

1998

## Factorization for High-Energy Scattering

Ian Balitsky

Old Dominion University, [ibalitsk@odu.edu](mailto:ibalitsk@odu.edu)

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalcommons.odu.edu/physics\\_fac\\_pubs](https://digitalcommons.odu.edu/physics_fac_pubs)



Part of the [Quantum Physics Commons](#)

---

### Original Publication Citation

Balitsky, I. (1998). Factorization for high-energy scattering. *Physical Review Letters*, 81(10), 2024-2027.  
<https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.81.2024>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Physics at ODU Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Physics Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of ODU Digital Commons. For more information, please contact [digitalcommons@odu.edu](mailto:digitalcommons@odu.edu).

## Factorization for High-Energy Scattering

I. Balitsky

*Physics Department, Old Dominion University, Norfolk, Virginia 23529  
and Theory Group, Jefferson Lab, Newport News, Virginia 23606*

(Received 28 May 1998)

I demonstrate that the amplitude for the high-energy scattering can be factorized into a product of two independent functional integrals over “fast” and “slow” fields which interact by means of Wilson-line operators—gauge factors ordered along the straight lines. [S0031-9007(98)07033-1]

PACS numbers: 12.38.Bx, 11.10.Jj, 11.55.Jy

The starting point of almost every perturbative QCD calculation is a factorization formula of some sort. A classical example is the factorization of the structure functions of deep inelastic scattering into coefficient functions and parton densities. The form of factorization is dictated by process kinematics (for a review, see [1]). In case of deep inelastic scattering, there are two different scales of transverse momentum, and it is therefore natural to factorize the amplitude in the product of contributions of hard and soft parts coming from the regions of small and large transverse momenta, respectively. On the contrary, in the case of high-energy (Regge-type) processes, all the transverse momenta are of the same order of magnitude, but colliding particles strongly differ in rapidity. Consequently, it is natural to look for factorization in the rapidity space.

The basic result of the paper is that the high-energy scattering amplitude can be factorized in a convolution of contributions due to “fast” and “slow” fields. To be precise, we choose a certain rapidity  $\eta_0$  to be a “rapidity divide,” and we call fields with  $\eta > \eta_0$  fast and fields with  $\eta < \eta_0$  slow where  $\eta_0$  lies in the region between spectator rapidity and target rapidity. (The interpretation of these fields as fast and slow is literally true only in the rest frame of the target, but we will use this terminology for any frame.)

Our starting point is the operator expansion for high-energy scattering [2] where the explicit integration over fast fields gives the coefficient functions for the Wilson-line operators representing the integrals over slow fields. For a  $2 \Rightarrow 2$  particle scattering in Regge limit  $s \gg m^2$  [where  $m$  is a common mass scale for all other momenta in the problem  $t \sim p_A^2 \sim (p_A')^2 \sim p_B^2 \sim (p_B')^2 \sim m^2$ ] we have

$$A(p_A, p_B \Rightarrow p_A', p_B') = \sum \int d^2x_1 \cdots d^2x_n C^{i_1 \cdots i_n}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \langle p_B | \text{Tr}\{U_{i_1}(x_1) \cdots U_{i_n}(x_n)\} | p_B' \rangle. \quad (1)$$

[As usual,  $s = (p_A + p_B)^2$  and  $t = (p_A - p_A')^2$ .] Here  $x_i (i = 1, 2)$  are the transverse coordinates (orthogonal to both  $p_A$  and  $p_B$ ) and  $U_i(x) = U^\dagger(x) \frac{i}{g} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} U(x)$  where the Wilson-line operator  $U(x)$  is the gauge link ordered along the infinite straight line corresponding to the rapidity divide  $\eta_0$ . Both coefficient functions and matrix elements in Eq. (1) depend on the  $\eta_0$  but this dependence is canceled in the physical amplitude just as the scale  $\mu$  (separating coefficient functions and matrix elements) disappears from the final results for structure functions in case of usual factorization. Typically, we have the factors  $\sim (g^2 \ln s/m^2 - \eta_0)$  coming from the fast integral and the factors  $\sim g^2 \eta_0$  coming from the slow integral so they combine in a usual log factor  $g^2 \ln s/m^2$ . In the leading log approximation these factors sum up into the Balitsky-Fadin-Kuraev-Lipatov (BFKL) pomeron [3,4] (for a review see Ref. [5]). Note, however, that, unlike usual factorization, the coefficient functions and matrix elements enter the expansion (1) on equal footing. We could have integrated first over slow fields (having the rapidities close to that of  $p_B$ ) and the expansion would have the form

$$A(s, t) = \sum \int d^2x_1 \cdots d^2x_n D^{i_1 \cdots i_n}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \times \langle p_A | \text{Tr}\{U_{i_1}(x_1) \cdots U_{i_n}(x_n)\} | p_A' \rangle. \quad (2)$$

