mu\nu}(x) = -\frac{N_c g_{\mu\nu}}{2s} \langle A, B | \left[ \bar{\psi}^\alpha_A (x) \Xi^\alpha_1 (0) \right] \left[ \bar{\psi}^\nu_B (0) \Xi^\nu_2 (x) \right] \]

\[ - \left[ \bar{\psi}^\alpha_A (x) \gamma_5 \Xi^\alpha_1 (0) \right] \left[ \bar{\psi}^\nu_B (0) \gamma_5 \Xi^\nu_2 (x) \right] |A, B \rangle + x \leftrightarrow 0 \]

\[ = \frac{g_{\mu\nu}}{2s^3} \left[ \bar{\psi} A^i (x) \sigma_{s j} \frac{1}{\alpha} \psi (0) \right] A \left[ \bar{\psi} B^j (0) \sigma_{s j} \frac{1}{\beta} \psi (x) \right] B \]

\[ - \bar{\psi} (0) \otimes \psi (x) \leftrightarrow \gamma_5 \psi (0) \otimes \gamma_5 \psi (x) \] + x \leftrightarrow 0

\[ = \frac{g_{\mu\nu}}{2s^3} \langle \bar{\psi} A (x) \rangle \left[ \frac{1}{\alpha} \psi (0) \right] A \langle \bar{\psi} B (0) \rangle \left[ \frac{1}{\beta} \psi (x) \right] B \left[ 1 + O \left( \frac{q^2}{s} \right) \right] \] (4.33)

where we used formula (A.35) and the fact that\(^6\)

\[ \langle \bar{\psi} (x) [A_k \sigma_{s j} - A_j (x) \sigma_{s k}] \psi (0) \rangle_A = 0 \] (4.34)

It is easy to see that with our accuracy the above equation is the only two-gluon contribution to \( \hat{W}^G_{\mu\nu}(x) \) since \( \Xi_1 \Xi_2 = \Xi_2 \Xi_3 = 0 \) and the matrix element \( \langle [\Xi^\alpha_1 (x) \gamma_5 \psi^\alpha_A (0)] [\bar{\psi}^\nu_B (0) \gamma_5 \Xi^\nu_2 (x)] \rangle \) is \( \sim O \left( \frac{1}{s^2} \right) \) in comparison to eq. (4.34) similarly to eq. (4.29).

Now, using equations (A.45), (A.56), (A.58) and parametrizations (A.44) we obtain the contribution to photon-mediated hadronic tensor in the form

\[ W^G_{\mu\nu}(q) = \frac{g_{\mu\nu}}{16 \pi^2} \int dx \cdot dx_s d^2 x_{\perp} e^{-i a q \cdot x_{\perp} + i q \cdot (q, x)} \hat{W}^G_{\mu\nu}(x) \]

\[ = -\frac{g_{\mu\nu}}{2Q^2} \int d^2 k_{\perp} \left[ \frac{1}{m^2} k^2_{\perp} (q - k)^2 \right] H(q, k_{\perp}) \] (4.35)

where

\[ H^f(q, k_{\perp}) = h^f(q, k_{\perp}) h^f(q, k_{\perp}) + h^f(q, k_{\perp}) h^f(q, k_{\perp}) + h^f(q, k_{\perp}) h^f(q, k_{\perp}) \] (4.36)

for the flavor that we are considering.

### 4.3 \( W^T \) contribution of eq. (4.9)

In this section we calculate the \( W^T_{\mu\nu} \) term of eq. (4.9). The leading-twist contribution

\[ \hat{W}^T_{\mu\nu}(x) = \hat{W}^H_{\mu\nu}(x) \] (4.37)

\[ = \frac{N_c}{2s} \left[ \delta^\alpha^\beta \delta^\sigma^\tau + \delta^\alpha^\sigma \delta^\tau^\beta - \frac{1}{2} g_{\nu \rho} g^\alpha^\beta \right] \langle A, B | [\bar{\psi}^\alpha_A (x) \sigma_{s j} \psi^\alpha_A (0)] [\bar{\psi}^\beta_B (0) \sigma_{s j} \psi^\beta_B (0)] |A, B \rangle + x \leftrightarrow 0 \]

is easily obtained from parametrizations (A.44) [21]:

\[ W^H_{\mu\nu}(q, q_{\perp}) = \frac{1}{16 \pi^2} \int dx \cdot dx_s d^2 x_{\perp} e^{-i a q \cdot x_{\perp} + i q \cdot (q, x)} \hat{W}^H_{\mu\nu}(x) \]

\[ = -\sum_{f} e_f^2 \int d^2 k_{\perp} \left[ k^\mu_{\perp} (q - k)^\mu_{\perp} + k^\nu_{\perp} (q - k)^\nu_{\perp} + g_{\mu\nu} (k, q - k_{\perp}) \right] H^f(q, k_{\perp}) \] (4.38)

---

\(^6\)A rigorous argument goes like that: the matrix element (4.34) can be rewritten as \( \epsilon_{s k} \langle \bar{\psi} (0) | A_k (0) \sigma_{s \gamma} \psi (x) \rangle = \epsilon_{s j} \langle \bar{\psi} (0) | A_j (0) \sigma_{s \gamma} \psi (x) \rangle \). As demonstrated in section A.3, \( A \) in this formula can be replaced by \( k \), so the contribution is proportional to matrix element \( k^\gamma \langle \bar{\psi} (0) i \sigma_{s \gamma} \psi (x) \rangle = k^\gamma \epsilon_{s j} \langle \bar{\psi} (0) \sigma_{s \gamma} \psi (x) \rangle \) which vanishes as seen from the parametrization (A.44).
For the calculation of one- and two-gluon terms it is convenient to consider
\[
\hat{V}_{\mu\nu}^{H}(x) = \frac{N_c}{2s} [A, B] \left[ \bar{\Psi}_{1}^{m} (x) \sigma_{\mu} \sigma_{\nu} \Psi_{1}^{m} (0) \right] \left[ \bar{\Psi}_{2}^{n} (0) \sigma_{\nu} \sigma_{\mu} \Psi_{2}^{n} (x) |A, B\right] + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu + x \leftrightarrow 0 \ (4.39)
\]
and subtract trace to get \(\hat{W}_{\mu\nu}^{T}(x)\) afterwards.

### 4.3.1 One-gluon terms in \(\hat{V}_{\mu\nu}^{H}(x)\)

Let us start from one-gluon term coming from \(\Xi_{2}\). Sorting out color-singlet matrix elements, we get
\[
\hat{V}_{1\mu\nu}^{(1)H}(x) = -\frac{1}{2s^3} \langle \tilde{\psi}(x) \sigma_{\mu} \gamma_{5} \gamma_{\nu} \psi(0) \rangle A \langle \tilde{\psi} B^i(0) \sigma_{\nu} \xi \psi(x) \rangle_B + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu + x \leftrightarrow 0 \ (4.40)
\]
As demonstrated in ref. [16], the only non-negligible contributions are those with one of the indices in eq. (4.40) longitudinal and one transverse. For example, let \(\mu\) be longitudinal and \(\nu\) transverse, the opposite case will differ by replacement \(\mu \leftrightarrow \nu\). Using the decomposition of \(g^{\mu\nu}\) in longitudinal and transverse part (3.1) we get
\[
\left( \frac{2p_{1\mu} p_{1\nu}'}{s} + \mu \leftrightarrow \mu' \right) \hat{V}_{1\mu\nu}^{(1)H}(x) = -\left( \frac{2p_{2\nu} p_{1\mu}'}{s^3} + \mu \leftrightarrow \mu' \right) \times \left[ \langle \tilde{\psi}(x) \sigma_{\mu} \gamma_{5} \gamma_{\nu} \psi(0) \rangle A \langle \tilde{\psi} B^i(0) \sigma_{\nu} \xi \psi(x) \rangle_B + \mu' \leftrightarrow \nu \right] + x \leftrightarrow 0 \ (4.41)
\]
As demonstrated in ref. [16], the term proportional to \(p_{1\mu}\) is small so we get
\[
\hat{V}_{1\mu\nu}^{(1)H}(x) = \frac{p_{2\mu}}{s^3} \left[ i \langle \tilde{\psi}(x) \sigma_{\nu, j} \sigma_{\nu, i} \gamma_{5} \gamma_{\nu} \psi(0) \rangle A \langle \tilde{\psi} B^i(0) \sigma_{\nu, j} \xi \psi(x) \rangle_B - \langle \tilde{\psi}(x) \sigma_{\nu, j} \gamma_{5} \gamma_{\nu} \psi(0) \rangle A \langle \tilde{\psi} B^i(0) \sigma_{\nu, j} \xi \psi(x) \rangle_B \right.
+ i \langle \tilde{\psi}(x) \sigma_{\nu, j} \sigma_{\nu, i} \gamma_{5} \gamma_{\nu} \psi(0) \rangle A \langle \tilde{\psi} B^i(0) \sigma_{\nu, j} \xi \psi(x) \rangle_B + \nu \leftrightarrow j \langle \tilde{\psi}(x) \sigma_{\nu, j} \gamma_{5} \gamma_{\nu} \psi(0) \rangle A \langle \tilde{\psi} B^i(0) \sigma_{\nu, j} \xi \psi(x) \rangle_B \bigg] + x \leftrightarrow 0 \ (4.42)
\]
Using eq. (A.9) one can prove that the contribution of last two terms in the r.h.s. is \(\sim \frac{q^2}{s}\) and therefore
\[
\hat{V}_{1\mu\nu}^{(1)H}(x) = \frac{p_{2\mu}}{s^3} \left[ i \langle \tilde{\psi}(x) \sigma_{\nu, j} \sigma_{\nu, i} \gamma_{5} \gamma_{\nu} \psi(0) \rangle A \langle \tilde{\psi} B^i(0) \sigma_{\nu, j} \xi \psi(x) \rangle_B \right.
- \langle \tilde{\psi}(x) \sigma_{\nu, j} \gamma_{5} \gamma_{\nu} \psi(0) \rangle A \langle \tilde{\psi} B^i(0) \sigma_{\nu, j} \xi \psi(x) \rangle_B \bigg] + x \leftrightarrow 0 \ (4.43)
\]

where we used formulas (4.34) and (A.9).
Using formulas (A.45), (A.46), (A.56), and (A.58) for quark-antiquark-gluon operators and parametrizations from section A.2 we get the contribution to $W_{\mu\nu}$ in the form

$$V^{(1)H}_{1\mu\nu}(q) = \frac{N_c}{16\pi^2} \frac{1}{s} \int dx_1 dx_2 d^2 x_\perp e^{-i(q x_\perp + i q x_\perp \bullet \psi)} V^{(1)H}_{1\mu\nu}(x) \tag{4.44}$$

$$= -\frac{p_{2\mu}}{\alpha_q s} \int d^2 k_\perp k_{\nu} (q - k)^2 \frac{m^2}{m^2} H(q, k_\perp) + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu$$

where terms with replacement $h_{1f}^1 \leftrightarrow h_{1f}^1$ come from $x \leftrightarrow 0$ contribution as usually.

Next, as proved in ref. [16], the term with $\Xi_1(x)$ doubles the contribution (4.44) and the terms with $\Xi_2(0)$ and $\Xi_2(x)$ are obtained by the projectile $\leftrightarrow$ target replacement, namely $p_1 \leftrightarrow p_2$, $\alpha_q \leftrightarrow \beta_q$ and $k_\perp \leftrightarrow (q - k)_\perp$. Thus, the contribution of one-gluon terms to $W_{\mu\nu}^T(q)$ has the form

$$W^{(1)T}_{\mu\nu}(q) = -2 \int d^2 k_\perp \left[ \frac{p_{1\mu} (q - k)_\nu k_\perp^2}{\beta_q s} + \frac{p_{2\mu} k_{\nu} (q - k)_\perp^2}{\alpha_q s} \right] H(q, k_\perp) + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu \tag{4.45}$$

### 4.3.2 Two-gluon terms in $\tilde{V}_{\mu\nu}^H(x)$

Let us start with the term

$$\tilde{V}^{(2a)H}_{1\mu\nu}(x) = \frac{N_c}{2s} (A, B) \langle \w(x) | \sigma_{\mu\nu} \Xi_1(0) \Xi_2(x) | A, B \rangle + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu + x \leftrightarrow 0 \tag{4.46}$$

Separating color-singlet contributions, we get

$$\tilde{V}^{(2a)H}_{1\mu\nu}(x) = \frac{1}{2s^3} \langle \bar{\psi} A_i(x) | \sigma_{\mu\nu} \psi \rangle H \frac{1}{\alpha} \psi(0) \rangle A \langle \bar{\psi} B_j(0) | \sigma_{\nu} \psi \rangle B + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu + x \leftrightarrow 0 \tag{4.47}$$

As demonstrated in ref. [16], the contributions from longitudinal $\mu$ and transverse $\nu$ (or vice versa) are small. For transverse $\mu$ and $\nu$ we obtain

$$\tilde{V}^{(2a)H}_{1\mu\nu}(x) = -\frac{1}{2s^3} \langle \bar{\psi} A^i(x) | \sigma_{\mu\nu} \psi \rangle \frac{1}{\alpha} \psi(0) \rangle A \langle \bar{\psi} B^j(0) | \sigma_{\nu} \psi \rangle B + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu + x \leftrightarrow 0 \tag{4.48}$$

Using eq. (A.9) and (4.34), it is possible to demonstrate the second term in the r.h.s. is small and the first term can be rewritten as

$$\tilde{V}^{(2a)H}_{1\mu\nu}(x) = -\frac{g_{\mu\nu}}{2s^3} \langle \bar{\psi} A_i(x) | \sigma_{\nu} \psi \rangle \frac{1}{\alpha} \psi(0) \rangle A \langle \bar{\psi} B^j(0) | \sigma_{\nu} \psi \rangle B + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu + x \leftrightarrow 0 \tag{4.49}$$
The corresponding contribution to $\tilde{V}^{(t_2a)H}_{\mu_\nu}(q)$ can be obtained from QCD equations of motion (A.56), (A.58) and parametrization (A.63). We get

$$
\tilde{V}^{(t_2a)H}_{\mu_\nu}(q) = \frac{1}{2 Q^2} \int d^2 k_\perp \left[ g_{\mu\nu} k_\perp^2 \frac{(q-k)_\perp^2}{m^2} H^f(q,k_\perp) + \left( k_\perp^2 (q-k)_\perp + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu \right) (k,q-k)_\perp - k_\perp^2 (q-k)_\perp + \nu \leftrightarrow \mu \right] \frac{1}{m^2} H^f_A(q,k_\perp) \left( 1 - \frac{k_\perp^2 (q-k)_\perp^2}{m^2} \right)
$$

(4.50)

where we introduced the notation

$$
H^f_A(q,k_\perp) \equiv h^f_A(\alpha_q,k_\perp) h^f_A(\beta_q,\alpha_q) + h^f_A(x \leftrightarrow 0)
$$

Next, consider the case when both $\mu$ and $\nu$ are longitudinal. The non-vanishing terms are

$$
\tilde{V}^{(t_2a)H}_{\mu_\nu}(x) = \frac{4 p_{\mu} p_{\nu}}{s^2} \langle \bar{\psi} A_i(x) \sigma_{\mu\nu} p_2 \gamma^j \frac{1}{\alpha} \psi(0) \rangle A \langle \bar{\psi} B_j(0) \sigma^j \gamma^1 \frac{1}{\beta} \psi(x) \rangle_B + \frac{4 p_{\mu} p_{\nu}}{s^2} \langle \bar{\psi} A_i(x) \sigma_{\mu\nu} p_2 \gamma^j \frac{1}{\alpha} \psi(0) \rangle A \langle \bar{\psi} B_j(0) \sigma^j \gamma^1 \frac{1}{\beta} \psi(x) \rangle_B
$$

(4.52)

Using eq. (A.9) it is easy to see that the third term in the r.h.s. is $\sim \frac{x^2}{s}$, while the first two terms in the r.h.s. can be rewritten as

$$
\frac{g_{\mu\nu}}{s^2} \langle \bar{\psi} A_i(x) \sigma_{\mu\nu} \frac{1}{\alpha} \psi(0) \rangle A \langle \bar{\psi} B_j(0) \sigma^j \gamma^1 \frac{1}{\beta} \psi(x) \rangle_B + x \leftrightarrow 0
$$

$$
= \frac{g_{\mu\nu}}{2 s^3} \langle \bar{\psi} A_i(x) \sigma_{\mu\nu} \frac{1}{\alpha} \psi(0) \rangle A \langle \bar{\psi} B_j(0) \sigma^j \gamma^1 \frac{1}{\beta} \psi(x) \rangle_B + \frac{g_{\mu\nu}}{s^2} \langle \bar{\psi} \left( A_i(x) \sigma_{\mu\nu} - \frac{g_{\mu\nu}}{2} A_k(x) \sigma_{\mu\nu} \right) \frac{1}{\alpha} \psi(0) \rangle A \langle \bar{\psi} B_j(0) \sigma^j \gamma^1 \frac{1}{\beta} \psi(x) \rangle_B + x \leftrightarrow 0
$$

(4.53)

The corresponding contribution to $V^{(t_2a)H}_{\mu\nu}(q)$ yields

$$
V^{(t_2a)H}_{\mu_\nu}(q) = - \frac{g_{\mu\nu}}{2Q^2} \int d^2 k_\perp \frac{k_\perp^2 (q-k)_\perp^2}{m^2} H^f(q,k_\perp) - \frac{g_{\mu\nu}}{Q^2} \int d^2 k_\perp \left[ (k,q-k)_\perp^2 - \frac{1}{2} k_\perp^2 (q-k)_\perp^2 \right] H_A(q,k_\perp)
$$

(4.54)

where again we used QCD equations of motion (A.56), (A.58) and parametrization (A.63).
The result for $V^{(2a)H}_{1\mu
u}(q)$ is the sum of eqs. (4.50) and (4.54):

$$V^{(2a)H}_{1\mu
u}(q) = \frac{g^\mu\nu - g^\mu\nu}{Q^2} \int d^2k_\perp \frac{k^2_\perp (q-k)^2}{2m^2} H^f(q,k_\perp)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{Q^2} \int d^2k_\perp \frac{1}{m^2} \left\{ \left[ k^\mu_\perp (q-k)^\perp + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu \right] (k,q-k)_\perp - k^2_\perp (q-k)^\perp \right\} H^f_A(q,k_\perp)$$

$$- (q-k)^2 k^\perp_\mu (q-k)^\perp - \frac{g^\mu\nu}{2} k^2_\perp (q-k)^2 - g^\perp_\mu \left[ (k,q-k)_\perp^2 - \frac{1}{2} k^2_\perp (q-k)^2 \right] \right\} H^f_A(q,k_\perp)$$

It is possible to demonstrate that the contribution of

$$V^{(2a)H}_{2\mu\nu}(x) = \frac{N_c}{2N_c} \langle A,B \mid [\psi^0(\bar{x})\sigma_\mu\xi_\nu^0(0)][\psi^m_\nu(0)]_A, B \rangle + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu + x \leftrightarrow 0$$

to $V^\mu_\nu(q)$ doubles the result (4.55) (see ref. [16] for proof) so subtracting trace we obtain

$$W^{(2a)T}_{\mu\nu}(q) = 2V^{(2a)H}_{1\mu
u}(q) - \frac{1}{2} g^\mu\nu 2V^{(2a)H,\xi}(q)$$

As we will see below, cancellation of terms $\sim g^\perp_\mu$ proportional to $H_A$ in the r.h.s. of this equation is actually a consequence of (EM) gauge invariance.

Let us now consider

$$V^{(2b)H}_{1\mu
u}(x) = \frac{N_c}{2N_c} \langle A,B \mid [\psi^0(\bar{x})\sigma_\mu\xi_\nu^0(0)]_A, B \rangle + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu + x \leftrightarrow 0$$

$$= \frac{1}{8s^4} \left\{ -p_{1\mu}(\bar{\psi}(x)A_j(x)\sigma_{\nu k}A_i(0)\psi(0))_A \left( \frac{1}{\beta_1} \right)^0 \gamma^1 \sigma^k \gamma^j \frac{1}{\beta_1} \psi(x) \right\} B + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu + x \leftrightarrow 0$$

$$= -\frac{4p_{1\mu}p_{1\nu}}{s^4} (\bar{\psi}(x) A_j(x) \sigma_{\nu k} A_i(0) \psi(0))_A \left( \frac{1}{\beta_1} \right)^0 \gamma^1 \sigma^k \gamma^j \frac{1}{\beta_1} \psi(x) \right\} B$$

where we neglected terms shown in ref. [16] to be small. Using eq. (A.14) the r.h.s. of this equation can be rewritten as

$$V^{(2b)H}_{1\mu\nu}(x) = -\frac{4p_{1\mu}p_{1\nu}}{s^4} (\bar{\psi}(x) A_j(x) \sigma_{\nu k} A_i(0) \psi(0))_A \left( \frac{1}{\beta_1} \right)^0 \gamma^1 \sigma^k \gamma^j \frac{1}{\beta_1} \psi(x) \right\} B + x \leftrightarrow 0$$

so the corresponding contribution to $W^\mu_\nu$ takes the form

$$V^{(2b)H}_{1\mu\nu}(q) = -\frac{4p_{1\mu}p_{1\nu}}{s^4} \int d^2k_\perp \frac{1}{m^2} k^2_\perp (k,q-k)_\perp H^f(q,k_\perp)$$

where we used eqs. (A.46) and (A.61).
Next, similarly to eq. (4.45), the contribution
\[ V_{2\mu \nu}^{(2b)H}(x) = \frac{N_c}{2s} [A, B] \left[ \Xi_1^m(x) \sigma_{\mu \xi} \Xi_2^m(0) \right] [\bar{\psi}_B(0) \sigma_{\nu \xi} \psi_B^m(0)|A, B\rangle + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu + x \leftrightarrow 0 \] (4.61)
is obtained by the projectile \( p_1 \leftrightarrow p_2 \), \( \alpha_q \leftrightarrow \beta_q \) and \( k_\perp \leftrightarrow (q-k)_\perp \), and we get contribution to \( W^{(2b)}_{\mu \nu} \) in the form
\[ W^{(2b)T}_{\mu \nu}(q) = V_{1\mu \nu}^{(2b)H}(q) + V_{2\mu \nu}^{(2b)H}(q) \] (4.62)

Finally, it is easy to see that the two-gluon terms
\[ \hat{W}^{(2c)T}_{\mu \nu}(x) = \frac{N_c}{2s} \left( g_{\mu \nu} g^{\alpha \beta} - \delta_\alpha^\alpha \delta_\beta^\beta - \delta_\alpha^\beta \delta_\beta^\alpha \right) \langle A, B | \left[ \xi_1^m(x) \sigma_{\alpha \xi} \psi_A^m(0) \right] \left[ \bar{\psi}_B(0) \sigma_{\beta \xi} \xi_2^m(x) \right] + [\bar{\psi}_A^m(x) \sigma_{\alpha \xi} \xi_1^m(0)] \left[ \xi_2^m(0) \sigma_{\beta \xi} \bar{\psi}_B^m(x) \right] |A, B\rangle + x \leftrightarrow 0 \] (4.63)
are \( O(\frac{1}{N_c^2}) \) so we neglect them.

Summarizing, we get
\[ W^{T}_{\mu \nu}(q) = \text{eq. (4.45)} + \text{eq. (4.57)} + \text{eq. (4.62)} \] (4.64)
and adding \( W^{G}_{\mu \nu}(q) \) from eq. (4.35) we finally get
\[ W^{H}_{\mu \nu}(q) = W^{H}_{\mu \nu}(q) + W^{H2}_{\mu \nu}(q) \] (4.65)
The first, gauge-invariant, part is given by
\[ W^{H}_{\mu \nu}(q) = \sum_f e_f^2 W^{Hf}_{\mu \nu}(q), \quad W^{Hf}_{\mu \nu}(q) = \int d^2k_\perp H_f(q, k_\perp) W^{Hf}_{\mu \nu}(q, k_\perp) \] (4.66)
where \( H_f \) is given by eq. (4.36) and
\[ m^2 W^{H}_{\mu \nu}(q, k_\perp) = -k_\mu^\perp (q-k)_{\perp} - k_\nu^\perp (q-k)_{\perp} - g_{\mu \nu}(k, q-k)_\perp + 2 \frac{\hat{q}_\mu q_\nu - q_\mu^\perp q_\nu^\perp}{Q^4} k_\perp^2 (q-k)_{\perp} \] (4.67)
\[ \frac{q_\mu^\perp}{Q^4} \left[ k^\perp_1 (q-k)_{\perp} + k^\perp_\nu (q-k)_{\perp} \right] + \frac{q_\nu^\perp}{Q^4} \left[ k^\perp_\mu (q-k)_{\perp} + k^\perp_\nu (q-k)_{\perp} \right] + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu \]
\[ - \frac{\hat{q}_\mu q_\nu + q_\mu^\perp q_\nu^\perp}{Q^4} \left[ q_\perp^2 - 2 (k, q-k)_\perp \right] (k, q-k)_\perp - \frac{q_\mu^\perp q_\nu + q_\mu q_\nu^\perp}{Q^4} (k, q-k)_\perp \]
where \( q^\mu_\parallel = \alpha_q p_1 + \beta_q p_2 \) and \( \hat{q}_\mu = \alpha_q p_1 - \beta_q p_2 \). It is easy to see that \( q^\mu W^{H}_{\mu \nu} = 0 \).

The second part is
\[ W^{2H}_{\mu \nu}(q) = \sum_f e_f^2 W^{2Hf}_{\mu \nu}(q), \]
\[ W^{2Hf}_{\mu \nu}(q) = \frac{1}{Q^4} \int d^2k_\perp \left\{ \frac{1}{m^2} \left[ (k^\perp_{\mu} (q-k)_{\perp} + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu) (k, q-k)_\perp - k^\perp_{\nu} (q-k)_\perp \right] \right\} H_f^4(q, k_\perp) + O \left( \frac{1}{N_c} \right) + O \left( \frac{Q^4}{Q^4} \right) \] (4.68)
where $H_A$ is given by eq. (4.51). These terms are not gauge invariant: $q^\mu W_{\mu\nu}^2(q) \neq 0$. The reason is that gauge invariance is restored after adding terms like $\frac{p_{\perp}^2}{\alpha_q \beta_q s^2}$ with eq. (3.4) which we do not calculate in this paper. Indeed, for example,

$$
q^\mu W_{\mu\nu}^2(q) \sim q^1_\nu q^2_1 \frac{\alpha_q}{\alpha \beta_q s} \quad \text{and} \quad q^\mu \times \frac{p_{\perp}^2 q^2_1 q^2_2}{\alpha_q \beta_q s^2} = q^1_\nu q^2_1 \frac{\alpha_q}{\alpha \beta_q s}
$$

They are of the same order so one should expect that gauge invariance is restored after calculation of the terms $\sim \frac{p_{\perp}^2 q^2_1 q^2_2}{\alpha_q \beta_q s^2}$ which are beyond the scope of this paper. For the same reason we see that all structures in eq. (3.7) except $\frac{q_{\mu}^2 q_{\nu}^2}{\alpha_q \beta_q s}$ are determined by leading-twist TMDs $f_1$ and $b_1^\perp$.

### 4.4 Exchange-type power corrections from $J_A^\mu(x)J_B^\nu(0)$ terms

Power corrections of the “exchange” type come from the terms

$$
\tilde W^{\text{ex}}_{\mu\nu} (x) = \frac{N_c}{s} \langle p_A, p_B | \tilde \Psi_1 (x) \gamma_{\mu} \Psi_1 (x) | \tilde \Psi_2 (0) \gamma_{\nu} \Psi_2 (0) \rangle + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu | p_A, p_B \rangle + x \leftrightarrow 0 \quad (4.70)
$$

where $\Psi_1$ and $\Psi_2$ are given by eq. (3.4). As demonstrated in ref. [16], the nonzero contributions are

$$
\tilde W^{\text{ex}}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{N_c}{s} \langle p_A, p_B | \tilde \Xi_1 (x) \gamma_{\mu} \psi_A (x)^f \tilde \Psi_B (0) \gamma_{\nu} \Xi_2 (0) \rangle \quad (4.71)
$$

with transverse $\mu$ and $\nu$.

It is convenient to calculate traceless part and trace separately. Let us start from traceless part. Separating color-singlet contributions with the help of the formula

$$
\langle \tilde \psi_i A_i^\mu \psi_n \rangle \equiv \frac{2 i m}{N_c^2 - 1} \langle \tilde \psi A_i \psi \rangle \quad (4.72)
$$

and using eq. (A.32), we get the corresponding term in $\tilde W_{\mu\nu}$ in the form

$$
\tilde W^{\text{ex}}_{\mu\nu} \sim \frac{g_{\mu\nu}}{2} \left( \tilde W^{\text{ex}}_{\mu\nu} \right)^m_m = \frac{N_c}{(N_c^2 - 1) s^2} \langle \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) \ (x) \ p_2 \tilde A_\mu (0) \psi (x) + \tilde \psi (x) \tilde A_\mu (0) \ p_2 \frac{1}{\alpha} \psi (x) \rangle_A
$$

$$
\times \langle \left( \frac{1}{\beta} \right) \ (0) \ p_1 \tilde B_\nu (x) \psi (0) + \tilde \psi (0) \tilde B_\nu (x) \ p_1 \frac{1}{\beta} \psi (0) \rangle_B \ + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu - \text{trace} \ + \ x \leftrightarrow 0
$$

where we used notations

$$
\tilde A_i \equiv A_i - i \bar A_i \gamma_5, \quad \tilde B_i \equiv B_i - i \bar B_i \gamma_5 \quad (4.74)
$$
Using parametrization of matrix elements (A.66) and (A.67) we get
\[
W_{\mu \nu}^{\text{ex}}(q) - \text{trace} = \frac{s/2}{(2\pi)^4} \int d^4x \ e^{-iqx} \left(W_{\mu \nu}^{\text{ex}}(x) - \text{trace}\right),
\]
\[
= \frac{N_c}{(N_c^2 - 1) Q^2} \int d^2k_\perp \left[k_\perp^+ (q - k)^- + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu + g_{\mu \nu} (k, q - k)^-\right] J^{I f} (q, k_\perp)
\]  
(4.75)

where \( J^{I f} (q, k_\perp) \) are defined in eq. (A.71).

The trace part can be obtained in a similar way. Using eq. (A.31) one gets
\[
g_{mn} W_{mn}^{\text{ex}}(q) = \frac{2N_c}{(N_c^2 - 1) s^3} \left( \left( \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) (x) \tilde{A}_m (0) \tilde{p}_2 \psi (x) + \bar{\psi} (x) \tilde{p}_2 \tilde{A}_m (0) \frac{1}{\alpha} \psi (x) \right)_A \right.
\]
\[
\times \left( \left( \frac{1}{\beta} \right) (0) \tilde{B}_m (x) \bar{p}_1 \psi (0) \right)_B + \bar{\psi} (0) \tilde{p}_1 \tilde{B}_m (x) \frac{1}{\beta} \psi (0) \right)_B \right) + x \leftrightarrow 0
\]  
(4.76)

The corresponding contribution to trace part of \( W(\alpha_q, \beta_q, x_\perp) \) takes the form
\[
\frac{1}{2} g_{mn} W_{mn}^{\text{ex}}(q) = \frac{s/4}{(2\pi)^4} \int d^4x \ e^{-iqx} g_{mn} W_{mn}^{\text{ex}}(x_\perp)
\]
\[
= - \frac{N_c}{(N_c^2 - 1) Q^2} \int d^2k_\perp (k, q - k)_\perp J^{I f} (q, k_\perp)
\]  
(4.77)

which agrees with eq. (6.2) from ref. [14] after replacements \( j_2 = j_2^{\text{tw3}} - i j_2^{\text{tw2}} \) and \( \tilde{j}_2 = j_2^{\text{tw3}} + i j_2^{\text{tw2}} \). It should be noted that the difference between \( j_1 \) and \( j_2 \) in traceless vs trace part is due to difference in formulas (A.32) and (A.31).

The total contribution of “exchange” power corrections is the sum of eqs. (4.75) and (4.77), see eq. (4.80) below.

### 4.5 Resulting hadronic tensor for photon-mediated DY process

It is convenient to represent hadronic tensor as a sum of three parts
\[
W_{\mu \nu}(q) = W_{\mu \nu}^1(q) + W_{\mu \nu}^{2H}(q) + W_{\mu \nu}^3(q)
\]  
(4.78)

The first, gauge-invariant, part has the form
\[
W_{\mu \nu}^1(q) = \sum_f e_f^2 \left[ W_{\mu \nu}^{EF}(q) + W_{\mu \nu}^{HF}(q) \right]
\]
\[
W_{\mu \nu}^{EF}(q) = \int d^2k_\perp F^f(q, k_\perp) W_{\mu \nu}^F(q, k_\perp),
\]
\[
W_{\mu \nu}^{HF}(q) = \int d^2k_\perp H^f(q, k_\perp) W_{\mu \nu}^H(q, k_\perp)
\]  
(4.79)

where functions \( F^f(q, k_\perp) \) and \( H^f(q, k_\perp) \) are given by eqs. (4.12) and (4.36) while \( W_{\mu \nu}^F(q, k_\perp) \) and \( W_{\mu \nu}^H(q, k_\perp) \) are presented in eqs. (4.31) and (4.67), respectively.\(^7\)

Note that \( q^\mu W_{\mu \nu}^F \) and \( q^\mu W_{\mu \nu}^H \) are exactly zero without any \( \frac{q^2}{D^2} \) corrections. This is similar to usual

\(^7\)It should be mentioned that \( W_{\mu \nu}^F \) part coincides with the result obtained in refs. [22, 23] using parton Reggeization approach to DY process [24].
“forward” DIS, but different from off-forward DVCS where the cancellations of right-hand sides of Ward identities involve infinite towers of twists [25–27].

The second part is given by eq. (4.68). It has the same order in $N_c$ as the first part but unfortunately is determined by quark-quark-gluon TMDs which are virtually unknown.

The third, “exchange”, part is given by the sum of eqs. (4.75) and (4.77).

$$W_{\mu\nu}^{ex}(q) = \sum_{f,f'} \epsilon_{f} \epsilon_{f'} W_{\mu\nu}^{ex f f'}(q)$$

$$W_{\mu\nu}^{ex f f'}(q) = \frac{N_c}{(N_c^2 - 1) Q_F^2} \int d^2 k_\perp \left\{ \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( q - k \right)^2 + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu + g_{\mu\nu}^+(k, q - k) \right] J_{\perp}^{ff'}(q, k_\perp) - g_{\mu\nu}^-(k, q - k) J_{\perp}^{gf'}(q, k_\perp) \right\}$$

Both second and third part come only from transverse indices. These terms are not gauge invariant: $q^\mu W_{\mu\nu}^{2,3}(q) \neq 0$. The reason is that gauge invariance is restored after adding terms like $\frac{m_\pi^2}{Q_F^2} \times$ eq. (3.7) which we do not calculate in this paper. Indeed, for example,

$$q^\mu W_{\mu\nu}^{2,3}(q) \sim \frac{q_\perp q_{\perp 1}^2}{\alpha_\perp^2 \beta_\perp s^2} \quad \text{and} \quad q^\mu \times \frac{p_\perp q_\perp q_{\perp 1}^2}{\alpha_\perp^2 \beta_\perp s^2} = \frac{q_\perp q_{\perp 1}^2}{\alpha_\perp^2 \beta_\perp s^2}$$

They are of the same order so one should expect that gauge invariance is restored after calculation of the terms $\sim \frac{p_\perp q_\perp q_{\perp 1}^2}{\alpha_\perp^2 \beta_\perp s^2}$ which are beyond the scope of this paper. For the same reason we see that all structures in eq. (3.7) except $\frac{q_\perp q_{\perp 1}^2}{\alpha_\perp^2 \beta_\perp s^2}$ and $\frac{q_\perp q_{\perp 1}^2}{\alpha_\perp^2 \beta_\perp s^2}$ are determined by leading-twist TMDs $f_1$ and $h_1^\perp$.

