# Old Dominion University ODU Digital Commons

**OTS Master's Level Projects & Papers** 

STEM Education & Professional Studies

1996

# A Study to Determine the Correlation Between Personality Types and Certification Area for Military Career Transition Students

Karen Brady
Old Dominion University

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.odu.edu/ots\_masters\_projects

Part of the Education Commons

#### Recommended Citation

Brady, Karen, "A Study to Determine the Correlation Between Personality Types and Certification Area for Military Career Transition Students" (1996). OTS Master's Level Projects & Papers. 323.

https://digitalcommons.odu.edu/ots\_masters\_projects/323

This Master's Project is brought to you for free and open access by the STEM Education & Professional Studies at ODU Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in OTS Master's Level Projects & Papers by an authorized administrator of ODU Digital Commons. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@odu.edu.

# A STUDY TO DETERMINE THE CORRELATION BETWEEN PERSONALITY TYPES AND CERTIFICATION AREA FOR MILITARY CAREER TRANSITION STUDENTS

\*\*\*\*\*

A RESEARCH PROJECT
PRESENTED TO
THE FACULTY OF
THE DEPARTMENT OF
OCCUPATIONAL AND TECHNICAL STUDIES
OLD DOMINION UNIVERSITY

\*\*\*\*

IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE MASTER OF SCIENCE IN EDUCATION DEGREE

> BY KAREN BRADY NOVEMBER, 1996

\*\*\*

This research paper was prepared by Karen Brady under the direction of Dr. John M. Ritz in OTED 636, Problems in Education. It was submitted to the Graduate Program Director as partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Degree of Master of Science in Education.

APPROVED BY:

ØR. JOHN M. RITZ

Research Advisor and Graduate Program Director Occupational and Technical Education Old Dominion University

Date:

12-9-96

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Signature	Pageii
CHAPTER	
I.	INTRODUCTION
	Statement of Problem
	Research Goals
	Background and Significance
	Limitations 3
	Assumptions4
	Procedures 5
	Definition of Terms 5
	Overview of Chapters 6
II.	REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE
	Myers-Briggs Personality Test
	Military Members Transitioning to Teaching14
	Summary16
III.	METHODS AND PROCEDURES18
	Population
	Instrument Use19
	Statistical Analysis
	Summary20
IV.	FINDINGS21
	Population
	Comparison for Each Pair of Traits23

۲,

	Comparative Analysis23
	Summary24
V.	SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS25
	Summary25
	Conclusions26
	Recommendations27
BIBLIOGRA	РНҮ
APPENDIX A	A: INSTRUMENT30

#### CHAPTER I

#### INTRODUCTION

Thousands of military members leave the service each year to join the teaching force. They have shown not only a willingness to teach in locations most needed, but also a willingness to teach subjects that have the greatest shortage of instructors, such as science, mathematics, special education, bilingual education, and geography. (Feistritzer, 1993, p. 40)

Many universities in military communities offer academic programs geared towards preparing military members for the teaching force. Old Dominion University provides a program for military members retiring or separating from the service that leads to a Masters of Science Degree in Education as well as teacher certification.

A correlation has been established between teaching preferences and personality types. (Lawrence, 1982, p.83) This study seeks to discover if this same relationship exists between military members entering the teaching force and certification areas chosen.

#### Statement of the Problem

The problem of this study was to determine the correlation between personality types and chosen certification areas for Old Dominion University (ODU) Military Career Transition Program (MCTP) students.

#### Research Goals

The goals of this research project were to:

- 1. Determine the personality types of Old Dominion
  University Military Career Transition Program students.
- 2. Determine which chosen certification areas correlate to the sixteen personality types.

#### Background and Significance

In 1986, two events occurred which helped to draw former military personnel into the teaching field. A projected shortage of teachers nationwide was identified and a military drawdown began. The Department of Defense (DoD) and the Department of Education (DoED) joined together to

create a program to solve the teacher shortage by training former military personnel to become teachers. (Keltner, 1994, p. 182)

Surveys conducted comparing military personnel transitioning to the teaching field to teachers already in the classroom indicate the two groups have significant differences in their reasons for choosing to teach. Most military members cite a concern and interest in helping young people (67 percent), closely followed by the "value of education in society" (65 percent) as the main reasons for choosing to teach. People already in the classroom also cite a concern and interest in helping young people as the main reason for wanting to teach (67 percent). However, the value or significance of education in society (38 percent) ranks just above long summer vacations (31 percent). (Feistritzer, 1993, p. 40) While several studies have been conducted to show a correlation between personality types and the subject areas teachers choose to teach, no such study has been documented for prior military transitioning to a new career in teaching.

#### Limitations

The limitations of this study were as follows:

- 1. This study was limited to surveying a sample of Military Career Transition Program students at Old Dominion University as well as the certification areas offered by the program.
- 2. This study was limited to the use of the Kiersey Tempermant Sorter to determine personality type.

#### Assumptions

In this study there were several factors which were assumed to be true and correct. The assumptions were as follows:

- 1. The Kiersey Temperament Sorter, a shorter version of the Myers-Briggs personality test, will appropriately identify the personality type.
- 2. The students surveyed answered the test truthfully.
- 3. Certain personality types select certain teaching areas.

#### Procedures

This study will be conducted by administering the Kiersey Temperament Sorter to a sampling of Old Dominion University Military Career Transition Program students. The results of this test will be used to determine the personality type. Finally, a comparison will be made between the personality type of the student and the selected certification area.

