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Central Equatorial Pacific Cooling During the Last Glacial Maximum
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Abstract Establishing tropical sea surface temperature (SST) during the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) is important for constraining equilibrium climate sensitivity to radiative forcing. Until now, there has been little data from the central equatorial Pacific in global compilations, with foraminiferal assemblage-based estimates suggesting the region was within 1°C of modern temperatures during the LGM. This is in stark contrast to multi-proxy evidence from the eastern and western Pacific and model simulations which support larger cooling. Here we present the first estimates of glacial SST in the central equatorial Pacific from Mg/Ca in Globigerinoides ruber. Our results show that the central Pacific cooled by about 2.0°C during the LGM, in contrast with previous global compilations but in agreement with models. Our data support a larger magnitude of tropical LGM cooling, and thus a larger equilibrium climate sensitivity, than previous studies which relied on foraminiferal assemblages in the central tropical Pacific.

Plain Language Summary Reconstructing how tropical Pacific climate changed during periods of variable atmospheric CO2 levels may improve our understanding of how this region will respond to anthropogenic forcing. The Last Glacial Maximum, (LGM, 19–23,000 years before present), is the most recent time in earth history when atmospheric CO2 was significantly different than pre-Industrial values. Proxy-based reconstructions of LGM sea surface temperatures (SSTs) are often used as a point of comparison with output from climate models. These models indicate ~2°C cooling in the central equatorial Pacific during the LGM, in contrast with earlier microfossil-based estimates which suggest very little LGM cooling. Here, we use the chemistry of unicellular protists called foraminifera to estimate SSTs in the central equatorial Pacific. Our data show that the central equatorial Pacific cooled by about 2°C during the LGM, in agreement with models and supporting the notion that this region may be more sensitive to CO2 change than previously suggested.

1. Introduction

The tropical Pacific has been shown to be a dominant influence on global climate, from interannual to glacial-interglacial timescales; however, much uncertainty surrounds the evolution of the tropical Pacific Ocean-atmosphere system in response to varying atmospheric CO2 levels. The Last Glacial Maximum (LGM, 19–23 ka) serves as an important interval for studying equilibrium climate sensitivity since the forcing is both large and fairly well-constrained, and multiple proxies exist to estimate the temperature response. LGM tropical sea surface temperature (SST), in particular, may be more significantly correlated with climate sensitivity than mean global temperature, given the lessened impact of high latitude forcings on tropical records (Hargreaves et al., 2012; Hopcroft & Valdes, 2015; Schmidt et al., 2014). Thus, the magnitude of tropical cooling during the LGM from proxy-based reconstructions is an important constraint on equilibrium climate sensitivity estimates (Hargreaves et al., 2012; Lea, 2004).

At present, both the magnitude and spatial pattern of tropical Pacific SST changes during the LGM remain uncertain. The Climate Long-Range Investigation Mapping and Prediction (CLIMAP) project's synthesis of glacial SST showed little to no SST change in the glacial central tropical Pacific, based largely on foraminiferal assemblages (CLIMAP Project Members, 1976). More recently, the Multiproxy Approach for the Reconstruction of the Glacial Ocean Surface (MARGO) project incorporated geochemical SST proxies, yet reiterated CLIMAP’s finding of little to no SST change in the central tropical Pacific (Waelbroeck et al., 2009).
However, it should be noted that there were very few geochemical measurements in the MARGO compilation from the central tropical Pacific and the MARGO project had to rely on foraminiferal assemblage data, much of it generated for the early CLIMAP study. In contrast, geochemical records from the eastern and western equatorial Pacific from Mg/Ca (Benway et al., 2006; Bolliet et al., 2011; Dang et al., 2020; de Garidel-Thoron et al., 2005, 2007; Hertzberg et al., 2016; Holstein et al., 2018; Koutavas & Joanides, 2012; Koutavas et al., 2002; Lea et al., 2000, 2006; Leduc et al., 2007; Rosenthal et al., 2003; Sagawa et al., 2012; Steinke et al., 2006; Stott et al., 2002, 2007), alkenones (Kienast et al., 2001; Koutavas & Sachs, 2008; Leduc et al., 2007), TEX$_{86}$ (Hertzberg et al., 2016), and clumped isotopes (Tripati et al., 2014) indicate 1°C–4°C cooling. Several proxy-based analyses indicate that the magnitude of overall tropical ocean cooling was likely 2.0°C–3.0°C (Ballantyne et al., 2005; Crowley, 2000), much larger than the moderate cooling suggested by CLIMAP and MARGO. Most recently, a multi-proxy data assimilation study showed 3.5°C of global tropical ocean cooling (Tierney et al., 2020). Terrestrial proxy records are also incompatible with CLIMAP/MARGO SSTs, as depressed tropical snowlines indicate ~4°C–6°C cooling (Rind & Peteet, 1985; Webster & Streten, 1978). Given that lower atmospheric CO$_2$ should cause cooling, it remains difficult to explain why central tropical Pacific SSTs would be similar to modern during the LGM. Global climate model simulations driven with LGM boundary conditions suggests 2.0°C–2.5°C cooling in the central tropical Pacific and suggest a similar degree of cooling in the central tropical Pacific as in the eastern and western parts of the basin (Brady et al., 2013; DiNezio et al., 2011; Otto-Bliesner et al., 2009).