In the remaining sections we will use formulas from this section as guidelines for calculation of other hadronic tensors in eq. (2.6).

5 Z-mediated hadronic tensor

In this section we will consider the hadronic tensor corresponding to the part of DY cross section mediated by Z-boson. Let us start from the symmetric tensor $W_{\mu\nu}^{ZS}$ defined in eq. (2.9)

5.1 Symmetric part of Z-mediated hadronic tensor

As in the photon case, after integration over central fields $J^\mu$ in eq. (2.4) is replaced by

$$- J^\mu = J_1^\mu + J_2^\mu + J_3^\mu + J_4^\mu$$

$$J_1^\mu = \sum_f c_f \bar{\Psi}_f \gamma_\mu (a_f - \gamma_5) \Psi_f, \quad J_2^\mu = \sum_f c_f \bar{\Psi}_f \gamma_\mu (a_f - \gamma_5) \Psi_f$$

and similarly for $J_3^\mu$ and $J_4^\mu$.

We start from “annihilation-type” contributions and consider

$$W_{\mu\nu}^{ZSa} = \frac{N_c}{s} \langle A, B | J_{12}^\mu(x) J_{21}^\nu(0) + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu | A, B \rangle + x \leftrightarrow 0$$

(5.2)
Similarly to the photon case, we will perform calculations for one flavor and sum over flavors later. After Fierz transformations (A.1), (A.3) one gets the hadronic tensor (5.2) in the form

\[
c_f^{-2} \hat{W}_{\mu \nu}^{2Ss} = \frac{N_c}{s} \langle A, B | a_f^2 \Psi_1 \gamma_\mu \Psi_2 (x) \Psi_2 \gamma_\nu \Psi_1 (0) + \Psi_1 \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 \Psi_2 (x) \Psi_2 \gamma_\nu \gamma_5 \Psi_1 (0) \\
- a_f \Psi_1 \gamma_\mu \Psi_2 (x) \Psi_2 \gamma_\nu \gamma_5 \Psi_1 (0) - a_f \Psi_1 \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 \Psi_2 (x) \Psi_2 \gamma_\nu \Psi_1 (0) | A, B \rangle + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu + x \leftrightarrow 0 \\
= (a_f^2 + 1) \hat{W}_{\mu \nu}^{Ff} (x) + (a_f^2 - 1) \hat{W}_{\mu \nu}^{HF} (x) - 2 a_f \hat{W}_{\mu \nu}^{5f} (x)
\]  

(5.3)

where \( \hat{W}_{\mu \nu}^{Ff} (x) \) and \( \hat{W}_{\mu \nu}^{HF} (x) \) are defined by eqs. (4.6) and (4.7) whereas

\[
\hat{W}_{\mu \nu}^{5f} (x) = \frac{N_c}{2s} \left( g_{\mu \nu} \eta^{\alpha \beta} - \delta_\mu^\alpha \delta_\nu^\beta - \delta_\mu^\alpha \delta_\nu^\beta \right) \left\{ \left[ \bar{\psi}_1 (x) \gamma_\alpha \gamma_5 \Psi_1 (0) \right] \left[ \bar{\Psi}_2 (0) \gamma_\beta \Psi_2 (x) \right] + \gamma_\alpha \gamma_5 \otimes \gamma_\beta \leftrightarrow \gamma_\alpha \otimes \gamma_\beta \gamma_5 \right\} + x \leftrightarrow 0.
\]  

(5.4)

for flavor \( f \) which we are considering. The results for \( \hat{W}_{\mu \nu}^{Ff} (q) \) and \( \hat{W}_{\mu \nu}^{HF} (q) \) are given by eqs. (4.30) and (4.65) from previous section while \( \hat{W}_{\mu \nu}^{5f} (q) \) must be evaluated anew.

We will prove now that

\[
\hat{W}_{\mu \nu}^{5f} (x) = 0
\]  

(5.5)

with our accuracy. It includes the same terms as we assembled to \( \hat{W}_{\mu \nu}^{F} (x) \) but with additional \( \gamma_5 \) attached to one of the fermion fields. Since extra \( \gamma_5 \) cannot change the power of \( s \) we need to look how the terms which gave leading contribution to \( \hat{W}_{\mu \nu}^{F} (x) \) are affected by extra \( \gamma_5 \). First, note that the leading-twist first term in the r.h.s. of eq. (4.10) with extra \( \gamma_5 \) can be neglected. Indeed, if one replaces \( \gamma_\alpha \) by \( \gamma_\alpha \gamma_5 \) (or \( \gamma_\beta \) by \( \gamma_\beta \gamma_5 \)) the term \( \sim g_{\mu \nu} \) vanishes and two other terms are \( \sim \frac{1}{4} p_{\beta 5} \epsilon_{\alpha j} q^j \) as seen from the parametrization (A.43). Similarly, replacement \( \gamma_\beta \rightarrow \gamma_\beta \gamma_5 \) gives terms of order of \( \sim \frac{1}{4} p_{\beta 5} \epsilon_{\alpha j} q^j \) which we neglect, see the discussion after eq. (3.7).

Next, let us consider sum of terms in \( \hat{W}_{1,2}^{(1)F} (x) \) and \( \hat{W}_{3,4}^{(1)F} (x) \) which has the form

\[
\frac{p_{2\mu}}{s^2} \left[ \langle \bar{\psi} (x) | \right. \frac{1}{\alpha} \langle \psi (0) \rangle \gamma_5 \langle \bar{\psi} B (0) \right. \langle \bar{\psi} \gamma_\lambda \psi (x) \rangle B + \langle \bar{\psi} \frac{1}{\alpha} (x) | \right. \langle \psi (0) \rangle \gamma_5 \langle \bar{\psi} 1 \tilde{B} (x) \right. \langle \psi (x) \rangle B \\
+ \langle \psi (0) \rangle \otimes \langle \psi (x) \rangle \leftrightarrow \psi (0) \rangle \gamma_5 \otimes \gamma_5 \psi (x) \rangle + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu + x \leftrightarrow 0.
\]  

(5.6)

see eqs. (4.14) and (4.16).\(^8\) It is easy to see that the replacement \( \psi (0) \rightarrow \gamma_5 \psi (0) \) gives either vanishing projectile matrix element or vanishing target matrix element after using eqs. (A.45), (A.46), (A.52), and (A.53). Similarly, the sum of contributions to \( \hat{W}_{3,4}^{(1)F} (x) + \hat{W}_{4}^{(1)F} (x) \)

\[
\frac{p_{\mu}}{s^2} \left[ \langle \bar{\psi} A (x) | \right. \frac{1}{\beta} \gamma_\lambda \psi (0) \rangle \right. \langle \bar{\psi} \frac{1}{\beta} (x) | \right. \langle \psi (0) \rangle \gamma_5 \otimes \gamma_5 \psi (x) \rangle + \psi (0) \otimes \psi (x) \leftrightarrow \psi (0) \gamma_5 \otimes \gamma_5 \psi (x) \rangle + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu + x \leftrightarrow 0
\]  

(5.7)

\(^8\)In these equations we dropped vanishing terms \( + \psi (0) \otimes \psi (x) \leftrightarrow \psi (0) \gamma_5 \otimes \gamma_5 \psi (x) \) but now we need them.
vanishes after replacement $\psi(0) \rightarrow \gamma_5 \psi(0)$ due to QCD equations of motion mentioned above. Thus, $\tilde{W}^{(1)5}_{\mu \nu}(x) = 0$

Let us now consider leading $W_{\mu \nu}^{(2)F}$ terms with two gluon operators discussed in section 4.1.2, see eq. (4.18). As we saw, the leading contribution comes from transverse $\mu$ and $\nu$. It is given by sum of eqs. (4.20), (4.21), and the corresponding terms coming from $[\Xi^n(x) \gamma_\alpha \gamma_5 \psi^\alpha_A(0)] [\Xi^2(x) \gamma_\beta \gamma_5 \psi^\beta_B(x)]$

\[
\tilde{W}^{(2)F}_{\mu \nu}(x) = \frac{g_{\mu \nu}}{s^3} \left( \left( \bar{x} \gamma_\alpha \gamma_5 \psi^\alpha_A(0) \right) A \left( \bar{x} \gamma_\beta \gamma_5 \psi^\beta_B(x) \right) + \left( \bar{x} \gamma_\beta \gamma_5 \psi^\beta_B(0) \right) A \left( \bar{x} \gamma_\alpha \gamma_5 \psi^\alpha_A(x) \right) \right) + x \leftrightarrow 0 \quad (5.8)
\]

As was mentioned in the end of section 4.1.2, from equations (A.31) it is clear that the second term in the r.h.s. gives the same contribution as the first term. If, however, one replaces $\psi(0) \leftrightarrow \gamma_5 \psi(0)$ (or, equivalently, $\psi(x) \leftrightarrow \gamma_5 \psi(x)$), it is easy to see that the two contributions cancel so $\tilde{W}^{(2)F}_{\mu \nu}(x) = 0$.

Next, the leading terms in $\tilde{W}^{(2)F}_{2\mu \nu}(x)$ are given by eqs. (4.25) and (4.27)

\[
\tilde{W}^{(2)F}_{2\mu \nu}(x) = -\frac{4p_1 \cdot p_2 \mu_1 v}{s^4} \left( \left( \bar{x} \gamma_\alpha \gamma_5 \psi^\alpha_A(0) \right) A \left( \bar{x} \gamma_\beta \gamma_5 \psi^\beta_B(x) \right) + \left( \bar{x} \gamma_\beta \gamma_5 \psi^\beta_B(0) \right) A \left( \bar{x} \gamma_\alpha \gamma_5 \psi^\alpha_A(x) \right) \right) + x \leftrightarrow 0 \quad (5.9)
\]

If we now replace $\psi(0) \leftrightarrow \gamma_5 \psi(0)$ it is easy to see from eqs. (A.46) and (A.61) that $\tilde{W}^{(2)5}_{\mu \nu}(x) = 0$. As to $\tilde{W}^{(2)F}_{3\mu \nu}(x)$, from eq. (4.29) we see that it is $O\left(\frac{1}{N_c^2}\right)$ so we neglect it.

Thus, we obtain the “annihilation part” of symmetric hadronic tensor due to Z-boson currents in the form

\[
W^{ZSan}_{\mu \nu} = e^2 \sum_f c^2_f \left[ (a_f^2 + 1) W^{FF}_{\mu \nu}(q) + (a_f^2 - 1) W^{FH}_{\mu \nu}(q) \right] \quad (5.10)
\]

where $W^{FF}_{\mu \nu}(q)$ and $W^{FH}_{\mu \nu}(q)$ are given by eqs. (4.30) and (4.65). Note that it is gauge invariant up to $W^{ZH}_{\mu \nu}(q)$ term discussed in the end of section 4.

### 5.1.1 Exchange-type power corrections to $W^{ZS}_{\mu \nu}$

Power corrections of the “exchange” type come from the terms

\[
\left( \tilde{W}^{ZS}_{ff} \right)_{\mu \nu}(x)_{\text{ex}} = c_f c_{f'} \left[ a_f a_{f'} \tilde{W}^{ff}_{\mu \nu}(x) + \tilde{W}^{Sff}_{5a_{5a}}(x) - a_f a_{f'} \tilde{W}^{Sff}_{5b}(x) - a_{f'} \tilde{W}^{Sff}_{5b}(x) \right] \quad (5.11)
\]
where \( \hat{\mathcal{W}}_{\mu\nu}^{ex}(x) \) is given by eq. (4.71) while \( (\hat{\mathcal{W}}_{55}^{S})_{\mu\nu}^{ex}(x) \) and \( (\hat{\mathcal{W}}_{5A,B}^{S})_{\mu\nu}^{ex}(x) \) are defined as

\[
(\hat{\mathcal{W}}_{55}^{Sff})_{\mu\nu}^{ex}(x) = \frac{N_c}{(N_c^2 - 1) S^3} \left( \left( \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) (x) \right) \right) \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\mu\nu}^{A} 0 \gamma_5 \hat{\mathcal{V}}_{\mu\nu}^{(0)} + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu \left| A, B \right| \}
\]

As seen from the of comparison parametrizations (A.66) and (A.70), the replacement \( \psi \rightarrow \gamma_5 \psi \) in the projectile matrix elements leads to \( k_{\mu j_1} \rightarrow \pm i \epsilon_{\mu \nu} k^\nu j_1, k_{\mu j_2} \rightarrow \pm i \epsilon_{\mu \nu} k^\nu j_2 \). Similarly, the replacement \( \psi \rightarrow \gamma_5 \psi \) in target matrix elements yields \( (q-k)_{\mu j_1,2} \rightarrow \pm i \epsilon_{\mu \nu} (q-k)_{\gamma 5 \nu} j_1,2 \). Looking at the result (4.80) and taking care of signs of replacements \( \psi \rightarrow \gamma_5 \psi \) in eqs. (A.66) and (A.67), we obtain

\[
(\hat{\mathcal{W}}_{55}^{Sff})_{\mu\nu}^{ex}(x) = \frac{N_c}{(N_c^2 - 1) Q^2} \left( \left( \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) (x) \right) \right) \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\mu\nu}^{A} 0 \gamma_5 \hat{\mathcal{V}}_{\mu\nu}^{(0)} + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu \left| A, B \right| \}
\]

and

\[
(\hat{\mathcal{W}}_{5a}^{Sff})_{\mu\nu}^{ex}(x) = \frac{N_c}{(N_c^2 - 1) Q^2} \left( \left( \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) (x) \right) \right) \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\mu\nu}^{A} 0 \gamma_5 \hat{\mathcal{V}}_{\mu\nu}^{(0)} + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu \left| A, B \right| \}
\]
\((W_{\mu\nu}^{SS\prime})_{5b}^{ex}(x) = \frac{N_c}{(N_c^2 - 1) s} \left( \left( \psi \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) (x) \beta_2 A_\mu (0) \psi(x) + \bar{\psi} (x) A_\mu (0) \beta_2 \frac{1}{\alpha} \psi(x) \right)_A \right. \\
\times \left. \left( \left( \psi \frac{1}{\beta} \right) (0) \beta_1 B_\nu (x) \gamma_5 \psi(0) \right)_B + \bar{\psi}(0) \bar{B}_\nu(x) \left( \psi \frac{1}{\beta} \right) (x) \gamma_5 \psi(x) \right)_B + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu - \text{trace} \right.
\end{array} \\
+ \sum_{\mu \nu} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) (x) A_\mu (0) \beta_2 \gamma_5 \psi(x) + \bar{\psi} (x) \beta_2 A_\mu (0) \gamma_5 \psi(x) \right)_A
\times \left. \left( \left( \psi \frac{1}{\beta} \right) (0) \bar{B}^m (x) \beta_1 \gamma_5 \psi(0) \right)_B + \bar{\psi}(0) \beta_1 \bar{B}^m (x) \left( \psi \frac{1}{\beta} \right) (x) \gamma_5 \psi(x) \right)_B \right) + x \leftrightarrow 0
\Rightarrow \left( W_{\mu\nu}^{SS\prime} \right)_{5b}^{ex}(q) = \frac{N_c}{(N_c^2 - 1) s} \int d^2 k_\perp [k_\mu \epsilon_{\mu\nu} (q - k)^n + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu] I_{\mu\nu}^{eff}(q, k_\perp)
\] (5.15)

where

Note that the two last contributions are traceless since

\[
\epsilon_{ij} \int d^2 k_\perp k^i (q - k)^j \phi_1 \left( k_\perp^2 \right) \phi_2 \left( (q - k)^2 \right) = 0
\] (5.16)

for any functions \( \phi_1 \) and \( \phi_2 \).

### 5.1.2 Results for symmetric hadronic tensor for Z-mediated DY process

It is convenient to represent the hadronic tensor \( W_{\mu\nu}^{ZS} \) as a sum of three parts

\[
W_{\mu\nu}^{ZS} (q) = W_{\mu\nu}^{ZS1} (q) + W_{\mu\nu}^{ZS2} (q) + W_{\mu\nu}^{ZS3} (q)
\] (5.17)

The first, gauge-invariant, part is given by eq. (5.3)

\[
W_{\mu\nu}^{ZS1} (q) = e^2 \sum_f c_f^2 \left[ \left( a_f^2 + 1 \right) W_{\mu\nu}^{FF} (q) + \left( a_f^2 - 1 \right) W_{\mu\nu}^{HF} (q) \right]
\]

\[
W_{\mu\nu}^{FF} (q) = \frac{1}{N_c} \int d^2 k_\perp F_f (q, k_\perp) W_{\mu\nu}^{F} (q, k_\perp),
\]

\[
= W_{\mu\nu}^{FF} (q) = \frac{1}{N_c} \int d^2 k_\perp H_f (q, k_\perp) W_{\mu\nu}^{H} (q, k_\perp)
\] (5.18)

where \( W_{\mu\nu}^{FF}(q) \) is given by eq. (4.30) and \( W_{\mu\nu}^{HF}(q) \) by eq.

\[
W_{\mu\nu}^{ZS2} = e^2 \sum_f c_f^2 \left[ \left( a_f^2 + 1 \right) W_{\mu\nu}^{FF} (q) + \left( a_f^2 - 1 \right) W_{\mu\nu}^{HF} (q) \right]
\] (5.19)

where \( W_{\mu\nu}^{FF}(q) \) and \( W_{\mu\nu}^{HF}(q) \) are given by eqs. (4.30) and (4.66).

The second part is

\[
W_{\mu\nu}^{ZS3} = \sum_f c_f^2 (a_f^2 - 1) W_{\mu\nu}^{2HF} (q)
\] (5.20)

where \( W_{\mu\nu}^{2HF} \) is given by eq. (4.68).
The third, “exchange”, part is given by the sum
\[
W^{ZSex}_{\mu\nu}(q) = \frac{N_c}{(N_c^2 - 1)Q^2} \sum_{f,f'} c_f c_{f'} \int d^2k_\perp \left\{ \left[ k^\perp_\mu (q - k)_\nu + \nu \leftrightarrow \mu + g^{\perp}_{\mu\nu} (k, q - k)_\perp \right] \\
\times \left[ a_f a_{f'} J_{f'f}^{I_{\perp\perp}} - J_{\perp\perp}^{I_{\perp\perp}} \right] - g^{\perp}_{\mu\nu} (k, q - k)_\perp \left[ a_f a_{f'} J_{f'f}^{I_{\perp\perp}} - J_{\perp\perp}^{I_{\perp\perp}} \right] + a_f \epsilon_{\mu n} k^m (q - k)_\nu + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu \right] I_{\perp\perp}^{I_{\perp\perp}} (q, k_\perp)
\]
where \( J_{\pm \pm}^I \) are listed in eq. (A.71) and \( I_{\pm \pm}^I \) in eq. (A.72).

As in the photon case, the exchange power corrections are non-zero only for transverse \( \mu \) and \( \nu \) in our approximation.

5.2 Antisymmetric part of Z-boson hadronic tensor

The antisymmetric part of hadronic tensor for cross section mediated by Z-boson is defined in eq. (2.9) where we should make substitution \( \psi \rightarrow \Psi_1 + \Psi_2 \). Let us start from annihilation-type contribution
\[
\tilde{W}^{ZA\lambda}_{\mu\nu}(x) = \frac{N_c}{s} \langle A, B | \mathcal{J}_{12\mu}(x) \mathcal{J}_{21\nu}(0) - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu | A, B \rangle - x \leftrightarrow 0
\]
Using Fierz transformations (A.2) and (A.5) it can be rewritten as
\[
\tilde{W}^{ZA\lambda}_{\mu\nu} = \sum_f c_f^2 \left[ \frac{i}{2} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta}_{\mu\nu} \left[ -2 a_f \tilde{\eta}^{F\alpha\beta} + (a_f^2 + 1) \tilde{\eta}^{S\alpha\beta} \right] + (a_f^2 - 1) \tilde{W}^{as}_{\mu\nu} \right]
\]
where
\[
\tilde{\eta}^{F\alpha\beta}_{\mu\nu}(x) = \frac{N_c}{2s} \langle A, B | \left[ \tilde{\Psi}_1^m(x) \gamma_\mu \Psi_1^n(0) \right] \left[ \tilde{\Psi}_2^n(0) \gamma_\nu \Psi_2^m(x) \right] \gamma_\alpha \gamma_\beta \mu \nu \psi \rightarrow \Psi_1 + \Psi_2 \]
\[
\tilde{\eta}^{S\alpha\beta}_{\mu\nu}(x) = \frac{N_c}{2s} \langle A, B | \left[ \tilde{\Psi}_1^m(x) \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 \Psi_1^n(0) \right] \left[ \tilde{\Psi}_2^n(0) \gamma_\nu \gamma_5 \Psi_2^m(x) \right] \gamma_\alpha \gamma_\beta \mu \nu \psi \rightarrow \Psi_1 + \Psi_2 \]
\[
\tilde{W}^{as}_{\mu\nu}(x) = \frac{iN_c}{2s} \langle A, B | - \left[ \tilde{\Psi}_1^m(x) \Psi_1^n(0) \right] \left[ \tilde{\Psi}_2^n(0) \sigma_{\mu\nu} \Psi_2^m(x) \right] \gamma_\alpha \gamma_\beta \mu \nu \psi \rightarrow \Psi_1 + \Psi_2 \]
for the flavor under consideration.

Let us start from the \( \eta^{F\alpha\beta}_{\mu\nu}(x) \) given by the first line in eq. (5.24) and compare it to \( W^{F}_{\mu\nu}(x) \) for the photon case. It is easy to see that if we take \( W^{F}_{\mu\nu}(x) \) and antisymmetrize with respect to \( \mu \) and \( \nu \) instead of symmetrization, we will get \( \eta^{F\alpha\beta}_{\mu\nu}(x) \). Since antisymmetrization vs symmetrization does not affect power counting in \( \frac{q^2}{s} \) parameter (or \( \alpha_q, \beta_q \ll 1 \) parameter), we can consider only terms that gave leading contribution to \( W^{\mu\nu}(x) \).
First, consider the leading-twist contribution

\[
\tilde{\psi}_{\mu\nu}^{F,lt}(x) = \frac{1}{2s} \left( \bar{\psi}(x_\bullet, x_\perp) \gamma_\mu \psi(0) \right)_A \left( \bar{\psi}_B(0) \gamma_\nu \psi(x_\bullet, x_\perp) \right)_B \\
+ \gamma_\mu \otimes \gamma_\nu \leftrightarrow \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 \otimes \gamma_\nu \gamma_5 - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu \right) - x \leftrightarrow 0. 
\]

(5.25)

Using parametrizations (A.39) and (A.42) we get

\[
W_{\mu\nu}^{F,lt}(q) = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \int dx_x dx_x d^2 x_\perp e^{-i q_x \cdot x_x - i \beta q_x + (q, x_\perp)} \tilde{\psi}_{\mu\nu}^{F,lt}(x) \]

\[
= -\frac{2}{s} (p_{1\mu} p_{2\nu} - p_{1\nu} p_{2\mu}) \int d^2 k_\perp \mathcal{F}(q, k_\perp) \tag{5.26}
\]

where

\[
\mathcal{F}(q, k_\perp) = f_1^f (\alpha_q, k_\perp) f_1^f (\beta_q, (q - k)_\perp) - f_1^f \leftrightarrow f_1^f \tag{5.27}
\]

As usually, term with \( f_1 \leftrightarrow f_1 \) comes from \( x \leftrightarrow 0 \) contribution.

Next, we consider terms with gluon operators and separate them as in section 4.1 according to number of gluon fields (contained in \( \Xi \)’s):

\[
\tilde{\psi}_{\mu\nu}^{F}(x) = \tilde{\psi}_{\mu\nu}^{F,lt}(x) + \tilde{\psi}_{\mu\nu}^{F,1}(x) + \tilde{\psi}_{\mu\nu}^{F,2a}(x) + \tilde{\psi}_{\mu\nu}^{F,2b}(x) + \tilde{\psi}_{\mu\nu}^{F,2c}(x) \tag{5.28}
\]

where leading-twist term \( \tilde{\psi}_{\mu\nu}^{F,lt} \) was considered above, and

\[
\tilde{\psi}_{\mu\nu}^{F,1}(x) = \frac{N_c}{2s} (A, B) \left[ \bar{\psi}_A^n(x) \gamma_\mu \overline{\Xi}_1^n(0) \right] \left[ \bar{\psi}_B^n(0) \gamma_\nu \Xi_2^n(x) \right] \\
+ \left[ \Xi_1^n(x) \gamma_\mu \psi_A^n(0) \right] \left[ \bar{\psi}_B^n(0) \gamma_\nu \psi_A^n(x) \right] + \left[ \bar{\psi}_A^n(x) \gamma_\mu \psi_B^n(0) \right] \left[ \bar{\psi}_B^n(0) \gamma_\nu \Xi_2^n(x) \right] \\
+ \left[ \bar{\psi}_A^n(x) \gamma_\mu \psi_A^n(0) \right] \left[ \Xi_2^n(0) \gamma_\nu \psi_B^n(x) \right] + \gamma_\mu \otimes \gamma_\nu \leftrightarrow \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 \otimes \gamma_\nu \gamma_5 - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu |A, B| - x \leftrightarrow 0 \tag{5.29}
\]

\[
\tilde{\psi}_{\mu\nu}^{F,2a}(x) = \frac{N_c}{2s} (A, B) \left[ \bar{\psi}_A^n(x) \gamma_\mu \Xi_1^n(0) \right] \left[ \bar{\psi}_B^n(0) \gamma_\nu \Xi_2^n(x) \right] \\
+ \Xi_1^n(x) \gamma_\mu \psi_A^n(0) \left[ \Xi_2^n(0) \gamma_\nu \psi_B^n(x) \right] + \gamma_\mu \otimes \gamma_\nu \leftrightarrow \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 \otimes \gamma_\nu \gamma_5 - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu |A, B| - x \leftrightarrow 0 \tag{5.30}
\]

\[
\tilde{\psi}_{\mu\nu}^{F,2b}(x) = \frac{N_c}{2s} (A, B) \left[ \bar{\psi}_A^n(x) \gamma_\mu \psi_A^n(0) \right] \left[ \Xi_2^n(0) \gamma_\nu \Xi_2^n(x) \right] \\
+ \left[ \Xi_1^n(x) \gamma_\mu \Xi_1^n(0) \right] \left[ \bar{\psi}_B^n(0) \gamma_\nu \psi_B^n(x) \right] + \gamma_\mu \otimes \gamma_\nu \leftrightarrow \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 \otimes \gamma_\nu \gamma_5 - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu |A, B| - x \leftrightarrow 0 \tag{5.31}
\]

and

\[
\tilde{\psi}_{\mu\nu}^{F,2c}(x) = \frac{N_c}{2s} (A, B) \left[ \Xi_1^n(x) \gamma_\mu \psi_A^n(0) \right] \left[ \bar{\psi}_B^n(0) \gamma_\nu \Xi_2^n(x) \right] \\
+ \left[ \bar{\psi}_A^n(x) \gamma_\mu \Xi_1^n(0) \right] \left[ \Xi_2^n(0) \gamma_\nu \psi_B^n(x) \right] + \gamma_\mu \otimes \gamma_\nu \leftrightarrow \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 \otimes \gamma_\nu \gamma_5 - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu |A, B| - x \leftrightarrow 0 \tag{5.32}
\]

The corresponding contributions to \( W_{\mu\nu}(q) \) will be denoted \( W_{\mu\nu}^{(1)F} \), \( W_{\mu\nu}^{(2a)F} \), \( W_{\mu\nu}^{(2b)F} \), and \( W_{\mu\nu}^{(2c)F} \), respectively. We will consider these contributions in turn following the analysis in section 4.1.

\footnote{Recall that “check” means \( W \)’s in coordinate space multiplied by \( \frac{2N_c}{s} \), cf. eq. (4.1).}
5.2.1 One-gluon terms in $\mathcal{W}_{1\mu\nu}^F$

First, we consider terms with one gluon operator and start with

$$[\bar{\psi}_A^m(x)\gamma_{\mu}\xi_1(0)][\bar{\psi}_B^m(x)\gamma_{\nu}\psi_{B}^m(x)].$$

Using $\xi_1 = -\frac{p_s}{s}\gamma^i\gamma_5\frac{1}{\alpha}\psi_A$ and separating color-singlet terms, we get

$$\tilde{\mathcal{W}}_{1\mu\nu}^{(1)F}(x) = -\frac{1}{2s^2} \left\{ \left( \langle \bar{\psi}(x)\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_5\psi(0) \rangle_A \langle \bar{\psi}B_i(0)\gamma_{\nu}\psi(x) \rangle_B \right) + \left( \langle \psi(0)\otimes\psi(x) \rangle \leftrightarrow \gamma_5\langle \psi(0)\otimes\gamma_5\psi(x) \rangle - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu \right) - x \leftrightarrow 0 \right\} \right\} (5.33)$$

As we discussed above, we need to consider only terms which gave leading contribution for symmetric case, i.e. with one index longitudinal and the other transverse. Similarly to eq. (4.14), for longitudinal $\mu$ and transverse $\nu$ we get

$$\left( \frac{2p_{\mu}p_{\nu}'}{s} + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu' \right) \tilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\mu\nu}^{(1)F}(x) = - \left\{ \left( \frac{p_{\mu}p_{\nu}'}{s^2} + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu' \right) \left( \langle \bar{\psi}(x)\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_5\psi(0) \rangle_A \langle \bar{\psi}B_i(0)\gamma_{\nu}\psi(x) \rangle_B \right) + \left( \langle \psi(0)\otimes\psi(x) \rangle \leftrightarrow \gamma_5\langle \psi(0)\otimes\gamma_5\psi(x) \rangle - \mu' \leftrightarrow \nu \right) - x \leftrightarrow 0 \right\} \right\} (5.34)$$

The term proportional to $p_{2\mu}$ in the r.h.s. can be expressed using eq. (A.20) as follows:

$$\frac{p_{2\mu}}{s^3} \left\{ \left( \langle \bar{\psi}(x)\gamma_{\nu}\gamma_5\gamma_5\psi(0) \rangle_A \langle \bar{\psi}B_i(0)\gamma_{\mu}\psi(x) \rangle_B \right) + \left( \langle \psi(0)\otimes\psi(x) \rangle \leftrightarrow \gamma_5\langle \psi(0)\otimes\gamma_5\psi(x) \rangle - x \leftrightarrow 0 \right) \right\} \right\} (5.35)$$

since the second term in the first line is $O\left(\frac{p_{2\mu}}{s^2}\right)$ with respect to the first one. Next, if $\nu$ is longitudinal and $\mu$ transverse, we consider $\mathcal{W}_{1\nu\mu}^F = -\tilde{\mathcal{W}}_{1\mu\nu}^{(1)F}$, repeat the above calculation and get result (5.35) with $\mu \leftrightarrow \nu$. Thus, the case with longitudinal $\nu$ and transverse $\mu$ is obtained from (5.35) by $-(\mu \leftrightarrow \nu)$ replacement, so using eqs. (A.45), (A.50) and parametrizations from section A.2 we obtain

$$\mathcal{W}_{1\mu\nu}^{(1)F}(q) = \frac{p_{2\mu}}{s^3} \left\{ \int d^2k_{\perp} (q-k)^{\perp}\bar{f}(q, k_{\perp}) - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu \right\} (5.36)$$

which is the same as eq. (4.15), only with antisymmetrization in $\mu, \nu$ and $f \leftrightarrow \bar{f}$ instead of symmetrization.
The second term in the r.h.s. of eq. (5.29) with longitudinal $\mu$ and transverse $\nu$ can be obtained in a similar way. Repeating steps from eq. (5.33) to eq. (5.35), we get

\[
\hat{\mathcal{W}}_{12}^{(1)F}(x) = \frac{N_c}{2s} [A, B] \left[ \tilde{\psi}_A^\mu (x) \gamma_\mu \psi_A^\nu (0) \right] \left[ \tilde{\psi}_B^\nu (0) \gamma_\nu \psi_A^\mu (x) \right] |A, B\rangle \\
+ \gamma_\mu \otimes \gamma_\nu \leftrightarrow \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 \otimes \gamma_\nu \gamma_5 - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu |A, B\rangle - x \leftrightarrow 0
\]

\[
= - \frac{1}{2s^2} \int \left\{ \left( \tilde{\psi} \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) (x) \gamma_\mu \tilde{p}_2 \gamma_\mu \frac{1}{\alpha} \psi (0) \right\}_A \left\langle \tilde{\psi} (0) \gamma_\nu B^\mu (x) \psi (x) \right\}_B \\
+ \left\langle \psi (0) \otimes \psi (x) \leftrightarrow \gamma_5 \psi (0) \otimes \gamma_5 \psi (x) - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu \right\} - x \leftrightarrow 0
\]

\[
= - \left( \frac{p_{2\mu} p_{2\mu}'}{s^3} + \mu \leftrightarrow \mu' \right) \left\{ \langle \tilde{\psi} (x) A^\mu (x) \gamma_\mu \psi (0) \rangle_A \langle \tilde{\psi} (0) \gamma_\nu p_1 \gamma_i \frac{1}{\beta} \psi (x) \rangle_B \\
+ \langle \psi (0) \otimes \psi (x) \leftrightarrow \gamma_5 \psi (0) \otimes \gamma_5 \psi (x) - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu \rangle \right\} - x \leftrightarrow 0
\]

\[
\approx \frac{p_{2\mu} p_{2\mu}'}{s^3} \left\{ \langle \tilde{\psi} (x) \gamma_\mu \tilde{p}_2 \tilde{\gamma}_\mu \psi (0) \rangle_A \langle \tilde{\psi} (0) \gamma_\nu \gamma_i \frac{1}{\beta} \psi (x) \rangle_B \\
+ \langle \psi (0) \otimes \psi (x) \leftrightarrow \gamma_5 \psi (0) \otimes \gamma_5 \psi (x) \rangle - x \leftrightarrow 0
\]

The opposite case with transverse $\mu$ and longitudinal $\nu$ is obtained by $-(\mu \leftrightarrow \nu)$ and therefore from eq. (A.53) we get

\[
\hat{\mathcal{W}}_{12}^{(1)F}(q) = \frac{p_{2\mu}}{\alpha q s} \int d^2k_\perp (q - k_\perp) \mathcal{F} (q, k_\perp) - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu
\]

which doubles the result (5.36) similarly to the symmetric case.

