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ABSTRACT: Sea urchins, important herbivores in marine ecosystems, are strongly impacted by both the direct and indirect effects of predation, and the long-spined sea urchin *Diadema antillarum* is no exception. Once abundant on Caribbean coral reefs, *D. antillarum* populations were decimated by disease in the early 1980s, and only where their natural predators have been overfished has *D. antillarum* recovery been observed. Spiny lobsters (Palinuridae) are predators of sea urchins, and although there are several species of spiny lobster in the Caribbean, only the spotted spiny lobster *Panulirus guttatus* is restricted to coral reefs where *D. antillarum* dwells. We investigated the direct and indirect impacts of *P. guttatus* on *D. antillarum* mortality, foraging, and behavior in a series of laboratory experiments. We found that *P. guttatus* prey on *D. antillarum*, especially small urchins. *D. antillarum* also consumed significantly less algae in the presence of *P. guttatus* and fled when exposed to the odor of *P. guttatus* but apparently not the scent of the Caribbean spiny lobster *P. argus*. The altered foraging and avoidance behaviors displayed by *D. antillarum* are strong evidence that predation by *P. guttatus* has played an important, but underappreciated, role in the evolutionary history of *D. antillarum* and perhaps its recovery on Caribbean coral reefs.
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INTRODUCTION

Predators unquestionably influence the composition of prey communities, and in some circumstances their trophic effects can cascade through the community (Paine 1966). So too can the indirect effects of predation, such as the alteration of prey behavior in response to predator cues (Trussell et al. 2003, Freeman 2006). In marine ecosystems, studies of sea urchins and their predators have provided compelling examples of the dual consequences of direct and indirect predation on community structure. For example, consumption of sea urchins by sea otters (Estes & Palmisano 1974) and spiny lobsters (Tegner & Levin 1983) has positive cascading effects on kelp forests by reducing sea urchin grazing on kelp. Often the mere cue of a predator is enough to change prey behavior. Sea urchins cease feeding or flee an area when exposed to the odor of potential predators (Vadas & Elner 2003, Matassa 2010, Morishita & Barrett 2011), which potentially diminishes their foraging activities and impacts as herbivores.

The long-spined sea urchin *Diadema antillarum*, which inhabits Caribbean coral reefs and is the subject of our investigation, is consumed by a number of piscine and invertebrate predators (Randall et al.
1964), and its foraging is depressed on reefs where the threat of piscine predation is high (Carpenter 1984). Whether *D. antillarum* responds directly to predator odors is unknown, but they flee when exposed to the odor of an injured conspecific (Snyder & Snyder 1970). Therefore, predators impact *D. antillarum* on coral reefs directly through consumption while indirectly inhibiting their foraging and thus their functional significance as reef herbivores.

In the early 1980s, an unknown pathogen spread rapidly throughout the Caribbean sparking a catastrophic die-off of *Diadema antillarum* that resulted in greater than 95% mortality in the region (Carpenter 1988). Within weeks of the *D. antillarum* mass mortality, many reefs experienced rapid phase shifts from coral-dominated systems to those dominated by macroalgae (Carpenter 1988). Decades after the mass mortality of *D. antillarum* in the Caribbean, recovery has been slow and spatially inconsistent. Coral reefs in the Florida Keys (Florida, USA), where our study was conducted, have seen limited recovery of *D. antillarum* (Chiappone et al. 2002). Several mechanisms have been postulated to explain their continued absence, including limited larval supply, lack of appropriate settlement habitat, and high post-settlement mortality (Chiappone et al. 2002, Lee 2006, Miller et al. 2009). However, pulses of *D. antillarum* recruits are frequent (Chiappone et al. 2002), suggesting that post-settlement mortality plays an important role in determining *D. antillarum* abundance in Florida. Where urchins have recovered, macroalgal cover has decreased, resulting in a corresponding increase in coral recruitment (Carpenter & Edmunds 2006, Myhre & Acevedo-Gutiérrez 2007). Macroalgae not only compete with coral for space (Box & Mumby 2007) but also decrease coral fecundity (Foster et al. 2008) and recruitment (Birrell et al. 2008, Arnold et al. 2010). Thus, the maintenance of a healthy herbivore community and the recovery of important herbivores, such as *D. antillarum*, which have been diminished by disease or overfishing, is crucial to the reestablishment of coral dominance on reefs.

