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Abstract. The recent 23–30 January and 7–11 March 2012 solar proton event (SPE) periods were substantial and caused significant impacts on the middle atmosphere. These were the two largest SPE periods of solar cycle 24 so far. The highly energetic solar protons produced considerable ionization of the neutral atmosphere as well as HOₓ (H, OH, HO₂) and NOₓ (N, NO, NO₂). We compute a NOₓ production of 1.9 and 2.1 Gigamoles due to these SPE periods in January and March 2012, respectively, which places these SPE periods among the 12 largest in the past 50 yr. Aura Microwave Limb Sounder (MLS) observations of the peroxy radical, HO₂, show significant enhancements of > 0.9 ppbv in the northern polar mesosphere as a result of these SPE periods. Both MLS measurements and Goddard Space Flight Center (GSFC) two-dimensional (2-D) model predictions indicated middle mesospheric ozone decreases of > 20 % for several days in the northern polar region with maximum depletions > 60 % over 1–2 days as a result of the HOₓ produced in both the January and March 2012 SPE periods. The SCISAT-1 Atmospheric Chemistry Experiment Fourier Transform Spectrometer (ACE) and the Envisat Michelson Interferometer for Passive Atmospheric Sounding (MIPAS) instruments measured NO and NO₂ (~NOₓ), which indicated enhancements of over 20 ppbv in most of the northern polar mesosphere for several days as a result of these SPE periods. The GSFC 2-D model and the Global Modeling Initiative three-dimensional chemistry and transport model were used to predict the medium-term (~months) influence and showed that the polar middle atmospheric ozone was most affected by these solar events in the Southern Hemisphere due to the increased downward motion in the fall and early winter. The downward transport moved the SPE-produced NOₓ to lower altitudes and led to predicted modest destruction of ozone (5–13 %) in the upper stratosphere days to weeks after the March 2012 event. Polar total ozone reductions were predicted to be a maximum of 1.5 % in 2012 due to these SPEs.

1 Introduction

Solar flares erupted in January and March 2012 and sent large fluxes of charged particles towards the Earth. Much of the energy was carried by solar protons, which impacted the middle atmosphere (stratosphere and mesosphere) leading to ionizations, dissociations, dissociative ionizations, and excitations. Such periods are generally known as solar proton events (SPEs) and cause proton-induced atmospheric interactions resulting in the production of HOₓ (H, OH, HO₂), and NOₓ (N, NO, NO₂) constituents either directly or through
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a photochemical sequence in the polar middle atmosphere. Such HO$_x$ and NO$_x$ enhancements can then lead to a perturbation of ozone.

The major influence of these eruptions caused enhanced solar proton flux levels above background at the Earth for several days in January and March 2012. Although SPEs and their middle atmospheric constituent influences have been studied before (e.g., Swider and Keneshea, 1973; Heath et al., 1977; Solomon et al., 1981; McPeters and Jackman, 1985; Randall et al., 2001; López-Puertas et al., 2005a; von Clarmann et al., 2005; Verronen et al., 2006, 2008, 2011a, b; Krivolutsky et al., 2006; Funke et al., 2011; Jackman et al., 1990, 2001, 2005, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2011; Sinnhuber et al., 2012), each new event offers a chance to investigate the SPE-caused atmospheric perturbation under somewhat different conditions. Also, such events have been shown to cause some longer lasting stratospheric changes (e.g., Randall et al., 2001; Jackman et al., 2008, 2009) and need to be considered within the context of other ongoing stratospheric changes (WMO, 2011).

The recent study of von Clarmann et al. (2013) showed several atmospheric constituent changes due to the January and March 2012 SPEs using Envisat Michelson Interferometer for Passive Atmospheric Sounding (MIPAS) instrument measurements. These latest large events, which provided very significant middle atmospheric impulses over short (∼days) periods of time, also offer new opportunities to test the general understanding of an atmospheric change caused by very substantial perturbations.

For example, the January 2012 SPE period occurred in the Northern Hemisphere (NH) winter and Southern Hemisphere (SH) summer. The solar zenith angle (SZA) was very different in the NH and SH polar regions for this month of the year. Since the SZA dramatically impacts the background atmosphere upon which the SPE-caused perturbation interacts, the ultimate SPE-caused atmospheric variation is highly dependent on the SZA. The influences of the SPE-produced HO$_x$ and NO$_x$ species impacted ozone somewhat differently in the two hemispheres in January. Also, the NH was subject to a sudden stratospheric warming (SSW) event along with a mesospheric cooling in January (e.g., von Clarmann et al., 2013 and Päivärinta et al., 2013).

The March 2012 SPE period occurred closer to equinox for the two hemispheres, however, the NH was transitioning to spring and the SH was transitioning to fall. This meant that the SPE-caused NO$_x$ was transported somewhat differently in the two hemispheres and had a larger impact on ozone in the SH than in the NH.

We will show the measured influence of these SPEs on HO$_2$ and ozone from the Aura Microwave Limb Sounder (MLS) instrument. The influence of these SPEs on the sum of NO and NO$_2$ (∼NO$_x$) from the SCISAT-1 Atmospheric Chemistry Experiment Fourier Transform Spectrometer (ACE-FTS) and the Envisat MIPAS instruments will also be shown. These observations of the atmospheric response to the January and March 2012 SPE periods will be compared with global model predictions.

This paper is divided into seven primary sections, including the Introduction. The solar proton flux and ionization rate computation are discussed in Sect. 2 and SPE-induced production of HO$_x$ and NO$_x$ are discussed in Sect. 3. A description of the Goddard Space Flight Center two-dimensional model and the Global Modeling Initiative three-dimensional chemistry transport model is given in Sect. 4. Results from the two models for short-term (days) constituent changes, with comparisons to measurements for these SPEs, are shown in Sect. 5 while medium-term (months) constituent changes caused by these SPEs are discussed in Sect. 6. The conclusions are presented in Sect. 7.