Rewriting now the third term in the r.h.s. of eq. (5.29) with longitudinal $\mu$ and transverse $\nu$ and repeating the above steps, we get (recall $\Xi_2 = - \frac{p_2}{s} \gamma^i A_i \frac{1}{\beta} \psi_B$):

\[
\hat{\mathcal{W}}_{12}^{(2)F}(x) = \frac{N_c}{2s} [A, B] \left[ \tilde{\psi}_A^\mu (x) \gamma_\mu \psi_A^\nu (0) \right] \left[ \tilde{\psi}_B^\nu (0) \gamma_\nu \Xi_2^\mu (x) \right] \\
+ \gamma_\mu \otimes \gamma_\nu \leftrightarrow \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 \otimes \gamma_\nu \gamma_5 - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu |A, B\rangle + x \leftrightarrow 0
\]

\[
= - \frac{1}{2s^2} \left\{ \langle \tilde{\psi} (x) A^\mu (x) \gamma_\mu \psi (0) \rangle_A \langle \tilde{\psi} (0) \gamma_\nu \gamma_1 \gamma_5 \psi (x) \rangle_B \\
+ \langle \psi (0) \otimes \psi (x) \leftrightarrow \gamma_5 \psi (0) \otimes \gamma_5 \psi (x) - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu \rangle \right\} - x \leftrightarrow 0
\]

\[
= - \left( \frac{p_{1\mu} p_{1\mu}'}{s^3} + \mu \leftrightarrow \mu' \right) \left\{ \langle \tilde{\psi} (x) A^\mu (x) \gamma_\mu \psi (0) \rangle_A \langle \tilde{\psi} (0) \gamma_\nu \gamma_1 \frac{1}{\beta} \psi (x) \rangle_B \\
+ \langle \psi (0) \otimes \psi (x) \leftrightarrow \gamma_5 \psi (0) \otimes \gamma_5 \psi (x) - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu \rangle \right\} - x \leftrightarrow 0
\]

As above, the case with longitudinal $\nu$ and transverse $\mu$ is obtained from (5.39) by $-(\mu \leftrightarrow \nu)$ replacement, so using eqs. (A.45), (A.50) and parametrizations from section A.2 we obtain

\[
\hat{\mathcal{W}}_{12}^{(2)F}(q) = - \frac{p_{1\mu}}{\beta q s} \int d^2k_\perp k_\perp \mathcal{F} (q, k_\perp) - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu
\]
Finally, similarly to eq. (5.37), it can be demonstrated that the forth term in the r.h.s. of eq. (5.29) $\sim [\bar{\psi}^A_\mu (x) \gamma_\mu \psi^A_1 (0)] \left[ \Xi_2^n (0) \gamma_\nu \psi^B_\nu (x) \right]$ doubles the contribution (4.50) of the third term, so we get

$$W^{(1)}_{\mu \nu} (q) = 2 \int d^2 k_\perp \left[ \frac{p_{2 \mu} (q - k_\perp)}{\alpha_q s} - \frac{p_{1 \mu} k_\perp}{\beta_q s} \right] f (q, k_\perp) - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu \quad (5.41)$$

Note that it can be obtained from eq. (4.17) by replacement of symmetrization in $\mu \leftrightarrow \nu$ and $f \leftrightarrow \bar{f}$ with antisymmetrization.

5.2.2 Two-gluon terms in $W^F_{\mu \nu}$

We start from the first term in the r.h.s. of eq. (5.30)

$$\tilde{W}^{(2a)}_{1 \mu \nu} (x) = \frac{N_c}{2s} \langle A, B \rangle \left[ \bar{\psi}^A_\mu (x) \gamma_\mu \Xi_1^n (0) \right] \left[ \bar{\psi}^B_\nu (0) \gamma_\nu \Xi_2^n (x) \right]$$

Separating color-singlet contributions one can rewrite eq. (5.42) as

$$\tilde{W}^{(2a)}_{1 \mu \nu} (x) = \frac{1}{2s^3} \left\{ \langle \bar{\psi} A_i (x) \gamma_\mu \slashed{p}_2 \gamma^j \frac{1}{\alpha} \psi (0) \rangle A \langle \bar{\psi} B_j (0) \gamma_\nu \slashed{p}_1 \gamma^j \frac{1}{\beta} \psi (x) \rangle B + \psi (0) \otimes \psi (x) \leftrightarrow \gamma_5 \psi (0) \otimes \gamma_5 \psi (x) - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu \right\} - x \leftrightarrow 0 \quad (5.43)$$

Similar to the symmetric case discussed in section 4.1.2, the leading term comes from $\mu$ and $\nu$ that are both transverse. In this case we can use formula (A.28) and get

$$\tilde{W}^{(2a)}_{1 \mu \nu} (x) = \frac{1}{2s^3} \left\{ \langle \bar{\psi} A_i (x) \gamma_\mu \slashed{p}_2 \gamma^j \frac{1}{\alpha} \psi (0) \rangle A \langle \bar{\psi} B_j (0) \gamma_\nu \slashed{p}_1 \gamma^j \frac{1}{\beta} \psi (x) \rangle B + \psi (0) \otimes \psi (x) \leftrightarrow \gamma_5 \psi (0) \otimes \gamma_5 \psi (x) - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu \right\} - x \leftrightarrow 0 \quad (5.44)$$

Using now eqs. (A.45), (A.50) and (A.52) we obtain contribution to $W^F_{\mu \nu} (q)$ in the form

$$W^{(2a)}_{1 \mu \nu} (x) = \frac{N_c}{2s} \langle A, B \rangle \left[ \Xi_1^n (x) \gamma_\mu \psi^A_1 (0) \right] \left[ \Xi_2^n (0) \gamma_\nu \psi^B_\nu (x) \right]$$

This term vanishes after integration over $k_\perp$ but we will keep it for a while since we want to have gauge invariance at the integrand level, see eq. (5.51) below.

Next, second term in the r.h.s. of eq. (5.30)

$$\tilde{W}^{(2a)}_{2 \mu \nu} (x) = \frac{N_c}{2s} \langle A, B \rangle \left[ \Xi_1^n (x) \gamma_\mu \psi^A_1 (0) \right] \left[ \Xi_2^n (0) \gamma_\nu \psi^B_\nu (x) \right]$$

$$+ \gamma_\mu \otimes \gamma_\nu \leftrightarrow \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 \otimes \gamma_\nu \gamma_5 - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu |A, B| - x \leftrightarrow 0 \quad (5.46)$$
at transverse $\mu$ and $\nu$ can be transformed to
\[
\tilde{W}^{(2a)F}_{\mu\nu}(x) = \frac{1}{2s^3} \left\{ \left( \frac{\psi}{\alpha} \right) (x) \gamma^\beta p_2 \gamma_{\mu\perp} A_i(0) \psi(0) A \left( \frac{\psi}{\alpha} \right)(0) \gamma^\beta p_1 \gamma_{\nu\perp} B_j(x) \psi(x) \right\} \\
+ \left( \frac{\psi}{\alpha} \right)(x) \gamma^\beta p_2 \psi(0) A \left( \frac{\psi}{\alpha} \right)(0) B_{\nu\perp}(x) p_1 \psi(x) B - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu \right\} - x \leftrightarrow 0
\]
(5.47)
where we used formula (A.28). It is easy to see that the corresponding contribution to $W_{\mu\nu}(q)$ doubles the result (5.45), same as for eq. (4.22) in the symmetric case, and we obtain
\[
W^{(2a)F}_{\mu\nu}(x) = \frac{2}{Q^2} \int d^2k_\perp [k_\mu(q - k)_\nu - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu] f(q, k_\perp).
\]
(5.48)
Again, this integral vanishes, but we keep the integrand as a part of eq. (5.51) in order to have gauge invariance (5.52) visible at the integrand level.

As one can anticipate from eq. (4.26) for the symmetric case, the contribution from eq. (5.31) vanishes. Indeed, e.g. for the first term we get
\[
\frac{N_c}{2s} (A, B) \left[ \tilde{W}^n_{\mu\nu}(x) \gamma^\beta \gamma_\mu A \left( \frac{\psi}{\alpha} \right)(0) \right] \left[ \tilde{W}^n_{\nu\mu}(x) \right] + \gamma_\mu \otimes \gamma_\nu \leftrightarrow \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 \otimes \gamma_\nu \gamma_5 - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu |A, B|
\]
\[
= \frac{p_{1\nu}}{s^3} \left( \left( \frac{\psi}{\alpha} \right)(x) A_j(x) \gamma_\mu A_i(0) \psi(0) A \left( \frac{\psi}{\alpha} \right)(0) \gamma^\beta p_1 \gamma^\beta \psi(x) \right)_B \\
+ \left( \frac{\psi}{\alpha} \right)(x) \gamma^\beta p_2 \psi(0) A \left( \frac{\psi}{\alpha} \right)(0) B_{\nu\perp}(x) p_1 \psi(x) B - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu \right\} - x \leftrightarrow 0
\]
(5.49)
Next, if the index $\mu$ is transverse, the contribution of this equation to $W^{E}_{\mu\nu}$ is of order of $p_{1\nu} p_{2\mu} \frac{s^2}{Q^2}$ (cf. eq. (4.24)) which is $O(\frac{q^2}{Q^2})$ in comparison to eq. (5.41). Also, if index $\nu$ is longitudinal, the contribution is $\sim p_{1\nu} p_{2\mu} \frac{q^4}{Q^2}$ which is $O(\frac{q^2 m^4}{Q^2})$ in comparison to leading-twist result (5.26). Thus, $W_{\mu\nu}^{(2b)F}(x) = 0$ with our accuracy. Finally, as discussed in section 4.1.2, the term $W_{\mu\nu}^{(2c)F}(x)$ is of order of $\frac{1}{N_c^2}$ and can be neglected.

5.2.3 Sum of $W^{E}_{\mu\nu}$ terms
Adding the contributions (5.26), (5.41), and (5.48) we obtain
\[
W^{E}_{\mu\nu}(q) = \int d^2k_\perp f(q, k_\perp) W^{E}_{\mu\nu}(q, k_\perp)
\]
(5.50)
where $f^J(q, k_\perp)$ is given by eq. (5.27) and
\[
W^{E}_{\mu\nu}(q, k_\perp) = \frac{2 p_{1\nu} p_{2\mu}}{s} + \frac{2 p_{2\nu}(q - k)_\nu}{\alpha q s} + \frac{2 p_{1\nu} k_{\mu}}{\beta q s} + \frac{2 k_{\mu}(q - k)_\nu}{\alpha q \beta q s} - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu
\]
\[
= \frac{q_{\mu}}{Q^2} \left( q_{\nu} + k_{\nu} - 2 k_{\nu}) - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu \right.
\]
(5.51)
The corresponding contribution to antisymmetric part of $Z$-boson hadronic tensor $W^{xy}_{\mu\nu}(q)$ is proportional to $e_{\mu\nu\lambda\beta} W^{\lambda\beta}(q)$ so we immediately see gauge invariance:
\[
q_{\mu} e^{\nu\alpha\beta} W^{E}_{\alpha\beta}(q, k_\perp) = 0
\]
(5.52)
5.2.4 $\mathcal{W}_{\mu\nu}^{F5}$ contribution

From eq. (5.24) we see that $\mathcal{W}_{\mu\nu}^{F5}$ and $\mathcal{W}_{\mu\nu}^{F}$ differ by replacement $\psi(0) \rightarrow \gamma_5 \psi(0)$. Let us consider terms assembled in $\mathcal{W}_{\mu\nu}^{F5}$ and prove that they vanish after such replacement. First, for the leading-twist contribution it is evident from parametrizations (A.43). Second, let us write down

$$
\tilde{W}_{1\mu\nu}^{(1)F} (x) + \tilde{W}_{2\mu\nu}^{(1)F} (x) =
\frac{p_{1\mu}}{s^2} \{ \langle \bar{\psi}(x) \gamma_\mu \psi(0) \rangle_A (\bar{\psi}(0) \gamma_\nu \psi(x))_B + \langle \bar{\psi}(x) \gamma_\mu \psi(0) \rangle_A \langle \bar{\psi}(0) \gamma_\nu \psi(x) \rangle_B \}
\quad - x \leftrightarrow 0
\tag{5.53}
$$

The contributions of these two terms are equal, but using equations from section A.3 it is easy to see that after replacement $\psi(0) \rightarrow \gamma_5 \psi(0)$ they cancel each other as in eq. (5.7) case so $\tilde{W}_{1\mu\nu}^{(1)F} (x) = 0$. Similarly, one can demonstrate that

$$
\tilde{W}_{3\mu\nu}^{(1)F5} (x) + \tilde{W}_{4\mu\nu}^{(1)F5} (x) = \frac{p_{1\mu}}{s^2} \left[ \langle \bar{\psi}(x) A(x) \gamma_\mu \psi(0) \rangle_A (\bar{\psi}(0) \gamma_\nu \psi(x))_B + \langle \bar{\psi}(x) A(x) \gamma_\mu \psi(0) \rangle_A (\bar{\psi}(0) \gamma_\nu \psi(x))_B \right] + \psi(0) \otimes \psi(x) \leftrightarrow \psi(0) \gamma_5 \otimes \gamma_5 \psi(x) 
\quad + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu + x \leftrightarrow 0
\tag{5.54}
$$

vanishes since the two terms in the r.h.s. cancel each other.

Let us turn now to terms with two gluon operators and start from $\tilde{W}_{\mu\nu}^{(2a)F5} (x)$. The $\tilde{W}_{\mu\nu}^{(2a)F} (x)$ contribution is given by sum of eqs. (5.44) and (5.47)

$$
\tilde{W}_{\mu\nu}^{(2a)F} (x) = -\frac{1}{s^2} \left[ \langle \bar{\psi}(x) \gamma_\mu \bar{A}_\mu(x) \gamma_\nu \psi(0) \rangle_A (\bar{\psi}(0) \gamma_\nu \psi(x))_B \right]
\quad + \left[ \langle \bar{\psi}(x) \gamma_\mu \bar{A}_{\mu\perp}(x) \gamma_\nu \psi(0) \rangle_A (\bar{\psi}(0) \gamma_\nu \psi(x))_B \right] - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu - x \leftrightarrow 0
\tag{5.55}
$$

As we saw in section 5.2.2, the contributions of the two terms in the r.h.s. are equal. Now, when we replace $\psi(0) \rightarrow \gamma_5 \psi(0)$, target matrix elements terms remain the same and projectile ones become of different sign as seen from eq. (A.59) so $\tilde{W}_{\mu\nu}^{(2a)F5} (x) = 0$.

Finally, the contribution $\tilde{W}_{\mu\nu}^{(2b)F5} (x)$ is small by power counting (see eq. (5.49) and subsequent discussion) while $\tilde{W}_{\mu\nu}^{(2c)F5} (x)$ has extra $\frac{1}{N_c^2}$ so we neglect it. Thus, $\mathcal{W}_{\mu\nu}^{F5} = 0$ with our accuracy.

As to $W_{\mu\nu}^{ae}$ defined in eq. (5.24), it also vanishes with our accuracy but the calculation is more tedious.
\section{5.3 $W^{as}_{\mu\nu}$}

In this section we will prove that $W^{as}$ defined in eq. (5.24) is small in our approximation. As usual, for power counting we consider $W^{as}_{\mu\nu}(x)$ multiplied by $2N_c/s$:

$$W^{as}_{\mu\nu}(x) = \frac{iN_c}{2s}\left\{ [\bar{\Psi}^{n}_{1}(x)\sigma_{\mu\nu}\Psi^{0}_{1}(0)] [\bar{\Psi}^{m}_{2}(0)\Psi^{m}_{2}(x)] - [\bar{\Psi}^{m}_{1}(x)\Psi_{1}^{0}(0)] [\bar{\Psi}^{n}_{2}(0)\sigma_{\mu\nu}\Psi^{n}_{2}(x)] - x \leftrightarrow 0 \right\} \quad (5.56)$$

First, from parametrizations in Sect A.2 it is clear that the leading-twist contribution to $W^{as}_{\mu\nu}$ is of order of $\frac{p_{1\mu}q_{2\nu}}{s}$ (or $\frac{p_{2\mu}q_{1\nu}}{s}$) which is $O(\beta_q)$ (or $O(\alpha_q)$) with respect to contribution (5.51).

\subsection{5.3.1 One-gluon terms}

Next, consider terms with one gluon field and start from term with $\Xi_1 = \frac{p}{s} \gamma^i B_i \frac{1}{\alpha} \psi_A$. We get the contribution to the r.h.s. of eq. (5.56) in the form

$$\frac{i}{s} \left\{ \langle \bar{\psi}(x) p^i_{2} \gamma^{1}_{\alpha} \psi(0) \rangle_A \langle \bar{\psi}(0) B^i(0) \sigma_{\mu\nu} \psi(x) \rangle_B \right\} \quad (5.57)$$

Next, consider terms with one gluon field and start from term with $\Xi_1 = \frac{p}{s} \gamma^i B_i \frac{1}{\alpha} \psi_A$. We get the contribution to the r.h.s. of eq. (5.56) in the form

$$\frac{i}{s} \left\{ \langle \bar{\psi}(x) p^i_{2} \gamma^{1}_{\alpha} \psi(0) \rangle_A \langle \bar{\psi}(0) B^i(0) \sigma_{\mu\nu} \psi(x) \rangle_B \right\} \quad (5.57)$$

Let us first take transverse $\mu$ and $\nu$ and consider the first term in the r.h.s. Since the projectile matrix element $\langle \bar{\psi}(x) p^i_{2} \gamma^{1}_{\alpha} \psi(0) \rangle_A$ is proportional to $x_i$ and the target one

$$\langle \bar{\psi}(0) B^i(0) \sigma_{\mu\nu} \psi(x) \rangle_B$$

to $\delta^i_{\mu} x^\nu_{\nu} - \delta^i_{\nu} x^\mu_{\mu}$, this term vanishes. Since $\sigma_{\mu\nu}^{1} \gamma^{1}_{i} = i(\delta_{\nu}^{\mu} \gamma^{1}_{i} - \delta_{\mu}^{\nu} \gamma^{1}_{i})$, the second term in the r.h.s. of eq. (5.57) vanishes for the same reason: target matrix element is proportional to $x_i$ and the projectile one to $\delta^i_{\mu} x^\nu_{\nu} - \delta^i_{\nu} x^\mu_{\mu}$. Next, consider terms with extra $\gamma_5$’s. Since $\langle \bar{\psi}(x) p^i_{2} \gamma^{1}_{\alpha} \gamma_5 \gamma^i \psi(0) \rangle_A \sim \epsilon_{ij} x^j$ and $\langle \bar{\psi}(0) B^i(0) \sigma_{\mu\nu} \gamma_5 \gamma^i \psi(x) \rangle_B \sim \frac{2i}{s} \epsilon_{i\mu} \langle \bar{\psi}(0) B^i(0) \sigma_{\nu} \gamma_5 \gamma^i \psi(x) \rangle_B \sim x^i$, this term also gives no contribution. For the last term, since $\sigma_{\mu\nu}^{1} \gamma_5 = i\epsilon_{\mu\nu}^{1} \frac{2}{s} \sigma_{1 \nu}$, the projectile matrix elements proportional to $x^i$ and the target one to $\epsilon_{ij} x^j$ so the last term in the r.h.s. of eq. (5.57) vanishes. Now, terms with $\Xi_1 = -\langle \bar{\psi}(A) \gamma^i B_i \frac{p}{s} \rangle_A$ are similar to that of eq. (5.57) so they vanish for the same reason. Finally, the results for $\Xi_2$ and $\Xi_2$ differ by usual projectile$\leftrightarrow$target replacements so we get the result that one-gluon contributions to $W^{as}_{\mu\nu}$ vanish at transverse $\mu$ and $\nu$.

If now both $\mu$ and $\nu$ are longitudinal, $\sigma_{\mu\nu} = \frac{4}{s}(p_{1\mu}p_{2\nu} - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu)\sigma_{1 \nu}$. It is easy to see that $\sigma_{1 \nu}$ in the target matrix element brings no factor of $s$ while in the projectile one $\sigma_{1 \nu} p^i_{2} = s\sigma_{1 \nu}$. However, even in this case the corresponding contribution to r.h.s. of eq. (5.57) is proportional to $\frac{2}{s\alpha_s^2}(p_{1\mu}p_{2\nu} - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu) \sim \frac{\beta_q}{q^3} \times \frac{2}{s}(p_{1\mu}p_{2\nu} - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu) \sim \frac{q^2}{q^3}$.
Finally, let us consider case when one of the indices is longitudinal and the other transverse. The corresponding contribution to $\tilde{W}^\text{as}_{\mu\nu}(x)$ is (cf. eq. (4.43))

$$\frac{2p_2\mu}{s^3} \left\{ \langle \bar{\psi}(x) \sigma_{\mu\nu} \frac{1}{\alpha} \psi(0) \rangle_A \langle \bar{\psi}(0) B^\dagger(0) \sigma_{\nu\lambda} \psi(x) \rangle_B - \langle \bar{\psi}(x) \sigma_{\nu\lambda} \frac{1}{\alpha} \psi(0) \rangle_A \langle \bar{\psi}(0) B^\dagger(0) \sigma_{\mu\nu} \psi(x) \rangle_B \right\} - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu - x \leftrightarrow 0 \tag{5.58}$$

Using formulas (A.9) it is easy to see that the second (and the fourth) term can be neglected since the corresponding contribution to $\tilde{W}^\text{as}_{\mu\nu}(q)$ is $\sim (p_2\mu q^\perp - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu) \frac{q^2 s^2}{\alpha^2 s^2}$ which is $O(a_q)$ in comparison to the second term in the r.h.s. of eq. (5.51) $\sim \epsilon_{\mu\nu} \frac{p_2 q}{\alpha^2 s} - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu$ so we are left with

$$\frac{2p_2\mu}{s^3} \left\{ \langle \bar{\psi}(x) \sigma_{\mu\nu} \frac{1}{\alpha} \psi(0) \rangle_A \langle \bar{\psi}(0) B^\dagger(0) \sigma_{\nu\lambda} \gamma_5 \psi(x) \rangle_B \right\} - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu - x \leftrightarrow 0 \tag{5.59}$$

Next, from eq. (A.12) we get

$$\sigma_{\alpha} \otimes \sigma_{\mu\nu} = - \sigma_{\alpha} \gamma_5 \otimes \sigma_{\nu\lambda} \gamma_5$$

$$= -g_{\mu\nu} \sigma_{\alpha} \otimes \sigma_{\mu\nu} - \sigma_{\alpha} \otimes \sigma_{\mu\nu} - \sigma_{\alpha} \otimes \sigma_{\nu\lambda} - s s_{\alpha} \otimes \sigma_{\mu\nu} = \frac{s}{4} g_{\mu\nu} \sigma_{\alpha\beta} \otimes \sigma_{\alpha\beta}$$

The two last terms can bring only factor $s$ to eq. (5.59) while the first three bring $\sim s^2$ so we get

$$\frac{2p_2\mu}{s^3} \left\{ \langle \bar{\psi}(x) \sigma_{\mu\nu} \frac{1}{\alpha} \psi(0) \rangle_A \langle \bar{\psi}(0) B^\dagger(0) \sigma_{\nu\lambda} \gamma_5 \psi(x) \rangle_B \right\} - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu - x \leftrightarrow 0 \tag{5.60}$$

where we used eq. (4.34).

Let us calculate now the corresponding contribution to r.h.s. of eq. (5.56) coming from $\bar{\Xi}_1(x)$ (see eq. (3.4)): 

$$\frac{i}{s^3} \left\{ \langle \bar{\psi}(x) \frac{1}{\alpha} \gamma_i \gamma_2 \psi(0) \rangle_A \langle \bar{\psi}(0) B^\dagger(0) \sigma_{\mu\nu} \psi(x) \rangle_B \right\} \tag{5.62}$$

$$- \langle \bar{\psi}(x) \frac{1}{\alpha} \sigma_{\mu\nu} \gamma_i \gamma_2 \psi(0) \rangle_A \langle \bar{\psi}(0) B^\dagger(0) \psi(x) \rangle_B - \psi(0) \otimes \psi(x) \leftrightarrow \gamma_5 \psi(0) \otimes \gamma_5 \psi(x) \right\} - x \leftrightarrow 0$$

The only difference from eq. (5.56) is the sign $\gamma_i \gamma_2 = - \gamma_2 \gamma_i$, replacement $B^\dagger(0) \rightarrow B^\dagger(x)$ and $\frac{1}{\alpha}$ acting on $\bar{\psi}$ instead of $\psi$ so we get

$$- \frac{2p_2\mu}{s^3} \left\{ \langle \bar{\psi}(x) \frac{1}{\alpha} \gamma_i \gamma_2 \psi(0) \rangle_A \langle \bar{\psi}(0) B^\dagger(0) \sigma_{\nu\lambda} \gamma_5 \psi(x) \rangle_B \right\} - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu - x \leftrightarrow 0$$

$$- \langle \bar{\psi}(x) \frac{1}{\alpha} \sigma_{\nu\lambda} \gamma_i \gamma_2 \psi(0) \rangle_A \langle \bar{\psi}(0) B^\dagger(x) \sigma_{\mu\nu} \psi(x) \rangle_B \right\} - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu - x \leftrightarrow 0 \tag{5.63}$$
and the sum of these contributions to $\hat{W}^{\text{as}}_{\mu \nu}(x)$ takes the form

$$
-i \left( \langle \bar{\psi}(x) \sigma_{\nu \perp} \frac{1}{\alpha} \psi(0) \rangle_A \langle \bar{\psi}(0) B(0) \slashed{p}_1 \psi(x) \rangle_B + \langle \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} \right)(x) \sigma_{\nu \perp} \psi(0) \rangle_A \langle \bar{\psi}(0) \slashed{p}_1 B(x) \psi(x) \rangle_B \right) - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu - x \leftrightarrow 0 \quad (5.64)
$$

Now, from eqs. (A.45), (A.46), and (A.58) it is easy to see that the corresponding contribution to $\hat{W}^{\text{as}}_{\mu \nu}(q)$ vanishes due to cancellation between the two terms in the above equation. Similarly, one can demonstrate that one-gluon contributions to $\hat{W}^{\text{as}}_{\mu \nu}(q)$ from $\Xi_1 = -\frac{\slashed{p}_s}{s} \gamma^i A_i \frac{1}{\beta + i \epsilon} \psi_B$ and $\Xi_2 = -(\bar{\psi}_B \frac{1}{\beta - i \epsilon}) \gamma^i A_i \frac{\slashed{p}_s}{s}$ cancel.

### 5.3.2 Two-gluon terms

Following analysis in section 4.1.2, let us start with the contribution to the r.h.s. of eq. (5.56) coming from $\Xi_1$ and $\Xi_2$ (see eq. (3.4)).

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{i}{2s^3} \left\{ \langle \bar{\psi} A^i(x) \sigma_{\nu i} \frac{1}{\alpha} \psi(0) \rangle_A \langle \bar{\psi} B^i(0) \sigma_{\mu \nu} \frac{1}{\beta} \psi(x) \rangle_B - \langle \bar{\psi} A^i(x) \sigma_{\nu i} \frac{1}{\alpha} \psi(0) \rangle_A \right.
\times \langle \bar{\psi} B^i(0) \sigma_{\mu \nu} \frac{1}{\beta} \psi(x) \rangle_B - \psi(0) \otimes \psi(x) \leftrightarrow \gamma_5 \psi(0) \otimes \gamma_5 \psi(x) \left. \right\} - x \leftrightarrow 0 \quad (5.65)
\end{align*}
$$

The r.h.s. of eq. (5.65) at transverse $\mu$ and $\nu$ due to eq. (A.9) can be rewritten as

$$
\text{eq. (5.65)} = \frac{1}{2s^3} \left\{ \langle \bar{\psi} A_\mu(x) \sigma_{\nu i} \frac{1}{\alpha} \psi(0) \rangle_A \langle \bar{\psi} B^i(0) \sigma_{\nu i} \frac{1}{\beta} \psi(x) \rangle_B - \langle \bar{\psi} A^i(x) \sigma_{\mu i} \frac{1}{\beta} \psi(0) \rangle_A \right. 
\times \langle \bar{\psi} B^i(0) \sigma_{\mu i} \frac{1}{\beta} \psi(x) \rangle_B - \psi(0) \otimes \psi(x) \leftrightarrow \gamma_5 \psi(0) \otimes \gamma_5 \psi(x) \left. \right\} - x \leftrightarrow 0.
\quad (5.66)
$$

Using now eq. (A.35) we get

$$
\text{eq. (5.65)} = \frac{1}{2s^3} \left\{ \langle \bar{\psi} A_\mu(x) \sigma_{\nu i} \frac{1}{\alpha} \psi(0) \rangle_A \langle \bar{\psi} \left[ B^i(0) \sigma_{\nu i} - B^i(0) \sigma_{\mu i} \right] \frac{1}{\beta} \psi(x) \rangle_B 
+ \langle \bar{\psi} A_\mu(x) \sigma_{\nu i} \frac{1}{\alpha} \psi(0) \rangle_A \langle \bar{\psi} B^i(0) \sigma_{\nu i} \frac{1}{\beta} \psi(x) \rangle_B 
+ \langle \bar{\psi} \left[ A_\nu(x) \sigma_{\nu i} - A_i(x) \sigma_{\nu \perp} \right] \frac{1}{\alpha} \psi(0) \rangle_A \langle \bar{\psi} B^i(0) \sigma_{\nu i} \frac{1}{\beta} \psi(x) \rangle_B 
- \langle \bar{\psi} A^i(x) \sigma_{\mu i} \frac{1}{\alpha} \psi(0) \rangle_A \langle \bar{\psi} B^i(0) \sigma_{\nu i} \frac{1}{\beta} \psi(x) \rangle_B \right\} - x \leftrightarrow 0
= \frac{1}{2s^3} \left\{ \langle \bar{\psi} \left[ A_\mu(x) \sigma_{\nu \perp} - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu \right] \frac{1}{\alpha} \psi(0) \rangle_A \langle \bar{\psi} B^i(0) \sigma_{\nu i} \frac{1}{\beta} \psi(x) \rangle_B 
- \langle \bar{\psi} A^i(x) \sigma_{\nu i} \frac{1}{\alpha} \psi(0) \rangle_A \langle \bar{\psi} B^i(0) \sigma_{\nu i} \frac{1}{\beta} \psi(x) \rangle_B \right\} - x \leftrightarrow 0 = 0
\quad (5.67)
$$
where we used eq. (4.34). Next, the contribution to the r.h.s. of eq. (5.56) coming from $\Xi_1$ and $\Xi_2$ has the form

$$
\frac{i}{2s^3} \left\{ \left( \psi \left( -\frac{1}{\alpha} \right) \sigma \right) \bar{A} I (x) \sigma_{\alpha i} A I (0) \psi (0) \right\} \right\} 
- \left( \bar{A} I (x) \sigma_{\alpha i} \sigma_{\mu \nu} B^{j} \psi (x) \right) - \left( \bar{A} I (x) \sigma_{\alpha i} \sigma_{\mu \nu} A I (0) \psi (0) \right) \right\}
\times \left\{ \left( \psi \left( -\frac{1}{\beta} \right) \sigma \right) \bar{B} I (x) \sigma_{\beta i} B^{j} \psi (x) \right\} - x \leftrightarrow 0
$$

(5.68)

and vanishes for transverse $\mu$ and $\nu$ for the same reason as the r.h.s. of eq. (5.67).

If both $\mu$ and $\nu$ are longitudinal, we get contribution to $W_{ij}^\mu (x)$ in the form

$$
eq \left\{ \left( \psi \right) \left( -\frac{1}{\alpha} \right) \sigma \right) \bar{A} I (x) \sigma_{\alpha i} A I (0) \psi (0) \right\} \right\} 
- \left( \bar{A} I (x) \sigma_{\alpha i} \sigma_{\mu \nu} B^{j} \psi (x) \right) - \left( \bar{A} I (x) \sigma_{\alpha i} \sigma_{\mu \nu} A I (0) \psi (0) \right) \right\}
\times \left\{ \left( \psi \right) \left( -\frac{1}{\beta} \right) \sigma \right) \bar{B} I (x) \sigma_{\beta i} B^{j} \psi (x) \right\} - x \leftrightarrow 0
$$

(5.69)

where again we used eq. (A.35) and eq. (4.34). Similarly, the corresponding term in eq. (5.68) is

$$
\left\{ \left( \psi \right) \left( -\frac{1}{\alpha} \right) \sigma \right) \bar{A} I (x) \sigma_{\alpha i} A I (0) \psi (0) \right\} \right\} 
- \left( \bar{A} I (x) \sigma_{\alpha i} \sigma_{\mu \nu} B^{j} \psi (x) \right) - \left( \bar{A} I (x) \sigma_{\alpha i} \sigma_{\mu \nu} A I (0) \psi (0) \right) \right\}
\times \left\{ \left( \psi \right) \left( -\frac{1}{\beta} \right) \sigma \right) \bar{B} I (x) \sigma_{\beta i} B^{j} \psi (x) \right\} - x \leftrightarrow 0
$$

(5.70)
Using QCD equations of motion from section A.3 we see that the contributions (5.69) and (5.70) cancel.

Now suppose \( \mu \) is longitudinal and \( \nu \) transverse

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{i}{s^3} \left\{ p_{1\mu} \langle \bar{\psi} A^j (x) \sigma_{\epsilon_1} \frac{1}{\alpha} (0) \rangle_A \langle \bar{\psi} B^i (0) \sigma_{\epsilon_2} \frac{1}{\beta} \psi (x) \rangle_B - p_{2\mu} \langle \bar{\psi} A^j (x) \sigma_{\epsilon_2} \frac{1}{\alpha} \psi (0) \rangle_A \right. \\
\left. \times \langle \bar{\psi} B^i (0) \sigma_{\epsilon_1} \frac{1}{\beta} \psi (x) \rangle_B - \psi (0) \otimes \psi (x) \leftrightarrow \gamma_5 \psi (0) \otimes \gamma_5 \psi (x) \right\} - x \leftrightarrow 0 \quad (5.71)
\end{align*}
\]

Due to the first line in eq. (A.9), both projectile and target matrix elements can bring factor \( s \) each, so the result is either \( \sim p_{1\mu} q^\perp \frac{1}{\alpha q^\perp s^2} \) or \( \sim p_{2\mu} q^\perp \frac{q^2}{\alpha q^\perp s^2} \), both of which are small in comparison to eq. (5.41).

Let us now consider term coming from \( \Xi_1 \) and \( \Xi_2 \):

\[
\begin{align*}
\tilde{W}^{as}_{\mu
u} (x) &= \frac{i}{2s^3} \left\{ \left\langle \frac{1}{\alpha} \right\rangle (x) \gamma_i p_2 \sigma_{\mu\nu} p_2 \gamma_j \frac{1}{\alpha} \psi (0) \rangle_A \langle \bar{\psi} B^i (0) B^j (0) \psi (x) \rangle_B \\
&\quad - \psi (0) \otimes \psi (x) \leftrightarrow \gamma_5 \psi (0) \otimes \gamma_5 \psi (x) \right\} - x \leftrightarrow 0 \\
&= \frac{i}{s^3} p_{2\nu} \left\{ \left\langle \frac{1}{\alpha} \right\rangle (x) \gamma_i \sigma_{\epsilon_2} \gamma_j \frac{1}{\alpha} \psi (0) \rangle_A \langle \bar{\psi} B^i (0) B^j (0) \psi (x) \rangle_B \\
&\quad - \psi (0) \otimes \psi (x) \leftrightarrow \gamma_5 \psi (0) \otimes \gamma_5 \psi (x) \right\} - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu \rightarrow 0 \quad (5.72)
\end{align*}
\]

Since nonzero contribution comes from transverse \( \mu \), power counting for the r.h.s. of this equation gives \( \frac{p_{2\nu} q^\perp}{\alpha s^2} \) (see eq. (A.46)) which is \( O \left( \frac{q^\perp}{\alpha s^2} \right) \) in comparison eq. (5.41). Similarly, the contribution to \( \tilde{W}^{as}_{\mu
u} (x) \) coming from \( \Xi_3 \) and \( \Xi_4 \) will be \( \sim \frac{p_{1\nu} q^\perp}{\beta^2 s^2} \) and hence negligible. Thus, we proved that \( \tilde{W}^{as}_{\mu
u} = 0 \) with our accuracy.

