# Old Dominion University ODU Digital Commons

**Biological Sciences Faculty Publications** 

**Biological Sciences** 

2024

### Season Influences Interspecific Responses of Canopy-Forming Kelps to Future Warming and Acidification at High Latitude

Lauren E. Bell University of California, Santa Cruz

Lily Westphal California State University, Monterey Bay

Evan O' Brien University of California, Santa Cruz

Jason A. Toy University of California, Santa Cruz, jtoy@odu.edu

Haleigh Damron University of California, Santa Cruz

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.odu.edu/biology\_fac\_pubs

Part of the Biology Commons, Climate Commons, and the Ecology and Evolutionary Biology Commons

#### **Original Publication Citation**

Bell, L. E., Westphal, L., O'Brien, E., Toy, J. A., Damron, H., & Kroeker, Kristy J. (2024). Season influences interspecific responses of canopy-forming kelps to future warming and acidification at high latitude. *Ecosphere*, *15*(1): e4745. https://doi.org/10.1002/ecs2.4745

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Biological Sciences at ODU Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Biological Sciences Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of ODU Digital Commons. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@odu.edu.

### Authors

Lauren E. Bell, Lily Westphal, Evan O' Brien, Jason A. Toy, Haleigh Damron, and Kristy J. Kroeker

This article is available at ODU Digital Commons: https://digitalcommons.odu.edu/biology\_fac\_pubs/581

Revised: 14 October 2023

DOI: 10.1002/ecs2.4745

#### ARTICLE

Climate Ecology

## ECOSPHERE

### Season influences interspecific responses of canopy-forming kelps to future warming and acidification at high latitude

Lauren E. Bell<sup>1</sup><sup>(D)</sup> | Lily Westphal<sup>2</sup> | Evan O'Brien<sup>1</sup> | Jason A. Toy<sup>1</sup><sup>(D)</sup> | Haleigh Damron<sup>1</sup> | Kristy J. Kroeker<sup>1</sup><sup>(D)</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of California Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz, California, USA <sup>2</sup>California State University Monterey Bay, Marina, California, USA

**Correspondence** Lauren E. Bell Email: laebell@ucsc.edu

#### Present addresses

Lauren E. Bell, Sitka Sound Science Center, 834 Lincoln St., Sitka, AK 99835, USA; and Jason A. Toy, Old Dominion University, Mills Godwin Building Rm 110, Norfolk, VA 23508, USA.

#### Funding information

Seymour Marine Discovery Center's Student Research and Education Award; National Science Foundation, Grant/Award Number: OCE-1752600; UCSC Physical and Biological Sciences 2019 Future Leaders in Coastal Science Award; California State University Monterey Bay Undergraduate Research Opportunities Center; North Pacific Research Board, Grant/Award Number: 1748-02

Handling Editor: Hunter S. Lenihan

#### Abstract

Variability in primary producers' responses to environmental change may buffer higher trophic levels against shifts in basal resource composition. Then again, in instances where there is a lack of functional redundancy because consumers rely on a few species to meet their energetic requirements at specific times of the year, altered community production dynamics may significantly impact food web resilience. In high-latitude kelp forests, a complementary annual phenology of seaweed production supports coastal marine consumers' metabolic needs across large seasonal variations in their environment. Yet, marine consumers in these systems may face significant metabolic stress under the pronounced low pH conditions expected in future winters, particularly if they lack the resources to support their increased energetic demands. In this study, we investigate how the growth and nutritional value of three dominant, coexisting macroalgal species found in subpolar kelp forests will respond to ocean acidification and warming in future winter and summer seasons. We find that the three kelps Macrocystis pyrifera, Hedophyllum nigripes, and Neoagarum fimbriatum differ in their vulnerability to future environmental conditions, and that the seasonal environmental context of nutrient and light availability shapes these responses. Our results suggest that poleward fringe populations of *M. pyrifera* may be relatively resilient to anticipated ocean warming and acidification. In contrast, ocean warming conditions caused a decrease in the biomass and nutritional quality of both understory kelps. Considering the unique production phenology of *H. nigripes*, we emphasize that negative impacts on this species in future winters may be of consequence to consumer energetics in this system. This work highlights how interspecific variation in autotrophs' responses to global change can disrupt the diversity and phenological structure of energy supply available to higher trophic levels.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2024 The Authors. *Ecosphere* published by Wiley Periodicals LLC on behalf of The Ecological Society of America.

#### **KEYWORDS**

kelp forests, ocean acidification, ocean warming, phenology, primary production, seasonal, seaweed, winter

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Global environmental change is already affecting primary producers worldwide (Cavicchioli et al., 2019; Terrer et al., 2019; Walker et al., 2021). Anticipating how physiological effects on autotrophs affect higher trophic levels requires an understanding of how the quantity, quality, and identity of these basal resources will shift (Ainsworth & Long, 2004; Koch et al., 2013; Maschler et al., 2022). Species-specific variation in response to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations and temperatures may lead to a restructuring of primary producer community composition as well as a disruption of the phenology of production in many systems (Cornwall et al., 2012; Franklin et al., 2016; Poorter, 1988; Ullah et al., 2018). Further, the effects of environmental change on the nutritional value or palatability of basal resources can significantly impact consumer energetics and food web structure (Campanyà-Llovet et al., 2017; Cebrian et al., 2009; Facey et al., 2014; Rosenblatt & Schmitz, 2016). There is a need to compare the responses of dominant, coexisting primary producers to global environmental change to assess whether interspecific variability can buffer the emergent, bottom-up effects in these ecosystems (e.g., Gilbert et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2018).

In marine ecosystems, macroalgae (seaweeds) support complex coastal food webs (Graham, 2004; Hurd et al., 2014). Similar to terrestrial plants, global environmental change is expected to affect macroalgal growth and biomass (Harley et al., 2012). In the absence of evolution, elevated temperatures with ocean warming (OW) may enhance algal primary productivity within optimal temperature ranges, and negatively impact productivity once thermal optima are exceeded (Eggert, 2012; Hurd et al., 2014; Kram et al., 2016). The effects of elevated seawater  $pCO_2$  and reduced pH with ocean acidification (OA) on the photosynthesis of noncalcified seaweeds are expected to differ based on each species' carbon use strategy (Cornwall et al., 2012; Hepburn et al., 2011; Hurd et al., 2020; but see Paine et al., 2023). Further, elevated temperature and  $pCO_2$  can interact with each other and other environmental variables, such as light and nutrient availability, to shape species' responses (Celis-Plá et al., 2015; Hollarsmith et al., 2020; King et al., 2017, 2020; Ladah & Zertuche-González, 2022). Thus, effects on individual species will hinge on how environmental change layers onto the natural temporal and spatial

variability of abiotic resources in a particular ecosystem (Kroeker et al., 2020).

In addition to the direct effects of global environmental change on macroalgal primary production and growth, OW and OA can alter their value to consumers. Increased temperatures will affect the rate of algal nutrient uptake (Raven & Geider, 1988), and increased  $pCO_2$ can increase thallus nitrogen content (Falkenberg et al., 2013; but see Olischläger et al., 2014). Increased nitrogen content can enhance a seaweed's palatability to herbivores that preferentially consume nitrogen-rich food sources (Duffy & Paul, 1992; Hillebrand et al., 2000; Russell & Connell, 2007). However, the presence of secondary metabolites that may deter grazing, such as phenolic compounds, may be a stronger determinant of herbivores' consumption (Amsler et al., 2005; Demko et al., 2017; Granado & Caballero, 2001; Steinberg, 1985). Elevated  $pCO_2$  and temperature can reduce, increase, or have no effect on seaweed phenolic concentrations depending on the species (Arnold et al., 2012; Kumar et al., 2018; Phelps et al., 2017) and their relative access to light and nutrients (Celis-Plá et al., 2015). Future alterations to seaweeds' secondary metabolic processes have a strong potential to change consumptive interactions and energy flow through the base of coastal food webs (Doubleday et al., 2019; Duarte et al., 2016; Jin et al., 2020).

Interspecific variation in macroalgal responses to environmental change will alter the composition of seaweed communities and could disrupt the phenology of consumers' food supply (Harley et al., 2012). These effects will be particularly evident in seasonally dynamic environments. High-latitude marine ecosystems are characterized by large annual variations in temperature,  $pCO_2$ , light, and nutrients that influence the seasonal dynamics of primary production and algal physiology (Bell & Kroeker, 2022; Takahashi et al., 1993; Tian et al., 2001). Increases in temperature and  $pCO_2$  will overlay current fluctuations in temperature,  $pCO_2$ , light, and nutrients in these systems, giving rise to novel environmental scenarios that will drive seasonally distinct effects on macroalgal physiology (Graiff et al., 2015; Gunderson et al., 2016; Harley et al., 2012; Kroeker et al., 2020). The energetic linkages among trophic levels in seasonally dynamic marine food webs are highly dependent on tight temporal alignment between food supply and consumer demand (Sydeman & Bograd, 2009). Thus, shifts in the

seasonal phenology of macroalgal production and quality could lead to mismatches in the timing and strength of these consumptive interactions (Wahl et al., 2020). This may be particularly consequential at high latitudes if consumers experience heightened seasonal windows of metabolic stress under future environmental change (Kroeker et al., 2021).

The goal of this study was to quantify potential shifts in the quantity and quality of three dominant, coexisting seaweed species to OA and OW. Our study took place in Sitka Sound, Southeast Alaska, a high-latitude region of the North Pacific where pronounced increases in sea surface temperatures and decreases in sea surface pH are anticipated in the next century (IPCC, 2018; Mathis et al., 2015). We focus on three large, canopy-forming kelp species that dominate macroalgal biomass within the giant kelp forests of this region: Macrocystis pyrifera, Hedophyllum nigripes, and Neoagarum fimbriatum. The annual growth regimes of these three species are distinct in Sitka Sound (Bell & Kroeker, 2022), which may reflect underlying differences in their physiological optima and tolerances. H. nigripes is a cold-adapted understory kelp found primarily in Arctic and sub-Arctic waters (Dankworth et al., 2020; Grant et al., 2020; McDevit & Saunders, 2010). This species' annual growth is controlled by a strong endogenous clock, with blade elongation initiating in January and curtailing abruptly in early summer (Bell & Kroeker, 2022; Lüning, 1993). In contrast, the more temperate kelps M. pyrifera and *N. fimbriatum* sustain relatively high growth rates through spring, summer, and early fall (Bell & Kroeker, 2022). Additionally, while *M. pyrifera* dominates the understory kelps in absolute biomass and production rates, H. nigripes and N. fimbriatum are consistently more nitrogen dense per gram of tissue (Bell & Kroeker, 2022). Thus, the co-occurrence of these kelps currently functions to provide a complementary energy supply to coastal consumers throughout the calendar year (Kroeker et al., 2021).