In this case, the coefficient functions  $D$  are the results of integration over slow fields, and the matrix elements of the  $U$  operators contain only the large rapidities  $\eta > \eta_0$ . The symmetry between Eqs. (1) and (2) calls for a factorization formula which would have this symmetry between slow and fast fields in explicit form.

Our goal is to demonstrate that one can combine the operator expansions (1) and (2) in the following way:

$$A(s, t) = \sum \frac{i^n}{n!} \int d^2x_1 \cdots d^2x_n \times \langle p_A | U^{a_1 i_1}(x_1) \cdots U^{a_n i_n}(x_n) | p_A' \rangle \times \langle p_B | U_{i_1}^{a_1}(x_1) \cdots U_{i_n}^{a_n}(x_n) | p_B' \rangle, \quad (3)$$

where  $U_i^a \equiv \text{Tr}(\lambda^a U_i)$  ( $\lambda^a$  are the Gell-Mann matrices). It is possible to rewrite this factorization formula in a more visual form if we agree that operators  $U$  act only on states  $B$  and  $B'$  and introduce the notation  $V_i$  for the same operator as  $U_i$  only acting on the  $A$  and  $A'$  states:

$$A(s, t) = \langle p_A | \langle p_B | \exp \left( i \int d^2x V^{ai}(x) U_i^a(x) \right) \times | p'_A \rangle | p'_B \rangle. \quad (4)$$

In a sense, this formula amounts to writing the coefficient functions in Eq. (1) [or Eq. (2)] as matrix elements of Wilson-line operators. (Such an idea was first discussed in Ref. [6].) Equation (4) is our main result, and the rest of the paper is devoted to the derivation of this formula and the discussion of its possible applications.

Let us now briefly remind ourselves how to obtain the operator expansion (1). For simplicity, consider the classical example of high-energy scattering of virtual photons with virtualities  $\sim -m^2$ .

$$A(s, t) = -i \langle 0 | T \{ j(p_A) j(p'_A) j(p_B) j(p'_B) \} | 0 \rangle, \quad (5)$$

where  $j(p)$  is the Fourier transform of electromagnetic current  $j_\mu(x)$  multiplied by some suitable polarization  $e^\mu(p)$ . At high energies it is convenient to use the Sudakov decomposition:  $p^\mu = \alpha_p p_1^\mu + \beta_p p_2^\mu + p_\perp^\mu$  where  $p_1^\mu$  and  $p_2^\mu$  are the lightlike vectors close to  $p_A$  and  $p_B$ , respectively ( $p_A^\mu = p_1^\mu - p_2^\mu p_A^2/s$ ,  $p_B^\mu = p_2^\mu - p_1^\mu p_B^2/s$ ). We want to integrate over the fields with  $\alpha > \sigma$  where  $\sigma$  is defined in such a way that the corresponding rapidity is  $\eta_0$ . (In explicit form  $\eta_0 = \ln \frac{\sigma}{\tilde{\sigma}}$  where  $\tilde{\sigma} \equiv \frac{m^2}{s\sigma}$ .) The result of the integration will be given by Green functions of the fast particles in slow “external” fields [2] (see also Ref. [7]). Since the fast particle moves along a straight-line classical trajectory, the propagator is proportional to the straight-line ordered gauge factor  $U$ . For example, when  $x_+ > 0$ ,  $y_+ < 0$  it has the form [2]

$$\int d^4x d^4z e^{ip_A x + iq z} \langle T \{ j(x+z) j(z) \} \rangle_A \approx \int \frac{d^2 p_\perp}{4\pi^2} I(p_\perp, q_\perp) \text{Tr} \{ U(p_\perp) U^\dagger(q_\perp - p_\perp) \}, \quad (9)$$

where  $U(p_\perp)$  is the Fourier transform of  $U(x_\perp)$  and  $I(p_\perp, q_\perp)$  is the so-called “impact factor” which is a function of  $p_\perp^2$ ,  $p_\perp \cdot q_\perp$ , and photon virtuality [2,8]. Thus, we have reproduced the leading term in the expansion (1). [To recognize it, note that  $U(x_\perp) U^\dagger(y_\perp) = P \exp \{ -ig \times \int_y^x dz_i U_i(z_\perp) \}$  where the precise form of the path between points  $x_\perp$  and  $y_\perp$  does not matter since this is actually a formula for the gauge link in a pure gauge field  $U_i(z_\perp)$ ].