### 5.3.3 Exchange-type power corrections to \( W^{ZA}_{\mu\nu} \)

Power corrections of the “exchange” type come from the terms

\[
\begin{align*}
\left( \tilde{W}^{ZA}_{ff'} \right)^{\text{ex}}_{\mu\nu} (x) &= \frac{N_c}{s} \langle A, B | J_1 (x) J_2 (0) \rangle \langle A, B \rangle - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu \rangle \langle A, B \rangle - x \leftrightarrow 0 \\
&= \sum_{f,f'} c_f c_{f'} \left( a_f a_{f'} \left( \tilde{W}^{A_{ff'}} \right)^{\text{ex}}_{\mu\nu} (x) + \left( \tilde{W}^{A_{ff'}} \right)^{\text{ex}}_{\mu\nu} (0) \right) - a_f \left( \tilde{W}^{A_{ff'}} \right)^{\text{ex}}_{\mu\nu} (x) \\
&\quad - a_{f'} \left( \tilde{W}^{A_{ff'}} \right)^{\text{ex}}_{\mu\nu} (x)
\end{align*}
\]

\[
(5.73)
\]
where

\[
(W^A)_{\mu\nu}^{\text{ex}}(x) = \frac{N_c}{s}(A, B) \left[ \Psi_1(x) \gamma_\mu \Psi_1(x) \right] \left[ \Psi_2(0) \gamma_\nu \Psi_2'(0) \right] - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu |A, B\rangle - x \leftrightarrow 0,
\]

\[
(W_{55}^A)_{\mu\nu}^{\text{ex}}(x) = \frac{N_c}{s}(A, B) \left[ \Psi_1(x) \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 \Psi_1(x) \right] \left[ \Psi_2(0) \gamma_\nu \gamma_5 \Psi_2(0) \right] - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu |A, B\rangle - x \leftrightarrow 0,
\]

\[
(W_{5a}^A)_{\mu\nu}^{\text{ex}}(x) = \frac{N_c}{s}(A, B) \left[ \Psi_1(x) \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 \Psi_1(x) \right] \left[ \Psi_2(0) \gamma_\nu \gamma_5 \Psi_2(0) \right] - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu |A, B\rangle - x \leftrightarrow 0,
\]

\[
(W_{5b}^A)_{\mu\nu}^{\text{ex}}(x) = \frac{N_c}{s}(A, B) \left[ \Psi_1(x) \gamma_\mu \Psi_1(x) \right] \left[ \Psi_2(0) \gamma_\nu \gamma_5 \Psi_2(0) \right] - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu |A, B\rangle - x \leftrightarrow 0
\]

(5.74)

To avoid cluttering formulas, we will omit trivial flavor indices until final result.

Let us start from \((W^A)_{\mu\nu}^{\text{ex}}(x)\). Since replacement of symmetrization in \(\mu, \nu\) by antisymmetrization does not change power counting, we can start from analog of formula (4.71)

\[
(W^A)_{\mu\nu}^{\text{ex}}(x) = \frac{2N_c}{s} \langle p_A, p_B \rangle \left[ \Xi_1(x) \gamma_\mu \psi_A(x) + \bar{\psi}_A(x) \gamma_\mu \Xi_1(x) \right]
\]

\[
\times \left[ \Xi_2(0) \gamma_\nu \psi_B(0) + \bar{\psi}_B(0) \gamma_\nu \Xi_2(0) \right] \langle p_A, p_B \rangle - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu - x \leftrightarrow 0
\]

\[
= \frac{N_c}{(N_c^2 - 1)s^3} \left( \langle \psi(x) \gamma_\mu \gamma_\mu^A(0) \frac{1}{\alpha} \psi(x) + \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) \gamma_\mu \gamma_\mu^B(0) \psi(x) \rangle_A \right)
\]

\[
\times \langle \bar{\psi}(0) \gamma_\nu \gamma_\nu^B(0) \bar{B}_\nu(x) \rangle_B - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu - x \leftrightarrow 0
\]

(5.75)

with transverse \(\mu\) and \(\nu\). Using eq. (A.33) we get

\[
(W^A)_{\mu\nu}^{\text{ex}}(x) = -\frac{N_c}{(N_c^2 - 1)s^3} \left( \langle \psi \gamma_\mu \gamma_\mu^A(0) \frac{1}{\alpha} \psi \rangle_A \right)
\]

\[
\times \left( \langle \bar{\psi}(0) \gamma_\nu \gamma_\nu^B(0) \bar{B}_\nu(x) \rangle_B - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu - x \leftrightarrow 0 \right)
\]

(5.76)

and therefore

\[
(W^A)_{\mu\nu}^{\text{ex}}(q) = -\frac{N_c}{(N_c^2 - 1)s^3} \int d^2 k_\perp \left[ k_\mu^+(q - k_\perp^+) \right. - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu \left. \right]
\]

\[
\times \left[ \left( j_2 - j_2 \right) (a_q, k_\perp) \left( j_2^2 - j_2^2 \right) (a_q, (q - k)_\perp) \right] - c.c.
\]

(5.77)

where we used eqs. (A.66) and (A.67). Since the functions \( j_i(x, k_\perp) \) are actually functions of \( x \) and \( k_\perp^2 \), the r.h.s. of the above equation can be proportional only to \( q_\mu^+ \) or \( q_\nu^+ \), and hence it vanishes

\[
(W^A)_{\mu\nu}^{\text{ex}}(q) = 0
\]

(5.78)

Similarly to the symmetric case studied in section 5.1.1, the replacement \( \psi \to \gamma_5 \psi \) in the projectile matrix elements leads to \( k_\mu j_1, \to \pm i e_\mu k_\nu j_1,2 \) and the replacement \( \psi \to \gamma_5 \psi \) in target matrix elements yields \( (q - k)_\mu j_1,2 \to \pm i e_\mu (q - k)_\nu j_1,2 \). Looking at the result (5.77)
and taking care of signs of replacements \( \psi \rightarrow \gamma_5 \psi \) in parametrizations (A.66) and (A.70), we obtain

\[
\left( W_{55}^{\text{Aff}} \right)^{\text{ex}}_{\mu \nu} (x) = \frac{N_c}{(N_c^2 - 1) s^3} \left( \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) (x) \tilde{A}_\mu (0) \bar{p}_2 \gamma_5 \psi (x) + \bar{\psi} (x) \bar{p}_2 \tilde{A}_\mu (0) \frac{1}{\alpha} \gamma_5 \psi (x) \right)_A \\
\times \left( \left( \frac{1}{\beta} \right) (0) \bar{B}_\nu (x) \bar{p}_1 \gamma_5 \psi (0) \right)_B + \bar{\psi} (0) \bar{p}_1 \bar{B}_\nu (x) \frac{1}{\beta} \gamma_5 \psi (0) \right)_B - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu \leftrightarrow 0
\]

\[
\Rightarrow \left( W_{55}^{\text{Aff}} \right)^{\text{ex}}_{\mu \nu} (q) = \frac{N_c}{(N_c^2 - 1) Q} \int d^2 k_\perp \left[ k_\perp^\mu (q - k)_\nu - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu \right]
\times \left[ (j_2 + j_2^\perp) (\alpha_q, k_\perp) (j_2 + j_2^\perp)^\perp (\beta_q, (q - k)_\perp) - \text{c.c.} \right] = 0 \tag{5.79}
\]

for the same reason as eq. (5.78) above. The non-vanishing contributions come from

\[
\left( W_{5a}^{\text{Aff}} \right)^{\text{ex}}_{\mu \nu} (x) = \frac{N_c}{(N_c^2 - 1) s^3} \left( \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) (x) \tilde{A}_\mu (0) \bar{p}_2 \gamma_5 \psi (x) + \bar{\psi} (x) \bar{p}_2 \tilde{A}_\mu (0) \frac{1}{\alpha} \gamma_5 \psi (x) \right)_A \\
\times \left( \left( \frac{1}{\beta} \right) (0) \bar{B}_\nu (x) \bar{p}_1 \gamma_5 \psi (0) \right)_B + \bar{\psi} (0) \bar{p}_1 \bar{B}_\nu (x) \frac{1}{\beta} \gamma_5 \psi (0) \right)_B - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu \leftrightarrow 0
\]

\[
\tag{5.80}
\]

and

\[
\left( W_{5b}^{\text{Aff}} \right)^{\text{ex}}_{\mu \nu} (x) = \frac{N_c}{(N_c^2 - 1) s^3} \left( \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) (x) \tilde{A}_\mu (0) \bar{p}_2 \gamma_5 \psi (x) + \bar{\psi} (x) \bar{p}_2 \tilde{A}_\mu (0) \frac{1}{\alpha} \gamma_5 \psi (x) \right)_A \\
\times \left( \left( \frac{1}{\beta} \right) (0) \bar{B}_\nu (x) \bar{p}_1 \gamma_5 \psi (0) \right)_B + \bar{\psi} (0) \bar{p}_1 \bar{B}_\nu (x) \frac{1}{\beta} \gamma_5 \psi (0) \right)_B - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu \leftrightarrow 0
\]

\[
\tag{5.81}
\]

Similarly to eq. (5.79), from parametrizations (A.66) and (A.70) one obtains

\[
\left( W_{5a}^{\text{Aff}} \right)^{\text{ex}}_{\mu \nu} (q) = -\frac{iN_c}{(N_c^2 - 1) Q^2} \int d^2 k_\perp [\epsilon_{\mu m k^m} (q - k)_\nu - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu] J_{++}^{2ff'} (q, k_\perp),
\]

\[
\left( W_{5b}^{\text{Aff}} \right)^{\text{ex}}_{\mu \nu} (q) = -\frac{iN_c}{(N_c^2 - 1) Q^2} \int d^2 k_\perp [k_\mu \epsilon_{\nu n} (q - k)_n - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu] J_{+-}^{2ff'} (q, k_\perp)
\tag{5.82}
\]

where \( J_{++}^{2ff'} \) and \( J_{+-}^{2ff'} \) are defined in eq. (A.71).

### 5.4 Results for antisymmetric hadronic tensor for Z-mediated DY process

The “annihilation” part is given by eqs. (5.23) and (5.51)

\[
W_{\mu \nu}^{Z_{Aan}} (q) = -2\epsilon_{\mu \nu \alpha \beta} q^\alpha Q^2 \int d^2 k_\perp \left( q^\beta + q_\perp^\beta - 2k_\perp^\beta \right) \sum_f a_f c_f^j x_f (q, k_\perp)
\tag{5.83}
\]

It trivially satisfies \( q^\mu W_{\mu \nu}^{Z_{Aan}} (q) = 0 \). 

---
The “exchange” part is given by the sum of contributions (5.73) calculated in previous section
\[ W_{\mu \nu}^{Z_{\text{ex}}} (q) = \sum_{f,f'} c_f c_{f'} (W_{f f'}^{Z})_{\mu \nu}^{(\text{ex})} (q) \]  
(5.84)
\[ (W_{f f'}^{Z})_{\mu \nu}^{(\text{ex})} (q) = \frac{i N_c}{(N_c^2 - 1) Q_{\text{\perp}}^2} \int d^2 k_{\perp} \left[ a_f [\epsilon_{\mu n} k^m (q - k)_\nu - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu] J_{+}^{2 f f'} (q, k_{\perp}) \right. \\
\left. - a_{f'} [k_\mu \epsilon_{\nu m} (q - k)_n - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu] J_{+}^{2 f f'} (q, k_{\perp}) \right] \]  
(5.85)
where \( J_{+}^{2 f f'} \) and \( J_{+}^{2 f f'} \) are defined in eq. (A.71).

It can be parametrized as
\[ W_{\mu \nu}^{Z_{\text{ex}}} (q) = \frac{i N_c}{(N_c^2 - 1) Q_{\text{\perp}}^2} \sum_{f,f'} c_f c_{f'} \\
\times \left[ \left( \epsilon_{\mu \nu} + \frac{\epsilon_{\mu n} q^m}{q_{\perp}^2} - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu \right) \left( a_f E_{+}^{2 f f'} + a_{f'} E_{+}^{2 f f'} \right) - \epsilon_{\mu \nu} \left( a_f E_{+}^{2 f f'} + a_{f'} E_{+}^{2 f f'} \right) \right] \]  
(5.86)

As usually, the exchange part is non-zero in our approximation only for transverse indices.

6 Hadronic tensors for interference terms

6.1 Symmetric part of interference hadronic tensor \( W^{11} \)

From definitions (2.6) and (2.9) we get
\[ \tilde{W}_{\mu \nu}^{(11)} (x) = \frac{N_c}{2 s} \sum_{f,f'} \langle A, B | (e_f c_f a_f + e_f c_{f'} a_{f'}) \left[ \bar{\psi} (x) \gamma_{\mu} \psi (x) \right]^{f'} \left[ \bar{\psi} (0) \gamma_{\nu} \psi (0) \right]^{f'} \]
\[ - e_f c_{f'} \left[ \bar{\psi} (x) \gamma_{\mu} \psi (x) \right]^{f'} \left[ \bar{\psi} (0) \gamma_{\nu} \gamma_5 \psi (0) \right]^{f'} \]
\[ - e_f c_f \left[ \bar{\psi} (x) \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_5 \psi (x) \right]^{f'} \left[ \bar{\psi} (0) \gamma_{\nu} \psi (0) \right]^{f'} |A, B, + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu \]  
(6.1)
where \( \psi = \Psi_1 + \Psi_2 \) in our approximation.

6.1.1 Annihilation-type terms

Let us start from the “annihilation” part
\[ \tilde{W}_{\mu \nu}^{(11) \text{San}} (x) = \frac{N_c}{2 s} \sum_{f} e_f c_f \langle A, B | 2 a_f \left[ \bar{\Psi}_1 \gamma_{\mu} \Psi_2 (x) \right] \left[ \bar{\Psi}_2 \gamma_{\nu} \Psi_1 (0) \right] \]
\[ - \left[ \bar{\Psi}_1 \gamma_{\mu} \Psi_2 (x) \right] \left[ \bar{\Psi}_2 \gamma_{\nu} \gamma_5 \Psi_1 (0) \right] - \left[ \bar{\Psi}_1 \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_5 \Psi_2 (x) \right] \left[ \bar{\Psi}_2 \gamma_{\nu} \Psi_1 (0) \right] |A, B, + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu + x \leftrightarrow 0 \]  
(6.2)
The first term can be copied from photon case (4.5) so we need to consider the last two terms. Using Fierz transformation (A.3) we get
\[ \frac{N_c}{2 s} \langle A, B | \bar{\Psi}_1 \gamma_{\mu} \Psi_2 (x) \left[ \bar{\Psi}_2 \gamma_{\nu} \gamma_5 \Psi_1 (0) \right] + \bar{\Psi}_1 \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_5 \Psi_2 (x) \left[ \bar{\Psi}_2 \gamma_{\nu} \Psi_1 (0) \right] |A, B, + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu + x \leftrightarrow 0 \]
\[ = \left( g_{\mu \nu} \delta^\alpha_{\beta} - \delta^\alpha_{\mu} \delta^\beta_{\nu} - \delta^\beta_{\mu} \delta^\alpha_{\nu} \right) \frac{N_c}{2 s} \langle A, B | \left[ \bar{\Psi}_1 (x) \gamma_{\alpha} \Psi_1 (0) \right] \left[ \bar{\Psi}_2 (0) \gamma_{\nu} \gamma_5 \Psi_2 (x) \right] \\
+ \left[ \bar{\Psi}_1 (x) \gamma_{\alpha} \gamma_5 \Psi_1 (0) \right] \left[ \bar{\Psi}_2 (0) \gamma_{\nu} \Psi_2 (x) \right] |A, B, + x \leftrightarrow 0 = W_{\mu \nu}^{\text{\perp}} (x) \]
Since we proved in section 5.1 that $\tilde{W}_{\mu\nu}^5$ is negligible (see eq. (5.5)) we get

$$W_{\mu\nu}^{1\text{San}} (x) = \sum_f e_f c_f a_f \tilde{W}^f (x)$$

$$\Rightarrow W_{\mu\nu}^{1\text{San}} (q) = \sum_f e_f c_f a_f \left[ W_{\mu\nu}^{fF} (q) + W_{\mu\nu}^{HF} (q) + W_{\mu\nu}^{H2f} (q) \right] \quad (6.3)$$

where $W_{\mu\nu}^{fF}$, $W_{\mu\nu}^{HF}$, and $W_{\mu\nu}^{H2f}$ are given by eqs. (4.30), (4.66), and (4.68), respectively.

### 6.1.2 Exchange-type power corrections

Let us consider now the exchange-type power corrections. From eq. (6.13) we get

$$W_{\mu\nu}^{1\text{Sex}} (x) = \frac{N_c}{2 s} \sum_f \langle A, B \rangle \left[ e_f c_f a_f + e_f c_f a_{f'} \right] \left[ \tilde{\Psi}_1^{f} \gamma_\mu \Psi_1^f (x) \right] \left[ \tilde{\Psi}_2^{f'} \gamma_\nu \Psi_2^{f'} (0) \right] \quad (6.4)$$

where $W_{\mu\nu}^{ff'}^{\text{ex}} (x)$ is defined by eq. (6.71) while $\langle W_{5a,b}^{Sff'} \rangle_{\mu\nu}^{\text{ex}} (x)$ are defined in eq. (5.71). Thus,

$$W_{\mu\nu}^{1\text{Sex}} (q) = \sum_{f,f'} \left[ e_f c_f a_f + e_{f'} c_{f'} a_{f'} \right] \left[ W_{\mu\nu}^{ff'}^{\text{ex}} (q) - \frac{e_f c_f}{2} \left( W_{5a}^{Sff'} \right)^{\text{ex}}_{\mu\nu} (q) - \frac{e_{f'} c_{f'}}{2} \left( W_{5b}^{Sff'} \right)^{\text{ex}}_{\mu\nu} (q) \right]$$

$$= \frac{N_c}{2 (N_c^2 - 1) Q^2} \int d^2 k_\perp \sum_{f,f'} \left[ e_f c_f a_f + e_{f'} c_{f'} a_{f'} \right] \left[ (k_\mu (q-k)_\nu + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu + g_{\mu\nu} (k, q-k)_\perp) \right]$$

$$\times J_{-+}^{ff'} (q, k) - g_{\mu\nu} (k, q-k)_\perp J_{+-}^{ff'} (q, k_\perp) \quad (6.5)$$

$$- e_f c_f [\epsilon_{\mu m} k^n (q-k)_\nu + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu] J_{-+}^{ff'} (q, k_\perp) + e_{f'} c_{f'} [k_\mu \epsilon_{\nu m} (q-k)^n + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu] J_{+-}^{ff'} (q, k_\perp)$$

where $W_{\mu\nu}^{ff'}^{\text{ex}} (q)$, $(W_{5a}^{Sff'})_{\mu\nu}^{\text{ex}} (q)$, and $(W_{5b}^{Sff'})_{\mu\nu}^{\text{ex}} (q)$ are given in eqs. (4.80), (5.14), and (5.15), respectively.

### 6.1.3 The result for the symmetric part of $W_{\mu\nu}^{11} (x)$

The result for $W_{\mu\nu}^{11} (q)$ can be represented as a sum of “annihilation” and “exchange” parts

$$W_{\mu\nu}^{1\text{San}} (q) = W_{\mu\nu}^{1\text{San}} (q) + W_{\mu\nu}^{1\text{Sex}} (q)$$

$$W_{\mu\nu}^{1\text{San}} (q) = \sum_f e_f c_f a_f [W_{\mu\nu}^{fF} (q) + W_{\mu\nu}^{HF} (q) + W_{\mu\nu}^{H2f} (q)]$$

$$W_{\mu\nu}^{1\text{Sex}} (q) = \text{r.h.s. of eq. (6.5)} \quad (6.6)$$

where $W_{\mu\nu}^{fF} (q)$ is given by eq. (4.30), $W_{\mu\nu}^{HF} (q)$ by eq. (4.65), and $W_{\mu\nu}^{H2f} (q)$ by eq. (4.68).
6.2 Antisymmetric part of interference hadronic tensor $W^{11}$

From definitions (2.6) and (2.9) we get

\[
\tilde{W}^{1A}_{\mu \nu} (x) = \frac{N_c}{2s} \sum_{f,f'} \langle A, B | (e_f c_f a_f + e_f c_f a_f') \left[ \bar{\psi} (x) \gamma_\mu \psi (x) \right]^{I1Aex} \left[ \tilde{\psi} (0) \gamma_\nu \tilde{\psi} (0) \right]^{I1Aex} | A, B \rangle - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu
\]

where $\psi = \Psi_1 + \Psi_2$ in our approximation.

Let us start from the annihilation part. After Fierz transformations (A.1) and (A.5) we get

\[
\tilde{W}^{1Aan}_{\mu \nu} (x) = \frac{N_c}{2s} \sum_{f} e_f c_f (A, B) | 2a_f \left[ \bar{\psi}_1 \gamma_\mu \Psi_2 (x) \right] \left[ \bar{\psi}_2 \gamma_\nu \Psi_1 (0) \right] \left[ \bar{\psi}_2 \gamma_\nu \Psi_1 (0) \right] [A, B]
\]

where we used definitions (5.24). As demonstrated in section 5.2, $\tilde{W}^{\delta f}_{\mu \nu} = \tilde{W}^{asf}_{\mu \nu} = 0$ with our accuracy and $W^{\delta f}_{\alpha \beta} (q)$ is given by eq. (5.50) so we obtain

\[
W^{1Aan}_{\mu \nu} (q) = -i \epsilon_{\mu \nu \alpha \beta} q^\alpha \int d^2 k_\perp (q^2 - 2 k_\perp)^\beta \sum_{f} e_f c_f j^{I1A} (q, k_\perp)
\]

The exchange-type power corrections are

\[
\tilde{W}^{1Ex}_{\mu \nu} (x) = \frac{N_c}{2s} \sum_{f,f'} \langle A, B | (e_f c_f a_f + e_f c_f a_f') \left[ \bar{\psi}_1 (x) \gamma_\mu \Psi_1 (x) \right]^{I1Ex} \left[ \tilde{\psi}_2 (0) \gamma_\nu \tilde{\psi}_2 (0) \right]^{I1Ex} | A, B \rangle - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu
\]

where we used eq. (5.74). In the momentum space this gives

\[
W^{1Ex}_{\mu \nu} (q) = \frac{i N_c}{2 (N_c^2 - 1) Q^2} \sum_{f,f'} \int d^2 k_\perp \left\{ c_f e_f' \left[ \epsilon_{\mu \nu} k^m (q - k)_m - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu \right] J^{2ff'}_{+} \right\}
\]

where we used eqs. (5.78) and (5.82).
6.2.1 The result for antisymmetric part of interference hadronic tensor $W^{11}$

As usual, the result consists of “annihilation” and “exchange” parts

$$W^{11A}_{\mu\nu}(q) = W^{11A\text{an}}_{\mu\nu}(q) + W^{11\text{ex}}_{\mu\nu}(q),$$

$$W^{11A\text{an}}_{\mu\nu}(q) = -i\epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}q^\alpha \int d^2k_\perp \left( \bar{q} + q_\perp - 2k_\perp \right) \sum_f e_f c_f f^f(q, k_\perp),$$

$$W^{11\text{ex}}_{\mu\nu}(q) = \text{r.h.s. of eq. (6.11)}$$

(6.12)

where $f^f(q, k_\perp)$ is given by eq. (5.27). Obviously, $q^\mu W^{11A\text{an}}_{\mu\nu}(q) = 0$.

6.3 Symmetric part of interference hadronic tensor $W^{11}$

From definitions (2.6) and (2.9) we get

$$\tilde{W}^{12S}_{\mu\nu}(x) = \frac{N_c}{2s} \sum_f \left( e_f c_f a_f - e_f c_f a_f' \right) \langle A, B | \left( \bar{\psi}(x) \gamma_\mu \psi(x) \right)^f \left( \bar{\psi}(0) \gamma_\nu \psi(0) \right)^f \right.$$

$$+ e_f c_f' (A, B) \left[ \bar{\psi}(x) \gamma_\mu \psi(x) \right]^f \left[ \bar{\psi}(0) \gamma_\nu \gamma_5 \psi(0) \right]^f \right.$$

$$- e_f c_f (A, B) \left[ \bar{\psi}(x) \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 \psi(x) \right]^f \left[ \bar{\psi}(0) \gamma_\nu \psi(0) \right]^f |A, B\rangle + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu \quad (6.13)$$

where $\psi = \Psi_1 + \Psi_2$ in our approximation.

6.3.1 Annihilation-type power corrections

Let us start with annihilation-type power corrections. Since in this case $f = f'$, the first term in the r.h.s. of eq. (6.13) vanishes and the second turns to

$$\tilde{W}^{12\text{San}}_{\mu\nu}(x) = \sum_f e_f c_f \tilde{W}^{11f}_{\mu\nu}(x)$$

$$\tilde{W}^{11f}_{\mu\nu}(x) = \frac{N_c}{2s} \langle A, B | \left( \bar{\Psi}_1(x) \gamma_\mu \bar{\Psi}_2(x) \right) \left[ \bar{\Psi}_2(0) \gamma_\nu \gamma_5 \Psi_1(0) \right]$$

$$- \left[ \bar{\Psi}_1(x) \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 \bar{\Psi}_2(x) \right] \left[ \bar{\Psi}_2(0) \gamma_\nu \Psi_1(0) \right] + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu |A, B\rangle - x \leftrightarrow 0 \quad (6.14)$$

After Fierz transformation (A.4) it turns to

$$\tilde{W}^{11f}_{\mu\nu}(x) = -\frac{N_c}{4s} \langle p_A, p_B | \left[ \bar{\Psi}_1^m(x) \gamma_\mu \Psi_1^m(0) \right] \left[ \bar{\Psi}_2^m(0) \sigma_5^\epsilon \gamma_\nu \Psi_2^m(0) \right]$$

$$- \left[ \bar{\Psi}_1^m(x) \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 \Psi_1^m(0) \right] \left[ \bar{\Psi}_2^m(0) \sigma_5^\epsilon \Psi_2^m(0) \right] + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu |p_A, p_B\rangle$$

$$+ \frac{N_c g_{\mu\nu}}{2s} \langle p_A, p_B | \left[ \bar{\Psi}_1^m(x) \Psi_1^m(0) \right] \left[ \bar{\Psi}_2^m(0) \gamma_\nu \Psi_2^m(0) \right]$$

$$- \left[ \bar{\Psi}_1^m(x) \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 \Psi_1^m(0) \right] \left[ \bar{\Psi}_2^m(0) \gamma_\nu \Psi_2^m(0) \right] + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu |p_A, p_B\rangle - x \leftrightarrow 0 \quad (6.15)$$
Let us start from the second term. Obviously, the leading-twist contribution vanishes with our accuracy. Next, consider one-gluon terms and start from

\begin{equation}
\frac{N_c g_{\mu\nu}}{2s} \langle p_A, p_B | \left( \bar{\psi}^m_A(x) \Xi_1^m(0) \right) \left( \bar{\psi}^n_B(0) \gamma_5 \psi^n_B(x) \right) | p_A, p_B \rangle - x \leftrightarrow 0
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
= \frac{g_{\mu\nu}}{2s^3} \left\{ \langle \bar{\psi}(x) \mu^{-1}_a \psi(0) \rangle A(\bar{\psi}B^i(0) \gamma_5 \psi(x))B - \left\langle \left( \bar{\psi} \frac{1}{\alpha} (x) \nu^{-1}_b \psi(0) \right) A(\bar{\psi}(0) \gamma_5 B^i \psi(x))B \right\rangle - x \leftrightarrow 0
\end{equation}

The projectile matrix element can bring one factor of $s$ while the target one cannot so the contribution to $\hat{W}_2^{\mu\nu}(x)$ is $\sim \frac{g_{\mu\nu}}{a_q s}$ which is $O(\beta_q) \times \frac{g_{\mu\nu}}{Q^2}$. Similarly, the contributions coming from $\Xi_2$ and $\Xi_1$ are $\sim \frac{g_{\mu\nu}}{a_q s}$ and can be neglected.

Let us consider now two-gluon term coming from $\Xi_1$ and $\Xi_2$.

\begin{equation}
\frac{N_c g_{\mu\nu}}{2s} \langle p_A, p_B | \left( \bar{\psi}^m_A(x) \Xi_1^m(0) \right) \left( \bar{\psi}^n_B(0) \gamma_5 \Xi_1^n(x) \right) | p_A, p_B \rangle - x \leftrightarrow 0
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
= \frac{g_{\mu\nu}}{2s^3} \left\{ \langle \bar{\psi}(x) \mu^{-1}_a \psi(0) \rangle A(\bar{\psi}B^i(0) \sigma \gamma_5 \gamma_1 B \psi(x))B - \left\langle \left( \bar{\psi} \frac{1}{\alpha} (x) \nu^{-1}_b \psi(0) \right) A(\bar{\psi}(0) \gamma_5 B^i \psi(x))B \right\rangle - x \leftrightarrow 0
\end{equation}

where again we used eq. (A.13) without two last terms and eq. (4.34). Now, from equations of motion (A.54) and (A.55) we see that the target matrix element vanishes:

\begin{equation}
\int dx \ e^{-i \beta \cdot x + i (k, x) \cdot z} \langle \bar{\psi}(0) B(0) \bar{\psi}(0) \gamma_5 \psi(x) \rangle_B \sim \frac{k_{\perp}}{\beta_q} \delta^k j_k = 0
\end{equation}

It is easy to see that the term coming from $\Xi_1$ and $\Xi_2$ vanishes for the same reason.

\begin{equation}
\frac{N_c g_{\mu\nu}}{2s} \langle p_A, p_B | \left( \Xi_2^m(x) \Psi_1^m(0) \right) \left( \Xi_2^n(0) \gamma_5 \psi^n_B(x) \right) | p_A, p_B \rangle - x \leftrightarrow 0
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
= \frac{g_{\mu\nu}}{2s^3} \left\{ \langle \bar{\psi}(x) \mu^{-1}_a \psi(0) \rangle A(\bar{\psi}B^i(0) \gamma_5 \psi(x))B - \left\langle \left( \bar{\psi} \frac{1}{\alpha} (x) \nu^{-1}_b \psi(0) \right) A(\bar{\psi}(0) \gamma_5 \psi(x))B \right\rangle - x \leftrightarrow 0 = 0
\end{equation}
Next, two-gluon contribution from $\Xi_1$ and $\Xi_1$ vanishes since $\Xi_1 \Xi_1 = 0$, and similarly $\Xi_2 \Xi_2 = 0$. Finally, the contribution coming from $\Xi_1$ and $\Xi_2$ is $\sim \frac{1}{N_c^2}$ as demonstrated in section 4.3.2 (see eq. (4.63)), so the second term in eq. (6.15) vanishes and we get

$$W^{(1)}_{\mu\nu}(x) = -\frac{N_c}{4\pi} (p_A, p_B) \left[ \bar{\psi}_A(x) \sigma_{\mu\xi} \psi_A(0) \right] \left[ \bar{\psi}_B(0) \sigma_\nu \gamma_5 \psi_B(x) \right]$$

This contribution is similar to eq. (4.39) up to extra $\gamma_5$ and relative signs. As we discussed above, extra $\gamma_5$ cannot change the power of our small parameters $\frac{g^2}{Q^2}$ and $\alpha_q, \beta_q$ so we can consider only terms which gave leading contributions to $V^{\mu\nu}_{\text{tw}}$.

The leading-twist contribution

$$W^{(1L,1L)}_{\mu\nu}(x) = -\frac{1}{4\pi} (p_A, p_B) \left[ \bar{\psi}_A(x) \sigma_{\mu\xi} \psi_A(0) \right] \left[ \bar{\psi}_B(0) \sigma_\nu \gamma_5 \psi_B(x) \right]$$

is easily obtained from parametrizations (A.44)

$$W^{(1L,1L)}_{\mu\nu}(x)(q) = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \int dx_x dx_x d^2x_\perp e^{-i\alpha_q x_\mu - i\beta_q x_\nu + i q \cdot x_\perp} W^{(1L,1L)}_{\mu\nu}(x)$$

where

$$\mathcal{H}^I(q, k_\perp) = h^I_1(\alpha_q, k_\perp) h^I_1(\beta_q, (q - k)\perp) - h^I_1(\beta_q, (q - k)\perp)$$

As usually, the term with $h^I_1(\beta_q, (q - k)\perp) - h^I_1(\beta_q, (q - k)\perp)$ comes from $x \leftrightarrow 0$ contribution.

Next, we need to consider terms in eq. (6.20) with one or two gluon operators and generalize the calculations from section 4.3 to our case.