## 2 Proton flux and ionization rate

We use the solar proton flux (energies 1 to 300 MeV) provided by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Space Weather Prediction Center (SWPC) for the NOAA Geostationary Operational Environmental Satellites (GOES) (see http://www.swpc.noaa.gov/ftpmenu/lists/particle.html). The GOES 13 data are considered to be the most reliable of the current GOES datasets for the proton fluxes depositing energy into polar latitudes and were used as the source of protons in several energy intervals for the very active time periods 23–30 January 2012 and 7–11 March 2012. For example, the GOES proton flux during the 22–30 January 2012 time period is given in Fig. 1 (Top) for several different energies (> 1, > 10, > 30, > 50, and > 100 MeV). Note that the proton flux levels increased by a factor of a thousand or more for energies > 10, > 30, and > 50 MeV on 23 January 2012. Four days later (27 January) another event led to proton flux levels increasing by a factor of more than a hundred for > 30, > 50, and > 100 MeV.

The proton flux data were used to compute the ion pair production profiles employing the energy deposition methodology discussed in Jackman et al. (1980), where the creation of one ion pair was assumed to require 35 eV (Porter et al., 1976). The SPE-produced daily average ionization rates for the polar cap regions (> 60° geomagnetic latitude) are given in Fig. 1 (Bottom) for a nine day period in January 2012 from 10 hPa (∼30 km) to 0.001 hPa (∼95 km). Peak ionization rates above 2000 cm$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$ on 24 January were computed for the 0.01 to 0.2 hPa region. The ionization was greatly diminished by 26 January, but then the second SPE started late on 27 January and reached a peak on 28 January (also, see Fig. 1, Top).

The GOES proton flux during the 6–11 March 2012 time period is given in Fig. 2 (Top) for the same proton energies as in Fig. 1 (Top). The proton flux levels increased by a factor of a thousand or more for several energies (from > 10 through > 100 MeV) on 7–8 March 2012. The SPE-produced daily average ionization rates during this event for
Fig. 1. (Top) GOES 13 proton flux measurements in January 2012 for energies > 1 MeV (black), > 10 MeV (red), > 30 MeV (green), > 50 MeV (gold), and > 100 MeV (blue). These data are provided by the NOAA SWPC at their website (see Sect. 2). (Bottom) Daily averaged ionization rates over the 10 to 0.001 hPa pressure range for the 22–30 January 2012 time period. Contour intervals are 100, 200, 500, 1000, and 2000 (#cm\(^{-3}\)s\(^{-1}\)).

Fig. 2. (Top) GOES 13 proton flux measurements in March 2012 for energies > 1 MeV (black), > 10 MeV (red), > 30 MeV (green), > 50 MeV (gold), and > 100 MeV (blue). These data are provided by the NOAA SWPC at their website (see Sect. 2). (Bottom) Daily averaged ionization rates over the 10 to 0.001 hPa pressure range for the 6–11 March 2012 time period. Contour intervals are 100, 200, 500, 1000, and 2000 (#cm\(^{-3}\)s\(^{-1}\)).

the polar cap regions are given in Fig. 2 (Bottom). Peak ionization rates above 2000 cm\(^{-3}\)s\(^{-1}\) on 8 March were computed for the 0.01 to 0.3 hPa region. Large ionization rates > 100 cm\(^{-3}\)s\(^{-1}\) are computed all the way down to 10 hPa on 7–8 March (Gold). As illustrated in Fig. 2 (Top) compared with Fig. 1 (Top), this SPE period consisted of a larger flux of protons at higher energies (> 100 MeV) than were present in the January 2012 SPE period. The ionization is greatly diminished by 11 March.

3 HO\(_x\) (H, OH, HO\(_2\)) and NO\(_x\) (N, NO, NO\(_2\)) production

Charged particle precipitation results in the production of HO\(_x\) through complex positive ion chemistry (Solomon et al., 1981). The charged particle-produced HO\(_x\) is a function of ion pair production and altitude and is included in model simulations using a lookup table from Jackman et al. (2005, Table 1), which is based on the work of Solomon et al. (1981). Each ion pair results in the production of about two HO\(_x\) constituents for the upper stratosphere and lower mesosphere and less than two HO\(_x\) constituents for the middle and upper mesosphere.

NO\(_x\) is produced when the energetic charged particles (protons and associated secondary electrons) dissociate N\(_2\) as they precipitate into the atmosphere. Here it is assumed that ∼ 1.25 N atoms are produced per ion pair and the proton impact of N atom production is divided between the ground state N(\(^4\)S) (∼ 45 % or ∼ 0.55 per ion pair) and excited state N(\(^2\)D) (∼ 55 % or ∼ 0.7 per ion pair) nitrogen atoms (Porter et al., 1976). We calculate that the 23–30 January 2012 SPE period produced about 1.9 Gigamoles of NO\(_x\) and that the 7–11 March 2012 SPE period produced about 2.1 Gigamoles of NO\(_x\). Thus, the January 2012 and the March 2012 SPE periods were computed to be the twelfth and tenth largest in the past 50 yr, respectively (see Jackman et al., 2008).

4 Model predictions

4.1 Description of the GSFC 2-D model

The latest version of the Goddard Space Flight Center (GSFC) two-dimensional (2-D) atmospheric model was used to predict the impact of solar protons on the atmosphere. This model was first discussed over 20 yr ago (Douglass et al., 1989; Jackman et al., 1990) and has undergone extensive
improvements over the years (e.g., Considine et al., 1994; Jackman et al., 1996; Fleming et al., 1999, 2007, 2011). The vertical range of the model, equally spaced in log pressure, is from the ground to approximately 92 km (0.0024 hPa) with a 1 km grid spacing. The model has a 4° latitude grid spacing.