Here, we present the first estimates of central equatorial Pacific SSTs during the LGM using the Mg/Ca ratio of the surface-dwelling foraminifera *Globigerinoides ruber* from a meridional transect of sediment cores from the Line Islands. We also combine our data with existing tropical *G. ruber* Mg/Ca data to present a more complete view of tropical SST changes during the LGM.

### 2. Materials and Methods

#### 2.1. Line Islands Sediment Cores and Age Models

The cores used in this study (Figure 1) were collected along the Line Islands Ridge, a NW-SE trending bathymetric rise in the central equatorial Pacific (Table 1), west of the Eastern Pacific Cold Tongue. Seasonal temperature and salinity variability at the Line Islands is low: 0.7°C–1.0°C and 0.2–0.5 PSU, respectively, increasing from the equator to 7°N (Schmidtko et al., 2013). Locations south of 2°N (~27°C) are supplied with cooler water from the subsurface by equatorial upwelling, as well as from the Eastern Pacific via the South Equatorial Current. Warmer surface waters (~28°C) north of 2° latitude are supplied from the Western Pacific via the North Equatorial Countercurrent.

The cores presented in this study span from 0.22°S to 7.04°N, 155.96 to 161.63°W, and 2,371–3,597 m water depth. Sedimentation rates range from ~1.7 to 3.5 cm/kyr, decreasing with distance from the equator (Lynch-Stieglitz et al., 2015). Radiocarbon measurements were made on samples of the planktic foraminifera *G. ruber or Trilobatus sacculifer* (350–500 µm size fraction) at the National Ocean Sciences Accelerator Mass Spectrometry facility at Woods Hole. Radiocarbon ages (Table S1) were converted to calendar ages using CALIB 7.1 and the Marine13 calibration curve, with the standard marine reservoir correction ($R = 400$ years) (Reimer et al., 2013). Age models for each core were constructed by linearly interpolating between radiocarbon measurements. Due to low-sedimentation rates above 2°N and recent carbonate dissolution, Late Holocene (0–4 ka) core-tops were available only for the southern portion of our transect. The age models were used to establish the

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Atlantic</th>
<th>Indian</th>
<th>Pacific</th>
<th>Global</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MARGO</td>
<td>−2.9 ± 1.3</td>
<td>−1.4 ± 0.7</td>
<td>−1.2 ± 1.1</td>
<td>−1.7 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This study</td>
<td>−2.9 ± 0.4</td>
<td>−2.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>−2.5 ± 0.1</td>
<td>−2.6 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Figure 1.** Bathymetric map (Amante & Eakins, 2009) of Line Islands core sites presented in this study (white markers).
depth ranges for Late Holocene (0–4 ka), Mid-Holocene (4–8 ka), and LGM (19–23 ka) time slices. Samples from depths within the above time slices were analyzed for Mg/Ca. Cores 27BB, 34BB and 36BB feature age reversals in the upper 8 cm; data above the age reversal are not used.