### 6.3.2 One-gluon terms

Let us first consider term coming from $\Xi_1(0) = \frac{i}{2} \sigma_{\alpha\beta} B^{\mu}_{\alpha\beta} \bar{\psi}_A$. Separating color-singlet matrix elements in eq. (6.15), we get

$$W^{(1)}_{\mu\nu}(x) = -\frac{i}{4\pi^2} \left\{ \left[ \bar{\psi}(x) \sigma_{\mu\xi} \psi(0) \right] \right. A \left[ \bar{\psi} B^\mu(0) \sigma_\nu \gamma_5 \psi(x) \right] \left. \right\}$$

As we mentioned, this contribution is similar to the one considered in section 4.3.1 so we need to take only the case of longitudinal $\mu$ and transverse $\nu$, or vice versa — all other
We get
\[
\tilde{W}_{\mu \nu}^{1\text{(1)}}(x) = -\frac{ip_{2\mu}}{2s^3} \left\{ \langle \bar{\psi}(x)\sigma_{\tau} \frac{1}{\alpha} \bar{\psi}(0) \rangle_A \langle \bar{\psi} B_i(0) \sigma_{\nu_+} \gamma_5 \psi(x) \rangle_B \\
- \psi(0) \otimes \gamma_5 \psi(x) \leftrightarrow \gamma_5 \psi(0) \otimes \psi(x) \right\} - x \leftrightarrow 0
\]
where we used power-counting results from ref. [16] to eliminate terms proportional to \( p_{1\mu} \), cf eq. (4.42). Moreover, similarly to eq. (4.42) case, eq. (A.9) shows that two last terms in the r.h.s. of eq. (6.25) are small and therefore
\[
\tilde{W}_{\mu \nu}^{1\text{(1)}}(x) = -\frac{p_{2\mu}}{2s^3} \left\{ \langle \bar{\psi}(x) \sigma_{\nu_+} \frac{1}{\alpha} \bar{\psi}(0) \rangle_A \langle \bar{\psi} B_i(0) \sigma_{\nu_+} \gamma_5 \psi(x) \rangle_B \\
- 2i \langle \bar{\psi}(x) \sigma_{\nu_+} \frac{1}{\alpha} \bar{\psi}(0) \rangle_A \langle \bar{\psi} B_i(0) \sigma_{\nu_+} \gamma_5 \psi(x) \rangle_B \\
- \psi(0) \otimes \gamma_5 \psi(x) \leftrightarrow \gamma_5 \psi(0) \otimes \psi(x) \right\} - x \leftrightarrow 0
\] (6.25)
where we used eqs. (A.9), (4.34), and (6.18). Next, the target matrix element in the first term in the r.h.s. can be rewritten as
\[
\langle \bar{\psi}(0) | B_\nu(0) \sigma_{\nu_+} \gamma_5 \gamma_5 \psi(x) \rangle_B = \epsilon_{\nu_+} \langle \bar{\psi}(0) B(0) p_1 \psi(x) \rangle_B
\] (6.27)
so we get
\[
\tilde{W}_{\mu \nu}^{1\text{(1)}}(x) = -\frac{p_{2\mu}}{s^3} \epsilon_{\nu_+} \langle \bar{\psi}(x) \sigma_{\nu_+} \frac{1}{\alpha} \bar{\psi}(0) \rangle_A \langle \bar{\psi} B_i(0) B(0) p_1 \psi(x) \rangle_B - x \leftrightarrow 0
\] (6.28)
where we used \( \sigma_{\nu_+} \gamma_5 = i \epsilon_{\nu_+} \sigma_{\nu_+} \). Now, from equation of motion (A.56) and parametrization (A.44) we get the corresponding contribution to \( \tilde{W}_{\mu \nu}(x) \) in the form
\[
\tilde{W}_{\mu \nu}^{1\text{(1)}}(x) = -i \epsilon_{\nu_+} \frac{p_{2\mu}}{s \alpha q s m^2} \int d^2k_\perp k_\perp^i (q - k)^2_\perp \mathcal{H}(q, k_\perp)
\] (6.29)
The term in eq. (6.20) coming from $\tilde{\Xi}_1$ is similar:

$$\tilde{W}^{1\mu(1)}_{2\mu} (x) = \frac{i}{4s^3} \{ (\bar{\psi} \gamma_5 \psi(0))_A (\bar{\psi}(0) \sigma \gamma_5 \sigma^\mu B^I(x) \psi(x))_B - \psi(0) \otimes \gamma_5 \psi(x) \leftrightarrow \gamma_5 \psi(0) \otimes \psi(x) + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu \} - x \leftrightarrow 0$$

$$= \frac{i p_{2\mu}}{2s^3} \{ (\bar{\psi} \gamma_5 \psi(0))_A (\bar{\psi} B^I(x) \sigma \gamma_5 \psi(x))_B + (\bar{\psi}(0) \otimes \gamma_5 \psi(x) \leftrightarrow \gamma_5 \psi(0) \otimes \psi(x)) \} - x \leftrightarrow 0$$

$$= \frac{i p_{2\mu}}{2s^3} \{ (\bar{\psi} \frac{1}{\alpha} (x) \sigma \gamma_5 \psi(0))_A (\bar{\psi} B^I(x) \sigma \gamma_5 \psi(x))_B - \psi (0) \otimes \gamma_5 \psi(x) \leftrightarrow \gamma_5 \psi (0) \otimes \psi (x) \} - x \leftrightarrow 0$$

$$= -\frac{p_{2\mu}}{2s^3} (\bar{\psi} \frac{1}{\alpha} (x) [g_{\mu\perp} \sigma_{\perp j} - g_{\nu j} \sigma_{\perp \nu}] \psi(0))_A (\bar{\psi} B^I(x) \sigma \gamma_5 \psi(x))_B$$

$$- \psi (0) \otimes \gamma_5 \psi(x) \leftrightarrow \gamma_5 \psi (0) \otimes \psi (x) \} - x \leftrightarrow 0$$

$$= -\frac{p_{2\mu}}{2s^3} (\bar{\psi} \frac{1}{\alpha} (x) \sigma \gamma_5 \psi(0))_A (\bar{\psi} B^I(x) \sigma \gamma_5 \psi(x))_B$$

$$- \psi (0) \otimes \gamma_5 \psi(x) \leftrightarrow \gamma_5 \psi (0) \otimes \psi (x) \} - x \leftrightarrow 0$$

$$= \frac{p_{2\mu}}{s^3} \bar{\psi} \frac{1}{\alpha} (x) \sigma \gamma_5 \psi(0))_A (\bar{\psi} B^I(x) \sigma \gamma_5 \psi(x))_B - x \leftrightarrow 0$$

where we left only the terms similar to the leading terms in eq. (6.25) and made the same transformations.

Now, from equation of motion (A.56) and parametrization (A.44) we get the corresponding contribution to $\tilde{W}^{1\mu}_{2\mu} (x)$ in the form

$$\tilde{W}^{1\mu(1)}_{2\mu} (x) = -i \epsilon_{\mu \nu} \frac{p_{2\mu}}{\alpha q s m^2} \int d^2 k_\perp \frac{k^j_\perp (q - k)^j_\perp}{2} \mathcal{H}(q, k_\perp)$$

so it doubles the contribution (6.29).
Let us now consider term in eq. (6.20) coming form $\Xi_2$. For longitudinal $\mu$ and transverse $\nu$ we get
\[
\hat{W}_{1\mu\nu}^{11}(x) = -\frac{i}{4s^2} \left\{ \langle \bar{\psi} A^i(x) \sigma_{\mu\xi} \psi(0) \rangle_A (\bar{\psi}(0) \sigma_\nu \gamma_5 \frac{1}{\beta} \psi(x))_B - \psi(0) \otimes \gamma_5 \psi(x) \leftrightarrow \gamma_5 \psi(0) \otimes \psi(x) + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu \right\} - x \leftrightarrow 0
\]
\[
= -\frac{ip_{1\mu}}{2s^2} \left\{ \langle \bar{\psi} A^i(x) \sigma_{\mu\xi} \psi(0) \rangle_A (\bar{\psi}(0) \sigma_\nu \gamma_5 \frac{1}{\beta} \psi(x))_B + \langle \bar{\psi} A^i(x) \sigma_{\nu \xi} \psi(0) \rangle_A (\bar{\psi}(0) \sigma_\mu \gamma_5 \frac{1}{\beta} \psi(x))_B \right. \\
- \psi(0) \otimes \gamma_5 \psi(x) \leftrightarrow \gamma_5 \psi(0) \otimes \psi(x) \left. \right\} - x \leftrightarrow 0
\]
\[
= -\frac{ip_{1\mu}}{2s^2} \left\{ \langle \bar{\psi} A^i(x) \sigma_{\mu\xi} \psi(0) \rangle_A (\bar{\psi}(0) \sigma_\nu \gamma_5 \frac{1}{\beta} \psi(x))_B - i(\bar{\psi} A^i(x) \sigma_{\nu \xi} \psi(0))_A (\bar{\psi}(0) \sigma_\mu \gamma_5 \frac{1}{\beta} \psi(x))_B \\
+ \langle \bar{\psi} A^i(x) \sigma_{\nu \xi} \psi(0) \rangle_A (\bar{\psi}(0) \sigma_\mu \gamma_5 \frac{1}{\beta} \psi(x))_B \right. \\
+ \langle \bar{\psi} A^i(x) \sigma_{\nu \xi} \psi(0) \rangle_A (\bar{\psi}(0) \sigma_\mu \gamma_5 \frac{1}{\beta} \psi(x))_B \left. \right\} - x \leftrightarrow 0
\]
where we neglected contribution $\sim p_{2\mu}$ since it is $\sim p_{2\mu} q_{\nu} \frac{m^2}{s^2}$, see power counting (without $\gamma_5$) in ref. [16]. Next, similarly to eq. (6.25), the last two terms in the r.h.s. of eq. (6.32) are $\sim O\left(\frac{m^2}{s^2}\right)$ in comparison to the first two terms so we get
\[
\hat{W}_{1\mu\nu}^{11}(x) = -\frac{p_{1\mu}}{2s^2} \left\{ \langle \bar{\psi} A^i(x) \sigma_{\mu\xi} \psi(0) \rangle_A (\bar{\psi}(0) [g_{\nu \xi} \gamma_5 - g_{\mu \xi} \gamma_5] \frac{1}{\beta} \psi(x))_B \\
- \langle \bar{\psi} A^i(x) \sigma_{\nu \xi} \psi(0) \rangle_A (\bar{\psi}(0) \sigma_\mu \gamma_5 \frac{1}{\beta} \psi(x))_B - \psi(0) \otimes \gamma_5 \psi(x) \leftrightarrow \gamma_5 \psi(0) \otimes \psi(x) \right\} - x \leftrightarrow 0
\]
\[
= -\frac{p_{1\mu}}{2s^2} \left\{ \langle \bar{\psi} A^i(x) \sigma_{\mu\xi} \psi(0) \rangle_A (\bar{\psi}(0) [g_{\nu \xi} \gamma_5 - g_{\mu \xi} \gamma_5] \frac{1}{\beta} \psi(x))_B \\
+ \langle \bar{\psi} A^i(x) \sigma_{\nu \xi} \psi(0) \rangle_A (\bar{\psi}(0) \sigma_\mu \gamma_5 \frac{1}{\beta} \psi(x))_B \right\} - x \leftrightarrow 0
\]
From eq. (A.56) we get the corresponding contribution to $W_{1\mu\nu}^1(q)$ in the form
\[
\hat{W}_{1\mu\nu}^{11}(q) = -i \epsilon_{\nu \xi} \frac{p_{1\mu}}{2q \cdot s m^2} \int d^2 k_\perp (q-k_\perp)^2 k_\perp^2 H(q, k_\perp)
\]
(6.34)
Similarly to eq. (6.29) one can demonstrate that the term coming from $\Xi_2$ doubles the
result (6.34) of $\Xi_2$ so we finally get

$$W^{11}_{\mu\nu}(q) = -i \frac{2e_{\nu j}}{Q_{j\perp}^2 m_2^2} \int d^2k_\perp \left[ \beta p_{2\mu} k_{1\perp}^2 (q - k)^2 + \alpha p_{1\mu} (q - k)^2 k_{\perp}^2 \right] \mathcal{H}(q, k_\perp) + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu$$

(6.35)

where we have added the contribution of transverse $\mu$ and longitudinal $\nu$.

### 6.3.3 Two-gluon terms

Let us start from the contribution to $\tilde{W}^4_{\mu\nu}(x)$ of eq. (6.20) coming from $\Xi_A$ and $\Xi_B$. After separation of color-singlet matrix elements, it takes the form

\[
\tilde{W}^{2(1)}_{\mu\nu}(x) = \frac{1}{4s^3} \left\{ \langle \bar{\psi} A^i (x) \sigma_{\mu k} \sigma_{\nu j} \frac{1}{\alpha} \psi (0) \rangle A \langle \bar{\psi} B^j (0) \sigma_{\nu}^k \sigma_{\nu} \gamma_5 \frac{1}{\beta} \psi (x) \rangle_B - \psi (0) \otimes \gamma_5 \psi (x) + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu \right\} - x \leftrightarrow 0
\]

(6.36)

This equation resembles $\gamma_{4(2a)}^{(2a)}H(x)$ of eq. (4.47) calculated in section 4.3.2 so we will use power counting from that section and consider only two transverse or two longitudinal indices.

First, let us consider transverse $\mu$ and $\nu$.

\[
\tilde{W}^{2(1)}_{\mu\nu}(x) = \frac{1}{4s^3} \left\{ \langle \bar{\psi} A^i (x) \sigma_{\mu k} \sigma_{\nu j} \frac{1}{\alpha} \psi (0) \rangle A \langle \bar{\psi} B^j (0) \sigma_{\nu}^k \sigma_{\nu} \gamma_5 \frac{1}{\beta} \psi (x) \rangle_B + \frac{2}{s} \langle \bar{\psi} A^i (x) \sigma_{\mu} \sigma_{\nu} \psi (0) \rangle A \langle \bar{\psi} B^j (0) \sigma_{\nu} \sigma_{\nu} \gamma_5 \frac{1}{\beta} \psi (x) \rangle_B - \psi (0) \otimes \gamma_5 \psi (x) + \gamma_5 \psi (0) \otimes \psi (x) + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu \right\} - x \leftrightarrow 0
\]

(6.37)

Similarly to eq. (4.48), from eqs. (A.9) and (4.34), we see that the second term in the r.h.s. can be neglected in comparison to the first one and after some algebra we get

\[
\tilde{W}^{2(1)}_{\mu\nu}(x) = \frac{1}{4s^3} \left\{ \langle \bar{\psi} A^i (x) \left[ g_{\mu j} \sigma_{\star k} - g_{j k} \sigma_{\star \nu} \right] \frac{1}{\alpha} \psi (0) \rangle A \langle \bar{\psi} B^j (0) \left[ g_{\nu i} \sigma_{\star}^k - \delta_{\nu}^k \sigma_{\star 2} \right] \gamma_5 \frac{1}{\beta} \psi (x) \rangle_B - \psi (0) \otimes \gamma_5 \psi (x) + \gamma_5 \psi (0) \otimes \psi (x) + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu \right\} - x \leftrightarrow 0
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2s^3} \left[ \langle \bar{\psi} \gamma_5 A_{\nu} (x) \sigma_{\star i} - A_{\nu} (x) \sigma_{\star j} \rangle \frac{1}{\alpha} \psi (0) \rangle A \langle \bar{\psi} B_{\mu} (0) \sigma_{\star}^j - B_{\mu} (0) \sigma_{\star} \rangle \gamma_5 \frac{1}{\beta} \psi (x) \rangle_B + \langle \bar{\psi} A_{\nu} (x) \sigma_{\star k} \frac{1}{\alpha} \psi (0) \rangle A \langle \bar{\psi} B^i (0) \sigma_{\star} \gamma_5 \frac{1}{\beta} \psi (x) \rangle_B \left( \delta_{\nu}^j \delta_{\mu}^k - \delta_{\nu}^k \delta_{\mu}^j \right) \right.
\]

\[
+ \langle \bar{\psi} A^i (x) \sigma_{\star j} \frac{1}{\alpha} \psi (0) \rangle A \langle \bar{\psi} B_{\mu} (0) \sigma_{\star k} \gamma_5 \frac{1}{\beta} \psi (x) \rangle_B \left( \delta_{\nu}^k \delta_{\mu}^j - \delta_{\nu}^j \delta_{\mu}^k \right)
\]

\[
- \psi (0) \otimes \gamma_5 \psi (x) \leftrightarrow \gamma_5 \psi (0) \otimes \psi (x) + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu - x \leftrightarrow 0
\]

(6.38)
Moreover, it is easy to see that the first term in the r.h.s. can be omitted: either projectile or target matrix element vanishes due to eq. (4.34). For the next two terms in the r.h.s. of eq. (6.38) we use
\[
\frac{1}{2s^3} \left\{ \langle \bar{\psi} A_\nu (x) \sigma_{*\nu} \frac{1}{\alpha} \psi (0) \rangle A \langle \bar{\psi} B^j (0) \sigma_{*j} \gamma_5 \frac{1}{\beta} \psi (x) \rangle B \left( \delta^k_\mu \delta^j_\mu - \delta^j_\mu \delta^k_\mu \right) \\
- \psi (0) \otimes \gamma_5 \psi (x) \leftrightarrow \gamma_5 \psi (0) \otimes \psi (x) \right\} = \frac{1}{2s^3} \left\{ \langle \bar{\psi} A_\nu (x) \sigma_{*\nu} \frac{1}{\alpha} \psi (0) \rangle A \langle \bar{\psi} B^j (0) \sigma_{*j} \gamma_5 \frac{1}{\beta} \psi (x) \rangle B \\
- \langle \bar{\psi} A_\nu (x) \sigma_{*\nu} \frac{1}{\alpha} \psi (0) \rangle A \langle \bar{\psi} B^j (0) \sigma_{*j} \gamma_5 \frac{1}{\beta} \psi (x) \rangle B \\
+ \frac{s}{4} \langle \bar{\psi} A_\nu (x) \sigma_{mn} \gamma_5 \frac{1}{\alpha} \psi (0) \rangle A \langle \bar{\psi} B^j (0) \sigma_{mn} \gamma_5 \frac{1}{\beta} \psi (x) \rangle B \\
- \frac{s}{2} \langle \bar{\psi} (x) A_\nu (x) \sigma_{*\nu} \frac{1}{\alpha} \psi (0) \rangle A \langle \bar{\psi} B^j (0) \sigma_{*j} \gamma_5 \frac{1}{\beta} \psi (x) \rangle B = 0 \tag{6.39}
\]

since the target matrix element in the first term vanishes due to Eq. (4.34), the one in the second term due to eq. (6.18), and the last two terms are small by power counting, \( \sim \frac{q^4}{s} \left( \frac{2\psi_0^2}{Q_0^2} \right) \). Similarly,
\[
\frac{2}{s^3} \left\{ \langle \bar{\psi} A_\nu (x) \sigma_{*\nu} \frac{1}{\alpha} \psi (0) \rangle A \langle \bar{\psi} B^j (0) \sigma_{*j} \gamma_5 \frac{1}{\beta} \psi (x) \rangle B \left( \delta^k_\mu \delta^j_\mu - \delta^j_\mu \delta^k_\mu \right) \\
- \psi (0) \otimes \gamma_5 \psi (x) \leftrightarrow \gamma_5 \psi (0) \otimes \psi (x) \right\} = \langle \bar{\psi} A_\nu (x) \sigma_{*\nu} \frac{1}{\alpha} \psi (0) \rangle A \langle \bar{\psi} B^j (0) \sigma_{*j} \gamma_5 \frac{1}{\beta} \psi (x) \rangle B \\
+ \langle \bar{\psi} A_\nu (x) \sigma_{\nu} \gamma_5 \frac{1}{\alpha} \psi (0) \rangle A \langle \bar{\psi} B^j (0) \sigma_{\nu} \gamma_5 \frac{1}{\beta} \psi (x) \rangle B \\
- \frac{s}{4} \langle \bar{\psi} (x) A_\nu \sigma_{mn} \frac{1}{\alpha} \psi (0) \rangle A \langle \bar{\psi} B^j (0) \sigma_{mn} \gamma_5 \frac{1}{\beta} \psi (x) \rangle B \\
+ \frac{s}{2} \langle \bar{\psi} (x) A_\nu \sigma_{j} \psi (0) \rangle A \langle \bar{\psi} B^j (0) \sigma_{j} \gamma_5 \frac{1}{\beta} \psi (x) \rangle B = 0 \tag{6.40}
\]

for the same reason: the projectile matrix element in the first term in the r.h.s. vanishes due to eq. (4.34), the one in the second term due to eq. (6.18), and the last two terms are small by power counting. Thus, we get the result that there is no contribution to \( W_{\mu, \nu}^{2I(1)} (q) \) with our accuracy.

If both \( \mu \) and \( \nu \) are longitudinal, using formula
\[
\sigma_{\mu\nu} \sigma_{*\nu} \sigma_{*\mu} + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu = -2sg_{\mu\nu} \left( \sigma_{*\nu} \otimes \sigma_{*\mu} \otimes \frac{1}{8} \sigma_{*k} \sigma_{*j} \otimes \sigma_{*k} \sigma_{*j} \right) \tag{6.41}
\]
we get
\[
\tilde{W}_{\mu, \nu}^{2I(1)} (x) = - \frac{g_{\mu\nu}}{2s^3} \left\{ \langle \bar{\psi} A_\nu (x) \sigma_{*\nu} \frac{1}{\alpha} \psi (0) \rangle A \langle \bar{\psi} B^j (0) \sigma_{*j} \gamma_5 \frac{1}{\beta} \psi (x) \rangle B \\
- \psi (0) \otimes \gamma_5 \psi (x) \leftrightarrow \gamma_5 \psi (0) \otimes \psi (x) \right\} - x \leftrightarrow 0 \tag{6.42}
\]
where we omitted contribution from the second term in the r.h.s. of eq. (6.41) due to power counting coming from Eq. (A.9). Now, using eq. (A.35) one obtains

$$\tilde{W}^{2l(1)}_{\mu \nu}(x) = -\frac{g_{\mu \nu}}{2s^2} \left\{ \langle \bar{\psi} (x) [A_i (x) \sigma_j - A_j (x) \sigma_i] \frac{1}{\alpha} \psi (0) \rangle_A \langle \bar{\psi} B^j (0) \sigma_i \gamma_5 \frac{1}{\beta} \psi (x) \rangle_B + \langle \bar{\psi} A^i (x) \sigma_i \frac{1}{\alpha} \psi (0) \rangle_A \langle \bar{\psi} B^j (0) \sigma_j \gamma_5 \frac{1}{\beta} \psi (x) \rangle_B \right\} - x \leftrightarrow 0 = 0$$

(6.43)

due to eqs. (4.34) and (6.18). Thus, we get

$$\tilde{W}^{2l(1)}_{\mu \nu}(x) = 0$$

(6.44)

Similarly, one can demonstrate that the contribution to \(\tilde{W}^{1l}_{\mu \nu}(x)\) of eq. (6.20) coming from \(\Xi_A\) and \(\Xi_B\) vanishes.

Let us now consider term coming from \(\Xi_2\) and \(\Xi_2\). After separating color-singlet contributions, it takes the form

$$\tilde{W}^{3l}_{\mu \nu}(x) = -\frac{1}{4s^3} \left\{ \langle \bar{\psi} A^i (x) \sigma_{\mu \xi} A^i \psi (0) \rangle_A \langle \bar{\psi} B^j (0) \sigma_i \gamma_5 \frac{1}{\beta} \psi (x) \rangle_B + \langle \bar{\psi} A^j (x) \sigma_{\mu \xi} \gamma_5 A^i \psi (0) \rangle_A \langle \bar{\psi} B^i (0) \sigma_i \gamma_5 \frac{1}{\beta} \psi (x) \rangle_B \right\} - x \leftrightarrow 0$$

(6.45)

The power counting for similar eq. (4.58) in section 4.3.2 shows that we need to take \(\mu\) and \(\nu\) both longitudinal:

$$\tilde{W}^{3l}_{\mu \nu}(x) = -\frac{p_{\mu \rho} p_{\nu \lambda}}{s^4} \left\{ \langle \bar{\psi} A^i (x) \sigma_{\mu \xi} A^i \psi (0) \rangle_A \langle \bar{\psi} B^j (0) \sigma_i \gamma_5 \frac{1}{\beta} \psi (x) \rangle_B + \langle \bar{\psi} A^j (x) \sigma_{\mu \xi} \gamma_5 A^i \psi (0) \rangle_A \langle \bar{\psi} B^i (0) \sigma_i \gamma_5 \frac{1}{\beta} \psi (x) \rangle_B \right\}$$

$$= \frac{ip_{\mu \rho} p_{\nu \lambda}}{s^4} \left\{ \langle \bar{\psi} A^i (x) \sigma_{\mu \xi} A^i \psi (0) \rangle_A \langle \bar{\psi} B^j (0) \gamma_5 \sigma_i \gamma_5 \frac{1}{\beta} \psi (x) \rangle_B - \psi (0) \otimes \gamma_5 \psi (x) \leftrightarrow \gamma_5 \psi (0) \otimes \psi (x) \right\} - x \leftrightarrow 0$$

$$= \frac{ip_{\mu \rho} p_{\nu \lambda}}{s^4} \left\{ \langle \bar{\psi} (x) A (x) \sigma_{\mu \xi} A (0) \psi (0) \rangle_A \langle \bar{\psi} B^i (0) \gamma_5 \sigma_i \frac{1}{\beta} \psi (x) \rangle_B \right\} = 0$$

(6.46)

where we used formula \(\sigma_{\mu \rho} \otimes \sigma_{\mu \xi} \gamma_5 - \sigma_{\mu \xi} \gamma_5 \otimes \sigma_{\mu \rho} = 0\) following from eq. (A.13). Also, one can demonstrate that the contribution to \(\tilde{W}^{3l}_{\mu \nu}\) coming from \(\Xi_A\) and \(\Xi_B\) vanishes. Finally, similarly to eq. (4.63), we can neglect terms \(\sim \frac{1}{N_c}\) coming from \(\Xi_1, \Xi_2, \Xi_3, \Xi_4\), see the discussion in section 4.3.2. Thus, we get the result that the contribution to \(\tilde{W}^{1l}_{\mu \nu}\) coming from two quark-quark-gluon TMDs vanishes with our accuracy:

$$\tilde{W}^{1l}_{\mu \nu} = 0$$

(6.47)
6.3.4 Exchange-type power corrections

The exchange-type power corrections to eq. (6.13) are

\[
\hat{W}_{\mu\nu}^{12\text{Sex}}(x) = \frac{N_c}{2s} \sum_{f,f'} \langle A, B \rangle \left( (e_f c_f a_f - e_f c_f a_{f'}) \left[ \bar{\Psi}_1 (x) \gamma_{\mu} \Psi_1 (x) \right]^f \left[ \bar{\Psi}_2 (0) \gamma_{\nu} \Psi_2 (0) \right]^f \right.

- e_f c_f \left[ \bar{\Psi}_1 (x) \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_5 \Psi_1 (x) \right]^f \left[ \bar{\Psi}_2 (0) \gamma_{\nu} \Psi_2 (0) \right]^f

+ e_f c_{f'} \left[ \bar{\Psi}_1 (x) \gamma_{\mu} \Psi_1 (x) \right]^f \left[ \bar{\Psi}_2 (0) \gamma_{\nu} \gamma_5 \Psi_2 (0) \right]^f |A, B \rangle + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu - x \leftrightarrow 0
\]

(6.48)

The terms in the r.h.s. differ from those in eqs. (4.70), (5.14), and (5.15) by replacement of “+x ↔ 0” by “−x ↔ 0” which leads to change sign of “± c.c.” terms in those equations so we get instead of eq. (5.21)

\[
W_{\mu\nu}^{12\text{Sex}}(q) = \frac{iN_c}{2(N_c^2 - 1) Q^2} \sum_{f,f'} \int d^2 k_\perp \left\{ (e_f c_f a_f - e_f c_f a_{f'}) \left[ k_\mu^l (q-k)_\nu + k_\nu^l (q-k)_\mu \right]

+ g_{\mu\nu}^l (k, q-k) \right\} I_{ff'}^{1\#} (q, k_\perp) - g_{\mu\nu}^l (k, q-k) \right\} I_{ff'}^{2\#} (q, k_\perp)

+ c_f c_{f'} [\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho} k^\rho (q-k)_\rho + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu] J_{f f'}^{1\#} (q, k_\perp)

- c_f c_{f'} [k_\mu \epsilon_{\nu n} (q-k)_n + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu] J_{f f'}^{2\#} (q, k_\perp) \right\} 
\]

(6.49)

where \( J_{\pm \pm} \) and \( I_{\pm -} \) are defined in eqs. (A.71) and (A.72).

6.3.5 Result for symmetric interference term \( W_{12\text{San}}^{\mu\nu}(q) \)

As usual, we represent the result for hadronic tensor \( W_{12\text{San}}^{\mu\nu}(q) \) as a sum of the “annihilation” and “exchange” parts:

\[
W_{\mu\nu}^{12\text{San}}(q) = W_{\mu\nu}^{12\text{San}}(q) + W_{\mu\nu}^{12\text{Sex}}(q)
\]

(6.50)

where the exchange-type corrections are presented in eq. (6.49) above while \( W_{\mu\nu}^{12\text{San}}(q) \) is given by the sum of eqs. (6.22) and (6.35)

\[
W_{\mu\nu}^{12\text{San}}(q) = \sum_f e_f c_f W_{\mu\nu}^{1f}(q),
\]

\[
W_{\mu\nu}^{1f}(q) = -\frac{i e_f g_s}{2m^2} \int d^2 k_\perp \left[ k_\mu^l (q-k)_\nu + (q-k)_\nu^l k_\mu^l \right]

+ \frac{4}{Q^2} \left[ \beta p_{2\nu} k_j (q-k)_j + \alpha p_{1\nu} (q-k)^j k_\perp^j \right] \delta f (q, k_\perp) + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu
\]

(6.51)

Let us check gauge invariance of annihilation part of interference hadronic tensor
The integral in the first term is proportional to 
\[ W_{\mu
u}^{\text{IF}}(q) = \frac{-i\epsilon_{\mu j}}{2m^2} \left( \delta^i_\nu - 2q^i q^j Q^2_{\parallel} \right) \int d^2k_\perp \left[ k^j (q - k)_i + (q - k)^j k_i + \delta^j_i (k, q - k)_\perp \right] \eta^{ij}(q, k_\perp) \]
\[ + \frac{i\epsilon_{\mu j}}{m^2} \hat{q}_{\nu} \int d^2k_\perp \left[ k^j (q - k)^2 - (q - k)^j k_i \right] \eta^{ij}(q, k_\perp) + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu \]

(6.52)

The integral in the first term is proportional to \( (2q_i q^j + \delta_i^j q^2 - \delta_i^j q^2) \) and it is easy to see that
\[ q^\mu \left[ \epsilon_{\mu j} \left( \delta^i_\nu - 2q^i q^j Q^2_{\parallel} \right) (2q_i q^j + \delta^j_i q^2) + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu \right] = 0 \]

(6.53)

The integral in the second term is proportional to \( q^i \) so
\[ q^\mu \left[ \epsilon_{\mu j} q^j + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu \right] = 0 \]

(6.54)

and therefore \( q^\mu W_{\mu \nu}^{\text{IF}}(q) = 0 \).

### 6.4 Antisymmetric interference term of tensor \( W^{12} \)

Similarly to the symmetric case (6.13), from definitions (2.6) and (2.9) we get
\[ \tilde{W}_{\mu \nu}^{12A}(x) = \frac{N_c}{2s} \sum_{f,f'} \left( e_{f'} c_{f} a_{f} - e_{f'} c_{f'} a_{f} \right) \langle A, B \rangle \left[ \tilde{\psi}(x) \gamma_\mu \psi(x) \right]^f \left[ \tilde{\psi}(0) \gamma_\nu \psi(0) \right]^{f'} + e_{f'} c_{f} \langle A, B \rangle \left[ \tilde{\psi}(x) \gamma_\mu \psi(x) \right]^f \left[ \tilde{\psi}(0) \gamma_\nu \gamma_5 \psi(0) \right]^{f'} - e_{f'} c_{f} \langle A, B \rangle \left[ \tilde{\psi}(x) \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 \psi(x) \right]^f \left[ \tilde{\psi}(0) \gamma_\nu \psi(0) \right]^{f'} |A, B\rangle - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu \]

(6.55)

#### 6.4.1 Annihilation-type power corrections

Again, let us start with annihilation-type power corrections. Since in this case \( f = f' \), the first term in the r.h.s. of eq. (6.55) vanishes and the second can be written as
\[ \tilde{W}_{\mu \nu}^{12A}(x) = \sum_f e_{f'} c_{f} \tilde{W}_{\mu \nu}^{12A f}(x) \]
\[ \tilde{W}_{\mu \nu}^{12A f}(x) = \frac{N_c}{2s} \langle A, B \rangle \left[ \tilde{\Psi}_1(x) \gamma_\mu \tilde{\Psi}_2(x) \right] \left[ \tilde{\Psi}_2(0) \gamma_\nu \gamma_5 \tilde{\Psi}_1(0) \right] - \left[ \tilde{\Psi}_1(x) \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 \tilde{\Psi}_2(x) \right] \left[ \tilde{\Psi}_2(0) \gamma_\nu \tilde{\Psi}_1(0) \right] - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu |A, B\rangle + x \leftrightarrow 0 \]

(6.56)

where we made the usual replacement \( \psi \rightarrow \Psi_1 + \Psi_2 \). After Fierz transformation (A.6) the r.h.s. of the above equation turns to
\[ \tilde{W}_{\mu \nu}^{12A}(x) = \]
\[ = \frac{i N_c}{2s} \langle p_A, p_B \rangle \left[ \tilde{\Psi}_1^m(x) \Psi_1^m(0) \right] \left[ \tilde{\Psi}_2^n(x) \Psi_2^n(0) \right] - \left[ \tilde{\Psi}_1^m(x) \sigma_{\mu \nu} \gamma_5 \Psi_2^m(x) \right] - \left[ \tilde{\Psi}_1^m(x) \sigma_{\mu \nu} \Psi_2^n(x) \right] \left[ \tilde{\Psi}_2^n(x) \gamma_5 \Psi_2^m(x) \right] - \left[ \tilde{\Psi}_1^m(x) \gamma_5 \Psi_2^n(x) \right] + \left[ \tilde{\Psi}_1^m(x) \sigma_{\mu \nu} \gamma_5 \Psi_2^m(x) \right] \left[ \tilde{\Psi}_2^n(x) \Psi_2^n(0) \right] + x \leftrightarrow 0 \]

where we suppressed flavor label.
Let us demonstrate that $\bar{W}^{1A}_{\mu\nu}(x)$ is small in our approximation. After Fierz transformation (A.6) one obtains

$$
\bar{W}^{1A}_{\mu\nu}(x) = \frac{i N_c}{2 s} \langle A, B | - \left[ \bar{\Psi}_1^m(x) \gamma_5 \Psi_1^m(0) \right] \left[ \bar{\Psi}_2^m(0) \sigma_{\mu\nu} \Psi_2^m(x) \right] + \left[ \bar{\Psi}_1^m(x) \sigma_{\mu\nu} \gamma_5 \Psi_1^m(0) \right] \left[ \bar{\Psi}_2^m(0) \Psi_2^m(x) \right] \\
+ \left[ \bar{\Psi}_1^m(x) \Psi_1^m(0) \right] \left[ \bar{\Psi}_2^m(0) \sigma_{\mu\nu} \gamma_5 \Psi_2^m(x) \right] - \left[ \bar{\Psi}_1^m(x) \sigma_{\mu\nu} \gamma_5 \Psi_1^m(0) \right] \left[ \bar{\Psi}_2^m(0) \gamma_5 \Psi_2^m(x) \right] |A, B\rangle + x \leftrightarrow 0
$$

(6.58)

It is convenient to convolute $W^{1A}_{\mu\nu}(x)$ with $\epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$ and consider

$$
\mathcal{W}^{1A}_{\mu\nu}(x) = \frac{i}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{W}^{1A}_{\alpha\beta}(x)
$$

(6.59)

then

$$
\bar{W}^{1A}_{\mu\nu}(x)
$$

(6.60)

$$
\bar{W}^{1A}_{\mu\nu}(x) = \frac{i N_c}{2 s} \langle A, B | - \left[ \bar{\Psi}_1^m(x) \gamma_5 \Psi_1^m(0) \right] \left[ \bar{\Psi}_2^m(0) \sigma_{\mu\nu} \Psi_2^m(x) \right] + \left[ \bar{\Psi}_1^m(x) \sigma_{\mu\nu} \gamma_5 \Psi_1^m(0) \right] \left[ \bar{\Psi}_2^m(0) \Psi_2^m(x) \right] \\
+ \left[ \bar{\Psi}_1^m(x) \Psi_1^m(0) \right] \left[ \bar{\Psi}_2^m(0) \sigma_{\mu\nu} \gamma_5 \Psi_2^m(x) \right] - \left[ \bar{\Psi}_1^m(x) \sigma_{\mu\nu} \gamma_5 \Psi_1^m(0) \right] \left[ \bar{\Psi}_2^m(0) \gamma_5 \Psi_2^m(x) \right] |A, B\rangle + x \leftrightarrow 0
$$

This is similar to $\bar{W}^{as}_{\mu\nu}(x)$ of eq. (5.56) studied in previous section. The only difference is the relative sign between the first and the second term in the r.h.s. of these equations (and replacement of “$-x \leftrightarrow 0$" by "$+x \leftrightarrow 0$" which does not change power counting). Let us quickly check that this relative sign does not change the result that the contribution is small. First, let us consider the one-gluon contribution similar to eq. (5.57)

$$
\frac{i}{s^3} \left\{ \langle \bar{\psi}(x) \mathcal{P}_2 \gamma_5 \frac{1}{\alpha} \psi(0) \rangle_A \langle \bar{\psi}(0) B^i(0) \sigma_{\mu\nu} \psi(x) \rangle_B \\
+ \langle \bar{\psi}(x) \sigma_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{P}_2 \gamma_5 \frac{1}{\alpha} \psi(0) \rangle_A \langle \bar{\psi}(0) B_i(0) \psi(x) \rangle_B - \psi(0) \otimes \psi(x) \leftrightarrow \gamma_5 \psi(0) \otimes \gamma_5 \psi(x) \right\} - x \leftrightarrow 0
$$

(6.61)

As discussed in previous section after eq. (5.57), the two terms in the r.h.s. of eq. (5.57) vanish separately for transverse $\mu$ and $\nu$, are both small for one longitudinal and one transverse index, and the term which changed sign is neglected in eq. (5.59) so in all cases the relative sign does not matter. Similarly, one can check this for other one-gluon terms.