To isolate the seasonal effects of environmental change on these kelp species, we grew adult sporophyte blades of each macroalga within two, month-long experiments in winter (February-March) and summer (August-September). Experimental controls were designed to approximate current environmental conditions in Sitka Sound (Bell et al., 2022; Bell & Kroeker, 2022; Kroeker et al., 2021), and OA and OW treatments were based on projected end-of-century scenarios of OA and OW for this region (IPCC, 2018; Mathis et al., 2015). At the end of the experiments, we assessed the seasonal impact of OW and OA on kelp growth rates, thallus nitrogen content, and carbon acquisition strategy based on thallus  $\delta^{13}$ C values. Finally, to test whether kelp

palatability was impacted by future warming and acidification, we used the tissues of *H. nigripes* and *N. fimbriatum* grown during the experiments to perform feeding assays with a common kelp forest consumer. We hypothesized that the three kelp species would differ in their sensitivity to OW and OA. We also anticipated that impacts to the biomass and quality of *H. nigripes* in future winter conditions could be particularly consequential to kelp forest consumers, given the early season growth and nitrogen-rich resource that this species represents during a metabolically demanding season (Bell & Kroeker, 2022; Kroeker et al., 2021).

This research responds to the call for a more nuanced understanding of how global change will alter marine primary producer resources by integrating natural variation in environmental drivers (Campanyà-Llovet et al., 2017; Rosenblatt & Schmitz, 2016; Wahl et al., 2020). We build from our close understanding of the natural environmental variability and kelp production dynamics in this system to isolate seasonally specific effects of OW and OA on three foundational seaweed species and interpret the potential impact of these changes on community structure and trophic interactions (Cebrian et al., 2009; Harley et al., 2017; Seibold et al., 2018). This work improves our understanding of how asynchronous responses among co-occurring primary producers to global environmental change may shape the bottom-up effects on the ecosystems they support.

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### Seasonal experiments for kelp species

To tease apart the effects of seasonal variation in light availability and nutrients on the response of high-latitude kelp species to pH and temperature, we conducted two separate studies: a "winter" experiment from February 12 to March 18, 2020 (35 days), and a "summer" experiment from August 15 to September 16, 2020 (32 days). In our experimental design, analysis, and reporting, we endeavored to follow best practices for OA research with macroalgae (Cornwall et al., 2012; Cornwall & Hurd, 2016). Both experiments took place at the Sitka Sound Science Center in a flow-through seawater system drawing source water from 20-m depth (MLLW) in Sitka Sound, Alaska. Incoming seawater was filtered to 20 µm and routed through a UV filter (Smart UV, Pentair) before diverging into two temperature-controlled (TITAN heat pump and Optima compact heaters, AquaLogic) recirculating tanks representing treatments for "current" or control temperatures (7°C in winter; 14°C in summer) (Kroeker et al., 2021) and "future" OW projections (11°C

in winter; 18°C in summer) (IPCC, 2018) by season. From here, temperature-regulated seawater was pumped into eight header tanks where pH was maintained at set point levels for control conditions (pH<sub>T</sub> 7.6 in winter;  $pH_T$  7.9 in summer) and "future" OA projections ( $pH_T$ 7.2 in winter;  $pH_T$  7.5 in summer) (Mathis et al., 2015) through a relay system (N = 2 header tanks per pH/temperature treatment). End-of-century targets for both temperature and pH were informed by models spanning the greater North Pacific region, as finer scale projections for southeast Alaska coastal waters did not exist. Additionally, in both seasonal experiments, achievable pH<sub>T</sub> set points for our control treatments were constrained by the ambient pH of incoming seawater drawn from depth at the intake and were therefore lower than the typical seasonal in situ pH<sub>T</sub> minima observed on local rocky reefs by ~0.1-0.2 pH units (Kroeker et al., 2021). However, the lower-than-average pH values of our control treatments did still fall within the observed pHs captured across all years of in situ environmental data. We chose to maintain the projected end-of-century pH offset for this region (~0.4 pH units) to define our OA treatment set points relative to our achievable control pH levels. A DuraFET sensor (Honeywell) in each header tank communicated real-time pH measurements to a controller (UDA 2152, Honeywell, integrated with LabVIEW, National Instruments) that regulated injection of pre-equilibrated low pH seawater through solenoid valves into the headers to maintain pH at treatment set points. The low pH (~6) seawater was produced by bubbling pure CO<sub>2</sub> gas into two tanks of seawater flowing from each temperature-controlled tank. Once in each header tank, the CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature-equilibrated seawater were continuously mixed before delivery to 24 experimental aquaria (N = 3 aquaria per header) at an average flow-through rate of  $2-2.5 \text{ Lmin}^{-1}$  aquaria<sup>-1</sup>.

Seawater nutrient concentrations were not manipulated, and thus reflected what was delivered through source water inflow to the system during each experiment. Due to the complex controls of nutrient flux onto the Northeast Pacific shelves, there is little consensus on how seasonal nutrient supply in Sitka Sound may change in the future (Hermann et al., 2009; Hood & Scott, 2008; Jenckes et al., 2022; Romero et al., 2022). Therefore, we chose to assume that nutrient availability, like seasonal light availability, would not differ significantly in this region in the future. All aquaria were fitted with a full-spectrum light (Aqua Illumination) that provided seasonally relevant regimes of photosynthetically active radiation spectra and photoperiod within the aquaria based on observations during overcast days in Sitka Sound (winter experiment: photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD) 10–25  $\mu mol~m^{-2}~s^{-1},~7.5~h~day^{-1};~summer~experi$ ment: PPFD 40–80  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, 11 h day<sup>-1</sup>) (Bell et al.,

2022). The entire experimental system was shielded from external light sources, and aquaria positions were randomized by treatment and relative location within the system to minimize spatial variation among the random factors *aquaria* and *header*.

We monitored temperature, salinity, DO, and pH<sub>NBS</sub> daily in each aquarium with a handheld meter (YSI). To capture diel variation in these parameters associated with organismal photosynthesis and respiration, we also performed these measurements every 3 h in each aquarium for 24 h, once during the winter experiment (March 4-5) and twice during the summer experiment (August 30-31, September 14-15). We collected seawater for determination of nutrient concentrations within the experimental system at the beginning, middle, and end of each experiment  $(N = 6 \text{ samples treatment}^{-1} \text{ experiment}^{-1})$ . To compare in situ nutrient data with aquaria conditions during the experiment, we also collected benthic seawater (~7-m depth MLLW) at Talon Is. (57.073 N, 135.414 W), Sitka Sound, for determination of nutrient concentrations in February and August 2020 (N = 3 samples season<sup>-1</sup>). Seawater for nutrient samples was immediately filtered through a 0.2-um filter and frozen until analysis for dissolved inorganic nitrogen content as NO<sub>x</sub> (NO<sub>3</sub> + NO<sub>2</sub>) and ammonium (NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>) on a Lachat QuikChem 8000 Flow Injection Analyzer (detection limits: <0.28 µM NO<sub>x</sub>, <2.40 µM NH<sub>4</sub>; average run measurement error  $<0.1 \ \mu M \ NO_r < 0.8 \ \mu M \ NH_4$ ).

Discrete water samples for carbonate chemistry analysis were collected from each aquarium and header tank at the beginning, middle, and end of each experiment. These samples were collected without aeration and poisoned with saturated HgCl<sub>2</sub> (0.025%) in glass bottles within 20 min. Airtight samples were transported to the University of California Santa Cruz (UCSC) for analysis within 3.5 years of collection. We measured water sample pH spectrophotometrically (Shimadzu, UV-1800) using *m*-cresol purple following best practices (Dickson et al., 2007), with a mean SE of 0.0013 pH units among sample triplicates. We measured water sample total alkalinity (TA) using open cell titration (Metrohm, 905 Titrandro) and corrected against certified reference materials of CO<sub>2</sub> in seawater (Dickson laboratory, Scripps of Oceanography). The mean SE was Institute  $0.87 \ \mu mol \ kg \ seawater^{-1} \ among \ sample \ triplicates. To \ calcu$ late water sample pH on the total hydrogen ion concentration scale  $(pH_T)$  (Dickson, 1993), we used our laboratory measurements of spectrophotometric pH and TA, YSI measurements of temperature and salinity recorded concurrently with discrete water sample collection, and stoichiometric dissociation constants (Dickson & Millero, 1987; Mehrbach et al., 1973) as inputs to the program CO2SYS (Lewis & Wallace, 1998; Pierrot et al., 2006). We then used calculated pH<sub>T</sub> values to calibrate the continuous pH data series recorded by the DuraFET sensor in each header tank.

Kelp used in both winter and summer experiments came from 4.5- to 7.5-m depth at Talon Is. (57.073 N, 135.414 W), Sitka Sound. We collected these experimental "individuals" as whole thalli (*N. fimbriatum* and H. nigripes), or as single blades with their attached pneumatocysts that were cut from young sporophytes at approximately 1 m above their holdfasts (M. pyrifera). During transport to the laboratory and prior to the start of the experiments (<2 days), we held all algae continuously in ambient flow-through seawater (winter experiment: ~6°C, pH<sub>T</sub> 7.8; summer experiment: ~13.5°C, pH<sub>T</sub> 8.0). We removed individuals briefly only to clean off epiphytes and record initial morphometrics (maximum blade length, total wet mass) after trimming all blades to 10 cm of total length. We also took pictures of each trimmed blade to estimate total surface area using ImageJ (NIH v1.8.0).

In both the winter and summer experiments, we randomly assigned three individuals of each kelp species to each experimental aquaria (N = 18 individuals species<sup>-1</sup> treatment<sup>-1</sup>). We affixed individuals upright in aquaria by placing their stipes or pneumatocysts through three-strand line suspended over the open ends of 5-cm-tall PVC stands. After all seaweeds were processed for initial morphometrics, we gradually changed pH and temperature in treatment tanks stepwise over the course of 3 days to reach final set points. During the experiment, kelps were visually checked daily for necrosis and were lightly brushed biweekly during aquaria cleaning to remove diatoms.