For this study, the transport is computed off-line and is derived using the daily average global winds and temperatures from the NASA Modern Era Retrospective-analysis for Research and Applications (MERRA) meteorological analysis (see the website: http://gmao.gsfc.nasa.gov/research/merra/) for 1979–2012. Thirty-day running averages of the residual circulation, eddy diffusion, zonal mean wind, and zonal mean temperature are computed using the methodology detailed in Fleming et al. (2007), and are used as input into the GSFC 2-D model. For this paper we used the transport fields for the year 2012, which included the January SSW.

The ground boundary conditions in the GSFC 2-D model for the source gases are taken from WMO (2011) for year 2012. The model uses a chemical solver described in Jackman et al. (2005) and Fleming et al. (2007, 2011). The photochemical gas and heterogeneous reaction rates and photolysis cross sections have been updated to the latestJet Propulsion Laboratory recommendations (Sander et al., 2010) for these computations.

4.2 Simulations of the GSFC 2-D model

The starting conditions for the two GSFC 2-D model simulations used in this study were provided by a time-dependent “spin-up” simulation from January 2000–December 2011, which included the appropriate source gas boundary conditions from WMO (2011) and the changing transport fields derived from MERRA for this time period. Two time-dependent simulations, “A” and “B”, were continued over the period 1 January through 31 December 2012. Simulation “A” included no SPEs and simulation “B” included all SPEs in this period.

4.3 Description of the GMI 3-D CTM

The Global Modeling Initiative (GMI) three-dimensional (3-D) chemistry and transport model (CTM) was also used in this study. The GMI 3-D CTM is well suited to simulate the SPE-produced NOx in the lower mesosphere and throughout the stratosphere during 2012. The 1° × 1.25° horizontal resolution of the latest version of the GMI 3-D CTM (Strahan et al., 2013) uses MERRA meteorological fields for the time periods under investigation. More information about the GMI 3-D CTM and its chemical mechanism can be found in Strahan et al. (2007) and Duncan et al. (2007). The stratospheric chemical mechanism is taken from Douglass and Kawa (1999) and the polar stratospheric cloud parameterization is described in Considine et al. (2000).

The vertical range of the GMI 3-D CTM is from the ground up to approximately 80 km (0.015 hPa) and the model lacks some mesospheric processes, such as Lyman alpha photochemistry. Thus, the GMI 3-D CTM cannot be used to address the short-term influence of SPE-produced HOx and its impact on ozone in the middle and upper mesosphere. The GMI 3-D CTM will, therefore, be used in conjunction with the GSFC 2-D model to study the medium-term influence of the 2012 SPEs.

4.4 Simulations of the GMI 3-D CTM

The starting conditions for the two GMI 3-D CTM simulations used in this study were provided by a time-dependent “spin-up” simulation from January 2004–December 2011, which included the appropriate source gas boundary conditions from WMO (2011) and the changing transport fields derived from MERRA for this time period. Two time-dependent simulations, “C” and “D”, were continued over the period 1 January through 31 December 2012. Simulation “C” included no SPEs and simulation “D” included all SPEs in this period.

5 Short-term Influences of the SPEs

A number of constituents have been observed and modeled to be modified by SPEs (e.g., López-Puertas et al., 2005a, b; von Clarmann et al., 2005; Verronen et al., 2006; Jackman et al., 2011; Damiani et al., 2012). We will focus on the SPE-caused impact on HOx, NOx, and ozone in this study. The SPEs can cause substantial increases in HOx and NOx constituents (see Sect. 3), which can directly impact ozone through catalytic destruction cycles (e.g., see Johnston and Podolske, 1978).

5.1 Peroxy radical (HO2)

The Aura Microwave Limb Sounder (MLS) measurement of the peroxyl radical (HO2) provides a direct observation and quantification of HOx changes due to the January 2012 SPE in the middle atmosphere. Figure 3 (Top left) shows the daily average MLS HO2 changes from a five-day (18–22 January) average of HO2 measurements before the SPE period for the 60–82.5° N band. Enhancements of > 0.2 ppbv are observed in the middle mesosphere over periods 23–26 January and 28–29 January. A peak of > 1 ppbv is observed from ~0.05–0.1 hPa on 24 January. These HO2 enhancements are slightly larger than those reported in Jackman et al. (2011) for the January 2005 SPEs.

The measurement of HO2 in the atmosphere by MLS is impressive given its relatively small abundance throughout the middle atmosphere, however, some care must be exercised in using these data. For example, MLS HO2 has a bias that is usually removed by taking day-night differences over the entire recommended pressure range. It was advantageous in this work to include all the MLS HO2 measurements to determine the SPE-caused impact. Removal of the five-day
average before the SPE period, as done in this study, will also lead to a removal of the MLS HO$_2$ bias assuming that the bias varies in only a minor way over a couple of weeks. Although the MLS HO$_2$ measurements are not recommended for scientific use above 0.046 hPa, those MLS observations are shown here to qualitatively indicate the morphology of the HO$_2$ change during the disturbed periods.