Let us now consider two-gluon term coming from $\Xi_1$ and $\Xi_2$

$$
\frac{i}{2 s^3} \left\{ \langle \bar{\psi} A^j(x) \sigma_{\mu} \frac{1}{\alpha} \psi(0) \rangle_A \langle \bar{\psi} B^i(0) \sigma_{\nu} \sigma_{\mu} \sigma_{\nu} \frac{1}{\beta} \psi(x) \rangle_B + \langle \bar{\psi} A^j(x) \sigma_{\mu} \sigma_{\nu} \sigma_{\mu} \sigma_{\nu} \frac{1}{\alpha} \psi(0) \rangle_A \\
\times \langle \bar{\psi} B^i(0) \sigma_{\mu} \sigma_{\nu} \frac{1}{\beta} \psi(x) \rangle_B - \psi(0) \otimes \psi(x) \leftrightarrow \gamma_5 \psi(0) \otimes \gamma_5 \psi(x) \right\} + x \leftrightarrow 0
$$

(6.62)
Again, this differs from eq. (5.65) by relative sign between two terms. Looking at the derivation of eq. (5.67) we see that both terms in the r.h.s. vanish separately due to eq. (4.34) so

\[
eq \frac{1}{2s^5} \left\{ \langle \bar{\psi} A_\mu (x) \sigma_{\nu \perp} - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu \rangle \frac{1}{\alpha} \psi (0) \rangle_A \langle \bar{\psi} B^\dagger (0) \sigma_i \frac{1}{\beta} \psi (x) \rangle_B \\
+ \langle \bar{\psi} A^i (x) \sigma_{\nu \perp} - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu \rangle \frac{1}{\alpha} \psi (0) \rangle_A \langle \bar{\psi} B^\dagger (0) \sigma_i \frac{1}{\beta} \psi (x) \rangle_B \right\} + x \leftrightarrow 0 = 0 \\
(6.62)
\]

If \( \mu \) and \( \nu \) are longitudinal, we get

\[
eq \frac{2i}{s^5} (p_{1\mu} p_{2\nu} - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu) \left\{ \langle \bar{\psi} A^i (x) \sigma_{\nu \perp} - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu \rangle \frac{1}{\alpha} \psi (0) \rangle_A \langle \bar{\psi} B^\dagger (0) \sigma_i \frac{1}{\beta} \psi (x) \rangle_B \\
+ \langle \bar{\psi} A^i (x) \sigma_{\nu \perp} - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu \rangle \frac{1}{\alpha} \psi (0) \rangle_A \langle \bar{\psi} B^\dagger (0) \sigma_i \frac{1}{\beta} \psi (x) \rangle_B \right\} - x \leftrightarrow 0 = 0 \\
(6.64)
\]

If now one of indices is longitudinal and the other transverse, the contribution is small due to power counting as discussed after eq. (5.71) so the two-gluon term coming from \( \Xi_1 \) and \( \Xi_2 \) vanishes with our accuracy. The corresponding contribution coming from \( \bar{\Xi}_1 \) and \( \bar{\Xi}_2 \) vanishes for the same reason.

Finally, as shown in eq. (5.72), terms coming from \( \bar{\Xi}_1 \), \( \Xi_1 \) and from \( \Xi_2B \), \( \Xi_2B \) are small due to power counting. Also, as usually we neglect the terms coming from \( \Xi_1 \), \( \Xi_2B \) and from \( \Xi_2B \), \( \Xi_1 \) are \( \sim \frac{1}{N_f} \) so

\[
\tilde{W}^{i A}_{\mu \nu} (q) = \tilde{W}^{i A}_{\mu \nu} (q) = 0 \\
(6.65)
\]

with our accuracy.

6.4.2 Exchange-type power corrections and the result for \( W^{12} \)

The exchange-type power corrections to eq. (6.55) are

\[
(W^{12A})_{\mu \nu}^{\text{ex}} (q) = \frac{N_c}{2s} \sum_{f' f} \langle A, B \rangle \left[ (e_f c_f a_f - e_f c_{f'} a_{f'}) \left[ \psi_1 (x) \gamma_\mu \psi_1 (x) \right] \right]^{f'} \left[ \psi_2 (0) \gamma_\nu \psi_2 (0) \right]^{f'} \\
+ e_f c_f \left[ \psi_1 (x) \gamma_\mu \psi_1 (x) \right]^{f} \left[ \psi_2 (0) \gamma_\nu \gamma_5 \psi_2 (0) \right]^{f'} \\
- e_f c_{f'} \left[ \psi_1 (x) \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 \psi_1 (x) \right]^{f} \left[ \psi_2 (0) \gamma_\nu \psi_2 (0) \right]^{f'} |A, B \rangle - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu + x \leftrightarrow 0 \\
(6.66)
\]

Similarly to the symmetric case, one can use formulas from section 5.3.3 with change of signs of complex conjugations. Indeed, the terms in the r.h.s. of eq. (6.66) differ from those in eqs. (5.76) and (5.82) by replacement of “+x \leftrightarrow 0” by “−x \leftrightarrow 0” which leads to change sign of “± c.c.” terms in those equations. We get

\[
(W^{12A})_{\mu \nu}^{\text{ex}} (q) = - \frac{N_c}{(N^2_c - 1) Q^2} \sum_{f' f} c_f c_{f'} \int d^2 k_\perp \left\{ a_f \left[ \epsilon_{\mu m} k^m (q - k)_\nu - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu \right] I^2_{f f'} (q, k_\perp) \\
- a_{f'} \left[ \epsilon_{\mu m} k^m (q - k)_\nu - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu \right] I^2_{f f'} (q, k_\perp) \right\} \\
(6.67)
\]

cf. eq. (5.85). As usually, exchange power corrections exist only for transverse \( \mu \) and \( \nu \).
Finally, since we proved in previous section that annihilation-type power corrections $W^{12A}_{\mu\nu}$ vanish, the total result for $W^{12A}_{\mu\nu}$ is equal to the “exchange” part:

$$W^{12A}_{\mu\nu}(q) = (W^{12A})^{\text{ex}}_{\mu\nu}(q) = \text{r.h.s of eq. (6.67)} \tag{6.68}$$

7 Results

In this section we will take into account only gauge-invariant terms coming from annihilation-type terms proportional to TMDs $f_1$ and $h_1^\perp$. The reason to neglect annihilation-type $\sim W^{2H}(q)$ is that the twist-three matrix elements (A.63) are virtually unknown, and exchange-type power corrections can presumably be neglected due to extra $\frac{1}{N_c}$. Anyway, taking into account leading-twist contributions and their “gauge-invariance-restoring” counterparts appears to a good start for estimations of DY hadronic tensors.

7.1 Hadronic tensors in Collins-Soper frame

In Collins-Soper frame the hadronic tensors are parametrized in terms of $q$ and three unit vectors $X,Y,Z$ orthogonal to $q$ and to each other. In terms of Sudakov variables they are

$$Z = \frac{\hat{q}}{Q_\parallel} \equiv \frac{1}{Q_\parallel} (\alpha_q p_1 - \beta_q p_2), \quad X = \frac{Q_\perp Q_\parallel}{Q_\parallel} q + \frac{Q_\perp Q_\parallel}{Q_\perp} q_\perp \tag{7.1}$$

$$Y_\mu = \frac{-1}{Q} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} X^\nu Z^\lambda q^\rho = \epsilon_{\mu\nu} q_\perp^\nu \tag{7.2}$$

where $Q_\perp \equiv |q_\perp|$.

7.1.1 Hadronic tensor for photon-mediated DY process

We parametrize photon-mediated hadronic tensor in a standard way (up to extra $N_c$ and flavor factors), separately for $W^{E\mu\nu}_T$ and $W^{E\mu\nu}_L$ defined in eq. (4.79)

$$W^{E\mu\nu}_T(q) = - \left( g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{q_\mu q_\nu}{q^2} \right) \left( W^{TF}_T + W^{FD}_\Delta \right) - 2X_\mu X_\nu W^{EF}_\Delta$$

$$+ Z_\mu Z_\nu \left( W^{LF}_T - W^{TF}_T - W^{FD}_\Delta \right) - (X_\mu Z_\nu + X_\nu Z_\mu) W^{EF}_\Delta \tag{7.3}$$

and similarly

$$W^{H\mu\nu}_T(q) = - \left( g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{q_\mu q_\nu}{q^2} \right) \left( W^{HF}_T + W^{HD}_\Delta \right) - 2X_\mu X_\nu W^{HF}_\Delta$$

$$+ Z_\mu Z_\nu \left( W^{HL}_T - W^{HF}_T - W^{HD}_\Delta \right) - (X_\mu Z_\nu + X_\nu Z_\mu) W^{HF}_\Delta \tag{7.4}$$

The expressions for $W_i(q)$ can be easily obtained from eqs. (4.30) and (4.66):

$$W^{EF}_T(q) = \int d^2 k_\perp \left[ 1 - \frac{q_\perp^2}{2Q_\parallel^2} \right] F^T(q, k_\perp), \quad W^{EF}_L(q) = \int d k_\perp \frac{(q - 2k)^2}{Q_\parallel^2} F^L(q, k_\perp) \tag{7.5}$$

$$W^{EF}_\Delta(q) = \int d^2 k_\perp \frac{q_\perp^2}{2Q_\parallel^2} F^E(q, k_\perp), \quad W^{EF}_\Delta(q) = \frac{Q}{Q_\parallel^2 Q_\perp} \int d^2 k_\perp (q - 2k)_\perp F^E(q, k_\perp)$$
and

\[
W^{\text{HF}}_L(q) = \frac{1}{m^2 Q^2} \int dk_\perp \left( \frac{2}{Q^2} \left( \frac{q}{k_\perp} \right)^2 - \left( k_\perp + (q-k)_\perp \right) \right) H^f(q, k_\perp),
\]

\[
W^{\text{HF}}_\Delta(q) = \frac{1}{m^2 Q^2} \int d^2 k_\perp \left( \frac{2}{Q^2} \left( \frac{q}{k_\perp} \right)^2 - \left( k_\perp + (q-k)_\perp \right) \right) H^f(q, k_\perp)
\]

These expressions were obtained in ref. [16].

### 7.1.2 Hadronic tensor for Z-mediated DY process

The symmetric part of \( W^Z_{\mu\nu} \) is given by eq. (5.19)

\[
W^Z_{\mu\nu} = e^2 \sum_f c_f^2 \left[ (a_f^2 + 1) W^{fF}_{\mu\nu}(q) + (a_f^2 - 1) W^{fH}_{\mu\nu}(q) \right]
\]

where \( W^{fF}_{\mu\nu}(q) \) and \( W^{fH}_{\mu\nu}(q) \) are expressed in terms of \( X \) and \( Z \) vectors in eqs. (7.3) and (7.4) above.

The antisymmetric part (5.83) can be parametrized as

\[
W^Z_{\mu\nu} = -2i \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} q^\lambda \sum_f a_f c_f \left[ \frac{Z^\rho}{Q_{\parallel}} W^{fF}_4(q) + X^\rho \frac{Q_{\perp}}{Q_{\parallel}} W^{fH}_3(q) \right]
\]

where

\[
W^{fF}_4(q) = \int d^2 k_\perp \mathcal{F}^f(q, k_\perp), \quad W^{fH}_3(q) = \int d^2 k_\perp \left( 1 - \frac{2(q_{\perp})_{\perp}}{q_{\perp}^2} \right) \mathcal{F}^f(q, k_\perp)
\]

with \( \mathcal{F}^f(q, k_\perp) \) given by eq. (5.27).

### 7.1.3 Interference tensors

The symmetric part of the interference tensor \( W^{\text{IS}}_{\mu\nu}(q) \) is given by eq. (6.6)

\[
W^{\text{IS}}_{\mu\nu}(q) = \sum_f e_f c_f a_f \left[ W^{fF}_{\mu\nu}(q) + W^{fH}_{\mu\nu}(q) \right]
\]

where \( W^{fF}_{\mu\nu}(q) \) and \( W^{fH}_{\mu\nu}(q) \) are given by eqs. (7.3) and (7.4) above.

The antisymmetric part of \( W^{\text{IS}}_{\mu\nu}(q) \) is given by eq. (6.12) which can be represented similarly to eq. (7.14)

\[
W^{\text{IS}}_{\mu\nu}(q) = -i \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} q^\lambda \sum_f e_f c_f \left[ \frac{Z^\rho}{Q_{\parallel}} W^{fF}_A(q) + X^\rho \frac{Q_{\perp}}{Q_{\parallel}} W^{fH}_B(q) \right]
\]
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where $\mathcal{W}^{Ff}_{1,2}(q)$ are given by eq. (7.9).

Next, the symmetric part of $W^{12}_{\mu\nu}(q)$, given by eq. (6.52), can be parametrized as

$$W^{12}_{\mu\nu}(q) = i \sum_f e_f c_f \left( \frac{Q}{Q_\parallel} Y_\mu X_\nu W^{1H}_{1}(q) + Y_\mu Z_\nu \frac{Q_\perp}{Q_\parallel} W^{2H}_{2}(q) \right) + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu \quad (7.12)$$

where

$$W^{1H}_{1}(q) = \frac{1}{m^2} \int d^2k_{\perp} \left[ \frac{2(q, k)_{\perp} (q - k)_{\perp}}{q_{\perp}^2} - (k, q - k)_{\perp} \right] \mathcal{H}^f(q, k_{\perp})$$

$$W^{2H}_{2}(q) = \frac{1}{m^2q_{\perp}^2} \int d^2k_{\perp} \left[ (q, k)_{\perp} (q - k)_{\perp}^2 - (q - k)_{\perp} k_{\perp}^2 \right] \mathcal{H}^f(q, k_{\perp}) \quad (7.13)$$

Finally, the antisymmetric part of $W^{12}_{\mu\nu}(q)$ vanishes, see eq. (6.65).

$$W^{2A}_{\mu\nu}(q) = -2i\epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} q^\lambda \sum_f a_f c_f \left[ \frac{Z^\rho}{Q_\parallel} \mathcal{W}^{Ff}_{1}(q) + X^\rho \frac{Q_\perp}{Q_\parallel} \mathcal{W}^{2f}_{2}(q) \right] \quad (7.14)$$

### 7.1.4 Angular coefficients

Rewriting the differential cross section $2.5$ in terms of hadronic tensors listed in previous section, we obtain

$$d\sigma = \frac{d^4q}{q^4} \frac{e^4}{N_c \pi^2} \int d^2l d^2l' \delta (q - l - l') \mathbb{W}(q, l, l') = \frac{e^4}{16\pi^2 s N_c} \frac{dQ^2}{Q^2} dY d^2q_{\perp} d\Omega_l \mathbb{W}(q, l, l') \quad (7.15)$$

where

$$\mathbb{W}(q, l, l') = \frac{2}{Q^2} L^{\mu\nu} \sum_f c_f^2 \left[ W^{Ff}_{\mu\nu}(q) + W^{HF}_{\mu\nu}(q) \right]$$

$$+ \frac{2 e_c^2}{Q^4} \Phi_1 (Q^2) \left\{ (a_c^2 + 1) L^{\mu\nu} \sum_f c_f^2 \left[ (a_f^2 + 1) W^{Ff}_{\mu\nu}(q) + (a_f^2 - 1) W^{HF}_{\mu\nu}(q) \right] \right\}$$

$$- 4a_c a_f c_f^2 \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} a^\lambda_{\alpha} a^\lambda_{\beta} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} q^\lambda \left[ \frac{Z^\rho}{Q_\parallel} \mathcal{W}^{Ff}_{1}(q) + X^\rho \frac{Q_\perp}{Q_\parallel} \mathcal{W}^{2f}_{2}(q) \right] \left\{ a_f \left[ W^{Ff}_{\mu\nu}(q) + W^{HF}_{\mu\nu}(q) \right] \right\}$$

$$+ \frac{4 e_c}{Q^2} \Phi_2 (Q^2) \sum_f c_f e_f \left\{ a_c \left[ W^{Ff}_{\mu\nu}(q) + W^{HF}_{\mu\nu}(q) \right] L^{\mu\nu} \right. + \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\lambda} a^\alpha_{\lambda} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} q^\rho \left[ \frac{Z^\rho}{Q_\parallel} \mathcal{W}^{Ff}_{1}(q) + X^\rho \frac{Q_\perp}{Q_\parallel} \mathcal{W}^{2f}_{2}(q) \right]$$

$$- 4 e_c a_c e_c \frac{Q^2}{Q^4} \Phi_3 (Q^2) \sum_f c_f e_f \left[ \frac{Q}{Q_\parallel} Y_\mu X_\nu W^{1H}_{1}(q) + Y_\mu Z_\nu \frac{Q_\perp}{Q_\parallel} W^{2H}_{2}(q) \right] L^{\mu\nu} \quad (7.16)$$

and

$$\phi_1 (Q^2) = \frac{Q^4}{m_Z^2 - Q^2 + \Gamma_{Zm_Z}^2}, \quad \phi_2 (Q^2) = \frac{(Q^2 - m_Z^2)}{Q^2} f_1 (Q^2), \quad \phi_3 (Q^2) = \frac{\Gamma_{Zm_Z} m_Z}{Q^2} f_1 (Q^2) \quad (7.17)$$
The angular dependence in the CS frame can be displayed using the convolutions of leptonic
and hadronic tensors are presented in section A.5. One obtains

$$
\mathcal{W}(q,l,l') = \sum_i \left\{ \left[ c_i^2 + c_i^2 \left( a_i^2 + 1 \right) c_i^2 \left( a_i^2 + 1 \right) \phi_1 + 2 c_i a_i c_f a_f \phi_2 \right] \right.
\times \left[ \left( W_i^{Ff} + \frac{W_i^{Ff}}{2} \right) \left( 1 + \cos^2 \theta \right) + \frac{W_i^{Ff}}{2} \left( 1 - 3 \cos^2 \theta \right) + W_i^{Ff} \sin 2 \theta \cos \phi + W_{i\Delta}^{Ff} \sin^2 \theta \cos 2 \phi \right] + W_i^{Ff} \sin 2 \theta \sin \phi + W_{i\Delta}^{Ff} \sin^2 \theta \cos 2 \phi \left. \left[ W^i_{1F} \cos \theta \right] + \frac{Q_1}{Q} W^i_{2F} \sin \theta \cos \phi \right] + a_i a_f c_f \phi_3 \left[ W^i_{1F} \sin^2 \theta \sin 2 \phi \right] \right\} 
$$

(7.18)

where $\phi_1 = \phi_i(Q^2)$ and $W^i = W^i(\alpha_q, \beta_q, Q^2)$.

The above formula (7.18) is the main result of the paper. It should be compared to
standard representation of angular distribution of DY leptons (1.3)

### 7.2 Comparison with LHC measurements

The LHC measurements are integrated over the region of invariant mass of DY pair be-
tween 80 and 100 GeV. In this kinematic region the most important contribution comes
from terms in eq. (7.18) multiplied by $\phi_1$. Indeed, for an estimate we can consider
$W^i(\alpha_q, \beta_q, Q^2) \approx W^i(\alpha_q, \beta_q, m_z^2)$ and get

$$
\int_{80}^{100} \frac{dQ^2}{Q^2} \phi_1(Q^2) \approx 95, \quad \int_{80}^{100} \frac{dQ^2}{Q^2} \phi_2(Q^2) \approx 0, \quad \int_{80}^{100} \frac{dQ^2}{Q^2} \phi_3(Q^2) \approx 2.6
$$

(7.19)

Since the accuracy of our small-x approximation is $\alpha_q = x_A, \beta_q = x_B \approx 0.1$ we can neglect
all contributions coming form terms not multiplied by $\phi_1$. Moreover, both theoretical [28]
and phenomenological [29, 30] analysis indicate that $h_1^+$ is of order of few percent of $f_1$
and hence in numerical estimates we will disregard the contribution of $h_1^+$. Introducing
notations

$$
\mathcal{W}^{Ff}(q) = \int d^2k_\perp F^f(q, k_\perp), \quad \mathcal{W}^{Ff}_L(q) = \int dk_\perp \frac{(q - 2k)^2}{q_\perp^2} F^f(q, k_\perp)
$$

\begin{equation}
(7.20)
\end{equation}

$$
\mathcal{W}^{Ff}_1(q) = \int d^2k_\perp \frac{(q - 2k)^2}{q_\perp^2} F^f(q, k_\perp)
$$

\begin{equation}
(7.20)
\end{equation}
we get

\[ \mathcal{W}(q, l') = c^2 \ln^2 \phi_1 (Q^2) \sum_f \left\{ \left( a^2_1 + 1 \right) \left( a^2_2 + 1 \right) \left[ \mathcal{W}^{\text{FI}} - \frac{Q^2}{2Q^2} (\mathcal{W}^{\text{FI}} - \mathcal{W}^{\text{Ff}}) \right] (1+\cos^2 \theta) \\
+ \frac{Q^2}{2Q^2} \mathcal{W}^{\text{Ff}} (1-3\cos^2 \theta) + \frac{Q^2}{Q} \mathcal{W}^{\text{Ff}} \sin 2\theta \cos \phi + \frac{Q^2}{2Q^2} \mathcal{W}^{\text{Ff}} \sin^2 \theta \cos 2\phi \right) \\
+ 8a_a a_f \left[ \frac{Q^2}{Q} \mathcal{W}^{\text{Ff}} \sin \theta \cos \phi + \mathcal{W}^{\text{Ff}} \cos \theta \right] \right\} \]

(7.21)

where \( \mathcal{W}_3^{\text{Ff}} \) and \( \mathcal{W}_4^{\text{Ff}} \) are defined in eq. (7.9). Since we neglected exchange-type power corrections we should expect the accuracy of order of \( \frac{1}{Q^2} \sim 30\% \). Let us discuss now some qualitative and semi-quantitative predictions of this equation. First, let us evaluate \( \mathcal{W}_i \) at \( Q^2 \gg m^2 \) following ref. [14].

### 7.2.1 Logarithmic estimates of \( \mathcal{W}_i \) at \( Q^2 \gg m^2 \)

At \( q^2 \gg m^2 \) we probe the perturbative tail of TMD \( f_1 \) which is \( \sim \frac{1}{k_1^2} \). So, as long as \( Q^2 \gg q^2 \gg m^2 \) we can approximate

\[ f_1(\alpha_z, k_1^2) \approx \frac{f(\alpha_q)}{k_1^2}, \quad \tilde{f}_1 \approx \frac{\tilde{f}(\alpha_q)}{k_1^2} \]

(7.22)

(up to logarithmic corrections). Similarly, for the target we can use the estimate

\[ f_1(\beta_z, k_1^2) \approx \frac{f(\beta_q)}{k_1^2}, \quad \tilde{f}_1 \approx \frac{\tilde{f}(\beta_q)}{k_1^2} \]

(7.23)

as long as \( k_1^2 \ll Q^2 \). Thus, we get an estimate

\[ F^f(q, k_1) \approx \frac{F^f(\alpha_q, \beta_q)}{k_1^2}, \quad F^f(\alpha_q, \beta_q) \equiv f^f(\alpha_q) \tilde{f}(\beta_q) + f^f \leftrightarrow \tilde{f}^f, \]

\[ \mathcal{F}^f(q, k_1) \approx \frac{\mathcal{F}^f(\alpha_q, \beta_q)}{k_1^2}, \quad \mathcal{F}^f(\alpha_q, \beta_q) \equiv f^f(\alpha_q) \tilde{f}(\beta_q) - f^f \leftrightarrow \tilde{f}^f. \]

(7.24)

Due to eqs. (7.22) and (7.23), the integrals over \( k_1 \) are logarithmic and should be cut from below by \( m_N^2 \) and from above by \( Q^2 \) so we get an estimate

\[ \int d^2 k_1 \frac{1}{k_1^2(q-k)^2} \approx \frac{2\pi}{q_1^2} \ln \frac{q_1^2}{m^2}, \quad \int d^2 k_1 \frac{(k-q-k)^2}{k_1^2(q-k)^2} \approx -\pi \ln \frac{Q^2}{q_1^2} \]

(7.25)

where we assumed that the first integral is determined by the logarithmic region \( q_1^2 \gg k_1^2 \gg m_N^2 \) and the second by \( Q^2 \gg k_1^2 \gg q_1^2 \). Taking these integrals to eq. (7.20) one obtains

\[ \mathcal{W}_3^{\text{Ff}}(q) \approx \frac{2\pi}{Q^2} \ln \frac{q^2}{m^2} F^f(\alpha_q, \beta_q), \quad \mathcal{W}_4^{\text{Ff}}(q) \approx \frac{2\pi}{Q^2} \left[ \ln \frac{q^2}{m^2} + 2 \ln \frac{Q^2}{q_1^2} \right] F^f(\alpha_q, \beta_q) \]

\[ \mathcal{W}_1^{\text{Ff}}(q) \approx \frac{2\pi}{Q^2} \ln \frac{q^2}{m^2} \mathcal{F}^f(\alpha_q, \beta_q), \quad \mathcal{W}_1^{\text{Ff}}(q) \approx \mathcal{W}_3^{\text{Ff}}(q) \approx \mathcal{W}_4^{\text{Ff}}(q) \approx 0. \]

(7.26)
Figure 4. Comparison of prediction (7.29) with lines depicting angular coefficient $A_0$ in bins of $Q_\perp$ and $Y < 1$ from ref. [18]. (long bins) and ref. [17] (short bins).

For numerical estimates of $A_0$ and $A_2$ we will need the ratio

$$r(Q_\perp^2) = \frac{\hat{W}^{\text{FF}}_\perp(q)}{\hat{W}^{\text{FF}}_\perp(q)} \simeq 1 + 2 \frac{\ln Q^2/Q_\perp^2}{\ln Q^2_/m^2} \tag{7.27}$$

which does not depend on flavor. Substituting these formulas to eq. (7.18), we get

$$W(q, l, l') = c_e^2 \left(a_e^2 + 1\right) c_f^2 \phi_1(Q^2) \sum_f \left(a_f^2 + 1\right) W^{\text{FF}}_f \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{Q^2}{2Q^2_\perp} (1 - r)\right) \left(1 + \cos^2 \theta\right) 
+ \frac{Q^2}{2Q^2_\perp} (1 - 3 \cos^2 \theta) + \frac{Q^2}{2Q^2} \sin^2 \theta \cos 2\phi + \frac{8a_e a_f}{(a_e^2 + 1)(a_f^2 + 1)} \frac{\gamma_f}{F_f} \cos \theta \right\} \tag{7.28}$$

7.2.2 Estimates of $A_0$ and $A_2$

Since our estimate (7.27) does not depend on flavor, from eq. (7.28) we get

$$A_0 = \frac{Q^2_\perp}{m^2} \frac{1 + 2 \ln m^2_2/Q^2_\perp}{1 + \frac{Q^2_\perp}{m^2_2} \ln m^2_2/Q^2_\perp} \tag{7.29}$$

where we replaced $Q^2$ by $m^2_2$ since this function varies slowly between 80 and 100 GeV. This formula is compared to LHC measurements in figure 4. The accuracy of our estimate is about 20% which is reasonable since we neglected corrections $\sim 1/N_c$.

Next, in our logarithmic approximation

$$A_2 = \frac{Q^2_\perp/m^2_2}{1 + \frac{Q^2_\perp}{m^2_2} \ln m^2_2/Q^2_\perp}$$

The comparison with LHC data is shown in figure 5. The accuracy here is about 30%.
7.2.3 Qualitative checks for other angular coefficients

First, note that between 10 and 30 GeV the coefficient $A_1$ from table 15 of ref. [17] is an order of magnitude smaller than $A_0$ from table 14 (or $A_2$ from table 16) in accordance with our estimate $A_1 \simeq 0$. This is another argument in favor of factorization hypothesis $f_1(x_B, k_\perp) \simeq f_1(x_B)g(k_\perp)$ which is frequently used in current TMD literature.

Second, from eq. (7.28) we see that our estimate for $A_4$ involves non-trivial flavor structure, but one thing immediately seen is that $A_4$ does not depend on $Q_\perp$ in our region 10–30 GeV. As one can see from table 18 of ref. [17], the experimental numbers for $A_4$ almost do not change in this kinematical region. Moreover, from eq. (7.24) $F^f(\alpha_q, \beta_q) = 0$ at $Y = 0$ (i.e., when $\alpha_q = \beta_q$) so we should expect that $A_4$ in rapidity bin $2 > Y > 1$ is greater than in bin $Y < 1$, and table 18 confirms that. On the contrary, the results for $A_0$ and $A_2$ do not change much between those rapidity beans in accordance with our prediction that $F^f(\alpha_q, \beta_q)$ does not change radically.

Third, our estimate $A_3 = 0$ is in accordance to the fact that experimental numbers for $A_3$ from table 17 of ref. [17] are order of magnitude smaller than numbers $A_4$ from table 18.

Finally, the coefficients $A_5$ to $A_7$ do not appear in our estimate (7.18), again in accordance with tables 19–21 of ref. [17] where the numbers for $A_5$, $A_6$, and $A_7$ are actually two orders of magnitude smaller than the numbers for $A_0$ or $A_2$ in our kinematical region.

Summarizing, it looks like our $f_1$-based estimates point in the right direction, but of course more phenomenological work is required. Note also that in ref. [16] it was demonstrated that TMD evolution in the double-log approximation does not affect the predictions for angular coefficients, but the single-log corrections to TMD evolution may change the results for asymmetries. The study is in progress.

8 Conclusions and outlook

To my knowledge, this is the first analysis of DY angular coefficients in the framework of TMD factorization, and, as we saw, the first signs are encouraging. There are two questions
about the TMD analysis of DY process: can we predict/explain angular dependence of DY cross section from TMD factorization at LHC kinematical range and reciprocally, can we learn something about proton structure from this?

The answer to first question is probably yes. We see that when we have sufficient knowledge about TMDs responsible for a particular angular coefficient, that coefficient comes out with reasonable accuracy even with back-of-the-envelope estimates. For example, the very naive estimate of the coefficients $A_0$ and $A_2$ agrees with experiment at 30% accuracy. The error may be due to our approximation of TMDs by perturbative tails or maybe it is due to $\frac{1}{N_c}$ corrections proportional to higher-twist operators. I hope that careful analysis involving established models of \( f_1(x, q_\perp) \) will distinguish between these two possibilities. As to the rest of angular coefficients, more details about quark TMDs and at least some guesses about quark-quark-gluon TMDs are necessary to make quantitative predictions. Also, one needs to take into account fiducial power corrections, see recent paper [19] for a review.

This bring us to the second question, namely how much we can learn about proton structure from $Z$-boson experiments. First, as was demonstrated above, the coefficients $A_0$ and $A_2$ are determined by TMD $f_1$ with $\frac{1}{N_c} \sim 30\%$ accuracy. We used the naive logarithmic estimates and it is natural to assume that the realistic models for $f_1$ will move the curves in figures 4 and 5 closer to the experimental points. Also, it should be mentioned, that the “factorization hypothesis” for the LT TMDs like $f(x_B, k_\perp) \simeq f(x_B)g(k_\perp)$ seems to be confirmed by experimental data in a sense that the angular coefficients which vanish in this approximation are smaller than the non-vanishing ones. The coefficients $A_1$, $A_3$, and $A_4$ are determined by non-factorized part of $f_1$ or higher-twist exchange contributions.

Next, the coefficients $A_5$-$A_7$ seem to be determined by exchange-type corrections proportional to higher-twist quark-quark-gluon TMDs. Unfortunately, comprehensive analysis of exchange-type power corrections requires calculation of higher-twist contributions restoring EM gauge invariance of these corrections, see the discussion in section 4.5.