At the end of each experiment, individuals were measured and photographed for final morphometrics. Due to the difficulty in capturing three-dimensional tissue growth and the error inherent in wet mass measurements, we estimated kelp growth rates using three different metrics: wet mass (in grams), maximum blade length (in centimeters), and total blade surface area (in square centimeters). We used the initial ( $G_{initial}$ ) and final ( $G_{final}$ ) measurements of each metric to calculate three relative growth rates (RGR; % day<sup>-1</sup>) for each individual using the following equation:

$$\mathrm{RGR}_{\mathrm{(mass, length, or surface area)}} = \frac{\log\left(\frac{G_{\mathrm{final}}}{G_{\mathrm{initial}}}\right) \times 100}{\Delta t},$$
 (1)

where  $\Delta t$  (d) is the total days elapsed between the beginning and end of the experiment. Relative growth rates were used for subsequent statistical analyses of experimental results. Absolute blade length extension rates were used to compare experimental growth to in situ kelp growth measurements (Bell & Kroeker, 2022).

From each individual, we excised new blade tissue grown during the experiment adjacent to the intercalary meristem and pooled this tissue for all species replicates in each aquarium. A portion of this tissue was frozen at  $-20^{\circ}$ C for use in feeding assays (see *Algal palatability assays*, below). The other portion of this tissue was dried at 60°C for >24 h and analyzed for nitrogen (N) content (% dry mass) and  $\delta^{13}$ C values (‰) by the UCSC Stable Isotope Laboratory using a CE Instruments NC2500 elemental analyzer coupled to a Thermo Scientific DELTAplus XP isotope ratio mass spectrometer via a Thermo Scientific Conflo III (routine measurement error ≤1.0 %C and ≤0.2 %N).

We quantified variability in relative growth rates, nitrogen content, and  $\delta^{13}$ C values of each kelp species during each experiment using linear mixed-effects models (R; R Core Team, 2022). We specified pH, temperature, and the interaction between pH and temperature as fixed factors. In models of growth rate, we specified aquaria nested in header as random intercepts using restricted maximum likelihood. In models of kelp species' tissue nitrogen content and  $\delta^{13}$ C values, in which samples were pooled by aquaria, we specified *header* as the random intercept using restricted maximum likelihood. We used O-O plots and Tukey-Anscombe plots to confirm that all models satisfied the assumption of normality and that group variances were roughly similar (Winter, 2013). To conservatively account for the influence of heteroscedasticity, we used Satterthwaite's method for t tests to determine p values for the effects of fixed factors. When we detected an interaction between fixed factors, we computed estimated marginal means for pairwise contrasts among factor combinations with Satterthwaite's method for determining df. Finally, in the case of one species' response to experimental treatments ( $\delta^{13}$ C values of *M. pyrifera* in winter), where there was no interaction among fixed factors but each factor had a significant and "opposite" effect on algal response, we used a custom contrast to test whether the combined treatment effect of winter OW and OA was significantly distinct from the kelp's response in winter control conditions.

#### Algal palatability assays

We used tissue from *H. nigripes* and *N. fimbriatum* individuals grown in the laboratory (see *Seasonal experiments for kelp species*, above) to investigate whether future ocean conditions affect the palatability of these understory kelp species in either season. We were unable to recover the necessary amount of tissue from experimental *M. pyrifera* blades to also include giant kelp in this assessment. In April 2021, we modified the methods used by Hay et al. (1994) to create "gels" of homogenized kelp tissue suspended in agar and enmeshed in squares of window screen. This method was chosen with the goal of

isolating the chemical composition of algal tissue from its physical characteristics such as structure or toughness (e.g., Hay et al., 1994; Pennings & Paul, 1992). Each 30-cm<sup>2</sup> gel was formed from  $0.1547 \pm 0.0004$  g  $(\text{mean} \pm \text{SE})$  of freeze-dried (FreeZone, Labconco) H. nigripes or N. fimbriatum tissue grown in one of two treatments from each seasonal experiment: the control treatment or the combination OW and OA treatment (i.e., algal tissue from the treatments simulating OA or OW alone was not included). The total number of gels used for the feeding assays was limited by the available kelp tissue grown during each experiment, and was consequently lower for gels made from tissue grown in the winter experiment (*H. nigripes*: N = 11 gels treatment<sup>-1</sup>, N. fimbriatum: N = 12 gels treatment<sup>-1</sup>) versus the summer experiment (*H. nigripes*: N = 24 gels treatment<sup>-1</sup>, N. fimbriatum: N = 23 gels treatment<sup>-1</sup>). We ran "no-choice" palatability assays by feeding these seaweed gels to the common kelp forest grazer, Strongylocentrotus droebachiensis (green urchin). One hundred and forty urchins with a test diameter of  $24 \pm 3$  mm were collected from the intertidal, starved for 48 h, and then placed in a flow-through chamber with a single gel in ambient seawater conditions (~7°C, ~8.0 pH) for 48 h. No urchin was used for more than one feeding assay. We photographed each gel before and after the assay and determined the relative consumption of seaweeds grown under different treatments as a proxy for palatability using Image J (NIH v1.8.0). We assessed differences in relative consumption of N. fimbriatum or H. nigripes tissue using two-way ANOVAs with fixed factors of treatment, season, and the interaction between treatment and season. All data were checked for normality using Q-Q plots and homoscedasticity was tested by visual inspection of the

residuals. A Tukey's honestly significant difference post hoc comparison of means was used to determine significant pairwise differences among treatments.

#### RESULTS

#### Seasonal experiments for kelp species

#### Experimental conditions

Replicate experimental aquaria were successfully maintained at  $pH_T$  and temperature set points offset by -0.4 pH units and  $+4^{\circ}C$  between control and OA and OW treatments within each seasonal experiment (Table 1). Discrete water samples confirmed that  $pCO_2$  also differed by treatment and experiment. Salinity and TA did not differ among treatment aquaria within each seasonal experiment. Dissolved oxygen concentrations were up to 1 mg L<sup>-1</sup> higher in aquaria assigned a lower temperature treatment compared with aquaria with elevated temperatures within each experiment. Light regimes were maintained uninterrupted throughout each seasonal experiment. Diel pH cycles within aquaria due to algal photosynthesis and respiration were up to 0.05 pH units during the winter and up to 0.1 pH units in the summer experiment, but did not differ among treatments.

Due to analytical error, there were insufficient samples to assess the relative nutrient concentrations among all treatments in either experiment. Mean experimental nutrient concentrations across all treatments were  $16.3 \pm$  $1.3 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$  NO<sub>x</sub> and  $4.8 \pm 1.0 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$  NH<sub>4</sub> in the winter experiment and  $3.7 \pm 0.4 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$  NO<sub>x</sub> and  $10.3 \pm$  $61.4 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$  NH<sub>4</sub> in the summer experiment. While experimental NO<sub>x</sub> concentrations were similar to observations in

TABLE 1	Seawater	conditions i	n experimen	tal aquaria	by treatment	t and seasonal	experiment
---------	----------	--------------	-------------	-------------	--------------	----------------	------------

		Winter experiment				Summer experiment				
Parameter	Control	OA	ow	OA and OW	Control	OA	ow	OA and OW		
Dissolved oxygen (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	9.5 ± 0.9	9.3 ± 1.2	8.5 ± 1.4	8.4 ± 1.5	8.5 ± 0.2	8.4 ± 0.2	7.9 ± 0.3	7.8 ± 0.2		
Salinity (ppt)	$31.3 \pm 0.3$	$31.4 \pm 0.3$	$31.3 \pm 0.3$	$31.4 \pm 0.3$	$31.0 \pm 0.2$	$31.0 \pm 0.2$	$31.1 \pm 0.2$	$31.1 \pm 0.2$		
Temperature (°C)	$7.2 \pm 0.1$	$7.3 \pm 0.1$	$10.9\pm0.7$	$10.9\pm0.6$	$14.1\pm0.1$	$14.2 \pm 0.1$	$18.0 \pm 0.9$	$18.0\pm0.8$		
$\mathrm{pH}_\mathrm{T}$	$7.65 \pm 0.01$	$7.21 \pm 0.01$	$7.66\pm0.01$	$7.28\pm0.01$	$7.88 \pm 0.01$	$7.46\pm0.02$	$7.84 \pm 0.01$	$7.51\pm0.02$		
$pCO_2$ (µatm)	$1011 \pm 22$	2843 ± 87	$1001 \pm 23$	$2502 \pm 50$	592 ± 21	$1667 \pm 103$	$648 \pm 14$	1513 ± 67		
TA (µmol/kg)	$2120 \pm 15$	$2122 \pm 14$	$2121 \pm 15$	$2125 \pm 14$	2116 ± 5	2116 ± 5	2116 ± 5	2115 ± 5		

*Note*: Parameters are summarized as the mean  $\pm$  SD for all replicate aquaria over the course of the experiments. Temperature, salinity, and dissolved oxygen were measured daily in all experimental aquaria. pH<sub>T</sub>, *p*CO<sub>2</sub>, and TA were determined from discrete water samples taken in aquaria at the beginning, middle, and end of each experiment.

Abbreviations: OA, ocean acidification; OW, ocean warming; TA, total alkalinity.

the field, average ammonium concentrations within aquaria during the summer experiment were notably higher than were observed concurrently in situ. Seawater samples collected at Talon Is. had average nutrient concentrations of 16.7 mg L<sup>-1</sup> NO<sub>x</sub> and 7.6 mg L<sup>-1</sup> NH<sub>4</sub> in February and 1.4 mg L<sup>-1</sup> NO<sub>x</sub> and 2.8 mg L<sup>-1</sup> NH<sub>4</sub> in August.

#### Kelp growth

Treatment effects on kelp growth rates were consistent regardless of growth metric. Hereafter, we report growth results in terms of relative change in individuals' wet mass ( $RGR_{mass}$ ), which can best capture three-dimensional changes in individuals' stipe, pneumatocyst, or blade morphologies.

The effects of OW and OA on kelp growth differed among species (Figure 1). For one species (H. nigripes), growth was lower in OW treatments compared with control treatments in both seasonal experiments (winter: p < 0.001, Appendix S1: Table S1; summer: p < 0.001, Appendix S1: Table S2). Another species' (*N. fimbriatum*) growth was lower under elevated temperatures in the summer experiment compared with growth in the control treatment (p < 0.001, Appendix S1: Table S4), but was not impacted under winter OW conditions (Appendix S1: Table S3). This is in contrast to the growth of the kelp M. pyrifera, which was not affected by OW in either winter (Appendix S1: Table S5) or summer (Appendix S1: Table S6) experiments. There was no effect of pH or the interaction between temperature and pH on the growth of any species in the summer experiment. In the winter experiment, there was а marginally significant

interaction between temperature and pH on *H. nigripes*' growth (p = 0.057). Post hoc contrasts among treatments indicate that this interaction was driven by the marginally significant effect of OA in combination with OW on the RGR<sub>mass</sub> of *H. nigripes* compared with the control treatment (p = 0.054), while *H. nigripes*' growth under OW alone was significantly lower than in the control treatment (p < 0.001) and OA alone had no effect on the species' growth (p = 0.972). There was no effect of pH or the interaction between temperature and pH on the growth of *N. fimbriatum* or *M. pyrifera* in the winter experiment.