Note that formally we have obtained the operators  $U$  ordered along the lightlike lines. Matrix elements of such operators contain divergent longitudinal integrations which reflect the fact that lightlike gauge factor corresponds to a quark moving with the speed of light (i.e., with infinite energy). As demonstrated in [2], we may regularize this divergence by changing the slope of the supporting line: if we wish the longitudinal integration stop at  $\eta = \eta_0$ , we should order our gauge factors  $U$  along a line parallel to  $n = \sigma p_1 + \tilde{\sigma} p_2$ . Then the coefficient functions in front of Wilson-line operators will contain logarithms  $\sim g^2 \ln 1/\sigma$ . For example, there are

$$i \int dz \delta(z_*) \frac{(\not{x} - \not{z}) \not{p}_2}{2\pi^2(x-z)^4} U(z_\perp) \frac{\not{z} - \not{y}}{2\pi^2(z-y)^4}. \quad (6)$$

We use the notations  $z_\bullet \equiv z_\mu p_1^\mu$  and  $z_* \equiv z_\mu p_2^\mu$  which are essentially identical to the light-front coordinates  $z_+ = z_*/\sqrt{s}$ ,  $z_- = z_\bullet/\sqrt{s}$ . The Wilson-line operator  $U$  is defined as

$$U(x_\perp) = [\infty p_1 + x_\perp, -\infty p_1 + x_\perp], \quad (7)$$

where  $[x, y]$  is the straight-line ordered gauge link suspended between the points  $x$  and  $y$ :

$$[x, y] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} P \exp \left\{ ig \int_0^1 du (x-y)^\mu A_\mu [ux + (1-u)y] \right\}. \quad (8)$$

The origin of Eq. (6) is more clear in the rest frame of the “A” photon. Then the quark is slow and the external fields are approaching this quark at high speed. Because of the Lorentz contraction, these fields are squeezed in a shock wave located at  $z_* = 0$ . Therefore, the propagator (6) of the quark in this shock-wave background is a product of three factors which reflect (i) free propagation from  $x$  to the shock wave, (ii) instantaneous interaction with the shock wave which is described by the operator  $U(z_\perp)$ , and (iii) free propagation from the point of interaction  $z$  to the final destination  $y$ .

The propagation of the quark-antiquark pair in the shock-wave background is described by the product of two propagators of Eq. (6) type which contain two Wilson-line factors  $U(z) U^\dagger(z')$  where  $z'$  is the point where the antiquark crosses the shock wave. If we substitute this quark-antiquark propagator in the original expression for the amplitude (5) we obtain [2]

corrections of such type to the impact factor  $I(p, q)$ , and if we sum them, the impact factor will be replaced by  $\sum (g^2 \ln 1/\sigma)^n \mathcal{K}^n I(p, q)$  where  $\mathcal{K}$  is the BFKL kernel.

In order to understand how this expansion can be generated by the factorization formula of Eq. (3) type we have to rederive the operator expansion in axial gauge  $A_\bullet = 0$  with an additional condition  $A_*|_{x_*=-\infty} = 0$  (the existence of such a gauge was illustrated in [9] by an explicit construction). It is important to note that with power accuracy (up to corrections  $\sim \sigma$ ) our gauge condition may be replaced by  $e^\mu A_\mu = 0$ . In this gauge the coefficient functions are given by Feynman diagrams in the external field

$$B_i(x) = U_i(x_\perp) \Theta(x_*), \quad B_\bullet = B_* = 0, \quad (10)$$

which is a gauge rotation of our shock wave [it is easy to see that the only nonzero component of the field strength tensor  $F_{\bullet i}(x) \approx U_i(x_\perp) \delta(x_*)$  corresponds to shock wave]. The Green functions in external field (10) can be obtained from a generating functional with a source responsible for