2.2. Analytical Methods for Line Islands Sediment Cores

*G. ruber* δ¹⁸O data for all but one of the sediment core sites were published and discussed previously (Lynch-Stieglitz et al., 2015). We generated data for sediment core ML1208-32BB and the multicore tops as part of this study. δ¹⁸O measurements were conducted on a Thermo MAT253 Stable Isotope Mass Spectrometer coupled to a Kiel IV Carbonate Device at Georgia Tech. 15 *G. ruber* individuals were analyzed from the 250–355 μm size fraction. δ¹⁸O measurements were converted to PDB using an in-house standard and NBS-19. Reproducibility of the in-house standard was ±0.045‰ for δ¹⁸O and ±0.014‰ for δ¹³C (1 sigma).

For Mg/Ca measurements, ~60 individual *G. ruber* were selected from the 250–355 μm size fraction, gently crushed, homogenized, and split into two aliquots for replicate measurements, except where noted (Table S2). *G. ruber* is a symbiont-bearing foraminifera which confines its calcification depth to the upper ~50 (e.g., Schiebel & Hemleben, 2005) or 100 m (Rippert et al., 2016). *G. ruber* (sensu stricto) was picked whenever possible, though some sensu lato were necessary for sufficient sample masses. Oxygen isotopic measurements have shown no offset between morphotypes at the Line Islands, suggesting similar calcification depths (Lynch-Stieglitz et al., 2015). Mg/Ca samples were cleaned using both reductive and oxidative steps (Boyle & Rosenthal, 1996). The majority of measurements were done at University of Colorado, Boulder on a Thermo Element 2 ICP-MS. As indicated in the data supplement, some samples were cleaned and analyzed at Old Dominion University, with two cores (28BB and 37BB) cleaned and analyzed at Texas A&M University. Cleaning methods were consistent between labs and replicate measurements were used as inter-laboratory comparison (see supporting information Text S1; Figure S1) and show no systematic inter-laboratory offset. Internal standards at CU Boulder are validated against powdered community standards BAM RS3, ECRM 752-1, and CMSI 1767 (Greaves et al., 2008). Al/Ca, Mn/Ca and Fe/Ca ratios were monitored for possible contamination and anomalously high values (>100 μmol/mol) were discarded (*n* = 1). Average reproducibility based on 94 replicate measurements was ±0.22 mmol/mol.

Mg/Ca measurements are converted to SST using the Δ[CO₂⁻]⁻corrected calibration of Dekens et al. (2002), which gives the best match to climatology (see supporting information Text S2, Figure S2, Table S3). We used modern bottom water [CO₂⁻] values computed using World Ocean Circulation Experiment (WOCE) P16 N measurements and CO2SysV2.1 for Excel. Sensitivity tests were performed using estimates of LGM Pacific Δ[CO₂⁻] changes, though these are generally believed to be small in magnitude (See supporting information Text S3, Figure S3). Late Holocene SSTs agree with climatological mean annual SSTs (Schmidtko et al., 2013) within the calibration error (Figure 2). It should be noted, however, that *G. ruber* Mg/Ca can also influenced by salinity (e.g., Lea et al., 1999; Nürnberg et al., 1996; Russell et al., 2004), carbonate chemistry conditions during calcification, expressed as either pH or [CO₃²⁻] (e.g., Evans et al., 2016; Gray et al., 2018). It has also recently been shown that the canonical ~9% sensitivity of Mg/Ca to temperature may overestimate the pure thermal component (Gray & Evans, 2019). Several multivariate calibrations have been published (Gray & Evans, 2019; Khider et al., 2015; Saenger & Evans, 2019; Tierney et al., 2019). The implications of these other Mg/Ca calibrations are discussed in the supporting information, but do not change the main conclusions of this work (supporting information Text S4, Figure S4).