#### Nitrogen content

All three kelp species exhibited lower tissue nitrogen content (as % tissue dry mass) when grown under OW conditions compared with control treatments in at least one of the seasonal experiments (Figure 2). Nitrogen content of H. nigripes was reduced under elevated temperatures in the winter experiment (p = 0.004, Appendix S1: Table S7), but not in the summer experiment (Appendix S1: Table S8). Meanwhile, elevated temperatures reduced the tissue nitrogen content of N. fimbriatum in both winter (p = 0.005, Appendix S1: Table S9) and summer (p = 0.007, Appendix S1: Table S10) experiments compared with control treatments. There was no effect of either pH or the interaction of temperature and pH on %N of *H. nigripes* or *N. fimbriatum* in either season. Similar to H. nigripes, nitrogen content of M. pyrifera tissue in the winter experiment was lower under elevated temperatures than in control conditions (p < 0.001, Appendix S1:



**FIGURE 1** Relative growth rates (RGR<sub>mass</sub>; mean + SE) of the three kelp species exposed to different treatment combinations of ocean acidification (OA) and warming (OW) within month-long laboratory experiments in winter and summer (N = 18 individuals species<sup>-1</sup> treatment<sup>-1</sup>).



**FIGURE 2** Tissue nitrogen content (%N; mean + SE) of the three kelp species exposed to different treatment combinations of ocean acidification (OA) and warming (OW) within month-long laboratory experiments in winter and summer (N = 18 individuals species<sup>-1</sup> treatment<sup>-1</sup>).

Table S11), but was not affected by OW in the summer experiment. *M. pyrifera* was unique among the three kelps in that its nitrogen content was increased in the winter OA treatment relative to winter control conditions (p = 0.003), although there was no interaction between pH and temperature. Because the effects of pH and temperature on *M. pyrifera*'s %N in winter were similar in magnitude but lower pH increased %N while higher temperatures decreased %N, the nitrogen content of *M. pyrifera* tissue grown under the combined OW and OA treatment was not statistically distinguishable from tissue grown in control conditions (p = 0.577). In the summer experiment, *M. pyrifera* %N was not affected by temperature, pH, or the interaction between factors (Appendix S1: Table S12).

### $\delta^{13}$ C values

OA treatments reduced thalli  $\delta^{13}$ C values relative to control treatments in both seasons for *H. nigripes* (winter: p < 0.001, Appendix S1: Table S13; summer: p = 0.004, Appendix S1: Table S14) and *N. fimbriatum* (winter: p = 0.001, Appendix S1: Table S15; summer: p < 0.001, Appendix S1: Table S15; summer: p < 0.001, Appendix S1: Table S16) (Figure 3). In contrast, tissue  $\delta^{13}$ C values of *M. pyrifera* were not reduced under low pH conditions in the winter experiment (Appendix S1: Table S17), but were reduced under OA relative to control treatments in the summer experiment (p = 0.004, Appendix S1: Table S18). Elevated temperatures also impacted *H. nigripes*' tissue  $\delta^{13}$ C values, but in a different manner in each season. In the winter experiment,  $\delta^{13}$ C

values of *H. nigripes*' thalli grown in higher temperatures were elevated compared with  $\delta^{13}$ C values of thalli in control treatments (p = 0.031), whereas  $\delta^{13}$ C values of *H. nigripes*' thalli in summer experiment OW conditions were reduced relative to controls (p = 0.006). We did not detect an interactive effect of pH and temperature on *H. nigripes*' tissue  $\delta^{13}$ C in either season. There was no effect of OW or the interaction between OW and OA on the  $\delta^{13}$ C values of *N. fimbriatum* or *M. pyrifera* in either experiment.

#### Algal palatability assays

Palatability of *H. nigripes*' tissue differed between treatment and season (Figure 4; Appendix S1: Table S19; interaction between treatment and season: p = 0.051). Urchins consumed over 30% more *H. nigripes*' tissue grown in future summer OW and OA than tissue grown under controls in the summer experiment (p = 0.024). Conversely, urchins consumed similar quantities of *H. nigripes* tissue from the winter experiment, regardless of the treatment conditions during growth (p = 0.969). There was no effect of pH and temperature treatment, season, or their interaction on the palatability of *N. fimbriatum* tissue (Appendix S1: Figure S2 and Table S20).

#### DISCUSSION

Our study indicates that in high-latitude coastal systems, future OW will decrease the growth and nutritional



**FIGURE 3**  $\delta^{13}$ C values (‰; mean + SE) of the three kelp species exposed to different treatment combinations of ocean acidification (OA) and warming (OW) within month-long laboratory experiments in winter and summer (N = 18 individuals species<sup>-1</sup> treatment<sup>-1</sup>). The dotted line at a  $\delta^{13}$ C value of -30% is the putative threshold below which macroalgae exclusively rely on diffusive uptake of CO<sub>2</sub> and no longer invest energy in carbon-concentrating mechanisms (Raven et al., 2002).



**FIGURE 4** Relative consumption (mean + SE) of experimentally grown *H. nigripes* tissue in feeding assays used to test the seasonal effects of pH and temperature treatment on the palatability of algal tissue to a common kelp forest grazer. Lowercase letters denote statistically significant differences among algal treatments.

content of certain kelps while OA will primarily drive changes in species' carbon use strategy. We also found that kelps' responses to future shifts in temperature and carbonate chemistry will depend on the seasonal environmental context, including the relative availability of light and nutrients in each season. Furthermore, these

overlapping environmental drivers may indirectly affect higher order consumers via changes to seaweed palatability in certain seasons. Given the inherent differences in distributions, life histories, and annual production dynamics among the subtidal kelps in this study (Bell & Kroeker, 2022; Dankworth et al., 2020; Schiel & Foster, 2015), we were unsurprised to find that seasonal scenarios of OW and OA elicited distinct responses in each macroalgal species. This research demonstrates that changing environmental conditions will shift the seasonal quality and quantity of basal resources in kelp ecosystems at high latitudes, likely reducing the functional biodiversity of these communities (Schlenger et al., 2021). Prior research in this system identified that future winter seasons may represent a period of vulnerability for calcified consumers, due to the overlap of enhanced physiological stress from low pH/high  $pCO_2$  seawater at a time when macroalgal food supply is naturally at an annual minimum (Bell & Kroeker, 2022; Kroeker et al., 2021). Our research expands this projection by revealing that consumers' stress in future winters may be compounded by reductions in macroalgal biomass and nutritional content primarily due to warming in this season.

Of the three kelps we considered, the high-latitude endemic *H. nigripes* was the only species to exhibit reduced growth under OW scenarios in both winter and summer experiments. Optimal temperatures for growth and gametogenesis in this species have been shown to occur at  $\leq 10^{\circ}$ C and decline above 15°C (Druehl, 1967; Franke et al., 2021; Longtin & Saunders, 2016). Indeed, current in situ productivity of *H. nigripes* declines dramatically starting in August in Sitka Sound (Bell & Kroeker, 2022), and our sensor data reveal this is just as seawater temperatures approach 15°C. Elevated temperatures in summer with OW are likely to extend this seasonal period of reduced growth for *H. nigripes* in the future. Additionally, *H. nigripes*' low growth in the winter experiment under a future OW scenario of 11°C suggests that other environmental variables such as relative light availability and nutrient supply may interact with temperature to define this species' seasonal thermal optima.

Distinct from *H. nigripes*, the growth of the other two, more temperate, kelp species was not vulnerable to the elevated temperatures expected in future winters. The understory kelp N. fimbriatum displayed reduced growth only under summer OW conditions. In Sitka Sound, the growth of N. fimbriatum thalli is observed year-round, although blade extension rates are generally higher in summer than winter (Bell & Kroeker, 2022). While future summer OW conditions may challenge the thermal tolerance of this species during the warmest months of the year, its capacity for continuous production in this system could buffer a reduction in its growth in this particular season. The growth of the giant kelp M. pyrifera was unaffected by OW scenarios in either seasonal experiment, suggesting that production of this species may be resilient to future warming during future winters and summers at high latitudes. Sitka Sound is situated at the poleward edge of M. pyrifera's continuous range extent (Druehl, 1970, 1981). Although intrapopulation variation in thermal tolerance has been observed in this species (Hollarsmith et al., 2020), these northern fringing M. pyrifera populations may possess enough phenotypic plasticity to afford a relative tolerance to anticipated OW conditions in this region (Becheler et al., 2022; King et al., 2020).

In contrast to the species-specific responses of growth rate to future environmental conditions, all three kelps in this study exhibited reduced tissue nitrogen content under winter scenarios of OW. Currently in Sitka Sound, kelp nitrogen content increases in winter due to the ample seawater nutrient supply and low energetic requirements during this season of low light and low temperature (Bell & Kroeker, 2022). While the energetic expense of nutrient acquisition can be limited by low light levels (Hurd et al., 2014; Roleda & Hurd, 2019), some kelps, including *H. nigripes* and *M. pyrifera*, readily uptake nitrate at equal or higher rates in the dark compared with the light by mobilizing carbohydrate reserves (Harrison et al., 1986; Korb & Gerard, 2000; Wheeler & Srivastava, 1984). However, the additional metabolic demand for nutrients that can occur under elevated temperatures may undermine these kelps' ability to maintain

nitrogen reserves in their tissues even when nutrients are replete, as has been seen in temperate and Arctic populations of *Saccharina latissima* (Olischläger et al., 2014). Our results underscore the unexpected vulnerability of these high-latitude kelps to nutritional depletion during a season associated with plentiful nutrient supply, even when projected future winter temperatures fall well within their current annual thermal range.