We also show the daily average MLS HO$_2$ changes from a five-day (18–22 January) average of HO$_2$ measurements before the SPE period for the 60–82.5° S band in Fig. 3 (Top right). Note that the observed SH HO$_2$ enhancement is at a peak value of > 0.5 ppbv for the ∼ 0.015–0.07 hPa region on 24 January, which is less than the peak levels shown in the NH (see Fig. 3, Top left). The HO$_x$ produced by the SPE is approximately the same in both hemispheres, however, the lifetime of HO$_2$ is diminished in the SH (summer) due to the higher background HO$_x$ level and a faster loss of HO$_2$ at this time of year. The increased solar radiation in the SH (summer) leads to an increase in the amount of background mesospheric HO$_x$ by a factor of about 2–6 relative to the NH, thus the loss of HO$_2$ is larger in the SH through the increase in the rate of reactions

$$\text{OH} + \text{HO}_2 \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{O}_2 \quad (\text{R1})$$

and

$$\text{H} + \text{HO}_2 \rightarrow \text{products} \quad (\text{R2})$$

The GSFC 2-D model predicted HO$_2$ change from the five-day (18–22 January) average using simulation “B” is shown in Fig. 3 (Bottom plots) for the same period. Also, the MLS averaging kernel (AK) is applied to the model results. The variation with time and pressure as well as the magnitude of the computed change is fairly similar to the MLS HO$_2$ observations, especially in the NH. The predicted HO$_2$ increase is slightly smaller than the observed increase due to the SPEs in the SH, however, the pressure levels impacted are fairly similar between the model and measured values. The predicted HO$_2$ enhancements due to the SPEs are within the computed precision error ( 0.4 ppbv near 0.1 hPa) for daily average MLS measurements of HO$_2$ in these latitude bands.

We also completed a similar analysis of the HO$_2$ enhancements caused by the March 2012 SPEs. The HO$_2$ changes from a five-day (2–6 March) average of HO$_2$ measurements before the SPE period for the 60–82.5° N and 60–82.5° S bands were examined. We found MLS observed enhancements of > 0.2 ppbv in the mesosphere over five days (7–11 March) with a peak of 0.8 ppbv occurring near 0.05 hPa on 8 March in the NH and observed enhancements > 0.2 ppbv on three days (7, 8, and 10 March), see Fig. 4 (Top left), and a peak of 0.4 ppbv occurring near 0.05 hPa on 8 March in the SH (Fig. 4, Top right).

The general location of the peaks and variations with pressure are fairly similar between the measurements and model predictions for HO$_2$ in early March 2012 during the SPE, however, there are some differences in the peak values (see Fig. 4, Bottom plots). The predicted HO$_2$ change as a result of the March 2012 SPE shows a peak on 8 March near 0.02 to 0.05 hPa of about 1 ppbv for latitude band 60–82.5° N and a peak of about 0.7 ppbv for latitude band 60–82.5° S. The solar radiation is slightly larger in the SH compared to the NH polar region in early March. Also, more mesospheric H$_2$O is present in the SH compared to the NH due to the upward motion during the southern polar summer transporting up H$_2$O from the upper stratospheric water source. These two factors lead to a larger background concentration of HO$_x$ constituents in the SH and thus a larger loss of the SPE-produced HO$_2$ through R1 and R2 and a slightly smaller peak of HO$_2$ in the SH compared to the NH.

### 5.2 Ozone

The HO$_x$ constituents are the primary cause of ozone depletion in the mesosphere during SPEs and the impact during the January and March 2012 SPE periods is seen clearly in the MLS ozone measurements. Several catalytic HO$_x$ cycles are important in the middle atmosphere, however, the process

$$\text{H} + \text{O}_3 \rightarrow \text{OH} + \text{O}_2 \quad (\text{R3})$$
Fig. 4. Daily averaged HO$_2$ changes from Aura MLS measurements (Top) and GSFC 2-D model predictions (Bottom) for the 60–82.5° N band (left plots) and 60–82.5° S band (right plots). An average observed (predicted) HO$_2$ profile for the period 6–11 March 2012 was subtracted from the observed (predicted) HO$_2$ values for the plotted days of 6–11 March 2012. The contour intervals for the HO$_2$ differences are −0.5, −0.2, −0.1, 0.0, 0.1, 0.2, 0.5, and 1 ppbv. The MLS averaging kernel (AK) was used to sample the model results.

Followed by

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{OH} + \text{O} & \rightarrow \text{H} + \text{O}_2 \\
\text{Net: } \text{O} + \text{O}_3 & \rightarrow 2\text{O}_2 
\end{align*}
\]  

(R4) 

Net is dominant throughout much of the mesosphere. During strong SPEs, such as these in 2012, the HO$_x$ catalytic cycle

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{OH} + \text{O}_3 & \rightarrow \text{HO}_2 + \text{O}_2 
\end{align*}
\]  

(R6) 

followed by

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{HO}_2 + \text{O} & \rightarrow \text{OH} + \text{O}_2 \\
\text{Net: } \text{O} + \text{O}_3 & \rightarrow 2\text{O}_2 
\end{align*}
\]  

(R7) 

also contributes significantly to the ozone decrease.

We show the MLS daily average ozone change from a five-day (18–22 January) average of ozone measurements before the SPE period for the 60–82.5° N band in Fig. 5 (Top left). Ozone depletions of > 20% are observed in the middle mesosphere for the 60–82.5° N band over the 24–27 January period with a maximum of 60% depletion occurring near 0.02–0.05 hPa on 25 January (Fig. 5, Top left). The HO$_2$ constituents have a relatively short lifetime (∼hours) throughout most of the mesosphere (below ∼80 km, see Pickett et al., 2006), however, they can cause very large ozone depletion, which has also been observed in other very large substantial SPEs (e.g., Solomon et al., 1983; Jackman et al., 2001, 2011; Verronen et al., 2006).

Surprisingly, the MLS observations show an increase in ozone at their retrieval level of 0.046 hPa for 28–30 January, with ozone decreases above and below. It is unclear what causes this MLS-observed ozone increase at the 0.046 hPa level during this period. MIPAS ozone measurements at this same time period and location also show this behavior (von Clarmann et al., 2013). The observed ozone increases at pressures greater than 0.5 hPa are caused by seasonal changes ongoing at this time of year, which mitigate and overwhelm the SPE-caused ozone decrease.