Spiny lobsters are important predators of echinoderms and mollusks worldwide, and their predatory activities sometimes result in trophic cascades (Tegner & Dayton 1981, Robles 1987, Mayfield et al. 2000, Shears & Babcock 2002). The Caribbean spiny lobster *Panulirus argus* is the most numerous lobster species in the Caribbean and, although adults shelter on coral reefs by day, at night they primarily feed off the reef in seagrass meadows and rubble zones (Cox et al. 1997). In contrast, the spotted spiny lobster *P. guttatus* is an obligate inhabitant of coral reefs where it is numerous but often overlooked because it is a small species, retreats deep into the reef by day, and emerges only at night to forage on the reef. Although there are fisheries for *P. guttatus* in some areas of the Caribbean (Wynne & Côté 2007), none exists in the Florida Keys where high densities of *P. guttatus* correspond with documented poor sea urchin population recovery (Sharp et al. 1997, Chiappone et al. 2002, Robertson & Butler 2003, Miller et al. 2009). Thus, we examined whether *P. guttatus* preys on *Diadema antillarum*, whether *D. antillarum* can attain a size-specific refuge from *P. guttatus* predation, and whether trait-mediated interactions occur between these species that alter *D. antillarum* foraging.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Lobster selectivity for Diadema antillarum size**

*Panulirus guttatus* is a diminutive species of lobster whose maximum size is less than half that of its common Caribbean congener, *P. argus* (Robertson & Butler 2003). Prey consumption by lobsters is often size-specific (Butler et al. 2006) and it is likely that the size of prey consumed by *P. guttatus* is limited by lobster size. Thus, we determined in laboratory experiments if this potential anatomical constraint impacts *P. guttatus* consumption of *Diadema antillarum*. Lobsters and urchins used in this trial were collected by divers from coral reefs in the Florida Keys and maintained in the laboratory for < 1 wk prior to the start of the experiment. To begin a trial, the size (carapace length [CL]; 36.5 to 53.7 mm) and sex of a *P. guttatus* that had been starved for 24 h prior to the experiment was recorded, and the lobster was then placed in a 101 flow-through saltwater tank. *D. antillarum* were grouped into size categories based on test diameter, where every 5 mm increase in test diameter represented a different size group. Three *D. antillarum* differing in test diameter (5.0 to 30.2 mm) were selected haphazardly from different size categories, measured with calipers to the nearest 0.1 mm, and then added to the tank, and their survival was recorded after 24 h. The experiment was replicated 13 times, each with a separate lobster. For analysis, percent consumption of each size class was determined by dividing the number of *D. antillarum* consumed in a given size class by the total number of *D. antillarum* offered in that size class. Additionally, *D. antillarum* were regrouped into 2 larger size categories: small (< 15 mm test diameter) and large (> 15 mm test diameter), and a repeated measures
Mantel-Haenszel test was run to determine if consumption of urchins by *P. guttatus* was independent of urchin size. This test accounts for the lack of independence in the data as more than one urchin was consumed in several trials.

**Diadema antillarum feeding in response to the presence of lobster**

To determine if the threat of predation by *Panulirus guttatus* alters the foraging behavior of *Diadema antillarum*, the consumption of macroalgae by a single urchin in laboratory trials was measured with or without a lobster present. *D. antillarum* were starved for 24 h prior to the experiment, then placed in a 100 l of urchin size. This test accounts for the lack of independence in the data as more than one urchin was starved for 24 h prior to the experiment, then placed in a 100 l of urchin size. This test accounts for the lack of independence in the data as more than one urchin was consumed in several trials.

The guttatus urchin in laboratory trials was measured with or without the 2 algal species as the response variables. The additional seawater added was one of 2 types: an untreated seawater (control; n = 20), or seawater obtained from an aerated 19 l aquarium in which a single 45 to 65 mm CL *P. guttatus* had been held for approximately 24 h (n = 20). The movement of the *D. antillarum* in response to the added water was then observed for 5 min and the total distance moved (cm) during this 5 min period was recorded. A year later, we performed an identical experiment with 15 *D. antillarum* that we exposed to either untreated seawater or seawater containing odors produced by the Caribbean spiny lobster *P. argus*. The results of these 2 separate experiments permitted us to investigate the behavioral response of *D. antillarum* to conspecific lobsters that both inhabit coral reefs, but whose foraging behaviors and thus risk to *D. antillarum* differ. Despite their procedural similarity and the similar range of urchin sizes tested, the experiments with *P. guttatus* and *P. argus* conducted a year apart involved separate sampling events and potential confounding factors. Thus, for both experiments, we compared the distance moved by urchins in each lobster predator treatment and its corresponding control using 2-sample *t*-tests with unequal variance rather than ANOVA. A post-hoc power analysis was run on the *P. argus* experimental results.