Seasonal differences in OW's impact on kelp nitrogen content likely arise from an interaction between environmental nutrient supply, temperature, and light on kelps' nitrogen uptake kinetics and usage (Endo et al., 2017; Mabin et al., 2019). As far as we are aware, there are few other studies that have considered the impact of OW on kelp nutritional content specifically under winter conditions of high nutrients combined with temperatures on the lower end of species' annual thermal range. More commonly, prior research has been set up similar to our summer experiment and reflects our results for H. nigripes and M. pyrifera in these conditions: OW treatments are chosen to exceed kelps' annual thermal maxima under low to moderate nitrogen concentrations  $(0.5-3 \mu M NO_x)$ , and these scenarios have no impact on kelp tissue nitrogen content (e.g., Brown et al., 2014; Mabin et al., 2019). Yet, we find it surprising that summer OW conditions had no effect on any of M. pyrifera's measured physiological responses, given the documented vulnerability of this species to high temperature and low nutrient conditions in other studies (Schmid et al., 2020; Umanzor et al., 2021). We suspect that the results of our summer experiment may have been unintentionally influenced by a supplemental supply of nutrients to our system. The intake for our experimental system drew seawater just offshore from a natural river mouth, which was distinguished by an accumulation of decomposing salmon carcasses during the second half of our summer experiment. We believe the concentrated outflow of nutrients from these fish in river water (Bell & Kroeker, unpublished data) was picked up by our system's intake, leading to elevated ammonium concentrations in our aquaria compared with typical summer seawater nutrient concentrations in situ (Bell & Kroeker, 2022; this study). We also interpret that the higher mean tissue nitrogen content of the kelps grown in these aquaria compared with observed nitrogen content of kelps at this time of year in situ (Bell & Kroeker, 2022) reflects how readily the macroalgae assimilated this supply of ammonium (Cedeno et al., 2021; Hurd et al., 2014). Therefore, the apparent resilience of kelps in our study to summer heat stress may have been due to the added heat tolerance conferred by having relatively high nitrogen reserves (Fernández et al., 2020; Gerard, 1997; Schmid et al., 2020). We anticipate that under a more realistic

simulation of seasonal environmental nutrient depletion, the negative effects of OW on kelp physiology may have been more pronounced in future summer scenarios.

Subtidal kelps can experience substantial fluctuations in light that were not captured in this experiment. Many high-latitude seaweeds' photosynthesis saturation points occur at much higher irradiances than are required for growth, enabling these species to capitalize on enhanced carbon assimilation under large fluctuations in light (Gómez et al., 2009; Scheschonk et al., 2019; Wiencke et al., 2009). However, because our experimental light regimes were chosen to represent typical cloudy day conditions in winter or summer, they did not account for the periodic increase in available light that occurs during sunny periods. This lack of variability in our light levels might have contributed to the lower growth rates observed for H. nigripes in control treatments of the winter experiment compared with typical growth of this understory kelp in Sitka Sound in February and March (Appendix S1: Figure S1) (Bell & Kroeker, 2022). Moreover, a greater supply of light could improve H. nigripes' resilience to elevated temperatures in winter (Andersen et al., 2013; Nejrup et al., 2013). In view of the potential consequences that reduced H. nigripes biomass could represent for consumers in future winters, we advise further research into the interactive effects of light availability and OW on this species' production.

The clear response of all three kelp species'  $\delta^{13}$ C values to OA conditions suggests that these kelps capitalize on enhanced CO<sub>2</sub> availability to optimize their carbon acquisition strategies. Presumably, the reduced  $\delta^{13}$ C values indicate a downregulation of carbon-concentrating activity with concomitant energetic savings (Cornwall et al., 2012, 2015; Hepburn et al., 2011). However, this spare energy did not appear to be consistently invested into new growth, except perhaps by ameliorating the negative impacts of OW on H. nigripes growth under winter conditions. In M. pyrifera, an increase in tissue nitrogen content under winter OA conditions indicates that this extra energy may have been mobilized to enhance nutrient uptake and assimilation. Intriguingly, this effect compensated for reduced nitrogen content under elevated winter temperatures when the two treatments were applied in tandem, suggesting a mitigating effect of OA on *M. pyrifera*'s nitrogen utilization in warmer future winters. Aside from these results, it is unclear whether the potential energetic benefits of OA conditions may lead to other ecologically consequential changes for these kelp species.

Our results also suggest that the combination of OW and OA may have biochemical effects on algal palatability beyond what we considered in our study. The increase in urchins' consumption of *H. nigripes* tissue grown in future summer ocean conditions could indicate a decrease in secondary metabolites, causing the algae to

be more susceptible to grazing (Arnold et al., 2012; Hemmi & Jormalainen, 2002; Swanson & Fox, 2007). Increased grazing could also result from a decrease in nutritional quality in the seaweed blade, causing compensatory feeding (Cruz-Rivera & Hay, 2000; Falkenberg et al., 2014; Rodríguez et al., 2018). While we did not observe the effect of summer OA and OW on H. nigripes' nitrogen content, reduced nutritional value could also be driven by a decrease in fatty acid, lipid, or mineral content (Britton et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2021). Our feeding assay results only begin to hint at the additional effects that OA and OW may have on macroalgal physiochemical structure, and they reinforce the importance of testing the emergent effects of environmental change on food web interactions (Brown et al., 2014; Jin et al., 2020; Jin & Gao, 2021).

Altogether, our experimental results for these three common canopy-forming subtidal kelp species paint a picture of how the macroalgal energy supply in this system may shift in the future. Our finding that future warming had a greater impact than OA on the growth and nutritional quality of high-latitude kelps is consistent with studies of macroalgae in other high-latitude and subtropical habitats (Graba-Landry et al., 2018; Wahl et al., 2020). The vulnerability of the pan-Arctic understory species H. nigripes is particularly noteworthy. In the winter, the reduction of both the biomass and quality of this species could represent an energetically significant loss for calcified rocky reef consumers facing additional metabolic stress associated with OA in the future (Kroeker et al., 2021). Meanwhile, high-latitude populations of the more temperate kelp species *M. pyrifera* may be relatively resilient to the effects of OA and OW. Giant kelp may therefore continue to dominate total macroalgal production on reefs where it forms the surface canopy (Bell & Kroeker, 2022). However, consumers cannot rely on this species alone to fulfill their nutritional needs (Kroeker et al., 2021). Thus, the combination of OA and OW may threaten not only the functional biodiversity of the macroalgal community on these high-latitude reefs, but also the resilience of the consumer community that depends on their production.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research took place within Lingít Aaní, or Tlingit land, near Sheet'ká Kwáan, also known as Sitka, Alaska. We acknowledge and understand that our work is dependent on the land and waters that Lingít Peoples have stewarded since time immemorial, and we are grateful to be guests in this region. We thank the staff of the Sitka Sound Science Center for their assistance. We thank B. Conaway, C. Gray, P. Sorenson, L. Wild, P. Cook, T. White, L. Strope, J. Gómez, M. Schouweiler, G. Houghton, and UCSC students in the 2020 Sitka field course for assistance in the field and laboratory. S. Lummis went above and beyond to help keep our experiments running, and B. Walker generously analyzed many of our discrete samples. We deeply appreciate everyone who supported this work.

#### CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

#### DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data that support the findings of this study are openly available from the Biological & Chemical Oceanography Data Management Office (BCO-DMO) at www.bco-dmo.org, project number 756735, datasets titled "Kelp Growth," "Carbon and Nitrogen," and "Feeding Assays."

#### ORCID

Lauren E. Bell <sup>D</sup> https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4344-4280 Jason A. Toy <sup>D</sup> https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2126-7826 Kristy J. Kroeker <sup>D</sup> https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5766-1999

#### REFERENCES

- Ainsworth, E. A., and S. P. Long. 2004. "What Have We Learned from 15 Years of Free-Air CO<sub>2</sub> Enrichment (FACE)? A Meta-Analytic Review of the Responses of Photosynthesis, Canopy Properties and Plant Production to Rising CO<sub>2</sub>." New Phytologist 165: 351–372. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137. 2004.01224.x.
- Amsler, C. D., K. Iken, J. B. McClintock, M. O. Amsler, K. J. Peters, J. M. Hubbard, F. B. Furrow, and B. J. Baker. 2005. "Comprehensive Evaluation of the Palatability and Chemical Defenses of Subtidal Macroalgae from the Antarctic Peninsula." *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 294: 141–159. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps294141.
- Andersen, G. S., M. F. Pedersen, and S. L. Nielsen. 2013. "Temperature Acclimation and Heat Tolerance of Photosynthesis in Norwegian Saccharina latissima (Laminariales, Phaeophyceae)." Journal of Phycology 49: 689–700. https://doi.org/10.1111/jpy.12077.
- Arnold, T., C. Mealey, H. Leahey, A. W. Miller, J. M. Hall-Spencer, M. Milazzo, and K. Maers. 2012. "Ocean Acidification and the Loss of Phenolic Substances in Marine Plants." *PLoS One* 7(4): e35107. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0035107.
- Becheler, R., D. Haverbeck, C. Clerc, G. Montecinos, M. Valero, A. Mansilla, and S. Faugeron. 2022. "Variation in Thermal Tolerance of the Giant Kelp's Gametophytes: Suitability of Habitat, Population Quality or Local Adaptation?" *Frontiers in Marine Science* 9: 802535. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2022.802535.
- Bell, L. E., J. B. Gómez, E. Donham, D. L. Steller, P. W. Gabrielson, and K. J. Kroeker. 2022. "High-Latitude Calcified Coralline Algae Exhibit Seasonal Vulnerability to Acidification despite Physical Proximity to a Non-Calcified Alga." *Climate Change Ecology* 3: 100049. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecochg.2022. 100049.
- Bell, L. E., and K. J. Kroeker. 2022. "Standing Crop, Turnover, and Production Dynamics of Macrocystis pyrifera and Understory Species Hedophyllum nigripes and Neoagarum fimbriatum in

High Latitude Giant Kelp Forests." *Journal of Phycology* 58(6): 773–788. https://doi.org/10.1111/jpy.13291.