We show two model computations of the SPE-caused predicted ozone change in Fig. 5 (Middle and Bottom left). The model predicted changes in Fig. 5 (Middle left) are derived in the same manner as the MLS observed changes, wherein the modeled ozone in simulation “B” (with SPEs)” (Middle). The Bottom plot shows the difference between GSFC 2-D model simulations “B (with SPEs)” and “A (without SPEs).” The contour intervals for the ozone differences are −80, −60, −40, −20, −10, −5, −2, −1, 0, 1, 2, 5, 10, 20, and 40 %. The MLS averaging kernel (AK) was used to sample the model results.
in the upper stratosphere. As in Figs. 3 and 4, the MLS averaging kernel (AK) is applied to the model results. Note that there are some differences between the measurements and model predictions (see Fig. 5, Top and Middle left). For example, although both the measurements and model predictions indicate a SPE-caused ozone depletion in the middle mesosphere over the 24–27 January period, the model predicts a somewhat larger altitude range of predicted ozone decrease from the SPEs as well as a smaller seasonal increase in the upper stratosphere and lower mesosphere.

As in Fig. 5 (Top left), we show the MLS daily average ozone change from a five-day (18–22 January) average of ozone measurements before the SPE period for the 60–82.5° S band in Fig. 5 (Top right). Ozone depletions of > 10 % are observed in the middle mesosphere for the 60–82.5° S band over the 23–24 January period with a maximum of 30 % depletion occurring near 0.02 hPa on 23 January. A small depletion from about 2–0.04 hPa is even observed on 28 January as a result of the second SPE in January. These SPE-caused ozone depletions are less in the polar SH (compared to the polar NH, left plots) due to the shorter lifetime of HOX (and ultimate HOX influence) in the summer relative to the winter (e.g., see Solomon et al., 1983; Jackman et al., 2008).

We show two computations of the SPE-caused predicted ozone change for the 60–82.5° S band in Fig. 5 (Middle and Bottom right), derived in the same way as the model predictions in Fig. 5 (Middle and Bottom left). The model predicted ozone change is in reasonable agreement with the observed MLS ozone changes on 23–24 January, both in the magnitude and timing. The model predicted changes shown in Fig. 5 (Bottom right), derived by subtracting simulation “A” from “B”, indicate that seasonal increases in ozone are important in the upper stratosphere (~ 1–6 hPa) and above 0.2 hPa on 29–31 January. Given the somewhat surprising MLS observations of an increase in ozone at the retrieval level of 0.046 hPa in the 60–82.5° N band for the SPE on 28–30 January (see Fig. 5, Top left), we show the MLS observations during the March 2012 SPE period for this same region in Fig. 6 (Top left). Clearly, MLS measured mesospheric ozone is being depleted at all levels as a result of the SPE. The model results given in Fig. 6 (Middle and Bottom left), computed in the same way as in Fig. 5, also show ozone being depleted throughout the mesosphere. Both measurements and model results show ozone decreases > 60 % on 8–9 March at about 0.05–0.02 hPa. The measurements and model simulations also indicate a modest seasonally-driven ozone increase in the upper stratosphere. As an aside, MIPAS observations show similar ozone depletion due to the March 2012 SPE.

We also examined the MLS ozone observations as well as our model predictions for the 60–82.5° S band during the March 2012 SPE period (see Fig. 6, Top right). The measurements and model results indicate significant ozone depletion as a result of the SPE with both showing ozone decreases > 20 % on 8 March through the middle mesosphere. The model predictions given in Fig. 6 (Middle and Bottom right) do indicate a somewhat larger ozone depletion for the 7–11 March period, when compared to the MLS measurements. 

5.3 Nitrogen oxides, NO\textsubscript{x} (NO+NO\textsubscript{2})

ACE-FTS (hereinafter referred to as ACE) (Bernath et al., 2005) and MIPAS (Fischer et al., 2008) measured both NO and NO\textsubscript{2} (e.g., Rinsland et al., 2005; López-Puertas et al., 2005a). We defined NO\textsubscript{x} in Sect. 1 as N + NO + NO\textsubscript{2}, but here we identify NO\textsubscript{x} as approximated by NO + NO\textsubscript{2} since N is minuscule in the middle atmosphere. ACE provided NO + NO\textsubscript{2} profile measurements at fairly high latitudes during the January through April 2012 period. For example, for the period Days of Year (DoY) 22–47 (22 January to 16 February) ACE observations were made in the NH between about 65 and 68° N. The ACE measured NO\textsubscript{x} changes from DoY 22 (22 January) are shown in Fig. 7a. Large increases (> 100 ppbv) are observed in the middle to upper mesosphere (< 0.05 hPa) for DoY 24–36. Some of these increases are certainly due to the solar protons and associated secondary electrons, however, energetic electron
precipitation (EEP) may also be contributing in the middle and upper mesosphere (pressures < 0.1 hPa). The solar protons penetrate deeper into the atmosphere than the EEP, and cause most of the measured enhanced NO\textsubscript{x} in the lower mesosphere.

Substantial increases (>20 ppbv) were observed throughout most of the mesosphere for these same days continuing through Day of Year 44 for the lower mesosphere (~0.04–0.7 hPa). MIPAS measurements are also available during this time period, but not for every day, and are given in Fig. 7b. It should be noted that the MIPAS nominal observations used here are limited to tangent heights of 70 km. Hence, the retrieved NO\textsubscript{x} profiles have little-to-no vertical resolution above 70 km. In the SH, MIPAS NO\textsubscript{x} might be underestimated (due to the effect of the a priori profile shape) even down to 65 km.

In spite of these important caveats, the MIPAS measurements are generally in agreement with ACE when the slightly larger averaging kernels of MIPAS are taken into account. Such measured NO\textsubscript{x} enhancements were similar to those observed by ACE as a result of the January 2005 SPEs (Jackman et al., 2011).