**RESULTS**

**Lobster selectivity for Diadema antillarum size**

*Panulirus guttatus* preferred smaller *Diadema antillarum*: 88% of the *D. antillarum* in the smallest size class (5 to 10 mm test diameter) were eaten, whereas only 21% of the *D. antillarum* of intermediate sizes (10 to 15 mm test diameter) were consumed, and no urchins with test diameters >26 mm were eaten. Results of a repeated measures Mantel-Haenszel (MH) test confirmed that significantly more small *D. antillarum* (test diameter of 15 mm or less) were eaten than large ones ($\chi^2_{MH} = 11.6364; df = 1; p = 0.0006$).

**Diadema antillarum feeding in response to the presence of a lobster**

A 1-factor Model I MANOVA revealed that *Diadema antillarum* consumed significantly less algae in
the presence of Panulirus guttatus compared to a seawater control \((F = 5.45; \text{df} = 2, 35; p = 0.009)\). D. antillarum consumed more Dictyota than Halimeda, but both types of algae were consumed in greater abundance in the control trials where P. guttatus was not present (Dictyota \(p = 0.012\) and Halimeda \(p = 0.038\)) (Fig. 1).

**Diadema antillarum response to lobster chemical cues**

Diadema antillarum moved significantly more in response to the odor of the reef-dwelling lobster Panulirus guttatus compared to a seawater control \((t = 2.65; \text{df} = 18; p = 0.016)\) but did not move more in response to another lobster (P. argus) cue compared to the seawater control \((t = 1.84; \text{df} = 18; p = 0.083)\). There was also a significant difference in D. antillarum movement when the results of the 2 lobster cues were compared, with D. antillarum moving significantly more when exposed to the P. guttatus cue \((t = 2.268; \text{df} = 21; p = 0.034)\) (Fig. 2). A post-hoc power analysis of the non-significant P. argus results yielded a low power of 45%; a sample size \(> 34\) ind. would be required to achieve a power of 80% for this experiment. Thus, even if the sample sizes for the P. argus and P. guttatus trials had been equal \((n = 20)\), our results would likely remain unchanged.

**DISCUSSION**

Diadema antillarum are important drivers of macroalgal abundance and thus community structure on Caribbean coral reefs, but our results suggest that their effectiveness as herbivores may be diminished by high juvenile mortality and chemically mediated reductions in foraging activity when the spotted spiny lobster Panulirus guttatus is present. D. antillarum consume both Halimeda and Dictyota, the 2 dominant species of macroalgae on patch reefs in the Florida Keys, but they prefer Dictyota. However, the foraging of D. antillarum on both species of algae is reduced in the presence of P. guttatus. This inhibitory behavior appears specific to P. guttatus and is absent or weak in response to its Caribbean congener, P. argus. Although P. argus consumes D. antillarum (Randall et al. 1964), this is the first demonstration of the direct and indirect effects of P. guttatus predation on D. antillarum. Yet, P. guttatus is a diminutive species and consumes mostly small D. antillarum, resulting in a size refuge from predation for large D. antillarum.

Our analysis of algal preference by Diadema antillarum focused on 2 types of macroalgae, Dictyota spp. (consisting mostly of D. pulchella and D. menstrualis) and Halimeda spp. (mainly H. opuntia but also H. tuna), that dominate the biomass of forereef (Beach et al. 2006) and patch reef habitats in the Florida Keys (Lirman & Biber 2000, Paddack et al.)
2006). We found that *D. antillarum* preferred *Dictyota* and consumed little *Halimeda*. Other investigators have examined algal preference in different species of sea urchin in the genus *Diadema* (Ogden & Lobel 1978, Solandt & Campbell 2001), but their conclusions with respect to algal preference are conflicting. Several studies (Maciá et al. 2007, Myhre & Acevedo-Gutiérrez 2007) indicate that *D. antillarum* prefers non-calcareous macroalgae, which is consistent with our findings. Other studies have shown that *D. antillarum* consumes even heavily calcified algae (Morrison 1988, Solandt & Campbell 2001). Although *Dictyota* and *Halimeda* are both chemically defended, which deters grazing by most fishes (Lewis 1985, Paul & Hay 1986), only *Halimeda* is heavily calcified. Populations of herbivorous fish are by far the most abundant reef herbivores in the Florida Keys (Paddock et al. 2006, Butler & Mojica 2012), but they alone are unlikely to reverse the algal dominance on coral reefs that are populated largely by chemically defended and calcified algae such as *Dictyota* and *Halimeda*. *D. antillarum* readily consumes uncalcified algae such as *Dictyota*, but its corresponding effect on *Halimeda* is less certain.