- Britton, D., M. Schmid, F. Noisette, J. N. Havenhand, E. R. Paine, C. M. McGraw, A. T. Revill, et al. 2020. "Adjustments in Fatty Acid Composition Is a Mechanism that Can Explain Resilience to Marine Heatwaves and Future Ocean Conditions in the Habitat-Forming Seaweed *Phyllospora comosa* (Labillardière) C.Agardh." *Global Change Biology* 26(6): 3512–24. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15052.
- Brown, M. B., M. S. Edwards, and K. Y. Kim. 2014. "Effects of Climate Change on the Physiology of Giant Kelp, *Macrocystis pyrifera*, and Grazing by Purple Urchin, *Strongylocentrotus purpuratus.*" *Algae* 29(3): 203–215. https://doi.org/10.4490/ algae.2014.29.3.203.
- Campanyà-Llovet, N., P. V. R. Snelgrove, and C. C. Parrish. 2017. "Rethinking the Importance of Food Quality in Marine Benthic Food Webs." *Progress in Oceanography* 156: 240–251. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2017.07.006.
- Cavicchioli, R., W. J. Ripple, K. N. Timmis, F. Azam, L. R. Bakken, M. Baylis, M. J. Behrenfeld, et al. 2019. "Scientists' Warning to Humanity: Microorganisms and Climate Change." *Nature Reviews Microbiology* 17: 569–586. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41579-019-0222-5.
- Cebrian, J., J. B. Shurin, E. T. Borer, B. J. Cardinale, J. T. Ngai, M. D. Smith, and W. F. Fagan. 2009. "Producer Nutritional Quality Controls Ecosystem Trophic Structure." *PLoS One* 4(3): e4929. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0004929.
- Cedeno, T. H., M. A. Brzezinski, R. J. Miller, and D. C. Reed. 2021. "An Evaluation of Surge Uptake Capability in the Giant Kelp (*Macrocystis pyrifera*) in Response to Pulses of Three Different Forms of Nitrogen." *Marine Biology* 168(11): 166. https://doi. org/10.1007/s00227-021-03975-z.
- Celis-Plá, P. S. M., J. M. Hall-Spencer, P. A. Horta, M. Milazzo, N. Korbee, C. E. Cornwall, and F. L. Figueroa. 2015. "Macroalgal Responses to Ocean Acidification Depend on Nutrient and Light Levels." *Frontiers in Marine Science* 2: 26. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2015.00026.
- Cornwall, C. E., C. D. Hepburn, D. Pritchard, K. I. Currie, C. M. McGraw, K. A. Hunter, and C. L. Hurd. 2012. "Carbon-Use Strategies in Macroalgae: Differential Responses to Lowered pH and Implications for Ocean Acidification." *Journal* of *Phycology* 48(1): 137–144. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1529-8817.2011.01085.x.
- Cornwall, C. E., and C. L. Hurd. 2016. "Experimental Design in Ocean Acidification Research: Problems and Solutions." *ICES Journal of Marine Science* 73(3): 572–581. https://doi.org/10. 1093/icesjms/fsv118.
- Cornwall, C. E., A. T. Revill, and C. L. Hurd. 2015. "High Prevalence of Diffusive Uptake of CO<sub>2</sub> by Macroalgae in a Temperate Subtidal Ecosystem." *Photosynthesis Research* 124(2): 181–190. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11120-015-0114-0.
- Cruz-Rivera, E., and M. E. Hay. 2000. "Can Quantity Replace Quality? Food Choice, Compensatory Feeding, and Fitness of Marine Mesograzers." *Ecology* 81(1): 201–219. https://doi.org/ 10.2307/177144.
- Dankworth, M., S. Heinrich, S. Fredriksen, and I. Bartsch. 2020. "DNA Barcoding and Mucilage Ducts in the Stipe Reveal the Presence of *Hedophyllum nigripes* (Laminariales, Phaeophyceae) in Kongsfjorden (Spitsbergen)."

Journal of Phycology 56(5): 1245–54. https://doi.org/10.1111/jpy.13012.

- Demko, A. M., C. D. Amsler, M. E. Hay, J. D. Long, J. B. McClintock, V. J. Paul, and E. E. Sotka. 2017. "Declines in Plant Palatability from Polar to Tropical Latitudes Depend on Herbivore and Plant Identity." *Ecology* 98(9): 2312–21. https:// doi.org/10.1002/ecy.1918.
- Dickson, A. G. 1993. "pH Buffers for Sea Water Media Based on the Total Hydrogen Ion Concentration Scale." *Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers* 40(1): 107–118. https:// doi.org/10.1016/0967-0637(93)90055-8.
- Dickson, A. G., and F. J. Millero. 1987. "A Comparison of the Equilibrium Constants for the Dissociation of Carbonic Acid in Seawater Media." *Deep Sea Research Part A* 34: 1733–43. https://doi.org/10.1016/01980149(87)90021-5.
- Dickson, A. G., C. L. Sabine, and J. R. Christian. 2007. "Guide to Best Practices for Ocean CO<sub>2</sub> Measurements." PICES Special Publication 3. https://doi.org/10.25607/OBP-1342.
- Doubleday, Z. A., I. Nagelkerken, M. D. Coutts, S. U. Goldenberg, and S. D. Connell. 2019. "A Triple Trophic Boost: How Carbon Emissions Indirectly Change a Marine Food Chain." *Global Change Biology* 25(3): 978–984. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14536.
- Druehl, L. D. 1967. "Distribution of Two Species of Laminaria as Related to Some Environmental Factors." Journal of Phycology 3(2): 103–8. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1529-8817.1967.tb04641.x.
- Druehl, L. D. 1970. "The Pattern of Laminariales Distribution in the Northeast Pacific." *Phycologia* 9: 237–247. https://doi.org/ 10.2216/i0031-8884-9-3-237.1.
- Druehl, L. D. 1981. "Geographic Distribution." In *The Biology of Seaweeds*, Vol. 17, edited by C. R. Lobban and M. J. Wynne, 306–325. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.
- Duarte, C., J. López, S. Benítez, P. H. Manríquez, J. M. Navarro, C. C. Bonta, R. Torres, and P. Quijón. 2016. "Ocean Acidification Induces Changes in Algal Palatability and Herbivore Feeding Behavior and Performance." *Oecologia* 180(2): 453–462. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-015-3459-3.
- Duffy, J. E., and V. J. Paul. 1992. "Prey Nutritional Quality and the Effectiveness of Chemical Defenses against Tropical Reef Fishes." *Oecologia* 90(3): 333–39. https://doi.org/10.1007/ BF00317689.
- Eggert, A. 2012. "Seaweed Responses to Temperature." In *Seaweed Biology*, edited by C. Wiencke and K. Bischof, 47–66. Berlin: Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-28451-9\_3.
- Endo, H., K. Suehiro, X. Gao, and Y. Agatsuma. 2017. "Interactive Effects of Elevated Summer Temperature, Nutrient Availability, and Irradiance on Growth and Chemical Compositions of Juvenile Kelp, *Eisenia bicyclis.*" *Phycological Research* 65(2): 118–126. https://doi.org/10.1111/pre.12170.
- Facey, S. L., D. S. Ellsworth, J. T. Staley, D. J. Wright, and S. N. Johnson. 2014. "Upsetting the Order: How Climate and Atmospheric Change Affects Herbivore–Enemy Interactions." *Current Opinion in Insect Science* 5: 66–74. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.cois.2014.09.015.
- Falkenberg, L. J., S. D. Connell, and B. D. Russell. 2014. "Herbivory Mediates the Expansion of an Algal Habitat under Nutrient and CO<sub>2</sub> Enrichment." *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 497: 87–92. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps10557.
- Falkenberg, L. J., B. D. Russell, and S. D. Connell. 2013. "Future Herbivory: The Indirect Effects of Enriched  $CO_2$  May Rival Its

Direct Effects." *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 492: 85–95. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps10491.

- Fernández, P. A., J. D. Gaitán-Espitia, P. P. Leal, M. Schmid, A. T. Revill, and C. L. Hurd. 2020. "Nitrogen Sufficiency Enhances Thermal Tolerance in Habitat-Forming Kelp: Implications for Acclimation under Thermal Stress." *Scientific Reports* 10: 3186. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-60104-4.
- Franke, K., D. Liesner, S. Heesch, and I. Bartsch. 2021. "Looks Can Be Deceiving: Contrasting Temperature Characteristics of Two Morphologically Similar Kelp Species Co-Occurring in the Arctic." *Botanica Marina* 64(3): 163–175. https://doi.org/10. 1515/bot-2021-0014.
- Franklin, J., J. M. Serra-Diaz, A. D. Syphard, and H. M. Regan. 2016. "Global Change and Terrestrial Plant Community Dynamics." Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 113(14): 3725–34. https://doi.org/ 10.1073/pnas.1519911113.
- Gerard, V. A. 1997. "The Role of Nitrogen Nutrition in High-Temperature Tolerance of the Kelp, Laminaria saccharina (Chromophyta)." Journal of Phycology 33(5): 800–810. https:// doi.org/10.1111/j.0022-3646.1997.00800.x.
- Gilbert, B., A. S. MacDougall, T. Kadoya, M. Akasaka, J. R. Bennett, E. M. Lind, H. Flores-Moreno, et al. 2020. "Climate and Local Environment Structure Asynchrony and the Stability of Primary Production in Grasslands." *Global Ecology and Biogeography* 29(7): 1177–88. https://doi.org/10.1111/geb.13094.
- Gómez, I., A. Wulff, M. Y. Roleda, P. Huovinen, U. Karsten, M. L. Quartino, K. Dunton, and C. Wiencke. 2009. "Light and Temperature Demands of Marine Benthic Microalgae and Seaweeds in Polar Regions." *Botanica Marina* 52(6): 593–608. https://doi.org/10.1515/BOT.2009.073.
- Graba-Landry, A., A. S. Hoey, J. K. Matley, H. Sheppard-Brennand, A. G. B. Poore, M. Byrne, and S. A. Dworjanyn. 2018. "Ocean Warming Has Greater and More Consistent Negative Effects than Ocean Acidification on the Growth and Health of Subtropical Macroalgae." *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 595: 55–69. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps12552.
- Graham, M. H. 2004. "Effects of Local Deforestation on the Diversity and Structure of Southern California Giant Kelp Forest Food Webs." *Ecosystems* 7: 341–357. https://doi.org/10. 1007/s10021-003-0245-6.
- Graiff, A., I. Bartsch, W. Ruth, M. Wahl, and U. Karsten. 2015. "Season Exerts Differential Effects of Ocean Acidification and Warming on Growth and Carbon Metabolism of the Seaweed Fucus vesiculosus in the Western Baltic Sea." Frontiers in Marine Science 2: 112. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2015. 00112.
- Granado, I., and P. Caballero. 2001. "Feeding Rates of Littorina striata and Osilinus atratus in Relation to Nutritional Quality and Chemical Defenses of Seaweeds." Marine Biology 138(6): 1213–24. https://doi.org/10.1007/s002270100544.
- Grant, W. S., A. Lydon, and T. T. Bringloe. 2020. "Phylogeography of Split Kelp *Hedophyllum nigripes*: Northern Ice-Age Refugia and Trans-Arctic Dispersal." *Polar Biology* 43: 1829–41. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00300-020-02748-6.
- Gunderson, A. R., E. J. Armstrong, and J. H. Stillman. 2016. "Multiple Stressors in a Changing World: The Need for an Improved Perspective on Physiological Responses to the Dynamic Marine Environment." Annual Review of Marine

*Science* 8(1): 357–378. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-marine-122414-033953.