this external field. Normally, the source for given external field  $\bar{\mathcal{A}}_\mu$  is just  $J_\nu = \bar{D}^\mu \bar{F}_{\mu\nu}$  so in our case the only nonvanishing contribution is  $J_*(B) = \bar{D}^i \bar{F}_{i*}$ . However, we have a problem because the field which we try to create by this source does not decrease at infinity. To illustrate the problem, suppose that we use another lightlike gauge  $\mathcal{A}_* = 0$  for a calculation of the propagators in the external field (10). In this case, the only would-be nonzero contribution to the source term in the functional integral  $\bar{D}^i \bar{F}_{i*} \mathcal{A}_*$  vanishes, and it looks like we do not need a source at all to generate the field  $B_\mu$ . (This is, of course, wrong since  $B_\mu$  is not the classical solution.) What it really means is that the source in this case lies entirely at the infinity. Indeed, when we are trying to make an external field  $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$  in the functional integral by the source  $J_\mu$  we need to make a shift  $\mathcal{A}_\mu \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\mu + \bar{\mathcal{A}}_\mu$  in the functional integral

$$\int \mathcal{D}\mathcal{A} \exp\left\{iS(\mathcal{A}) - i \int d^4x J_\mu^a(x) \mathcal{A}^{a\mu}(x)\right\}, \quad (11)$$

after which the linear term  $\bar{D}^\mu \bar{F}_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{A}^\nu$  cancels with our source term  $J_\mu \mathcal{A}^\mu$  and the terms quadratic in  $\mathcal{A}$  make the Green functions in the external field  $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$ . [Note that the classical action  $S(\bar{\mathcal{A}})$  for our external field  $\bar{\mathcal{A}} = B$  (10) vanishes.] However, in order to reduce the linear term  $\int d^4x \bar{F}^{\mu\nu} \bar{D}_\mu \mathcal{A}_\nu$  in the functional integral to the form  $\int d^4x \bar{D}^\mu \bar{F}_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{A}^\nu(x)$  we need to make an integration by parts, and if the external field does not decrease there will be additional surface terms at infinity. In our case we are trying to make the external field  $\bar{\mathcal{A}} = B$  so the linear term which needs to be canceled by the source is

$$\frac{2}{s} \int dx_* dx_\perp d^2x_\perp \bar{F}_{i*} \bar{D}_* \mathcal{A}^i = \int dx_* d^2x_\perp \times \bar{F}_{i*} \mathcal{A}^i \Big|_{x_*=-\infty}^{x_*=\infty}.$$

It comes entirely from the boundaries of integration. If we recall that in our case  $\bar{F}_{*i}(x) \simeq U_i(x_\perp) \delta(x_*)$  we can finally rewrite the linear term as

$$\int d^2x_\perp U_i(x_\perp) \{\mathcal{A}^i(-\infty p_2 + x_\perp) - \mathcal{A}^i(\infty p_2 + x_\perp)\}. \quad (12)$$

The source term which we must add to the exponent in the functional integral to cancel the linear term after the shift is given by Eq. (12) with the minus sign. Thus, Feynman diagrams in the external field (10) in the lightlike gauge  $\mathcal{A}_* = 0$  are generated by the functional integral

$$\int \mathcal{D}\mathcal{A} \mathcal{D}\bar{\Psi} \mathcal{D}\Psi e^{iS(\mathcal{A}, \Psi)} j(p_A) j(p'_A) j(p_B) j(p'_B) = \int \mathcal{D}\mathcal{A} \mathcal{D}\bar{\psi} \mathcal{D}\psi e^{iS(\mathcal{A}, \psi)} j(p_A) j(p'_A) \int \mathcal{D}B \mathcal{D}\bar{\chi} \mathcal{D}\chi j(p_B) j(p'_B) \times e^{iS(\mathcal{B}, \chi)} \exp\left\{i \int d^2x_\perp U^{ai}(x_\perp) V_i^a(x_\perp)\right\}. \quad (17)$$

The operator  $U_i$  in an arbitrary gauge is given by the same formula (16) as operator  $V_i$  with the only difference that the

$$\int \mathcal{D}\mathcal{A} \exp\left\{iS(\mathcal{A}) + i \int d^2x_\perp U^{ai}(x_\perp) \times [\mathcal{A}_i^a(\infty p_2 + x_\perp) - \mathcal{A}^{ai}(-\infty p_2 + x_\perp)]\right\}. \quad (13)$$

In an arbitrary gauge the source term in the exponent in Eq. (13) can be rewritten in the form

$$2i \int d^2x_\perp \text{Tr} \left\{ U^i(x_\perp) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv [-\infty p_2 + x_\perp, v p_2 + x_\perp] \times F_{*i}(v p_2 + x_\perp) \times [v p_2 + x_\perp, -\infty p_2 + x_\perp] \right\}. \quad (14)$$