Let us also discuss the perturbative corrections to asymmetries. As demonstrated in ref. [16] our estimates of asymmetries are not affected by summation of Sudakov double logs, but single logs may bring some changes to tree-level results. It should be also emphasized that, as discussed in refs. [14, 15], from the rapidity factorization (3.2) we get TMDs with rapidity-only cutoff $|\alpha| < \sigma_t$ or $|\beta| < \sigma_p$. Such cutoff, relevant for small-$x$ physics, is different from the combination of UV and rapidity cutoffs for TMDs used by moderate-$x$ community, see the analysis in two [31–33] and three [34] loops. This difference in cutoffs does not matter for the tree-level formulas of section 7, but if one goes beyond the tree level, one has to relate TMDs with rapidity-only cutoffs to the TMD models with conventional cutoffs. This requires calculations at the NLO level which are in progress.
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A Frequently used formulas

A.1 Formulas with Dirac matrices

A.1.1 Fierz transformations

First, let us write down Fierz transformations for symmetric and antisymmetric combinations

\[ \frac{1}{2}[(\bar{\psi}\gamma_{\mu}\chi)(\bar{\chi}\gamma_{\nu}\psi)+\mu \leftrightarrow \nu] \]  \hspace{1cm} (A.1)

\[ = -\frac{1}{4} \left( \delta_{\mu}^{\alpha} \delta_{\nu}^{\beta} + \delta_{\nu}^{\alpha} \delta_{\mu}^{\beta} - g_{\mu\nu} g^{\alpha\beta} \right) \left[ (\bar{\psi}\gamma_{\alpha}\chi)(\bar{\chi}\gamma_{\beta}\psi) + (\bar{\psi}\gamma_{\alpha}\gamma_{5}\chi)(\bar{\chi}\gamma_{\beta}\gamma_{5}\psi) \right] + \frac{1}{4} \left( \delta_{\mu}^{\alpha} \delta_{\nu}^{\beta} + \delta_{\nu}^{\alpha} \delta_{\mu}^{\beta} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} g^{\alpha\beta} \right) (\bar{\psi}\sigma_{\alpha\beta}\chi)(\bar{\chi}\gamma_{5}\chi) \]

We need also

\[ \frac{1}{2} \left[ (\bar{\psi}\gamma_{\mu}\chi)(\bar{\chi}\gamma_{\nu}\psi) - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu \right] = \frac{i}{4} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \left[ (\bar{\psi}\gamma_{\alpha}\gamma_{5}\chi)(\bar{\chi}\gamma_{\beta}\psi) + (\bar{\psi}\gamma_{\alpha}\psi)(\bar{\chi}\gamma_{\beta}\gamma_{5}\psi) \right] \]

\[ = -\frac{1}{4} \left( \delta_{\mu}^{\alpha} \delta_{\nu}^{\beta} + \delta_{\nu}^{\alpha} \delta_{\mu}^{\beta} - g_{\mu\nu} g^{\alpha\beta} \right) \left[ (\bar{\psi}\gamma_{\alpha}\gamma_{5}\chi)(\bar{\chi}\gamma_{\beta}\psi) + (\bar{\psi}\gamma_{\alpha}\psi)(\bar{\chi}\gamma_{\beta}\gamma_{5}\psi) \right] \]  \hspace{1cm} (A.3)

Using eq. (A.11) one can obtain the following formula

\[ \frac{1}{4} \left[ ((\bar{\psi}\gamma_{\mu}\chi)(\bar{\chi}\gamma_{\nu}\gamma_{5}\psi)) + ((\bar{\psi}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{5}\chi)(\bar{\chi}\gamma_{\nu}\psi)) + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu \right] \]

\[ = -\frac{1}{4} \left( \delta_{\mu}^{\alpha} \delta_{\nu}^{\beta} + \delta_{\nu}^{\alpha} \delta_{\mu}^{\beta} - g_{\mu\nu} g^{\alpha\beta} \right) \left[ (\bar{\psi}\gamma_{\alpha}\gamma_{5}\chi)(\bar{\chi}\gamma_{\beta}\gamma_{5}\psi) + (\bar{\psi}\gamma_{\alpha}\psi)(\bar{\chi}\gamma_{\beta}\psi) \right] \]

\[ \frac{1}{4} \left[ (\bar{\psi}\gamma_{\mu}\chi)(\bar{\chi}\gamma_{\nu}\gamma_{5}\psi) - (\bar{\psi}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{5}\chi)(\bar{\chi}\gamma_{\nu}\psi) + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu \right] \]

\[ = \frac{g_{\mu\nu}}{4} (\bar{\psi}\gamma_{\mu}\psi)(\bar{\chi}\gamma_{5}\chi) - \frac{g_{\mu\nu}}{8} \left[ (\bar{\psi}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\psi)(\bar{\chi}\gamma_{5}\chi) - (\bar{\psi}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\gamma_{5}\psi)(\bar{\chi}\gamma_{5}\chi) \right] \]  \hspace{1cm} (A.4)

for symmetric tensors and

\[ \frac{1}{4} \left[ (\bar{\psi}\gamma_{\mu}\chi)(\bar{\chi}\gamma_{\nu}\gamma_{5}\psi) + (\bar{\psi}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{5}\chi)(\bar{\chi}\gamma_{\nu}\psi) - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu \right] \]

\[ = -\frac{i}{4} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \left[ (\bar{\psi}\gamma_{\alpha}\chi)(\bar{\chi}\gamma_{\beta}\psi) + (\bar{\psi}\gamma_{\alpha}\gamma_{5}\chi)(\bar{\chi}\gamma_{\beta}\gamma_{5}\psi) \right] \]

\[ \frac{1}{4} \left[ (\bar{\psi}\gamma_{\mu}\chi)(\bar{\chi}\gamma_{\nu}\gamma_{5}\psi) - (\bar{\psi}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{5}\chi)(\bar{\chi}\gamma_{\nu}\psi) - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu \right] \]

\[ = -\frac{i}{4} \bar{\psi}(\bar{\chi}\gamma_{5}\chi) + \frac{i}{4} \bar{\psi}(\bar{\chi}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{5}\chi) + \frac{i}{4} (\bar{\psi}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\gamma_{5}\psi)(\bar{\chi}\gamma_{5}\chi) - \frac{i}{4} (\bar{\psi}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\psi)(\bar{\chi}\gamma_{5}\chi) \]  \hspace{1cm} (A.5)

for the antisymmetric ones.
A.1.2 Formulas with $\sigma$-matrices

It is convenient to define\(^ {10}\)
\[
\epsilon_{ij} \equiv \frac{2}{s} \epsilon_{i\star j} = \frac{2}{s} \epsilon_{ij} p_i^\mu p_j^\nu \epsilon_{\mu\nu ij}
\]  
(A.7)
such that $\epsilon_{12} = 1$ and $\epsilon_{ij} \epsilon_{kl} = g_{ik} g_{jl} - g_{il} g_{jk}$. The frequently used formula is
\[
\sigma_{\mu\nu} \sigma_{\alpha\beta} = (g_{\mu\alpha} g_{\nu\beta} - g_{\mu\beta} g_{\nu\alpha}) - i \epsilon_{\mu\alpha\nu\beta} \gamma_5 - i (g_{\mu\alpha} \sigma_{\nu\beta} - g_{\mu\beta} \sigma_{\nu\alpha} - g_{\nu\alpha} \sigma_{\mu\beta} + g_{\nu\beta} \sigma_{\mu\alpha})
\]  
(A.8)
with variations
\[
\frac{2}{s} \sigma_{i\star j} = g_{ij} - i \epsilon_{ij} \gamma_5 - i \sigma_{ij} - \frac{2i}{s} \sigma_{i\star j} \quad \frac{2}{s} \sigma_{i\star j} = g_{ij} + i \epsilon_{ij} \gamma_5 - i \sigma_{ij} + \frac{2}{s} \sigma_{i\star j}
\]  
(A.9)

We need also the following formulas with $\sigma$-matrices in different matrix elements
\[
\tilde{\sigma}_{\mu\nu} \otimes \tilde{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{1}{2} (g_{\mu\alpha} g_{\nu\beta} - g_{\mu\beta} g_{\nu\alpha}) \sigma_{\xi\eta} \otimes \sigma_{\xi\eta} + g_{\mu\alpha} \sigma_{\nu\beta} \xi \otimes \sigma_{\mu\beta} \xi - g_{\alpha\beta} \sigma_{\nu\xi} \otimes \sigma_{\mu\xi} \otimes \sigma_{\mu\xi} - \sigma_{\alpha\beta} \otimes \sigma_{\mu\nu}
\]  
(A.10)
and
\[
\sigma_{\mu\xi} \otimes \sigma_{\nu\xi} = -\frac{g_{\mu\nu}}{2} \sigma_{\xi\eta} \otimes \sigma_{\xi\eta} + \sigma_{\nu\xi} \otimes \sigma_{\mu\xi}, \quad \sigma_{\xi\eta} \otimes \sigma_{\xi\eta} \otimes \sigma_{\xi\eta} = \sigma_{\xi\eta} \otimes \sigma_{\xi\eta}
\]  
(A.11)
\[
\sigma_{\mu\xi} \gamma_5 \otimes \sigma_{\nu\xi} \gamma_5 + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu - \frac{g_{\mu\nu}}{2} \sigma_{\xi\eta} \gamma_5 \otimes \sigma_{\xi\eta} \gamma_5 = - \left[ \sigma_{\mu\xi} \otimes \sigma_{\nu\xi} + \mu \leftrightarrow \nu - \frac{g_{\mu\nu}}{2} \sigma_{\xi\eta} \otimes \sigma_{\xi\eta} \right]
\]
\[
\bar{\sigma}_{i\star j} \otimes \bar{\sigma}_{i\star k} = \frac{s}{4} g_{jk} \sigma_{\xi\eta} \otimes \sigma_{\xi\eta} + \frac{s}{2} \sigma_{kl} \otimes \sigma_{j\xi} + g_{jk} \sigma_{\xi\xi} \otimes \sigma_{j\xi} - \sigma_{i\star j} \otimes \sigma_{i\star k} = \frac{s}{4} g_{jk} \sigma_{mn} \otimes \sigma_{mn} - gjk \sigma_{i\star i} \otimes \sigma_{i\star j} + \frac{s}{2} \sigma_{kl} \otimes \sigma_{j\xi}
\]  
(A.12)
\[
\sigma_{i\star i} \otimes \sigma_{i\star j} - \sigma_{i\star i} \gamma_5 \otimes \sigma_{i\star j} = - g_{ij} \sigma_{i\star i} \otimes \sigma_{i\star j} + g_{ij} \sigma_{i\star i} \otimes \sigma_{i\star j} - \frac{s}{4} g_{ij} \sigma_{mn} \otimes \sigma_{mn} + \frac{s}{2} \sigma_{ji} \otimes \sigma_{i\xi}
\]  
(A.13)
\[
\sigma_{k\xi} \otimes \gamma_{i\sigma} \sigma_{k\xi} \gamma_{j\xi} = \bar{p}_2 \gamma^k \otimes \bar{p}_1 \gamma_{i\sigma} \gamma_{k\xi} \gamma_{j\xi} = \bar{p}_2 \gamma^k \otimes \bar{p}_1 (g_{ik} \gamma_{j\xi} + g_{jk} \gamma_{i\sigma} - g_{ij} \gamma_{k\xi})
\]  
\[
= \bar{p}_2 (g_{ik} \gamma_{j\xi} + g_{jk} \gamma_{i\sigma} - g_{ij} \gamma_{k\xi}) \otimes \bar{p}_1 \gamma^k = (\gamma_{j\sigma} \sigma_{k\xi} \gamma_{i\sigma}) \otimes \sigma_{i\star k}
\]  
(A.14)

We will need also
\[
\bar{p}_2 \otimes \gamma_i \bar{p}_1 \gamma_{j\xi} + \bar{p}_2 \gamma_{j\xi} \otimes \gamma_i \bar{p}_1 \gamma_{k\xi} = \gamma_j \bar{p}_2 \gamma_i \otimes \bar{p}_1 + \gamma_j \bar{p}_2 \gamma_i \gamma_{k\xi} \bar{p}_1 \gamma_{j\xi}
\]  
(A.15)
\(^ {10}\)We use conventions from Bjorken & Drell where $\epsilon^{\mu_1 \mu_2 5 \mu_3 \mu_4} = -1$ and $\gamma^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \gamma_{\mu_3}} = g_{\mu_1 \mu_2} \gamma_\lambda + g_{\lambda \mu_3} \gamma_{\mu_2} - g_{\mu_2 \mu_3} \gamma_{\mu_1} - i \epsilon^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3 \mu_4}. \mathrm{Also, \ with \ this \ convention \ } \bar{\sigma}_{i\star j} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \sigma^{\lambda\rho} = i \sigma_{\mu\nu} \gamma_5.$
A.1.3 Formulas with $\gamma$-matrices and one gluon field

In the gauge $A_\bullet = 0$ the “projectile” field $A_i$ can be represented as

$$A_i(x_\bullet, x_{\perp}) = \frac{2}{s} \int_{-\infty}^{x_{\perp}} dx_i' F^{(A)}_{s_i}(x_i', x_{\perp})$$

(A.16)

and similarly for the “target” field

$$B_i(x_\bullet, x_{\perp}) = \frac{2}{s} \int_{-\infty}^{x_{\perp}} dx_i' F^{(B)}_{s_i}(x_i', x_{\perp})$$

(A.17)

in the $B_\bullet = 0$ gauge. It is convenient to define

$$\tilde{A}_i(x_\bullet, x_{\perp}) = \frac{2}{s} \int_{-\infty}^{x_{\perp}} dx_i' \tilde{F}^{(A)}_{s_i}(x_i', x_{\perp}), \quad \tilde{B}_i(x_\bullet, x_{\perp}) = \frac{2}{s} \int_{-\infty}^{x_{\perp}} dx_i' \tilde{F}^{(B)}_{s_i}(x_i', x_{\perp}),$$

(A.18)

where $\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} F^{\lambda\rho}$ as usual. With this definition we have $\tilde{A}_i = -\epsilon_{ij} A^j$ and $\tilde{B}_i = \epsilon_{ij} B^j$ so

$$p_2 \tilde{A}_i = -A^i p_2 \gamma_i, \quad \tilde{A}_i p_2 = -\gamma_i p_2 A_i, \quad \tilde{B}_i p_1 = -B^i p_1 \gamma_i, \quad \tilde{B}_i \tilde{p}_1 = -\gamma_i \tilde{p}_1 B_i$$

(A.19)

We also used

$$A^i p_2 \otimes \gamma_i p_1 \gamma_i + A^i p_2 \gamma_5 \otimes \gamma_i p_1 \gamma_i \gamma_5 = -p_2 \tilde{A}_n \otimes p_1 - p_2 \tilde{A}_n \gamma_5 \otimes \tilde{p}_1 \gamma_5$$

$$A^i p_2 \otimes \gamma_i p_1 \gamma_5 + A^i p_2 \gamma_5 \otimes \gamma_i p_1 \gamma_5 \gamma_5 = -\tilde{A}_n p_2 \otimes p_1 - \tilde{A}_n p_2 \gamma_5 \otimes \tilde{p}_1 \gamma_5$$

$$\gamma_n p_2 \gamma_i \otimes p_1 B_i + \gamma_n p_2 \gamma_5 \otimes \gamma_i p_1 \gamma_5 B_i = -p_2 \otimes p_1 \tilde{B}_n p_2 - p_2 \gamma_5 \otimes \tilde{p}_1 \tilde{B}_n \gamma_5$$

$$\gamma^i p_2 \gamma_i \otimes p_1 B_i + \gamma^i p_2 \gamma_5 \otimes \gamma_i p_1 \gamma_5 B_i = -p_2 \otimes \tilde{B}_n p_1 - p_2 \gamma_5 \otimes \tilde{B}_n \tilde{p}_1 \gamma_5$$

(A.20)

and

$$\frac{2}{s} \left[ p_1 p_2 \gamma_i \otimes B^i \gamma_n + p_1 p_2 \gamma_5 \otimes B^i \gamma_n \gamma_5 \right] = \gamma_i \otimes \tilde{B}_i + \gamma_i \gamma_5 \otimes \tilde{B}_i \gamma_5$$

$$\frac{2}{s} \left[ \gamma_i p_2 \gamma_1 \otimes B^j \gamma_n + \gamma_i p_2 \gamma_5 \otimes B^j \gamma_n \gamma_5 \right] = \gamma_i \otimes \tilde{B}_i + \gamma_i \gamma_5 \otimes \tilde{B}_i \gamma_5$$

$$\frac{2}{s} \left( p_2 \gamma^i \tilde{p}_1 \tilde{B}_i + p_2 \gamma^i \tilde{p}_1 \gamma_5 \tilde{B}_i \gamma_5 \right) = -\gamma^i \otimes \tilde{B}_i \tilde{p}_1 - \gamma^i \gamma_5 \otimes \tilde{B}_i \tilde{p}_1 \gamma_5$$

$$\gamma_k \gamma^i p_2 \otimes B^k \gamma^j + \gamma_k \gamma^i p_2 \gamma_5 \otimes B^k \gamma^j \gamma_5 = p_2 \otimes \gamma^j \tilde{B}_i + p_2 \gamma_5 \otimes \gamma_i \tilde{B}_i \gamma_5,$$

$$p_2 \gamma^i \gamma_j \otimes \tilde{p}_1 B_j + p_2 \gamma_5 \gamma_j \gamma_5 \gamma_j \otimes \tilde{p}_1 B_j = p_2 \otimes \gamma^j \tilde{B}_i + p_2 \gamma_5 \otimes \gamma_i \tilde{B}_i \gamma_5,$$

$$p_2 \gamma^j \gamma^i \otimes \tilde{p}_1 B_j + p_2 \gamma_5 \gamma_j \gamma^i \gamma_5 \gamma_j \otimes \tilde{p}_1 B_j = p_2 \otimes \gamma^j \tilde{B}_i + p_2 \gamma_5 \otimes \gamma_i \tilde{B}_i \gamma_5.$$  

(A.21)

A.1.4 Formulas with $\gamma$-matrices and two gluon fields

With definition (A.18), we have the following formulas

$$A_i \otimes \tilde{B}_j = g_{ij} \tilde{A}_k \otimes B^k - \tilde{A}_j \otimes B_i, \quad \tilde{A}_i \otimes B_j = g_{ij} A_k \otimes \tilde{B}^k - A_j \otimes \tilde{B}_i$$

(A.22)

$$A_i \otimes \tilde{B}_j = -g_{ij} A_k \otimes B^k + A_j \otimes B_i, \quad \Rightarrow \tilde{A}_i \otimes B^j = -A_i \otimes B^j, \quad \tilde{A}_i \otimes B^i = A_i \otimes \tilde{B}^i$$

(A.23)

In addition, it is convenient to define

$$\tilde{A}_i \equiv A_i - i \tilde{A}_i \gamma_5, \quad \tilde{B}_i \equiv B_i - i \tilde{B}_i \gamma_5.$$
Using these formulas, after some algebra one obtains

\[
\gamma_m \slashed{p}_2 \gamma_j A^i \otimes \gamma_n \slashed{p}_1 \gamma_i B^j + \gamma_m \slashed{p}_2 \gamma_j A^i \otimes \gamma_n \slashed{p}_1 \gamma_i B^j = \gamma_m \slashed{p}_2 \tilde{A}_n \otimes \slashed{p}_1 \tilde{B}_m + \gamma_m \slashed{p}_2 \tilde{A}_n \otimes \slashed{p}_1 \tilde{B}_m
\]

and

\[
\gamma_j \slashed{p}_2 \gamma_m A^i \otimes \gamma_n \slashed{p}_1 \gamma_i B^j + \gamma_j \slashed{p}_2 \gamma_m A^i \otimes \gamma_n \slashed{p}_1 \gamma_i B^j = \gamma_j \slashed{p}_2 \tilde{A}_n \otimes \slashed{p}_1 \tilde{B}_m + \gamma_j \slashed{p}_2 \tilde{A}_n \otimes \slashed{p}_1 \tilde{B}_m
\]

The corollary of eq. (A.25) is

\[
\gamma_j \slashed{p}_2 \tilde{A}_k \otimes \slashed{p}_1 \tilde{B}_k = \gamma_j \slashed{p}_2 \tilde{A}_k \otimes \slashed{p}_1 \tilde{B}_k, \quad \gamma_j \slashed{p}_2 \tilde{A}_k \otimes \slashed{p}_1 \tilde{B}_k = \gamma_j \slashed{p}_2 \tilde{A}_k \otimes \slashed{p}_1 \tilde{B}_k
\]

From eqs. (A.24) and (A.25) one easily obtains

\[
\gamma_m \slashed{p}_2 \gamma_j A^i \otimes \gamma_n \slashed{p}_1 \gamma_i B^j + \gamma_m \slashed{p}_2 \gamma_j A^i \otimes \gamma_n \slashed{p}_1 \gamma_i B^j + m \leftrightarrow n = 2 g_{mn} \slashed{p}_2 \tilde{A}_k \otimes \slashed{p}_1 \tilde{B}_k
\]

and

\[
\gamma_j \slashed{p}_2 \gamma_m A^i \otimes \gamma_n \slashed{p}_1 \gamma_i B^j + \gamma_j \slashed{p}_2 \gamma_m A^i \otimes \gamma_n \slashed{p}_1 \gamma_i B^j + m \leftrightarrow n = 2 \tilde{A}_n \otimes \tilde{B}_m - m \leftrightarrow n
\]

We need also the formula

\[
\frac{4}{s^2} A^i_1 \slashed{p}_1 \slashed{p}_2 \gamma_j \otimes B^j \slashed{p}_1 \slashed{p}_2 \gamma_i = A^i_1 \otimes B^j \gamma_i - i A^i_1 \gamma_j \gamma_i \otimes \tilde{B}_i \gamma_i + i \tilde{A}^i_1 \gamma_j \otimes B^j \gamma_i + \tilde{A}^i_1 \gamma_j \gamma_i \otimes \tilde{B}_j \gamma_i
\]

and

\[
\frac{4}{s^2} (A^i_1 \slashed{p}_1 \slashed{p}_2 \gamma_j \otimes B^j \slashed{p}_1 \slashed{p}_2 \gamma_i + A^i_1 \slashed{p}_1 \slashed{p}_2 \gamma_j \gamma_i \otimes B^j \slashed{p}_1 \slashed{p}_2 \gamma_i)
\]

\[
= \gamma^j \tilde{A}_i \otimes \gamma^i \tilde{B}_j + \gamma^j \tilde{A}_i \gamma_i \otimes \gamma^i \tilde{B}_j \gamma_i
\]

\[
= \gamma_i \tilde{A}_j \gamma_i \otimes \gamma_j \tilde{A}_i \gamma_i = \gamma_i \tilde{A}_j \otimes \gamma_j \tilde{A}_i
\]
We used also
\[
A^k \gamma_m \bar{p}_2 \gamma_j \otimes B^j \gamma_n \bar{p}_1 \gamma_k + m \leftrightarrow n - g_{mn} A^k \gamma_m \bar{p}_2 \gamma_j \otimes B^j \gamma_n \bar{p}_1 \gamma_k
\]
\[
= \bar{A}_m \bar{p}_2 \otimes \tilde{B}_n \bar{p}_1 + m \leftrightarrow n - g_{mn} \bar{A}_k \bar{p}_2 \otimes \tilde{B}_k \bar{p}_1,
\]
and
\[
|A^j \bar{p}_2 \gamma_m A^k \otimes \gamma^k \bar{p}_1 \gamma_n B^j - m \leftrightarrow n - \bar{A}_m \bar{p}_2 \otimes \tilde{B}_n \bar{p}_1 - m \leftrightarrow n
\]
\[
= \bar{A}_m \bar{p}_2 \gamma_j A^k \otimes \gamma^k \bar{p}_1 \gamma_n B^j - m \leftrightarrow n - \bar{p}_2 \tilde{A}_m \otimes \tilde{B}_n \tilde{p}_1 - m \leftrightarrow n
\]
\[
|A^j \bar{p}_2 \gamma_m A^k \otimes \gamma^k \bar{p}_1 \gamma_n B^j - m \leftrightarrow n - \bar{A}_m \bar{p}_2 \otimes \tilde{p}_1 \tilde{B}_n - m \leftrightarrow n
\]
\[
|A^j \bar{p}_2 \gamma_m A^k \otimes \gamma^k \bar{p}_1 \gamma_n B^j - m \leftrightarrow n - \bar{p}_2 \tilde{A}_m \otimes \tilde{p}_1 \tilde{B}_n - m \leftrightarrow n
\]

Next, using formula (A.13) we get
\[
A^i \sigma_{sj} \otimes B^j \sigma_{\star i} - A^i \sigma_{sj} \gamma_5 \otimes B^j \sigma_{\star i} \gamma_5
\]
\[
= (\bar{A}^j \bar{p}_2 \gamma_k - \bar{A}^k \bar{p}_2 \gamma_j) \otimes B^j \gamma_n \bar{p}_1 \gamma_k - \bar{A} \bar{p}_2 \otimes \tilde{B} \tilde{p}_1 - \frac{s}{2} \bar{A} \gamma_i \otimes \tilde{B} \gamma^i - \frac{s}{4} \bar{A} \sigma_{jk} \otimes \tilde{B} i \sigma^{jk}.
\]

We frequently use this formula in matrix elements like $\langle \bar{\psi} A^j(x) \sigma_{sj} \frac{1}{2} \gamma(0) \psi(x) \rangle_A)$ $\langle \bar{\psi} B^j(0) \sigma_{\star i} \frac{1}{2} \gamma(x) \rangle_B$. It is easy to see that in such matrix elements the contribution of two last terms in eq. (A.34) is $O(\frac{q^2}{s})$ in comparison to the first two ones so for our purposes
\[
A^i \sigma_{sj} \otimes B^j \sigma_{\star i} - A^i \sigma_{sj} \gamma_5 \otimes B^j \sigma_{\star i} \gamma_5 \simeq \left(\bar{A}^j \bar{p}_2 \gamma_k - \bar{A}^k \bar{p}_2 \gamma_j\right) \otimes B^j \gamma_n \bar{p}_1 \gamma_k - \bar{A} \bar{p}_2 \otimes \tilde{B} \tilde{p}_1
\]
\[
= \bar{A}_j \gamma_j \otimes \tilde{B}_j \gamma_i + \bar{A}_j \gamma_j \gamma_5 \otimes \tilde{B}_j \gamma_5.
\]

We also need
\[
\frac{4}{s^2} [A_i \bar{p}_1 \bar{p}_2 \gamma_j \otimes B_j \bar{p}_2 \bar{p}_1 \gamma_i + A_i \bar{p}_1 \bar{p}_2 \gamma_j \gamma_5 \otimes B_j \bar{p}_2 \bar{p}_1 \gamma_i \gamma_5]
\]
\[
= \bar{A}_j \gamma_j \otimes \tilde{B}_j \gamma_i + \bar{A}_j \gamma_j \gamma_5 \otimes \tilde{B}_j \gamma_5.
\]
and

\[
\frac{2}{s} \left[ A_i p_j p_\gamma \gamma_j \otimes B_j \gamma_n p_1 \gamma^i + A_i p_1 p_\gamma \gamma^j \otimes B_j \gamma_n p_\gamma^i \right]
\]

(A.37)

\[
= -\gamma_i \tilde{A}_n \otimes p_1 \tilde{B}^i - \gamma_i \tilde{A}_n \gamma_5 \otimes p_1 \tilde{B}^i \gamma_5 = \gamma_i \tilde{A}_n \otimes \tilde{B}^i \gamma^i + \gamma_i \tilde{A}_n \gamma_5 \otimes \tilde{B}^i \gamma^i \gamma_5,
\]

\[
\frac{2}{s} \left[ A_i \gamma_n p_\gamma \gamma_j \otimes B_j \gamma_5 p_1 \gamma^i + A_i \gamma_n \gamma_j \gamma^j \otimes B_j \gamma_5 p_1 \gamma^i \gamma_5 \right]
\]

(A.38)

The last formula which we need is

\[
\gamma_m p_2 \gamma_j \gamma^i \otimes \gamma_n p_1 \gamma_i \gamma_j p_3 \gamma_5 \gamma_n \gamma_1 \gamma_5 \gamma_5 = \frac{p_2 A_n}{p_1 B_m} \otimes p_2 A_n \gamma_5 \otimes p_1 B_m \gamma_5
\]

\[
= \gamma_2 \gamma_m A_i \otimes \gamma_n \gamma_1 \gamma_j B_3 \gamma_j \gamma_5 \gamma_n \gamma_1 \gamma_j B_3 \gamma_5 = \frac{p_2 A_n}{p_1 B_m} \otimes \tilde{B}_m \gamma_5 \gamma_n \gamma_1 \gamma_j B_3 \gamma_5
\]

\[
= \frac{p_2 A_n}{p_1 B_m} \otimes \tilde{B}_m \gamma_5 \gamma_n \gamma_1 \gamma_j B_3 \gamma_5 = \tilde{A}_n \gamma_2 \gamma_j B_3 \gamma_5 \gamma_n \gamma_1 \gamma_j B_3 \gamma_5
\]

\[
= \tilde{A}_n \gamma_2 \gamma_j B_3 \gamma_5 \gamma_n \gamma_1 \gamma_j B_3 \gamma_5 = \tilde{A}_n \gamma_2 \gamma_j B_3 \gamma_5 \gamma_n \gamma_1 \gamma_j B_3 \gamma_5
\]

\[
= \tilde{A}_n \gamma_2 \gamma_j B_3 \gamma_5 \gamma_n \gamma_1 \gamma_j B_3 \gamma_5
\]

(A.38)

\[
A.2 \quad \text{Parametrization of leading-twist matrix elements}
\]

Let us first consider matrix elements of operators without \(\gamma_5\). The standard parametrization of quark TMDs reads

\[
\frac{1}{16\pi^3} \int dx_\bullet d^2 x_\perp e^{-ia x_\bullet + i(k,x)_\perp} \langle \hat{\psi}_f(x_\bullet, x_\perp) | \gamma^\mu \hat{\psi}_f(0) \rangle_A
\]

(A.39)

\[
= p^\mu f_1^f (\alpha, k_\perp) + k_\perp f_1^f (\alpha, k_\perp) + \frac{p^\mu 2m^2 N}{s} f_3^f (\alpha, k_\perp),
\]

\[
\frac{1}{16\pi^3} \int dx_\bullet d^2 x_\perp e^{-ia x_\bullet + i(k,x)_\perp} \langle \hat{\psi}_f(x_\bullet, x_\perp) | \hat{\psi}_f(0) \rangle_A = m_N c^f (\alpha, k_\perp)
\]

for quark distributions in the projectile and

\[
\frac{1}{16\pi^3} \int dx_\bullet d^2 x_\perp e^{-ia x_\bullet + i(k,x)_\perp} \langle \hat{\psi}_f(0) | \gamma^\mu \hat{\psi}_f(x_\bullet, x_\perp) \rangle_A
\]

(A.40)

\[
= -p^\mu f_1^f (\alpha, k_\perp) - k^\mu f_1^f (\alpha, k_\perp) - \frac{p^\mu 2m^2 N}{s} f_3^f (\alpha, k_\perp),
\]

\[
\frac{1}{16\pi^3} \int dx_\bullet d^2 x_\perp e^{-ia x_\bullet + i(k,x)_\perp} \langle \hat{\psi}_f(0) | \hat{\psi}_f(x_\bullet, x_\perp) \rangle_A = m_N c^f (\alpha, k_\perp)
\]

for the antiquark distributions.\(^\text{11}\)

\(^{11}\)In a general gauge for projectile and target fields these matrix elements read

\[
\langle \hat{\psi}_f(x_\gamma^\mu \hat{\psi}_f(0))_A = \langle \hat{\psi}_f(x_\bullet, x_\perp) \gamma_\mu [x_\bullet, -\infty, 0]_\perp [x_\perp, 0, -\infty, 0]_\perp \rangle_A,
\]

\[
\langle \hat{\psi}_f(x_\gamma^\mu \hat{\psi}_f(0))_B = \langle \hat{\psi}_f(x_\bullet, x_\perp) \gamma_\mu [x_\bullet, -\infty, 0]_\perp [x_\perp, 0, -\infty, 0]_\perp \rangle_B
\]

and similarly for other operators.
The corresponding matrix elements for the target are obtained by trivial replacements $p_1 \leftrightarrow p_2$, $x_* \leftrightarrow x_*$ and $\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta$:

$$\frac{1}{16\pi^3} \int dx_\star d^2 x_{\perp} e^{-i\beta x_\star + i(k,x)_{\perp}} \langle \hat{\psi}_f(x_\star, x_{\perp}) \gamma_\mu \hat{\psi}_f(0) \rangle_B = p_2^\mu f_1^f(\beta, k_{\perp}) + k_\perp^\mu f_1^f(\beta, k_{\perp}) + m_2 \frac{2m_N}{s} f_3^f(\beta, k_{\perp}),$$

(A.41)

$$\frac{1}{16\pi^3} \int dx_\star d^2 x_{\perp} e^{-i\beta x_\star + i(k,x)_{\perp}} \langle \hat{\psi}_f(x_\star, x_{\perp}) \hat{\psi}_f(0) \rangle_B = m_N e^f(\beta, k_{\perp}),$$

and

$$\frac{1}{16\pi^3} \int dx_\star d^2 x_{\perp} e^{-i\beta x_\star + i(k,x)_{\perp}} \langle \hat{\psi}_f(0) \gamma_\mu \hat{\psi}_f(x_\star, x_{\perp}) \rangle_B = -p_2^\mu f_1^f(\beta, k_{\perp}) - k_\perp^\mu f_1^f(\beta, k_{\perp}) - m_2 \frac{2m_N}{s} f_3^f(\beta, k_{\perp}),$$

(A.42)

$$\frac{1}{16\pi^3} \int dx_\star d^2 x_{\perp} e^{-i\beta x_\star + i(k,x)_{\perp}} \langle \hat{\psi}_f(0) \hat{\psi}_f(x_\star, x_{\perp}) \rangle_B = m_N \bar{e}^f(\beta, k_{\perp}).$$

Matrix elements of operators with $\gamma_5$ are parametrized as follows:

$$\frac{1}{16\pi^3} \int dx_\star d^2 x_{\perp} e^{-i\alpha x_\star + i(k,x)_{\perp}} \langle \hat{\psi}_f(x_\star, x_{\perp}) \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 \hat{\psi}_f(0) \rangle_A = -i\epsilon_{\mu_1\mu_2} k^\rho g_2^f(\alpha, k_{\perp}),$$

$$\frac{1}{16\pi^3} \int dx_\star d^2 x_{\perp} e^{-i\alpha x_\star + i(k,x)_{\perp}} \langle \hat{\psi}_f(0) \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 \hat{\psi}_f(x_\star, x_{\perp}) \rangle_A = -i\epsilon_{\mu_1\mu_2} k^\rho \bar{g}_2^f(\alpha, k_{\perp})$$

(A.43)

The corresponding matrix elements for the target are obtained by trivial replacements $p_1 \leftrightarrow p_2$, $x_* \leftrightarrow x_*$ and $\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta$ similarly to eq. (A.42).

The parametrization of time-odd Boer-Mulders TMDs are

$$\frac{1}{16\pi^3} \int dx_\star d^2 x_{\perp} e^{-i\alpha x_\star + i(k,x)_{\perp}} \langle \hat{\psi}_f(x_\star, x_{\perp}) \sigma^{\mu\nu} \hat{\psi}_f(0) \rangle_A = \frac{1}{m_N} (k_{\perp}^\mu p_1^\nu - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu) h^\perp_{1f}(\alpha, k_{\perp}) + \frac{2m_N}{s} (p_1^\mu p_2^\nu - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu) h_f(\alpha, k_{\perp})$$

$$+ \frac{2m_N}{s} (k_\perp^\mu p_2^\nu - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu) h_{3f}(\alpha, k_{\perp}),$$

$$\frac{1}{16\pi^3} \int dx_\star d^2 x_{\perp} e^{-i\alpha x_\star + i(k,x)_{\perp}} \langle \hat{\psi}_f(0) \sigma^{\mu\nu} \hat{\psi}_f(x_\star, x_{\perp}) \rangle_A = -\frac{1}{m_N} (k_{\perp}^\mu p_1^\nu - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu) \bar{h}^\perp_{1f}(\alpha, k_{\perp}) - \frac{2m_N}{s} (p_1^\mu p_2^\nu - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu) \bar{h}_f(\alpha, k_{\perp})$$

$$- \frac{2m_N}{s} (k_\perp^\mu p_2^\nu - \mu \leftrightarrow \nu) \bar{h}_{3f}(\alpha, k_{\perp})$$

(A.44)

and similarly for the target with usual replacements $p_1 \leftrightarrow p_2$, $x_* \leftrightarrow x_*$ and $\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta$.

Note that the coefficients in front of $f_3$, $g_2^f$, $h$ and $h_{3f}$ in eqs. (A.39), (A.41), (A.43), and (A.44) contain an extra $\frac{1}{2}$ since $p_2^\mu$ enters only through the direction of gauge link so the result should not depend on rescaling $p_2 \rightarrow \lambda p_2$. For this reason, these functions do not contribute to $W(q)$ in our approximation.
Last but not least, an important point in our analysis is that any \( f(x, k_\perp) \) may have only logarithmic dependence on Bjorken \( x \) but not the power dependence \( \sim \frac{1}{x} \). Indeed, at small \( x \) the cutoff of corresponding longitudinal integrals comes from the rapidity cutoff \( \sigma_\alpha \), see footnote 12 and corresponding discussion in ref. [16]. Thus, at small \( x \) one can safely put \( x = 0 \) and the corresponding logarithmic contributions would be proportional to powers of \( \alpha_s \ln \sigma_\alpha \) (or, in some cases, \( \alpha_s \ln^2 \sigma_\alpha \), see e.g. ref. [35]).