2.3. Global *G. ruber* Mg/Ca Compilation

We compiled available LGM Mg/Ca data for *G. ruber* (white) from the literature (Table S5). We only compile data from *G. ruber*, as *T. sacculifer*, the other ubiquitous tropical surface-dwelling foraminifera species, has been shown to add significant amounts of gametogenic calcite at depth (Spero & Lea, 1993; Wycech...
et al., 2018), which may complicate the interpretation of *T. sacculifer* Mg/Ca as a purely SST signal. Cores located between 15°N and 15°S with radiocarbon dated LGM sections and *G. ruber* (212–355 μm) Mg/Ca data were selected. *G. ruber* (sensu stricto) data were used where available and noted, however some studies did not distinguish which morphotype was used. *G. ruber* (pink) data from the Atlantic was not included except one study which used *G. ruber* pink occasionally to increase sample size (Lea et al., 2003). Mg/Ca values between 0 and 4 ka (Late Holocene) and 19–23 ka (LGM) were averaged, as determined by the age models in the original publications. Raw Mg/Ca values were first corrected to account for differing cleaning methods, with a 10% correction applied to cores that omit the reductive cleaning step, as it has been shown that the reductive step reduces Mg/Ca ratios by ~10% (Barker et al., 2003). Average Mg/Ca ratios were converted to SST using the Dekens et al. (2002) Δ[CO$_2$] calibration using modern bottom water [CO$_2$] for all Late Holocene data and the LGM data in the Pacific Ocean. In the Atlantic, LGM Δ[CO$_2$] values were adjusted by +19 μmol/kg above 2.8 km water depth and -21 μmol/kg below this depth (supporting information Text S5). The magnitude of LGM cooling is calculated by taking the difference between Late Holocene and LGM Mg/Ca SSTs. Where Late Holocene samples are not available, the magnitude of LGM cooling is reported relative to the modern climatology. Mg/Ca temperature estimates for the global compilation are adjusted by +0.6°C, the mean offset between modern climatological mean annual SST and the Late Holocene Mg/Ca SST found in the global data set (supporting information Text S5). This prevents the overestimation of the magnitude of LGM cooling for the core sites where cooling is reported relative to the modern climatological SST. The magnitude of cooling for locations with Late Holocene Mg/Ca are independent of the application of this offset.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. LGM Temperature in the Central Equatorial Pacific

For the core sites that have Late Holocene aged sediments at the core top, Late Holocene Line Islands SSTs are 26.6°C–27.1°C, compared to climatological SSTs of 27.2°C–27.3°C (Figure 2). The Mid-Holocene (4–8 ka) is the most recent time interval for which data is available at all latitudes along our Line Islands Ridge transect. While there are only three locations for which Late Holocene data are available, there is no indication of significant changes in temperature between the Mid and Late Holocene (Figure 2). While all cores show moderately cooler temperature estimates for the Late and Mid-Holocene relative to the climatological
data (Schmidtko et al., 2013), the offset (-0.6°C) is the same as was found between the Late Holocene and climatological SST in our global tropical data set. The lack of significant change between the Late and Mid Holocene is broadly consistent with PMIP2 and PMIP3 6 ka simulations that show only modest SST changes (0.2°C–0.4°C cooling) near the Line Islands (An & Choi, 2014).

Line Islands glacial Mg/Ca SST estimates range from 24.2°C to 26.4°C, indicating cooling at all core sites, between 1.4°C and 2.8°C (mean = 2.0°C) relative to the Late Holocene. Cores influenced by equatorial upwelling (~0.22 to 1.27°N) show 2.0°C ± 0.2°C (1 sigma standard error) of LGM cooling, as compared to more northerly cores influenced by the North Equatorial Counter Current which show 1.9°C ± 0.1°C. The glacial-interglacial difference in temperature is reported with the standard error, which approximates our ability to state the mean value in glacial-interglacial Mg/Ca temperature difference using our chosen calibration at this location. The error on the individual SST estimates can be approximated using the 1.2°C calibration error from Dekens et al. (2002). The true glacial-interglacial temperature difference in this region may differ due to systematic errors in the proxy and calibration which are difficult to quantify without the consideration of multiple proxies (e.g., Waelbroeck et al., 2009).