Others have demonstrated that tropical sea urchins flee in response to predatory cues (Vadas & Elner 2003), that *Diadema antillarum* flees in response to cues from injured conspecifics (Snyder & Snyder 1970), and that *D. antillarum* has smaller home feeding scars on reefs with high predator densities (Carpenter 1984). However, the evidence we present here is the first direct demonstration of flight response and a trait-mediated reduction in algal consumption by *D. antillarum* in response to odor of a specific predator: *Panulirus guttatus*. We also show that foraging by *D. antillarum* on *Dictyota* and *Halimeda* was significantly reduced in the presence of *P. guttatus*. These results have important implications for Caribbean coral reefs where *P. guttatus* is abundant, such as the Florida Keys.

Nevertheless, the recovery of *Diadema antillarum* alone is unlikely to reverse the algal dominance now occurring on many Caribbean coral reefs. There are attempts, for example, to enhance *D. antillarum* populations via transplantation of both natural and hatchery-reared sea urchins (Burdick 2008, Rogers & Lorenzen 2008). However, lobsters restrict *D. antillarum* foraging close to shelters, so if *Panulirus guttatus* is abundant on reefs, they will minimize the impact of urchins on algal communities. Whether *D. antillarum* respond similarly to other predators that dwell on coral reefs is unknown, but our results suggest that they will respond differently to different predators. Although the larger Caribbean spiny lobster *P. argus* will consume *D. antillarum* (Randall et al. 1964), it forages much less on the reef than the philopatric *P. guttatus*, which spends its entire benthic existence on the reef (Sharp et al. 1997, Robertson & Butler 2003, 2009) and appears to be a more important predator of *D. antillarum* than *P. argus*.

*Panulirus guttatus* clearly preferred smaller *Diadema antillarum*, providing the urchin with a size-specific refuge from predation, a finding consistent with studies of sea urchin predation by other species of lobster (Tegner & Levin 1983). Some suggest that the return of *D. antillarum* populations to historically high densities (Knowlton 2001, Maciá et al. 2007) may be the best method for releasing Caribbean reefs from algal dominance, prompting their return to coral dominance. Even in areas like the Florida Keys with relatively intact herbivorous fish guilds, rates of piscine herbivory are insufficient to decrease the standing algal biomass (Paddock et al. 2006), especially when dominated by chemically defended algae (Paul & Hay 1986, Limran & Biber 2000). Fish, sea urchins, and herbivorous crabs graze differently; sea urchins are generally less selective grazers than fish and perhaps crabs (Ogden & Lobel 1978, Morrison 1988, Butler & Mojica 2012). Thus, sea urchins, when abundant, tend to have a greater impact on algal quantity and species composition in shallow reef communities (Morrison 1988). *D. antillarum* has been associated with reversal of the coral-to-macroalgae phase shift in areas of the Caribbean where *D. antillarum* populations have recovered (Carpenter & Edmunds 2006, Myhre & Acevedo-Gutiérrez 2007). Efforts to jump-start the recovery of *D. antillarum* are underway in several areas of the Caribbean and rely on stock-enhancement via transplantation of existing recruits or out-planting of hatchery-reared urchins (Burdick 2008, Rogers & Lorenzen 2008). Thus far, transplantation of *D. antillarum* has met with mixed results (Maciá et al. 2007, Burdick 2008, Kintzing 2010), and the poor recovery of transplanted populations is likely a result of intense predation, high emigration, or both. Our results suggest that simply out-planting *D. antillarum*, especially juveniles, will be unsuccessful if the size and abundance of potential predators, such as *P. guttatus*, on the managed site are not taken into account. The likelihood of *D. antillarum* recovery and their effectiveness as agents of change on Caribbean coral reefs appear intimately tied to the status of their predators, especially *P. guttatus*. Predation is an important factor that influences the density and foraging behavior of many species of sea urchins (Carpenter 1984, Vadas & Elner 2003,}

...
Hereu et al. 2005). Not surprisingly, the areas in the Caribbean where *D. antillarum* has rebounded tend to be severely overfished, especially with respect to predatory fish and lobster (Harborne et al. 2009). Whereas fish are clearly important predators of *D. antillarum* (Randall et al. 1964) and predation by diurnally active fishes likely influenced *D. antillarum* evolution into a nocturnal forager, our results suggest that predation by *P. guttatus* may have played an important, but underappreciated, role in the evolutionary history of *D. antillarum*.
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