ACE also takes measurements at high southern latitudes, however, the number of days of observations is relatively limited and will not be shown. A comparison of the SPE-caused NO\textsubscript{x} enhancement over a larger polar region (60–90\degree S) is shown in Fig. 8 for the NH (Top left) and SH (Top right), respectively, for the MIPAS instrument and the GSFC 2-D model (Middle plots) and the GMI 3-D CTM (Bottom plots). The GMI 3-D CTM results are most reliable at pressures greater than 0.04 hPa, thus all plots in Fig. 8 are only shown up to this level. The models indicate a somewhat smaller NO\textsubscript{x} change in the NH and a somewhat larger NO\textsubscript{x} change in the SH, compared with MIPAS observations. The smaller predicted NO\textsubscript{x} change in the NH may be related to the absence of concurrent energetic electron precipitation in our computations.

As an aside, it is difficult to include the EEP contribution in our computations during the time period of the SPEs. The NOAA MEPED instrument’s electron energy channels are contaminated by protons, thus any measurements of EEP during SPEs is questionable (e.g., p. 2 of Verronen et al., 2011a; Table 3 of Yando et al., 2011).

Although similar, there are some differences between the two model predictions of the enhanced NO\textsubscript{x} evolution. The GMI 3-D CTM holds the SPE-caused NO\textsubscript{x} increases closer to the NH pole (Fig. 8, Bottom left) and transports a larger amount of NO\textsubscript{x} to the stratosphere than indicated in the GSFC 2-D model (Fig. 8, Middle left) or observed in MIPAS data (Fig. 8, Top left). In contrast, the GSFC 2-D model appears to conserve NO\textsubscript{x} over a longer period of time in the SH polar region than indicated in the GMI 3-D CTM (Fig. 8, Middle and Bottom right).

We turn our attention to the March 2012 SPE period in Fig. 9. The ACE and MIPAS measured NO\textsubscript{x} ppbv change from 6 March is shown in Fig. 9a, b and indicates enhancements of over 20 ppbv throughout most of the northern polar

---

Fig. 7. Daily averaged NO\textsubscript{x} (NO + NO\textsubscript{2}) changes from Day of Year (DoY) 22 (22 January) 2012 values as measured by ACE (a) and MIPAS (65–68\degree N) (b). The contour intervals for the NO\textsubscript{x} differences are 1, 2, 5, 10, 20, 50, 100, 200, and 500 ppbv. The northern polar latitudes of ACE measurements are given in the Top plot.

Fig. 8. Daily averaged NO\textsubscript{x} (NO + NO\textsubscript{2}) changes from DoY 22 (22 January) 2012 values for 60–90\degree N (left plots) and 60–90\degree S (right plots) as measured by MIPAS (Top) and calculated by the GSFC 2-D model (Middle) and the GMI 3-D CTM (Bottom). The contour intervals for the NO\textsubscript{x} differences are 1, 2, 5, 10, 20, and 100 ppbv.
mesosphere for several days (DoY 68–74 for ACE and DoY 68–72 for MIPAS) as a result of this SPE period. Peak NO$_x$ increases over 100 ppbv were observed by ACE on DoY 68 (8 March) between 0.01 and 0.02 hPa as a result of the March 2012 SPE.

As during the January 2012 SPE period, ACE also takes measurements at high southern latitudes. Again, however, the number of days of observations is relatively limited and will not be shown. A comparison of the SPE-caused NO$_x$ enhancement over a larger polar region (60–90°) is shown in Fig. 10 for the NH (Top left) and SH (Top right), respectively, for the MIPAS instrument and the GSFC 2-D model (Middle plots) and the GMI 3-D CTM (Bottom plots). Although the impacted altitudes are similar between the measurements and the model predictions, the models indicate a somewhat larger NO$_x$ change in both hemispheres, when compared with MIPAS observations. Some of this larger model predicted SPE-caused NO$_x$ enhancement can be explained by the finer vertical grid of the model (1–2 km in the mesosphere) compared to the MIPAS vertical field-of-view of about 3 km (López-Puertas et al., 2005a). As for January (see Fig. 8), the GMI 3-D CTM transports a larger amount of NO$_x$ to the polar NH stratosphere (Fig. 10, Bottom left) than indicated in the GSFC 2-D model (Fig. 10, Middle left). The models show generally similar NO$_x$ transport to the stratosphere in the SH (Middle and Bottom right).

Both models predict that the initial NO$_x$ enhancement is substantially larger than the measured enhancements, with peak increases greater than 50 ppbv on DoY 68–69 in the upper mesosphere for both hemispheres, compared with peak MIPAS measured increases of only about 25 ppbv (Fig. 10, left). Note also that both models predicted NO$_x$ changes in the NH on DoY 76–80 showing > 5 ppbv throughout most of the mesosphere (pressures < 0.5 hPa) whereas the MIPAS measured NO$_x$ changes are < 5 ppbv in the same region (Fig. 10, left). MIPAS measured mesospheric NO$_x$ enhancements are gone by DoY 81, however, model predicted NO$_x$ increases continue and gradually diminish in the lower mesosphere through DoY 97. The disappearance of NH MIPAS NO$_x$ enhancements is possibly related to a polar vortex rupture and release of mesospheric NO$_x$ towards mid latitudes, which appears in MIPAS CH$_4$ data (not shown). Both models used transport from MERRA for the year 2012. However, since observational information in the mesosphere is limited there may be dynamical differences between the atmosphere and the models for the mesosphere. The models likely underestimate the amount of high latitude mesospheric NO$_x$ transported to lower latitudes.