- Harley, C. D. G., K. M. Anderson, K. W. Demes, J. P. Jorve, R. L. Kordas, T. A. Coyle, and M. H. Graham. 2012. "Effects of Climate Change on Global Seaweed Communities." *Journal of Phycology* 48(5): 1064–78. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1529-8817. 2012.01224.x.
- Harley, C. D. G., S. D. Connell, Z. A. Doubleday, B. Kelaher, B. D. Russell, G. Sarà, and B. Helmuth. 2017. "Conceptualizing Ecosystem Tipping Points within a Physiological Framework." *Ecology and Evolution* 7(15): 6035–45. https://doi.org/10.1002/ ece3.3164.
- Harrison, P. J., L. D. Druehl, K. E. Lloyd, and P. A. Thompson. 1986. "Nitrogen Uptake Kinetics in Three Year-Classes of Laminaria groenlandica (Laminariales: Phaeophyta)." Marine Biology 93(1): 29–35. https://doi.org/10.1007/ BF00428652.
- Hay, M. E., Q. E. Kappel, and W. Fenical. 1994. "Synergisms in Plant Defenses against Herbivores: Interactions of Chemistry, Calcification, and Plant Quality." *Ecology* 75(6): 1714–26. https://doi.org/10.2307/1939631.
- Hemmi, A., and V. Jormalainen. 2002. "Nutrient Enhancement Increases Performance of a Marine Herbivore via Quality of Its Food Alga." *Ecology* 83: 1052–64. https://doi.org/10.2307/ 3071913.
- Hepburn, C. D., D. W. Pritchard, C. E. Cornwall, R. J. McLeod, J. Beardall, J. A. Raven, and C. L. Hurd. 2011. "Diversity of Carbon Use Strategies in a Kelp Forest Community: Implications for a High CO<sub>2</sub> Ocean." *Global Change Biology* 17(7): 2488–97. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02411.x.
- Hermann, A. J., S. Hinckley, E. L. Dobbins, D. B. Haidvogel, N. A. Bond, C. Mordy, N. Kachel, and P. J. Stabeno. 2009. "Quantifying Cross-Shelf and Vertical Nutrient Flux in the Coastal Gulf of Alaska with a Spatially Nested, Coupled Biophysical Model." *Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography* 56(24): 2474–86. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. dsr2.2009.02.008.
- Hillebrand, H., B. Worm, and H. K. Lotze. 2000. "Marine Microbenthic Community Structure Regulated by Nitrogen Loading and Grazing Pressure." *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 204: 27–38. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps204027.
- Hollarsmith, J. A., A. H. Buschmann, C. Camus, and E. D. Grosholz. 2020. "Varying Reproductive Success under Ocean Warming and Acidification across Giant Kelp (*Macrocystis pyrifera*) Populations." *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 522: 151247. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2019. 151247.
- Hood, E., and D. Scott. 2008. "Riverine Organic Matter and Nutrients in Southeast Alaska Affected by Glacial Coverage." *Nature Geoscience* 1(9): 583–87. https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo280.
- Hurd, C. L., J. Beardall, S. Comeau, C. E. Cornwall, J. N. Havenhand, P. L. Munday, L. M. Parker, J. A. Raven, and C. M. McGraw. 2020. "Ocean Acidification as a Multiple Driver: How Interactions between Changing Seawater Carbonate Parameters Affect Marine Life." *Marine and Freshwater Research* 71(3): 263. https://doi.org/10.1071/MF19267.
- Hurd, C. L., P. J. Harrison, K. Bischof, and C. S. Lobban. 2014. Seaweed Ecology and Physiology, 2nd ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

- IPCC. 2018. "Summary for Policymakers." In Global Warming of 1.5°C. An IPCC Special Report on the Impacts of Global Warming of 1.5°C above Pre-Industrial Levels and Related Global Greenhouse Gas Emission Pathways, in the Context of Strengthening the Global Response to the Threat of Climate Change, Sustainable Development, and Efforts to Eradicate Poverty, edited by V. Masson-Delmotte, P. Zhai, H.-O. Pörtner, D. Roberts, J. Skea, P. R. Shukla, A. Pirani, et al. Geneva: Intergovernmental Panel on Climate
- Jenckes, J., D. E. Ibarra, and L. A. Munk. 2022. "Concentration-Discharge Patterns across the Gulf of Alaska Reveal Geomorphological and Glacierization Controls on Stream Water Solute Generation and Export." *Geophysical Research Letters* 49: e2021GL095152. https://doi.org/10.1029/ 2021GL095152.

Change.

- Jin, P., and K. Gao. 2021. "Effects of Ocean Acidification on Marine Primary Producers and Related Ecological Processes under Multiple Stressors." In Anthropogenic Pollution of Aquatic Ecosystems, edited by D.-P. H\u00e4der, E. W. Helbling, and V. E. Villafa\u00e5e, 401-426. Cham: Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-75602-4\_18.
- Jin, P., D. A. Hutchins, and K. Gao. 2020. "The Impacts of Ocean Acidification on Marine Food Quality and Its Potential Food Chain Consequences." *Frontiers in Marine Science* 7: 543979. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2020.543979.
- King, N. G., N. J. McKeown, D. A. Smale, and P. J. Moore. 2017. "The Importance of Phenotypic Plasticity and Local Adaptation in Driving Intraspecific Variability in Thermal Niches of Marine Macrophytes." *Ecography* 41(9): 1469–84. https://doi.org/10.1111/ecog.03186.
- King, N. G., P. J. Moore, A. Pessarrodona, M. T. Burrows, J. Porter, M. Bue, and D. A. Smale. 2020. "Ecological Performance Differs between Range Centre and Trailing Edge Populations of a Cold-Water Kelp: Implications for Estimating Net Primary Productivity." *Marine Biology* 167(9): 137. https://doi.org/10. 1007/s00227-020-03743-5.
- Koch, M., G. Bowes, C. Ross, and X.-H. Zhang. 2013. "Climate Change and Ocean Acidification Effects on Seagrasses and Marine Macroalgae." *Global Change Biology* 19(1): 103–132. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2012.02791.x.
- Korb, R. E., and V. A. Gerard. 2000. "Effects of Concurrent Low Temperature and Low Nitrogen Supply on Polar and Temperate Seaweeds." *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 198: 73–82. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps198073.
- Kram, S. L., N. N. Price, E. M. Donham, M. D. Johnson, E. L. A. Kelly, S. L. Hamilton, and J. E. Smith. 2016. "Variable Responses of Temperate Calcified and Fleshy Macroalgae to Elevated pCO<sub>2</sub> and Warming." *ICES Journal of Marine Science* 73(3): 693–703. https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsv168.
- Kroeker, K. J., L. E. Bell, E. M. Donham, U. Hoshijima, S. Lummis, J. A. Toy, and E. Willis-Norton. 2020. "Ecological Change in Dynamic Environments: Accounting for Temporal Environmental Variability in Studies of Ocean Change Biology." *Global Change Biology* 26(1): 54–67. https://doi.org/ 10.1111/gcb.14868.
- Kroeker, K. J., C. Powell, and E. M. Donham. 2021. "Windows of Vulnerability: Seasonal Mismatches in Exposure and Resource Identity Determine Ocean Acidification's Effect on a Primary

Consumer at High Latitude." *Global Change Biology* 27(5): 1042–51. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15449.

- Kumar, A., H. AbdElgawad, I. Castellano, S. Selim, G. T. S. Beemster, H. Asard, M. C. Buia, and A. Palumbo. 2018. "Effects of Ocean Acidification on the Levels of Primary and Secondary Metabolites in the Brown Macroalga Sargassum vulgare at Different Time Scales." Science of the Total Environment 643: 946–956. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv. 2018.06.176.
- Ladah, L. B., and J. A. Zertuche-González. 2022. "Local Adaptation of Juvenile Giant Kelp, *Macrocystis pyrifera*, from Their Southern Limit in the Northern Hemisphere Explored Using Reciprocal Transplantation." *European Journal of Phycology* 57(3): 357–366. https://doi.org/10.1080/09670262.2021. 2007543.
- Lewis, E. R., and D. W. R. Wallace. 1998. Program Developed for CO<sub>2</sub> System Calculations. Carbon Dioxide Information Analysis Center, Managed by Lockheed Martin Energy Research Corporation for the U.S. Oak Ridge, TN: Department of Energy. https://doi.org/10.15485/1464255.
- Liu, H., Z. Mi, L. Lin, Y. Wang, Z. Zhang, F. Zhang, H. Wang, et al. 2018. "Shifting Plant Species Composition in Response to Climate Change Stabilizes Grassland Primary Production." Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 115(16): 4051–56. https://doi.org/10. 1073/pnas.1700299114.
- Longtin, C. M., and G. W. Saunders. 2016. "The Relative Contribution of Saccharina nigripes (Phaeophyceae) to the Bay of Fundy Laminariaceae: Spatial and Temporal Variability." *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 543: 153–162. https://doi.org/ 10.3354/meps11566.
- Lüning, K. 1993. "Environmental and Internal Control of Seasonal Growth in Seaweeds." In *Fourteenth International Seaweed Symposium, Developments in Hydrobiology*, Vol. 85, edited by A. R. O. Chapman, M. T. Brown, and M. Lahaye, 1–14. Dordrecht: Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-011-1998-6 1.
- Mabin, C. J. T., C. R. Johnson, and J. T. Wright. 2019. "Physiological Response to Temperature, Light, and Nitrates in the Giant Kelp *Macrocystis pyrifera* from Tasmania, Australia." *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 614: 1–19. https:// doi.org/10.3354/meps12900.
- Maschler, J., L. Bialic-Murphy, J. Wan, L. C. Andresen, C. M. Zohner, P. B. Reich, A. Lüscher, et al. 2022. "Links across Ecological Scales: Plant Biomass Responses to Elevated CO<sub>2</sub>." *Global Change Biology* 28(21): 6115–34. https://doi.org/10. 1111/gcb.16351.
- Mathis, J. T., S. R. Cooley, N. Lucey, S. Colt, J. Ekstrom, T. Hurst, C. Hauri, W. Evans, J. N. Cross, and R. A. Feely. 2015. "Ocean Acidification Risk Assessment for Alaska's Fishery Sector." *Progress in Oceanography* 136: 71–91. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. pocean.2014.07.001.
- McDevit, D. C., and G. W. Saunders. 2010. "A DNA Barcode Examination of the Laminariaceae (Phaeophyceae) in Canada Reveals Novel Biogeographical and Evolutionary Insights." *Phycologia* 49(3): 235–248. https://doi.org/10.2216/PH09-36.1.
- Mehrbach, C., C. H. Culberson, J. E. Hawley, and R. M. Pytkowicx. 1973. "Measurement of the Apparent Dissociation Constants of Carbonic Acid in Seawater at Atmospheric Pressure."

Limnology and Oceanography 18(6): 897–907. https://doi.org/ 10.4319/lo.1973.18.6.0897.