Thus, we have found the generating functional for our Feynman diagrams in the external field (11). However, it is easy to see (by inspection of the first rung of the BFKL ladder diagram) that the longitudinal integrals over  $\alpha$  in these diagrams will be unrestricted from below while we need the restriction  $\alpha > \sigma$ . Fortunately, we already faced that problem on the other side—in matrix elements of operators  $U$ —and we have solved it by changing the slope of the supporting line. Similar to the case of matrix elements, it can be demonstrated that if we want the logarithmical integrations over large  $\alpha$  to stop at  $\alpha = \sigma$ , we need to order the gauge factors in Eq. (14) along the same vector  $n = \sigma p_1 + \bar{\sigma} p_2$ ; cf. Eq. (2). Therefore, the final form of the generating functional for the Feynman diagrams (with  $\alpha > \sigma$  cutoff) in the external field (11) is

$$\int \mathcal{D}\mathcal{A} \mathcal{D}\bar{\Psi} \mathcal{D}\Psi e^{iS(\mathcal{A}, \Psi) + i \int d^2x_\perp U^{ai}(x_\perp) V_i^a(x_\perp)}, \quad (15)$$

where

$$V_i(x_\perp) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv [-\infty n + x_\perp, v n + x_\perp] \times n^\mu F_{\mu i}(v n + x_\perp) [v n + x_\perp, -\infty n + x_\perp] \quad (16)$$

and  $V_i^a \equiv \text{Tr}(\lambda^a V_i)$  as usual. For completeness, we have added integration over quark fields so  $S(\mathcal{A}, \Psi)$  is the full QCD action.

Now we can assemble the different parts of the factorization formula (4). We have written down the generating functional integral for the diagrams with  $\alpha > \sigma$  in the external fields with  $\alpha < \sigma$  and what remains now is to write down the integral over these external fields. Since this integral is completely independent of (15) we will use a different notation  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\chi$  for the  $\alpha < \sigma$  fields. We have

gauge links and  $F_{\bullet i}$  are constructed from the fields  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$ . This is our main result (4) in the functional integral representation.

The functional integrals over  $\mathcal{A}$  fields give logarithms of the type  $g^2 \ln 1/\sigma$  while the integrals over slow  $\mathcal{B}$  fields give powers of  $g^2 \ln(\sigma s/m^2)$ . With logarithmic accuracy, they add up to  $g^2 \ln s/m^2$ . However, there will be additional terms  $\sim g^2$  due to mismatch coming from the region of integration near the dividing point  $\alpha \sim \sigma$  where the details of the cutoff in the matrix elements

of the operators  $U$  and  $V$  become important. Therefore, one should expect the corrections of order of  $g^2$  to the effective action  $\int dx_\perp U^i V_i$ .

In conclusion, let us discuss possible uses of this approach. First thing which comes to mind is to use the factorization formula for the analysis of high-energy effective action. Consider another rapidity  $\eta'_0$  in the region between  $\eta_0$  and  $\ln m^2/s$ . If we use the factorization formula (17) once more, this time dividing between the rapidities greater and smaller than  $\eta'_0$ , we get

$$\int \mathcal{D}A e^{iS(\mathcal{A})} j(p_A) j(p'_A) j(p_B) j(p'_B) = \int \mathcal{D}\mathcal{A} e^{iS(\mathcal{A})} j(p_A) j(p'_A) \int \mathcal{D}\mathcal{B} e^{iS(\mathcal{B})} j(p_B) j(p'_B) e^{iS_{\text{eff}}(V_i, Y_i; \sigma/\sigma')}, \quad (18)$$

where the effective action for the rapidity interval between  $\eta$  and  $\eta'$  is defined as

$$e^{iS_{\text{eff}}(V_i, Y_i; \sigma/\sigma')} = \int \mathcal{D}C e^{iS(C)} e^{i \int d^2x_\perp V^{ai}(x_\perp) U_i^a(x_\perp) + i \int d^2x_\perp W^{ai}(x_\perp) Y_i^a(x_\perp)}. \quad (19)$$

(For brevity, we do not display the quark fields.) In this formula the operators  $U_i$  are constructed from  $C$  fields while the operators  $W_i$  (made from  $C$  fields) and  $Y_i$  (made from  $\mathcal{B}$  fields) are given by the same Eq. (16) with gauge links aligned along the direction  $n' = \sigma' p_1 + \bar{\sigma}' p_2$  corresponding to the rapidity  $\eta'$  (as usual,  $\ln \sigma'/\bar{\sigma}' = \eta'$  where  $\bar{\sigma}' = m^2/s\sigma'$ ).