The model predicted NO$_x$ changes in the SH on DoY 76–80 are ~5–20 ppbv throughout the middle to lower mesosphere (~0.03–0.7 hPa), which are in reasonable agreement with the MIPAS measurements during this time period (Fig. 10, right). In the upper stratosphere (~1–3 hPa) somewhat more SPE-produced NO$_x$ is simulated in the models than observed. Thus, the models predict a more substantial SPE-caused NO$_x$ perturbation in the SH, than indicated in the measurements, which will then cause a larger impact on stratospheric ozone.

Overall both models predict NO$_x$ enhancements which are larger than the MIPAS observations in both hemispheres.

**Fig. 9.** Daily averaged NO$_x$ (NO + NO$_2$) changes from DoY 66 (6 March) 2012 values as measured by ACE (a) and MIPAS (78–83°N) (b). The contour intervals for the NO$_x$ differences are 1, 2, 5, 10, 20, 50, and 100 ppbv. The northern polar latitudes of ACE measurements are given in the Top plot.

**Fig. 10.** Daily averaged NO$_x$ (NO + NO$_2$) changes from DoY 66 (6 March) 2012 values for 60–90°N (left plots) and 60–90°S (right plots) as measured by MIPAS (Top) and calculated by the GSFC 2-D model (Middle) and the GMI 3-D CTM (Bottom). The contour intervals for the NO$_x$ differences are 1, 2, 5, 10, 20, and 50 ppbv.
for the March 2012 SPE. Neither model includes ion chemistry, thus both models will predict a smaller enhancement of HNO$_3$ (and subsequent reduction in NO$_x$) due to the SPEs. This repartitioning through ion chemistry of NO$_x$ to HNO$_3$ has been found to be an important process (see López-Puertas et al., 2005b; Verronen et al., 2008, 2011b) and may be responsible for the loss of up to a few ppbv of NO$_x$ to HNO$_3$ in the lower mesosphere. This process is unlikely to explain the large differences between MIPAS and the models for NO$_x$ above $\sim 0.1$ hPa, but may reduce these discrepancies in the lower mesosphere. Also, the proton flux source for the March 2012 SPE is the same as that for the January 2012 SPE (GOES 13), thus this NO$_x$ over-prediction above $\sim 0.1$ hPa is not easily explained. Further model-measurement comparisons of these SPE-caused impacts will be needed to help understand this disagreement.

6 Medium-term Influences of the SPEs: odd nitrogen (NO$_x$) and ozone changes

The last two figures (Figs. 11–12) show only GSFC 2-D model and GMI 3-D CTM predicted medium-term ($\sim$ months) influences of the SPE for all of 2012. These plots were derived by subtracting the simulations without the SPEs (“A” for the GSFC 2-D model; “C” for the GMI 3-D CTM) from the simulations with the SPEs (“B” for the GSFC 2-D model and “D” for the GMI 3-D CTM) to produce a SPE-only caused impact.

The NO$_x$ family rapidly converts in the stratosphere to other constituents in the odd nitrogen group (NO$_y$ = N($^4$S) + N($^2$D) + NO + NO$_2$ + NO$_3$ + 2N$_2$O$_3$ + HNO$_2$ + HNO$_3$ + HO$_2$NO$_2$ + ClINO$_2$ + BrONO$_2$). The SPE-caused NO$_y$ ppbv enhancement and ozone percentage changes are given in Figs. 11 and 12 for the polar (60–90°) NH and SH, respectively. NO$_x$ enhancements exceeding 2 ppbv are computed in the polar NH for much of the first five months of the year (J-F-M-A-M) throughout most of the mesosphere and part of the upper stratosphere in the GSFC 2-D model (Fig. 11, Top left). The GMI 3-D CTM predicts a greater impact by the 2012 SPEs on NO$_y$ in the polar NH (Fig. 11, Top right). Much more NO$_y$ is transported to the stratosphere from the mesosphere by the GMI 3-D CTM compared with the GSFC 2-D model in the J-F-M time period. Some of that NO$_y$ enhancement computed by the GMI 3-D CTM is diminished over the spring and summer, however, levels of NO$_y$ > 2 ppbv are observed for much of the year in the upper stratosphere (Fig. 11, Top right).

Large computed NH ozone decreases (> 20%, dark blue colors) during and shortly after the two SPE periods (January and March) in the mesosphere were primarily caused by the SPE-produced HO$_x$ increases (Fig. 11, Bottom left). The GSFC 2-D model predicted upper stratospheric ozone decreases of 2–4% (Fig. 11, Bottom left) and the GMI 3-D CTM predicted upper ozone decreases of 5–13% (Fig. 11, Bottom right). These differences in model predicted ozone depletions were caused by the somewhat larger transported NO$_y$ to the stratosphere given by the GMI 3-D CTM compared with the GSFC 2-D model (Fig. 11, Top plots).

The primary catalytic cycle for NO$_y$ destruction of ozone is:

\[
\text{NO} + O_3 \rightarrow NO_2 + O_2
\]  

(R9)

followed by

\[
\text{NO}_2 + O \rightarrow NO + O_2
\]  

(R10)

Net: \[ O + O_3 \rightarrow 2O_2. \]  

(R11)

There is a region of predicted enhanced ozone due to the SPEs in the middle to lower stratosphere (especially, see Fig. 11, Bottom right). The GMI 3-D CTM shows increased ozone of 1–2% caused by the enhanced SPE-produced NO$_y$ sequestering chlorine and bromine into reservoir species (ClINO$_2$ and BrONO$_2$). This reduces ozone depletion due to halogens in this region of the stratosphere. This mechanism ultimately leads to increased ozone in a portion of the middle and lower stratosphere and has been discussed before in relation to SPE impacts (e.g., see Jackman et al., 2009).