### A.3 Matrix elements of quark-quark-gluon operators

In this section we will demonstrate that matrix elements of quark-antiquark-gluon operators from section 4 can be expressed in terms of leading-power matrix elements from section A.2. First, let us note that operators \( \frac{1}{\alpha} \) and \( \frac{1}{\beta} \) in eqs. (3.5) are replaced by \( \pm \frac{1}{\alpha_q} \) and \( \pm \frac{1}{\beta_q} \) in forward matrix elements. Indeed

\[
\int dx_\bullet e^{-i\alpha_q x^\bullet} \langle \Phi(x_\bullet, x_\perp) \Gamma \frac{1}{\alpha + i\epsilon} \psi(0) \rangle_A
\]

(\ref{A.45})

\[
= -i \int dx_\bullet \int_{-\infty}^0 dx_\bullet' e^{-i\alpha_q x^\bullet} \langle \Phi(x_\bullet, x_\perp) \Gamma \psi(x_\bullet, 0_\perp) \rangle_A = \frac{1}{\alpha_q} \int dx_\bullet e^{-i\alpha_q x^\bullet} \langle \Phi(x_\bullet, x_\perp) \Gamma \psi(0) \rangle_A
\]

where \( \Phi(x_\bullet, x_\perp) \) can be \( \bar{\psi}(x_\bullet, x_\perp) \) or \( \bar{\psi}(x_\bullet, x_\perp) A_i(x_\bullet, x_\perp) \) and \( \Gamma \) can be any \( \gamma \)-matrix. Similarly,

\[
\int dx_\bullet e^{-i\alpha_q x^\bullet} \langle (\bar{\psi}(x_\bullet, x_\perp) \frac{1}{\alpha - i\epsilon} \bar{\Phi}(0) \rangle_A = \frac{1}{\alpha_q} \int dx_\bullet e^{-i\alpha_q x^\bullet} \langle \bar{\psi}(x_\bullet, x_\perp) \Gamma \Phi(0) \rangle_A
\]

\[
\int dx_\bullet e^{-i\alpha_q x^\bullet} \langle (\bar{\psi}(0) \frac{1}{\alpha - i\epsilon} \bar{\Phi}(x_\bullet, x_\perp) \rangle_A = -\frac{1}{\alpha_q} \int dx_\bullet e^{-i\alpha_q x^\bullet} \langle \bar{\psi}(0) \Gamma \Phi(x_\bullet, x_\perp) \rangle_A
\]

(\ref{A.46})

where \( \Phi(x_\bullet, x_\perp) \) can be \( \psi(x_\bullet, x_\perp) \) or \( A_i(x_\bullet, x_\perp) \psi(x_\bullet, x_\perp) \). We need also

\[
\int dx_\bullet e^{-i\alpha_q x^\bullet} \langle (\bar{\psi}(0) \frac{1}{\alpha + i\epsilon} \bar{\psi}(x_\bullet, x_\perp) \rangle_A = -\frac{1}{\alpha_q} \int dx_\bullet e^{-i\alpha_q x^\bullet} \langle \bar{\psi}(0) \Gamma \psi(x_\bullet, x_\perp) \rangle_A
\]

(\ref{A.47})

The corresponding formulas for target matrix elements are obtained by substitution \( \alpha \leftrightarrow \beta \) (and \( x_\bullet \leftrightarrow x_\star \)).

Next, we will use QCD equation of motion to reduce quark-quark-gluon TMDs to leading-twist TMDs (cf. ref. [20]). Let us start with matrix element

\[
\int dx_\bullet dx_\perp e^{-i\alpha_q x^\bullet + i(k_\perp) \cdot } \langle \bar{\psi}(x_\bullet, x_\perp) p_2 \hat{A}_j(x_\bullet, x_\perp) \psi(0) \rangle_A
\]

(\ref{A.48})

\[
= -\int dx_\bullet dx_\perp e^{-i\alpha_q x^\bullet + i(k_\perp) \cdot } \langle \bar{\psi}(x_\bullet, x_\perp) A_j(x_\bullet, x_\perp) p_2 \gamma_i \psi(0) \rangle_A
\]

\[
= \int dx_\bullet dx_\perp e^{-i\alpha_q x^\bullet + i(k_\perp) \cdot } \times \left[ \langle \bar{\psi}(x_\bullet, x_\perp) k_\perp p_2 \gamma_i \psi(0) \rangle_A + i \langle \bar{\psi}(x_\bullet, x_\perp) \hat{D}_\perp \gamma_j p_2 \gamma_i \psi(0) \rangle_A \right].
\]
Using QCD equations of motion we can rewrite the r.h.s. of eq. (A.48) as

\[
\int dx_\perp e^{-i\alpha q x^\perp + i(k, x)_{\perp}} \left[ \hat{\psi}(x_\perp, x_\perp) \hat{\bar{\psi}}(0)\right]_A + \alpha_q(\hat{\psi}(x_\perp, x_\perp) \hat{\bar{\psi}}(0))_A
\]

\[
= \int dx_\perp e^{-i\alpha q x^\perp + i(k, x)_{\perp}} \left[ -k_i(\hat{\psi}(x_\perp, x_\perp) \hat{\bar{\psi}}(0))_A + \alpha_q S_2^{ij}(\hat{\psi}(x_\perp, x_\perp) \gamma_i \hat{\psi}(0))_A 
\right. \\
\left. - i\epsilon_{ij} k^j (\hat{\psi}(x_\perp, x_\perp) \hat{\bar{\psi}}(0))_A + i S_2^{ij}(\hat{\psi}(x_\perp, x_\perp) \gamma^j \gamma_5 \hat{\psi}(0))_A \right] \\
= -k_i 8\pi^3 s f_1(\alpha_q, k_\perp) + 8\pi^3 s \alpha_q k_i [f_1(\alpha_q, k_\perp) + g_1(\alpha_q, k_\perp)],
\]

(A.49)

where we used parametrizations (A.39) and (A.43) for the leading power matrix elements.

Now, the second term in eq. (A.49) contains extra \(\alpha_q\) with respect to the first term,\(^\text{12}\) so it should be neglected in our kinematical region \(s \gg Q^2 \gg q_1^2\) and we get

\[
\frac{g}{8\pi^3 s} \int dx_\perp e^{-i\alpha q x^\perp + i(k, x)_{\perp}} \langle \hat{\psi} f(x_\perp, x_\perp) \hat{\bar{\psi}} f(0) \rangle_A \\
= -\frac{g}{8\pi^3 s} \int dx_\perp e^{-i\alpha q x^\perp + i(k, x)_{\perp}} \langle \hat{\psi} f(x_\perp, x_\perp) \hat{\bar{\psi}} f(0) \rangle A = -k_i f_1^\perp(\alpha_q, k_\perp)
\]

By complex conjugation

\[
\frac{g}{8\pi^3 s} \int dx_\perp e^{-i\alpha q x^\perp + i(k, x)_{\perp}} \langle \hat{\psi} f(x_\perp, x_\perp) \hat{\bar{\psi}} f(0) \rangle A \\
= -\frac{g}{8\pi^3 s} \int dx_\perp e^{-i\alpha q x^\perp + i(k, x)_{\perp}} \langle \hat{\psi} f(x_\perp, x_\perp) \hat{\bar{\psi}} f(0) \rangle A = -k_i f_1(\alpha_q, k_\perp).
\]

For the corresponding antiquark distributions we get

\[
\frac{g}{8\pi^3 s} \int dx_\perp e^{-i\alpha q x^\perp + i(k, x)_{\perp}} \langle \hat{\psi} f(0) \hat{\bar{\psi}} f(x_\perp, x_\perp) \rangle A \\
= \frac{1}{8\pi^3 s} \int dx_\perp e^{-i\alpha q x^\perp + i(k, x)_{\perp}} \left[ \langle \hat{\psi} f(0) \hat{\bar{\psi}} f(x_\perp, x_\perp) \rangle A \\
- i \langle \hat{\psi} f(0) \gamma_i \hat{\bar{\psi}} f \rangle \right]_A \\
= -k_i f_1(\alpha_q, k_\perp)
\]

(A.52)

and

\[
\frac{g}{8\pi^3 s} \int dx_\perp e^{-i\alpha q x^\perp + i(k, x)_{\perp}} \langle \hat{\psi} f(0) \hat{\bar{\psi}} f(x_\perp, x_\perp) \rangle A = -k_i f_1^\perp(\alpha_q, k_\perp).
\]

The corresponding target matrix elements are obtained by trivial replacements \(x_\bullet \leftrightarrow x_\perp, \alpha_q \leftrightarrow \beta_0\) and \(\hat{p}_x^\perp \leftrightarrow \hat{p}^\perp\).

Next, let us consider

\[
\frac{g}{8\pi^3 s} \int dx_\perp e^{-i\alpha q x^\perp + i(k, x)_{\perp}} \langle \hat{\psi} f(x_\perp, x_\perp) \hat{\bar{\psi}} f(0) \rangle A \\
= \frac{1}{8\pi^3 s} \int dx_\perp e^{-i\alpha q x^\perp + i(k, x)_{\perp}} \\
\times \left[ \langle \hat{\psi} f(x_\perp, x_\perp) \hat{\bar{\psi}} f(0) \rangle A + i \langle \hat{\psi} f(x_\perp, x_\perp) \hat{p}_x^\perp \hat{\psi} f(0) \rangle A \right].
\]

\(^\text{12}\)It can be demonstrated that \(f_1(x, k_0^2), f_\perp(x, k_0^2),\) and \(f_\perp(x, k_0^2)\) have the same type of (logarithmic) behavior at low \(x\). Indeed, the low-x behavior is determined by interaction with pomeron. This interaction is specified by so-called impact factor and it is easy to check that the impact factor for all three TMDs is similar.
Using QCD equation of motion and parametrization (A.44), one can rewrite the r.h.s. of this equation as

\[
\frac{1}{8\pi^3 g} \int dx_\bullet dx_\perp e^{-i\alpha_q \cdot x_\bullet + i(k \cdot x)_\perp} \left[ \langle \hat{\psi}(x_\bullet, x_\perp) \hat{\mathcal{F}} \hat{\psi}(0) \rangle_A + \alpha_q \langle \hat{\psi}(x_\bullet, x_\perp) \hat{p}_2 \hat{\psi}(0) \rangle_A \right] = i \frac{k^2}{m_N} h^+_{1f}(\alpha_q, k_\perp) + \alpha q m_N [e(\alpha, k_\perp) + ih(\alpha, k_\perp)].
\]

(A.55)

Again, only the first term contributes in our kinematical region so we finally get

\[
\frac{g}{8\pi^3} \int dx_\bullet dx_\perp e^{-i\alpha_q x_\bullet + i(k \cdot x)_\perp} \langle \hat{\psi}^f_f(x_\bullet, x_\perp) \hat{p}_2 A(x_\bullet, x_\perp) \hat{\psi}^f_f(0) \rangle_A = i \frac{k^2}{m_N} h^+_{1f}(\alpha_q, k_\perp).
\]

(A.56)

By complex conjugation we obtain

\[
\frac{g}{8\pi^3} \int dx_\bullet dx_\perp e^{-i\alpha_q x_\bullet + i(k \cdot x)_\perp} \langle \hat{\psi}^f_f(x_\bullet, x_\perp) \hat{p}_2 \overline{A}(0) \hat{\psi}^f_f(0) \rangle_A = i \frac{k^2}{m_N} h^+_{1f}(\alpha_q, k_\perp).
\]

(A.57)

For corresponding antiquark distributions one gets in a similar way

\[
\frac{g}{8\pi^3} \int dx_\bullet dx_\perp e^{-i\alpha_q x_\bullet + i(k \cdot x)_\perp} \langle \hat{\psi}^f_f(x_\bullet, x_\perp) \hat{p}_2 \overline{A}(x_\bullet, x_\perp) \hat{\psi}^f_f(0) \rangle_A = i \frac{k^2}{m_N} h^+_{1f}(\alpha_q, k_\perp),
\]

\[
\frac{g}{8\pi^3} \int dx_\bullet dx_\perp e^{-i\alpha_q x_\bullet + i(k \cdot x)_\perp} \langle \hat{\psi}^f_f(x_\bullet, x_\perp) \hat{p}_2 \overline{A}(0) \hat{\psi}^f_f(0) \rangle_A = i \frac{k^2}{m_N} h^+_{1f}(\alpha_q, k_\perp).
\]

(A.58)

The target matrix elements are obtained by usual replacements \(x_\bullet \leftrightarrow x_\bullet, \alpha_q \leftrightarrow \beta_q\) and \(\hat{p}_2 \leftrightarrow \hat{p}_1\).

For the Z-boson hadronic tensor we need these operators with extra \(\gamma_5\). From formula (A.49) we see that

\[
\frac{1}{8\pi^3} \int dx_\bullet dx_\perp e^{-i\alpha_q x_\bullet + i(k \cdot x)_\perp} \langle \hat{\psi}^f_f(x_\bullet, x_\perp) \hat{A}_1(x_\bullet, x_\perp) \gamma_5 \hat{\psi}^f_f(0) \rangle_A = -i\epsilon_{ij} k^f_1 (\alpha_q, k_\perp)
\]

\[
\frac{1}{8\pi^3} \int dx_\bullet dx_\perp e^{-i\alpha_q x_\bullet + i(k \cdot x)_\perp} \langle \hat{\psi}^f_f(x_\bullet, x_\perp) \hat{A}_1(0) \gamma_5 \hat{\psi}^f_f(0) \rangle_A = i\epsilon_{ij} k^f_1 (\alpha_q, k_\perp)
\]

(A.59)

Finally, we need

\[
\frac{1}{8\pi^3} \int dx_\bullet dx_\perp e^{-i\alpha_q x_\bullet + i(k \cdot x)_\perp} \langle \hat{\psi}(x_\bullet, x_\perp) \hat{A}(x_\bullet, x_\perp) \hat{p}_2 A(0) \hat{\psi}(0) \rangle_A
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{8\pi^3} \int dx_\bullet dx_\perp e^{-i\alpha_q x_\bullet + i(k \cdot x)_\perp} \langle \hat{\psi}(x_\bullet, x_\perp) \hat{p}_2 A(0) \hat{\psi}(0) \rangle_A
\]

\[
= \frac{k^2}{16\pi^3} f_1(\alpha_q, k_\perp) + O(\alpha_q, \beta_q)
\]

(A.60)
and similarly

\[
\frac{1}{8\pi^3s} \int dx_\bullet dx_\perp e^{-i\alpha_q x_\bullet + i(k_\perp x_\perp)} \langle \hat{\psi}(x_\bullet, x_\perp) A(x_\bullet, x_\perp) \sigma_{\ast i} \hat{A}(0) \hat{\psi}(0) \rangle_A \\
= \frac{1}{8\pi^3s} \int dx_\bullet dx_\perp e^{-i\alpha_q x_\bullet + i(k_\perp x_\perp)} \langle \hat{\psi}(x_\bullet, x_\perp) (\slashed{k}_\perp + \slashed{iD}) \sigma_{\ast i} (k_\perp - iD) \hat{\psi}(0) \rangle_A \\
= \frac{1}{16\pi^3} \frac{k_i k^2}{m} h^+_1(\alpha_q, k_\perp) + O(\alpha_q, \beta_q) \\
\tag{A.61}
\]

For corresponding antiquark distributions we get

\[
\frac{g}{8\pi^3s} \int dx_\bullet dx_\perp e^{-i\alpha_q x_\bullet + i(k_\perp x_\perp)} \langle \hat{\psi}(0) A(0) \bar{p}_2 A(x_\bullet, x_\perp) \hat{\psi}(x_\bullet, x_\perp) \rangle_A \\
= - \frac{k_i^2}{16\pi^3} \tilde{f}_1(\alpha_q, k_\perp) + O(\alpha_q, \beta_q) \\
\frac{g}{8\pi^3s} \int dx_\bullet dx_\perp e^{-i\alpha_q x_\bullet + i(k_\perp x_\perp)} \langle \hat{\psi}(x_\bullet, x_\perp) A(x_\bullet, x_\perp) \sigma_{\ast i} \hat{A}(0) \hat{\psi}(0) \rangle_A \\
= - \frac{1}{16\pi^3} \frac{k_i k^2}{m} h^+_1(\alpha_q, k_\perp) + O(\alpha_q, \beta_q) \\
\tag{A.62}
\]

Also, as we saw in section 4.3.2, at the leading order in $N_c$ there is one quark-antiquark-gluon operator that does not reduce to twist-2 distributions. It can be parametrized as follows

\[
\frac{1}{16\pi^3} \frac{2}{s} \int dx_\bullet d^2 x_\perp e^{-i\alpha_q x_\bullet + i(k_\perp x_\perp)} \langle \hat{\psi}_f(0) \hat{f}_i(\alpha_q, k_\perp) \rangle_A \\
= - \left( k_i k_j + \frac{1}{2} g_{ij} k^2_\perp \right) \frac{1}{m} h^+_A(\alpha, k_\perp), \\
\frac{1}{16\pi^3} \frac{2}{s} \int dx_\bullet d^2 x_\perp e^{-i\alpha_q x_\bullet + i(k_\perp x_\perp)} \langle \hat{\psi}_f(0) \hat{f}_i(0) \rangle_A \\
= - \left( k_i k_j + \frac{1}{2} g_{ij} k^2_\perp \right) \frac{1}{m} h^+_A(\alpha, k_\perp) \\
\tag{A.63}
\]

and similarly for the target matrix element.

### A.4 Matrix elements for exchange-type power corrections

We parametrize “exchange” TMDs as follows

\[
\frac{1}{4\pi^3s^2} \int d^2 x_\perp dx_\bullet e^{-i\alpha_q x_\bullet + i(k_\perp x_\perp)} \int_{-\infty}^{x_\bullet} dx_\bullet' \langle \hat{\psi}(x_\bullet, x_\perp) \hat{F}_\ast(i(0) \bar{p}_2 \psi(x_\bullet', x_\perp) \rangle_A = k_i j_1(\alpha, k_\perp), \\
\frac{1}{4\pi^3s^2} \int d^2 x_\perp dx_\bullet e^{-i\alpha_q x_\bullet + i(k_\perp x_\perp)} \int_{-\infty}^{x_\bullet} dx_\bullet' \langle \hat{\psi}(x_\bullet, x_\perp) \hat{p}_2 \hat{F}_\ast(i(0) \bar{p}_2 \psi(x_\bullet', x_\perp) \rangle_A = k_i j_2(\alpha, k_\perp), \\
\frac{1}{4\pi^3s^2} \int d^2 x_\perp dx_\bullet e^{-i\alpha_q x_\bullet + i(k_\perp x_\perp)} \int_{-\infty}^{x_\bullet} dx_\bullet' \langle \hat{\psi}(x_\bullet', x_\perp) \hat{p}_2 \hat{F}_\ast(i(0) \bar{p}_2 \psi(x_\bullet, x_\perp) \rangle_A = k_i j_1(\alpha, k_\perp), \\
\frac{1}{4\pi^3s^2} \int d^2 x_\perp dx_\bullet e^{-i\alpha_q x_\bullet + i(k_\perp x_\perp)} \int_{-\infty}^{x_\bullet} dx_\bullet' \langle \hat{\psi}(x_\bullet', x_\perp) \hat{F}_\ast(i(0) \bar{p}_2 \psi(x_\bullet, x_\perp) \rangle_A = k_i j_2(\alpha, k_\perp) \\
\tag{A.64}
\]
where $\bar{F}_{\mu\nu} \equiv F_{\mu\nu} - i\gamma_5 F_{\mu\nu}$. By complex conjugation we get

\[
\frac{1}{4\pi^3 s^2} \int d^2 x_\perp dx_\parallel e^{-i\alpha x_\parallel + i(k,x_\parallel)} \int_{-\infty}^{0} dx_\parallel' \langle \bar{\psi}(x_\parallel', 0_\parallel) p_2 \bar{F}_{\parallel i}(x_\parallel', x_\perp) \psi(0) \rangle_A = k_i j_i^\ast (\alpha, k_\parallel),
\]

\[
\frac{1}{4\pi^3 s^2} \int d^2 x_\perp dx_\parallel e^{-i\alpha x_\parallel + i(k,x_\parallel)} \int_{-\infty}^{0} dx_\parallel' \langle \bar{\psi}(x_\parallel', 0_\parallel) p_2 \bar{F}_{\parallel i}(x_\parallel', x_\perp) \psi(0) \rangle_A = k_i j_2 (\alpha, k_\parallel),
\]

\[
\frac{1}{4\pi^3 s^2} \int d^2 x_\perp dx_\parallel e^{-i\alpha x_\parallel + i(k,x_\parallel)} \int_{-\infty}^{0} dx_\parallel' \langle \bar{\psi}(0) p_2 \bar{F}_{\parallel i}(x_\parallel', x_\perp) \psi(x_\parallel', 0_\parallel) \rangle_A = k_i j_i^\ast (\alpha, k_\parallel),
\]

\[
\frac{1}{4\pi^3 s^2} \int d^2 x_\perp dx_\parallel e^{-i\alpha x_\parallel + i(k,x_\parallel)} \int_{-\infty}^{0} dx_\parallel' \langle \bar{\psi}(0) p_2 \bar{F}_{\parallel i}(x_\parallel', x_\perp) \psi(x_\parallel', 0_\parallel) \rangle_A = k_i j_2^\ast (\alpha, k_\parallel),
\]

(A.65)

Note that unlike two-quark matrix elements, quark-quark-gluon ones may have imaginary parts. Target matrix elements are obtained by usual substitutions $\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta$, $p_2 \leftrightarrow p_1$, $x_\parallel \leftrightarrow x_\parallel^*$, and $\bar{F}_{\parallel i} \leftrightarrow \bar{F}_{\parallel i}$.\footnote{For completeness let us present the explicit form of the gauge links in an arbitrary gauge:}

\[
\bar{\psi}(x_\parallel^*, x_\perp^*) F_{\parallel i}(0) \psi(x_\parallel, x_\perp) \rightarrow \bar{\psi}(x_\parallel^*, x_\perp^*)\left[ x_\parallel^*, -\infty_\parallel^* | x_\parallel, 0_\parallel \right]_{-\infty_\parallel} \times \left[ -\infty_\parallel, 0_\parallel | F_{\parallel i}(0) | 0, -\infty_\parallel | x_\parallel, -\infty_\parallel \right]_{-\infty_\parallel} \psi(x_\parallel, x_\perp).
\]

Let us now consider the corresponding matrix elements from section 4.4. As shown in ref. [16], eqs. (A.64) and (A.65) lead to (here $x = (x_\parallel, x_\perp)$)

\[
\frac{1}{8\pi^3 s} \int d^2 x_\perp dx_\parallel e^{-i\alpha x_\parallel + i(k,x_\parallel)} \langle \bar{A}_i (0) p_2 A_1 (0) \rangle A = \frac{k_i}{\alpha} \left[ j_1 (\alpha, k_\parallel) \right],
\]

\[
\frac{1}{8\pi^3 s} \int d^2 x_\perp dx_\parallel e^{-i\alpha x_\parallel + i(k,x_\parallel)} \langle \bar{A}_i (0) p_2 A_1 (0) \rangle A = \frac{k_i}{\alpha} \left[ j_2 (\alpha, k_\parallel) \right],
\]

(A.66)

For the target matrix elements, we obtain ($x = x_\parallel, x_\perp$)

\[
\frac{1}{8\pi^3 s} \int d^2 x_\perp dx_\parallel e^{-i\beta x_\parallel + i(k,x_\parallel)} \langle \bar{\psi}(x_\parallel^*, x_\perp^*) \bar{A}_i (0) p_1 A_1 (x_\parallel, x_\perp) \rangle B = \frac{k_i}{\beta} \left[ j_1 (\beta, k_\parallel) \right],
\]

\[
\frac{1}{8\pi^3 s} \int d^2 x_\perp dx_\parallel e^{-i\beta x_\parallel + i(k,x_\parallel)} \langle \bar{\psi}(x_\parallel^*, x_\perp^*) \bar{A}_i (0) p_1 A_1 (x_\parallel, x_\perp) \rangle B = \frac{k_i}{\beta} \left[ j_2 (\beta, k_\parallel) \right],
\]

(A.67)
We need also parametrization of matrix elements with extra $\gamma_5$. Since $\tilde{A}_i \gamma_5 = i \epsilon_{ij} \tilde{A}^j$ and $\tilde{B}_i \gamma_5 = i \epsilon_{ij} \tilde{B}^j$ we get from eqs. (A.66), (A.67)

\[
\frac{1}{8 \pi^3 s} \int d^2 x_\perp dx_\bullet \ e^{-i \alpha x_\bullet + i (k, x_\perp)} \left[ \tilde{A}_i(0) | p_2 \right] \frac{\gamma_5}{\alpha} \psi(x_\bullet, x_\perp) \right]_A = i \epsilon_{ij} \frac{k_j}{\alpha} \left[ -j_1(\alpha, k) | j_2(\alpha, k) \right],
\]

\[
\frac{1}{8 \pi^3 s} \int d^2 x_\perp dx_\bullet \ e^{-i \alpha x_\bullet + i (k, x_\perp)} \left[ \tilde{A}_i(0) | p_2 \tilde{A}_i(0) \right] \frac{\gamma_5}{\alpha} \psi(x_\bullet) \right]_A = i \epsilon_{ij} \frac{k_j}{\alpha} \left[ -j_1(\alpha, k) | j_2(\alpha, k) \right],
\]

\[
\frac{1}{8 \pi^3 s} \int dx_\bullet \ e^{-i \alpha x_\bullet + i (k, x_\perp)} \left[ \tilde{A}_i(x) | p_2 \right] \frac{\gamma_5}{\alpha} \psi(0) \right]_A = i \epsilon_{ij} \frac{k_j}{\alpha} \left[ j_1^*(\alpha, k) | -j_2^*(\alpha, k) \right],
\]

\[
\frac{1}{8 \pi^3 s} \int d^2 x_\perp dx_\bullet \ e^{-i \alpha x_\bullet + i (k, x_\perp)} \left[ \tilde{A}_i(x) | p_2 \tilde{A}_i(x) \right] \frac{\gamma_5}{\alpha} \psi(0) \right]_A = i \epsilon_{ij} \frac{k_j}{\alpha} \left[ j_1^*(\alpha, k) | -j_2^*(\alpha, k) \right],
\]

(A.68)

\[
\frac{1}{8 \pi^3 s} \int d^2 x_\perp dx_\bullet \ e^{-i \alpha x_\bullet + i (k, x_\perp)} \left[ \tilde{A}_i(0) | p_2 \tilde{A}_i(0) \right] \frac{\gamma_5}{\alpha} \psi(x_\bullet) \right]_A = i \epsilon_{ij} \frac{k_j}{\alpha} \left[ -j_1^*(\alpha, k) | j_2^*(\alpha, k) \right],
\]

\[
\frac{1}{8 \pi^3 s} \int d^2 x_\perp dx_\bullet \ e^{-i \alpha x_\bullet + i (k, x_\perp)} \left[ \tilde{A}_i(0) | p_2 \tilde{A}_i(0) \right] \frac{\gamma_5}{\alpha} \psi(0) \right]_A = i \epsilon_{ij} \frac{k_j}{\alpha} \left[ -j_1^*(\alpha, k) | j_2^*(\alpha, k) \right],
\]

\[
\frac{1}{8 \pi^3 s} \int d^2 x_\perp dx_\bullet \ e^{-i \alpha x_\bullet + i (k, x_\perp)} \left[ \tilde{A}_i(x) | p_2 \right] \frac{\gamma_5}{\alpha} \psi(0) \right]_A = i \epsilon_{ij} \frac{k_j}{\alpha} \left[ j_1^*(\alpha, k) | -j_2^*(\alpha, k) \right],
\]

(A.69)

where $x \equiv x_\bullet, x_*$, and

\[
\frac{1}{8 \pi^3 s} \int d^2 x_\perp dx_\bullet \ e^{-i \beta x_\bullet + i (k, x_\perp)} \left[ \tilde{B}_i(0) | p_1 \tilde{B}_i(0) \right] \frac{\gamma_5}{\beta} \psi(x_\bullet) \right]_B = i \epsilon_{ij} \frac{k_j}{\beta} \left[ -j_1(\beta, k) | j_2(\beta, k) \right],
\]

\[
\frac{1}{8 \pi^3 s} \int d^2 x_\perp dx_\bullet \ e^{-i \beta x_\bullet + i (k, x_\perp)} \left[ \tilde{B}_i(0) | p_1 \tilde{B}_i(0) \right] \frac{\gamma_5}{\beta} \psi(0) \right]_B = i \epsilon_{ij} \frac{k_j}{\beta} \left[ -j_1(\beta, k) | j_2(\beta, k) \right],
\]

\[
\frac{1}{8 \pi^3 s} \int d^2 x_\perp dx_\bullet \ e^{-i \beta x_\bullet + i (k, x_\perp)} \left[ \tilde{B}_i(x) | p_1 \tilde{B}_i(x) \right] \frac{\gamma_5}{\beta} \psi(0) \right]_B = i \epsilon_{ij} \frac{k_j}{\beta} \left[ j_1^*(\beta, k) | -j_2^*(\beta, k) \right],
\]

(A.70)

where $x \equiv x_\bullet, x_*$
It is convenient to introduce the following combinations for the parametrization of the exchange-type power corrections

\[
J_{\pm \pm}^{ll} (q, k_\perp) = \left(j_1 + \bar{j}_1\right)^f (\alpha_q, k_\perp) \left(j_1^* + \bar{j}_1^*\right)^f (\beta_q, (q - k)_\perp) + \text{c.c.},
\]

\[
J_{\pm \pm}^{jj} (q, k_\perp) = \left(j_2 + \bar{j}_2\right)^f (\alpha_q, k_\perp) \left(j_2^* + \bar{j}_2^*\right)^f (\beta_q, (q - k)_\perp) + \text{c.c.},
\]

\[
J_{\pm \pm}^{l \bar{l}} (q, k_\perp) = \left(j_1 + \bar{j}_1\right)^f (\alpha_q, k_\perp) \left(j_1^* - \bar{j}_1^*\right)^f (\beta_q, (q - k)_\perp) + \text{c.c.},
\]

\[
J_{\pm \pm}^{j \bar{j}'} (q, k_\perp) = \left(j_2 + \bar{j}_2\right)^f (\alpha_q, k_\perp) \left(j_2^*- \bar{j}_2^*\right)^f (\beta_q, (q - k)_\perp) + \text{c.c.},
\]

\[
J_{\pm \pm}^{l \bar{l}} (q, k_\perp) = \left(j_1 - \bar{j}_1\right)^f (\alpha_q, k_\perp) \left(j_1^* - \bar{j}_1^*\right)^f (\beta_q, (q - k)_\perp) + \text{c.c.},
\]

\[
J_{\pm \pm}^{j \bar{j}'} (q, k_\perp) = \left(j_2 - \bar{j}_2\right)^f (\alpha_q, k_\perp) \left(j_2^* - \bar{j}_2^*\right)^f (\beta_q, (q - k)_\perp) + \text{c.c.},
\]

(A.71)

and

\[
I_{\pm \pm}^{ll} (q, k_\perp) = i \left(j_1 - \bar{j}_1\right)^f (\alpha_q, k_\perp) \left(j_1^* - \bar{j}_1^*\right)^f (\beta_q, (q - k)_\perp) + \text{c.c.},
\]

\[
I_{\pm \pm}^{jj} (q, k_\perp) = i \left(j_2 - \bar{j}_2\right)^f (\alpha_q, k_\perp) \left(j_2^* - \bar{j}_2^*\right)^f (\beta_q, (q - k)_\perp) + \text{c.c.},
\]

\[
I_{\pm \pm}^{l \bar{l}} (q, k_\perp) = i \left(j_1 + \bar{j}_1\right)^f (\alpha_q, k_\perp) \left(j_1^* + \bar{j}_1^*\right)^f (\beta_q, (q - k)_\perp) + \text{c.c.},
\]

\[
I_{\pm \pm}^{j \bar{j}'} (q, k_\perp) = i \left(j_2 + \bar{j}_2\right)^f (\alpha_q, k_\perp) \left(j_2^* + \bar{j}_2^*\right)^f (\beta_q, (q - k)_\perp) + \text{c.c.},
\]

\[
I_{\pm \pm}^{l \bar{l}} (q, k_\perp) = i \left(j_1 - \bar{j}_1\right)^f (\alpha_q, k_\perp) \left(j_1^* + \bar{j}_1^*\right)^f (\beta_q, (q - k)_\perp) + \text{c.c.},
\]

\[
I_{\pm \pm}^{j \bar{j}'} (q, k_\perp) = i \left(j_2 - \bar{j}_2\right)^f (\alpha_q, k_\perp) \left(j_2^* + \bar{j}_2^*\right)^f (\beta_q, (q - k)_\perp) + \text{c.c.},
\]

(A.72)

### A.5 Products of leptonic tensor and hadronic tensors’ structures

The lepton momenta in the Collins-Soper frame are parametrized as

\[
l = \frac{Q}{2} (1, \sin \theta \cos \phi, \sin \theta \sin \phi, \cos \theta), \quad l' = \frac{Q}{2} (1, - \sin \theta \cos \phi, - \sin \theta \sin \phi, - \cos \theta)
\]

(A.73)

The products of the symmetric leptonic tensor with unit vectors \(X\), \(Y\), and \(Z\) are

\[
L^\mu{}^\nu \left( \frac{q_\mu q_\nu}{q^2} - g_\mu{}^\nu \right) = 2 \frac{(q \cdot l) (q \cdot l')}{q^2} + l \cdot l' = Q^2
\]

\[
L^\mu{}^\nu X_\mu X_\nu = 2 (l \cdot X) (l' \cdot X) + l \cdot l' = \frac{Q^2}{2} \left[ - \sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \phi + 1 \right]
\]

\[
L^\mu{}^\nu Z_\mu Z_\nu = 2 (l \cdot Z) (l' \cdot Z) + l \cdot l' = \frac{Q^2}{2} \left[ - \cos^2 \theta + 1 \right] = \frac{Q^2}{2} \sin^2 \theta
\]

\[
L^\mu{}^\nu X_\mu Z_\nu = (l \cdot X) (l' \cdot Z) + (l \cdot X) (l \cdot Z) = - \frac{Q^2}{4} \sin 2 \theta \cos \phi
\]

\[
L^\mu{}^\nu Y_\mu Z_\nu = (l \cdot Y) (l' \cdot Z) + (l' \cdot Y) (l \cdot Z) = - \frac{Q^2}{4} \sin 2 \theta \sin \phi
\]

\[
L^\mu{}^\nu Y_\mu X_\nu = (l \cdot Y) (l' \cdot X) + (l' \cdot Y) (l \cdot X) = - \frac{Q^2}{4} \sin^2 \theta \sin 2 \phi
\]

(A.74)
and therefore
\begin{equation}
\frac{1}{Q^2} T^{\mu\nu} \left( - (g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{g_{\mu}\eta_{\nu}}{q^2}) (W_T + W_{\Delta\Delta}) - 2 X_{\mu} X_{\nu} W_{\Delta\Delta} \right)
+ Z_{\mu} Z_{\nu} (W_L - W_T - W_{\Delta\Delta}) - (X_{\mu} Z_{\nu} + X_{\nu} Z_{\mu}) W_{\Delta} \right)
= \frac{1}{2}(W_T + W_L) \left[ 1 + \cos^2 \theta \frac{W_T - W_L}{W_T + W_L} + \sin 2\theta \sin \phi \frac{W_{\Delta\Delta}}{W_T + W_L} + \sin^2 \theta \cos 2\phi \frac{W_{\Delta\Delta}}{W_T + W_L} \right]
\end{equation}

We also need products of antisymmetric leptonic tensor with hadron structures
\begin{equation}
\epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} l^{\alpha} \mu^{\beta} q_{\lambda} Z_{\rho} = -2[(l \cdot q)(l' \cdot Z) - (l' \cdot q)(l \cdot Z)] = Q^2 \cos \theta,
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
\epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} l^{\alpha} \mu^{\beta} q_{\lambda} X_{\rho} = -2[(l \cdot q)(l' \cdot X) - (l' \cdot q)(l \cdot X)] = Q^2 \sin \theta \cos \phi
\end{equation}

and therefore \((Q_{||} = \sqrt{Q^2 + Q_{\perp}^2})\)
\begin{equation}
\epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} l_{\mu} l'_{\nu} \epsilon_{\rho\lambda\alpha\beta} q_{\lambda} q_{\rho} \left[ \frac{Z^0}{Q_{||}} W_1^{Fj}(q) + X_{\rho} \frac{Q_{\perp}}{Q} W_2^{Fj}(q) \right]
= -Q^2 \left[ W_1^{Fj}(q) \cos \theta + \frac{Q_{\perp}}{Q} W_2^{Fj}(q) \sin \theta \cos \phi \right] \left[ 1 + O \left( \frac{Q_{\perp}^2}{Q^2} \right) \right].
\end{equation}
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