The formula (19) gives a rigorous definition of the effective action for a given interval in rapidity (cf. Ref. [5]). The next step would be to perform the integrations over the longitudinal momenta in the right-hand side of Eq. (19) and obtain the answer for the integration over our rapidity region (from  $\eta$  to  $\eta'$ ) in terms of two-dimensional theory in the transverse coordinate space which hopefully would give us the unitarization of the BFKL pomeron. At present, it is not known how to do this. For illustration, let us present a couple of first terms in the effective action [10,11]:

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\text{eff}} = & \int d^2x V_i^a(x) Y^{ai}(x) - \frac{3g^2}{64\pi^3} \ln \frac{\sigma}{\sigma'} \left[ \int d^2x d^2y V_{i,i}^a(x) \ln^2(x-y)^2 Y_{j,j}^a(y) + \frac{f_{abc} f_{mnc}}{12\pi^2} \int d^2x' d^2y' \right. \\ & \times V_{i,i}^a(x) V_{j,j}^m(y) Y_{k,k}^b(x') Y_{l,l}^n(y') \ln \frac{(x-z)^2}{(x-x')^2} \ln \frac{(y-z)^2}{(y-y')^2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \right)^2 \\ & \left. \times \ln \frac{(x'-z)^2}{(x-x')^2} \ln \frac{(y'-z)^2}{(y-y')^2} \right] + \dots, \end{aligned}$$

where we use the notation  $V_{i,j}^a(x) \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} V_i^a(x)$ , etc. The first term here looks like the corresponding term in the factorization formula (17)—only the directions of the supporting lines are now strongly different. The second term is the first-order expression for the Reggeization of the gluon [4], and the third term is the two-Reggeon Lipatov's Hamiltonian [12] responsible for BFKL logarithms.

This approach can also be used for the study of high-energy heavy-ion collisions since it is well suited for the study of the interaction of two colliding shock waves. Indeed, for heavy-ion collisions the coupling constant may be relatively small due to high density (see [13]). On the other hand, the fields produced by colliding ions are large so that the product  $gA$  is not small—which means that the Wilson-line gauge factors  $V$  and  $Y$  are of order of 1. In this case we need to know not only a couple of the first few terms in the expansion of the effective action, but the whole series.

The author is grateful to L.N. Lipatov and A.V. Radyushkin for valuable discussions. This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC05-84ER40150.

- [1] J. C. Collins, D. R. Soper, and G. Sterman, in *Perturbative QCD*, edited by A. H. Mueller (World Scientific, Singapore, 1989).
- [2] I. Balitsky, Nucl. Phys. **B463**, 99 (1996).
- [3] I. I. Balitsky and L. N. Lipatov, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **28**, 822 (1978).
- [4] V. S. Fadin, E. A. Kuraev, and L. N. Lipatov, Phys. Lett. **60B**, 50 (1975).
- [5] L. N. Lipatov, Phys. Rep. **286**, 131 (1997).
- [6] J. C. Collins and R. K. Ellis, Nucl. Phys. **B360**, 3 (1991).
- [7] L. McLerran and R. Venugopalan, Phys. Rev. D **50**, 2225 (1994); A. Ayala, J. Jalilian-Marian, L. McLerran, and R. Venugopalan, Phys. Rev. D **52**, 2935 (1995).
- [8] I. I. Balitsky and L. N. Lipatov, JETP Lett. **30**, 355 (1979).
- [9] I. I. Balitsky, Nucl. Phys. **B254**, 166 (1985).
- [10] H. Verlinde and E. Verlinde, Report No. PUPT-1319 [e-print archive: hep-th/9302104].
- [11] R. Kirschner, L. N. Lipatov, and L. Szymanowski, Nucl. Phys. **B425**, 579 (1994); L. N. Lipatov, Nucl. Phys. **B452**, 369 (1996).
- [12] L. N. Lipatov, Sov. Phys. JETP **63**, 904 (1986).
- [13] L. McLerran and R. Venugopalan, Phys. Rev. D **49**, 2233 (1994); **49**, 3352 (1994).