Our results do not agree with CLIMAP and MARGO, which suggest little to no SST change near the Line Islands and throughout most of the central Pacific. In contrast, our data are consistent with both model simulations that show ~2.0°C–2.5°C cooling near the Line Islands (Brady et al., 2013; DiNezio et al., 2011) as well as proxy records from the eastern and western equatorial Pacific (Benway et al., 2006; Bolliet et al., 2011; Dang et al., 2020; de Garidel-Thoron et al., 2007, 2005; Hertzberg et al., 2016; Hollstein et al., 2018; Koutavas & Joanides, 2012; Lea et al., 2000, 2006; Lea et al., 2007; Rosenthal et al., 2003; Sagawa et al., 2012; Steinke et al., 2006; Stott et al., 2007, 2002; Xu et al., 2010). The recent LGM data assimilation study of Tierney et al. (2020) shows cooling at our core sites, but of a higher magnitude (~3.9°C) than we find in this study. Central tropical Pacific cooling is a robust feature of LGM model simulations, but has until now not been corroborated by proxy reconstructions in the region.

The Line Islands meridional SST gradient is sensitive to changes in zonal currents, local equatorial upwelling strength, and thermocline depth and tilt, all of which may reflect changes to Pacific Walker Circulation (DiNezio et al., 2011; Lynch-Stieglitz et al., 2015). Today, Northern Line Islands sites are 0.7°C ± 0.2°C warmer than Southern Line Islands sites. During the Mid-Holocene, the Line Islands meridional SST gradient remained similar (0.8°C ± 0.3°C). During the LGM, the meridional Line Islands SST gradient was increased to 1.1°C ± 0.4°C. While the meridional gradients are not distinguishable within the estimated errors, it is likely (72%) that the LGM temperature gradient was larger than modern (supporting information Text S6). An earlier study (Lynch-Stieglitz et al., 2015) based on this suite of sediment cores found an enhanced LGM gradient in the δ18O of G. ruber calcite for these sediment cores, and discussed how the enhanced gradient relates to changes in tropical Pacific climate including the Walker Circulation. The Mg/Ca temperature data clarify that the increased gradient in foraminiferal δ18O is partially due to the increased temperature gradient and partially to an increase in the δ18O of seawater (supporting information Text S7, Figure S5, Table S4).

### 3.2. Tropical LGM Cooling From G. ruber Mg/Ca

Coupled ocean-atmosphere models consistently simulate stronger and more uniform LGM ocean cooling than MARGO in the tropical oceans (Braconnot et al., 2007; Brady et al., 2013; DiNezio et al., 2011; Otto-Bliesner et al., 2009). Our compilation of 76 LGM G. ruber Mg/Ca SST estimates also shows a larger tropical mean LGM cooling than MARGO, 2.6°C ± 0.1°C (1 sigma standard error on mean) as compared to 1.7°C ± 1.0°C (total error) (Figure 3, Tables 1 and S5). In the Atlantic, our compilation shows 2.9°C ± 0.4°C cooling, in agreement with 2.9°C ± 1.3°C from MARGO. However, in the Pacific and Indian oceans, our compilation indicates 2.5°C ± 0.1°C and 2.7°C ± 0.1°C cooling, respectively, larger than the 1.2°C ± 1.1°C and 1.4°C ± 0.7°C suggested by MARGO. With the addition of the new central Pacific data, our Mg/Ca compilation also shows more uniform cooling, without the large meridional gradients suggested by MARGO, but in good agreement with model results. It should be noted that our estimates, while systematically cooler than MARGO, do fall within their estimate of total error which accounts for proxy spread among a number of other factors. Our compilation is also broadly consistent with LGM alkenone compilations (e.g.,
Rosell-Melé et al., 2004), although the magnitude of LGM cooling is sensitive to the choice of calibration (Tierney & Tingley, 2017) and may be affected by different seasonal influences than Mg/Ca (e.g., Timmermann et al., 2014). Our compilation gives a smaller LGM tropical cooling than a recent data assimilation study which shows 3.4°C of cooling in the 15°S–15°N latitude band (Tierney et al., 2020). However, the authors of this study note that the spatial average tropical SST change based on the geochemical proxy data alone is 0.9°C smaller than the change based on the data assimilation product, implying that the proxy data average change would be very similar to what we find in our compilation. They attribute this difference to enhanced cooling throughout the central and eastern tropical Pacific in their assimilated field, cooling which is not corroborated by the new central tropical Pacific Mg/Ca data presented here. The magnitude of glacial cooling estimated here based on G. ruber Mg/Ca is in line with model estimates (Brady et al., 2013; DiNezio et al., 2011; Otto-Bliesner et al., 1999), and provides support for the idea that CLIMAP data from the central Pacific may not be reliable, as has been previously suggested (Crowley, 2000; Mix et al., 1999).