The computed January–February NO$_y$ enhancements are not as substantial in the polar SH (Fig. 12) as in the polar NH due to considerable loss of odd nitrogen in the intense sunlight of SH summer and early fall. The reactions most important for this loss are:

\[
\text{NO} + h\nu(\lambda < 191\text{ nm}) \rightarrow N + O
\]  

(R12)
The winds are also generally upwards in the SH upper stratosphere in January and February, thus NO\textsubscript{x} constituents are transported upwards to the higher altitudes, where the loss process is greater. The vertical wind direction starts to reverse direction in early March and by late March is basically downwards (Fig. 12, Top plots). The wind reversal drags much more NO\textsubscript{x} from the mesosphere to the upper stratosphere by late May (Fig. 12, Top plots) leading to NO\textsubscript{x} enhancements > 2 ppbv and associated ozone depletion of 5–8 % (GSFC 2-D model) and 5–11 % (GMI 3-D CTM). NO\textsubscript{x} enhancements of > 0.2 ppbv and the associated ozone decreases of > 1 % descend through the winter and spring, reaching about 50 hPa by November in both models. The predicted ozone depletion in the SH can be thought of as an “upper limit” given that the predicted SPE-caused NO\textsubscript{x} increases were somewhat higher than the measured SPE-caused NO\textsubscript{x} increases (see Fig. 10, Right). Note, also, that there were other SPE periods in May, June, July, September, October, November, and December, which are seen in the enhanced NO\textsubscript{x} in both hemispheres (Figs. 11 and 12, Top panels). These particular SPE periods were not as large as those that occurred in January and March.

The impact of the 2012 SPEs on total ozone was computed in both models to be largest at the highest SH latitudes, where reductions of a maximum of about 1 % (GSFC 2-D model) to about 1.5 % (GMI 3-D CTM) are computed several months after the March 2012 SPE period. The maximum total ozone changes are not predicted to occur during the SPE periods when the HO\textsubscript{x} catalytic cycles dominate; rather, the transport of the enhanced NO\textsubscript{x} to lower altitudes (and high ambient ozone amounts) causes more total ozone impact. Total ozone reductions in the NH are predicted to be > 0.5 % less than those in the SH. Thus, the impact of these SPE periods on total ozone is fairly small and it is unlikely that the perturbation could be detected in total ozone satellite or ground measurements.

Measurements show a 1–2 % total ozone increase from solar min to solar max due to solar ultraviolet radiation changes (e.g., Chandra and McPeters, 1994; Soukharev and Hood, 2006; Swartz et al., 2012) with fairly similar changes at low and high latitudes. The model computed high Southern polar latitude (70–90° S) impact from the 2012 SPEs on total ozone was found to be a decrease of > 0.5 % for about seven months. The SPE-caused ozone depletion could thus be competitive with solar radiation-induced variations over periods of several months at polar latitudes. Also, the SPE impact is of opposite sign to the solar radiation effect: more SPEs occur near solar max and lead to ozone decreases whereas solar radiation variation near solar max lead to ozone increases. SPE activity during a solar cycle therefore should be considered carefully when examining the solar cycle induced variations on polar ozone.

7 Conclusions

The January and March 2012 SPE periods caused substantial perturbations to the Earth’s middle atmosphere. In particular, measurements and global model predictions showed impacts on mesospheric HO\textsubscript{x}, NO\textsubscript{x}, and ozone. MLS observations of HO\textsubscript{2} displayed enhancements up to 1 ppbv due to the January 2012 SPE period and up to 0.8 ppbv due to the March 2012 SPE period in the northern polar mesosphere. The southern polar mesosphere was impacted as well during these SPE periods, however, the increased solar radiation (and enhanced HO\textsubscript{x} loss) impeded the HO\textsubscript{2} increase in January. The SPE-caused HO\textsubscript{2} enhancements were fairly well simulated with the GSFC 2-D model. Both MLS measurements and GSFC 2-D model predictions indicated middle mesospheric ozone decreases of > 20 % for several days in the northern polar region with maximum depletions > 60 % as a result of both the January and March 2012 SPE periods. Less SPE-caused ozone depletion was observed and simulated in the southern polar region in January 2012 due to the SPEs. Most of this mesospheric ozone depletion was the result of HO\textsubscript{x} catalytic loss cycles.

Both ACE and MIPAS measurements showed large SPE-caused NO\textsubscript{x} enhancements (> 20 ppbv) in the northern polar mesosphere for about three weeks past the January 2012 SPE period. The southern polar mesosphere MIPAS observations followed by

\[
N + NO \rightarrow N_2 + O. \quad (R13)
\]
indicated a smaller SPE-caused NO\textsubscript{x} increase (<2 ppbv) as a result of this January 2012 SPE period. The March 2012 SPE period caused NO\textsubscript{x} measured enhancements >20 ppbv and >10 ppbv for only several days in the northern and southern polar mesosphere, respectively. The GSFC 2-D model and the GMI 3-D CTM predicted NO\textsubscript{x} increases were slightly smaller (larger) than measured in the northern (southern) polar mesosphere as a result of the January 2012 SPE period. The GSFC 2-D model and GMI 3-D CTM predicted NO\textsubscript{x} enhancements as a result of the March 2012 SPE period were larger than observed in both polar hemispheres.

The GSFC 2-D model and GMI 3-D CTM were used to predict medium-term impacts (~months) from the January and March 2012 SPE periods. Stratospheric ozone was impacted in the polar regions by these solar events due to the transport of SPE-produced NO\textsubscript{x} to lower altitudes. The GMI 3-D CTM predicted significantly larger upper stratospheric ozone destruction in the NH compared to the GSFC 2-D model, with a maximum of 13%. In the SH, the model predictions were generally similar, with a maximum ozone destruction of 8–11%. Total ozone reductions were predicted to be a maximum of 1–1.5% in the SH polar region due to the SPEs and would not likely be observed in total ozone satellite or ground measurements.
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