- Nejrup, L. B., P. A. Staehr, and M. S. Thomsen. 2013. "Temperature- and Light-Dependent Growth and Metabolism of the Invasive Red Algae *Gracilaria vermiculophylla* – A Comparison with Two Native Macroalgae." *European Journal* of *Phycology* 48(3): 295–308. https://doi.org/10.1080/09670262. 2013.830778.
- Olischläger, M., C. Iñiguez, F. J. L. Gordillo, and C. Wiencke. 2014. "Biochemical Composition of Temperate and Arctic Populations of Saccharina latissima after Exposure to Increased pCO<sub>2</sub> and Temperature Reveals Ecotypic Variation." *Planta* 240(6): 1213–24. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00425-014-2143-x.
- Paine, E. R., D. Britton, M. Schmid, E. A. Brewer, G. Diaz-Pulido, P. W. Boyd, and C. L. Hurd. 2023. "No Effect of Ocean Acidification on Growth, Photosynthesis, or Dissolved Organic Carbon Release by Three Temperate Seaweeds with Different Dissolved Inorganic Carbon Uptake Strategies." *ICES Journal* of Marine Science 80: 272–281. https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/ fsac221.
- Pennings, S. C., and V. J. Paul. 1992. "Effect of Plant Toughness, Calcification, and Chemistry on Herbivory by *Dolabella auricularia.*" *Ecology* 75(5): 1606–19. https://doi.org/10.2307/ 1940014.
- Phelps, C. M., M. C. Boyce, and M. J. Huggett. 2017. "Future Climate Change Scenarios Differentially Affect Three Abundant Algal Species in Southwestern Australia." *Marine Environmental Research* 126: 69–80. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. marenvres.2017.02.008.
- Pierrot, D., E. Lewis, and D. W. R. Wallace. 2006. MS Excel Program Developed for CO<sub>2</sub> System Calculations. ORNL/CDIAC-105.
  Oak Ridge, TN: Carbon Dioxide Information Analysis Center, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, US Department of Energy.
- Poorter, H. 1988. "Interspecific Variation in the Growth Response of Plants to an Elevated Ambient CO<sub>2</sub> Concentration." In CO<sub>2</sub> and Biosphere, Advances in Vegetation Science, Vol. 14, edited by J. Rozema, H. Lambers, S. C. van de Geijn, and M. L. Cambridge, 77–97. Dordrecht: Springer. https://doi.org/10. 1007/978-94-011-1797-5\_6.
- R Core Team. 2022. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Vienna: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. https://www.R-project.org/.
- Raven, J. A., and R. J. Geider. 1988. "Temperature and Algal Growth." *New Phytologist* 110(4): 441–461. https://doi.org/10. 1111/j.1469-8137.1988.tb00282.x.
- Raven, J. A., A. M. Johnston, J. E. Kübler, R. Korb, S. G. McInroy, L. L. Handley, C. M. Scrimgeour, et al. 2002. "Mechanistic Interpretation of Carbon Isotope Discrimination by Marine Macroalgae and Seagrasses." *Functional Plant Biology* 29(3): 355–378. https://doi.org/10.1071/pp01201.
- Rodríguez, A., S. Clemente, A. Brito, and J. C. Hernández. 2018. "Effects of Ocean Acidification on Algae Growth and Feeding Rates of Juvenile Sea Urchins." *Marine Environmental Research* 140: 382–89. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marenvres. 2018.07.004.
- Roleda, M. Y., and C. L. Hurd. 2019. "Seaweed Nutrient Physiology: Application of Concepts to Aquaculture and Bioremediation."

*Phycologia* 58(5): 552–562. https://doi.org/10.1080/00318884. 2019.1622920.

- Romero, O. E., L. J. LeVay, E. L. McClymont, J. Müller, and E. A. Cowan. 2022. "Orbital and Suborbital-Scale Variations of Productivity and Sea Surface Conditions in the Gulf of Alaska during the Past 54,000 Years: Impact of Iron Fertilization by Icebergs and Meltwater." *Paleoceanography and Paleoclimatology* 37: e2021PA004385. https://doi.org/10.1029/2021PA004385.
- Rosenblatt, A. E., and O. J. Schmitz. 2016. "Climate Change, Nutrition, and Bottom-Up and Top-Down Food Web Processes." *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 31(12): 965–975. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2016.09.009.
- Russell, B. D., and S. D. Connell. 2007. "Response of Grazers to Sudden Nutrient Pulses in Oligotrophic Versus Eutrophic Conditions." *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 349: 73–80. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps07097.
- Scheschonk, L., S. Becker, J.-H. Hehemann, N. Diehl, U. Karsten, and K. Bischof. 2019. "Arctic Kelp Eco-Physiology during the Polar Night in the Face of Global Warming: A Crucial Role for Laminarin." *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 611: 59–74. https:// doi.org/10.3354/meps12860.
- Schiel, D. R., and M. S. Foster. 2015. The Biology and Ecology of Giant Kelp Forests. Oakland, CA: University of California Press.
- Schlenger, A. J., R. Beas-Luna, and R. F. Ambrose. 2021. "Forecasting Ocean Acidification Impacts on Kelp Forest Ecosystems." *PLoS One* 16(4): e0236218. https://doi.org/10. 1371/journal.pone.0236218.
- Schmid, M., P. A. Fernández, J. D. Gaitán-Espitia, P. Virtue, P. P. Leal, A. T. Revill, P. D. Nichols, and C. L. Hurd. 2020. "Stress Due to Low Nitrate Availability Reduces the Biochemical Acclimation Potential of the Giant Kelp *Macrocystis pyrifera* to High Temperature." *Algal Research* 47: 101895. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.algal.2020.101895.
- Seibold, S., M. W. Cadotte, J. S. MacIvor, S. Thorn, and J. Müller. 2018. "The Necessity of Multitrophic Approaches in Community Ecology." *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 33(10): 754–764. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2018.07.001.
- Steinberg, P. D. 1985. "Feeding Preferences of *Tegula funebralis* and Chemical Defenses of Marine Brown Algae." *Ecological Monographs* 55(3): 333–349. https://doi.org/10.2307/1942581.
- Swanson, A. K., and C. H. Fox. 2007. "Altered Kelp (Laminariales) Phlorotannins and Growth under Elevated Carbon Dioxide and Ultraviolet-B Treatments Can Influence Associated Intertidal Food Webs." *Global Change Biology* 13(8): 1696–1709. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2007.01384.x.
- Sydeman, W. J., and S. J. Bograd. 2009. "Marine Ecosystems, Climate and Phenology: Introduction." *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 393: 185–88. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps08382.
- Takahashi, T., J. Olafsson, J. G. Goddard, D. W. Chipman, and S. C. Sutherland. 1993. "Seasonal Variation of CO<sub>2</sub> and Nutrients in the High-Latitude Surface Oceans: A Comparative Study." *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 7(4): 843–878. https://doi.org/10. 1029/93GB02263.
- Terrer, C., R. B. Jackson, I. C. Prentice, T. F. Keenan, C. Kaiser, S. Vicca, J. B. Fisher, et al. 2019. "Nitrogen and Phosphorus Constrain the CO<sub>2</sub> Fertilization of Global Plant Biomass." *Nature Climate Change* 9(9): 684–89. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41558-019-0545-2.

- Tian, R. C., A. F. Vézina, M. Starr, and F. Saucier. 2001. "Seasonal Dynamics of Coastal Ecosystems and Export Production at High Latitudes: A Modeling Study." *Limnology and Oceanography* 46(8): 1845–59. https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2001.46.8.1845.
- Ullah, H., I. Nagelkerken, S. U. Goldenberg, and D. A. Fordham. 2018. "Climate Change Could Drive Marine Food Web Collapse through Altered Trophic Flows and Cyanobacterial Proliferation." *PLoS Biology* 16(1): e2003446. https://doi.org/ 10.1371/journal.pbio.2003446.
- Umanzor, S., J. Sandoval-Gil, M. Sánchez-Barredo, L. B. Ladah, M.-M. Ramírez-García, and J. A. Zertuche-González. 2021. "Short-Term Stress Responses and Recovery of Giant Kelp (*Macrocystis pyrifera*, Laminariales, Phaeophyceae) Juvenile Sporophytes to a Simulated Marine Heatwave and Nitrate Scarcity." Journal of Phycology 57(5): 1604–18. https://doi.org/10.1111/jpy.13189.
- Wahl, M., F. J. Werner, B. Buchholz, S. Raddatz, A. Graiff, B. Matthiessen, U. Karsten, et al. 2020. "Season Affects Strength and Direction of the Interactive Impacts of Ocean Warming and Biotic Stress in a Coastal Seaweed Ecosystem." *Limnology and Oceanography* 65(4): 807–827. https://doi.org/ 10.1002/lno.11350.
- Walker, A. P., M. G. De Kauwe, A. Bastos, S. Belmecheri, K. Georgiou, R. F. Keeling, S. M. McMahon, et al. 2021. "Integrating the Evidence for a Terrestrial Carbon Sink Caused by Increasing Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>." *New Phytologist* 229(5): 2413–45. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.16866.
- Wheeler, W. N., and L. M. Srivastava. 1984. "Seasonal Nitrate Physiology of Macrocystis integrifolia Bory." Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 76(1): 35–50. https:// doi.org/10.1016/0022-0981(84)90015-7.
- Wiencke, C., I. Gómez, and K. Dunton. 2009. "Phenology and Seasonal Physiological Performance of Polar Seaweeds." *Botanica Marina* 52(6): 585–592. https://doi.org/10.1515/BOT. 2009.078.
- Winter, B. 2013. "Linear Models and Linear Mixed Effects Models in R with Linguistic Applications." arXiv 1308.5499. http:// arxiv.org/abs/1308.5499.
- Zhang, X., D. Xu, W. Han, Y. Wang, X. Fan, I. Loladze, G. Gao, Y. Zhang, S. Tong, and N. Ye. 2021. "Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> Affects Kelp Nutrient Quality: A Case Study of Saccharina japonica from CO<sub>2</sub>-Enriched Coastal Mesocosm Systems." Journal of Phycology 57(1): 379–391. https://doi.org/10.1111/jpy.13097.

#### SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information can be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.

**How to cite this article:** Bell, Lauren E., Lily Westphal, Evan O'Brien, Jason A. Toy, Haleigh Damron, and Kristy J. Kroeker. 2024. "Season Influences Interspecific Responses of Canopy-Forming Kelps to Future Warming and Acidification at High Latitude." *Ecosphere* 15(1): e4745. https://doi.org/10.1002/ecs2.4745