It has been suggested that tropical Pacific SST change during the LGM (Lea, 2004) and overall tropical temperature change (Hargreaves et al., 2012) provide a constraint on equilibrium climate sensitivity. Studies using MARGO tropical SSTs (1.7°C ± 1.0°C mean LGM Cooling) have estimated equilibrium climate sensitivity at 1.0°C–3.6°C (Waelbroeck et al., 2009) and 1.2°C–2.4°C (Annan & Hargreaves, 2013) (95% confidence intervals). Alternatively, a model-data analysis that assumed MARGO SST change was underestimated by 1°C found a climate sensitivity of 1.6°C–4.5°C (95% confidence interval), consistent with estimates from models run with LGM boundary conditions (e.g., Brady et al., 2013). Our compilation results, 2.6°C mean tropical cooling, would also suggest a similar climate sensitivity to what was estimated using 2.8°C cooling from a single Eastern Pacific Mg/Ca record (Lea 2004). The recent multi-proxy data assimilation study (Tierney et al., 2020) found climate sensitivity to be 2.4°C–4.5°C (95% confidence interval)—broadly consistent with the estimate from Schmidt et al. (2014) but with a higher lower bound.

Figure 3. (a) Magnitude of mean LGM SST change (LGM-modern) from tropical (15°N to 15°S) G. ruber Mg/Ca studies (references in Table S5). Markers indicate core locations. Negative values indicate cooling relative to the Late Holocene (filled symbols), or modern climatological SSTs where Late Holocene data are not available (open symbols). Base map is mean annual SST (Schmidtke et al., 2013). (b) Base map is the same as (a), with the mean tropical LGM-modern cooling (−2.6°C) subtracted in order to better allow for the visual assessment of any systematic changes in the spatial gradients from the modern (base map) to the LGM (markers). Marker color denotes the absolute SST during the LGM based on G. ruber Mg/Ca. LGM, last glacial maximum; SST, sea surface temperature.
4. Conclusions

We have produced the first planktonic foraminiferal Mg/Ca data for the glacial central equatorial Pacific, which show, on average ~2.0°C cooling, in line with model estimates but disagreeing with the CLIMAP and MARGO compilations. These data, together with existing Mg/Ca temperature estimates from the global tropics suggest a tropical cooling of ~2.6°C, implying that MARGO-based Equilibrium Climate Sensitivity estimates may be underestimated. Our new central Pacific data underscores the importance of continued work on both proxy development and the value of developing radiocarbon dated and geochemically based SST records from the open ocean. While the open ocean temperature estimates originating from the faunal counts of the CLIMAP program over 40 years ago were revolutionary for their time, these data alone are insufficient for constraining today’s state-of-the-art climate models.

Data Availability Statement

All radiocarbon, Mg/Ca and oxygen isotope data presented in this study are included in the Supporting Information and are archived at the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration National Centers for Environmental Information database (https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/29252).

Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge the US National Science Foundation Grant OCE-1502927 to J. Lynch-Stieglitz. We also thank T.-Y. Chang for technical assistance.

References

Geophysical Research Letters 10.1029/2020GL088592


References From the Supporting Information


Dickson, A. G. (1990). Standard potential of the reaction: AgCl(s) + 1/2H2(g) = Ag(s) + HCl(aq), and the standard acidity constant of AgCl. *Chimica Acta*, 26(2), 3461–3475. https://doi.org/10.1002/1999.000745