#### Definition of Terms

For clarification, the following terms should be understood:

Myers-Briggs personality type. Developed by the mother-daughter team of Isabel Myers and Katherine Briggs, this personality test grew out of the Jungian theory of personality which suggests that human behavior is classifiable and predictable based on definable personality types. The test defines sixteen different personality types. (Coe, 1992, pp. 511-515)

Kiersey Temperament Sorter. A shortened version of the Myers-Briggs personality test designed to be used by

untrained individuals which closely corresponds to Myers-Briggs results. (Kiersey, 1984, pp. 5-12)

Military Career Transition Program. An academic and counseling program offered through Old Dominion University to senior enlisted and officers that have or will soon be retiring or separating from the military to become teachers.

#### Overview of Chapters

This study seeks to find if there is a correlation between personality types for military personnel and their chosen certification area. A thorough review of the available literature on this subject will be presented, and a complete methodology of how the study was conducted will be provided. The results of the study will be described and analyzed for significance. Recommendations for further studies will be provided.

#### CHAPTER II

#### REVIEW OF LITERATURE

A thorough review of the literature resulted in a plethora of information concerning personality tests and much less information on military members transitioning to the teaching field. No studies correlating personality type of military members transitioning to the teaching field and teaching preferences were found. This review first seeks to provide the reader with a basic understanding of the Myers-Briggs personality test, terminology, limitations, and correlations between personality type and teaching subject/level. Secondly, an overview of published literature concerning military members transitioning to the teaching field is provided.

#### Myers-Briggs Personality Test Literature

The Myers-Briggs Type Indicator (MBTI) is a popular, though often controversial, personality test that has gained widespread use. It has been used in everything from career counseling to team building to employee selection. The MBTI grew out of the Jungian

theory of personality which suggests that human behavior is classifiable and predictable based on definable personality types. The MBTI test was developed by the mother-daughter team of Isabel Myers and Katherine Briggs. Over a period of many years they developed and refined the test as an instrument to measure personality type. The MBTI was first published in 1962 by the Educational Testing Service. (Coe, 1992, p. 512)

The eight aspects measured by MBTI are divided into four pairs of opposites:

Extroverts (E)	vs.	Introverts (I)
Intuitives (N)	vs.	Sensors (S)
Thinkers (T)	vs.	Feelers (F)
Judgers (J)	vs.	Perceivers (P)

Each of these four pairs represent opposite means of performing certain functions or patterns of action and interaction. Lawrence (1982, pp. 2-4) provides a good, straightforward explanation of these functions. The E vs. I part of the equation identifies how one prefers to energize one's spiritual and mental self. E's prefer interaction with other people, while I's seek private time. N vs. S addresses how one gathers information. N's are apt to rely on intuition, while

S's prefer close observation of the environment. The manner in which one reaches conclusions or makes decisions is what the T vs. F portion is measuring. T's most often rely on objective analysis and logic in their decision-making process, while F's lean more towards subjective, gut-level responses. Finally, J vs. P indicates how one responds to the environment. J's prefer bringing order and structure to their world and making things happen, while P's like things to remain open, preferring to adapt to opportunities as they present themselves. It is important to note that everyone uses both sides of each of these opposites functions. MBTI merely measures which approach comes most naturally to a person.

As explained by Coe, (1992, p. 512) "Type theory assumes that from birth people develop the four functions that they do best. Initially, while one is developing one's preferred behaviors and orientations, the opposite functions, which Jung calls the shadow functions, are used far less often. Most people really begin to work at becoming more comfortable with their shadow functions only after age 20."

The complete typology designation is a combination of one of each of the four pairs for a total of sixteen possible combinations:

ISTJ	ISFJ	INFJ	INTJ
ISTP	ISFP	INFP	INTP
ESTJ	ESFJ	ENFJ	ENTJ
ESTP	ESFP	ENFP	ENTP

Furthermore, according to Kiersey and Bates, (1984, pp. 12-13) it is possible for a person to fall exactly in the middle on the continuum between any of the pairs of opposites, resulting in an additional 32 "mixed types". In a case of a mixed type, the mixed function is designated with an "X" (e.g. IXTJ, ISXJ, etc.).

An in-depth description of the sixteen defined MBTI types can be found in many sources, including Kiersey and Bates (1984), Kroeger and Thuesen (1992), and Lawrence (1982). A very simplistic understanding of the strengths of these sixteen types is indicated by the titles of Kroeger and Thuesen's (1992) description of each typology:

ISTJ - Life's Natural Organizers

ISFJ - Committed to Getting the Job Done

INFJ - An Inspiring Leader and Follower

INTJ - Life's Independent Thinkers

ISTP - "Just Do It!"

ISFP - Action Speaks Louder Than Words

INFP - Making Life Kinder and Gentler

INTP - Life's Conceptualizers

ESTJ - Life's Natural Administrators

ESFJ - Everyone's Trusted Friend

ENFJ - Smooth-Talking Persuaders

ENTJ - Life's Natural Leaders

ENTP - Make the Most of the Moment

ESFP - Let's Make Work Fun!

ENFP - People are the Product

ENTP - Progress is the Product

There has been much research done on which personality types tend to populate different occupations. Statistics found reporting the percentage of teachers in each type at different levels of education reveals the following for a sample of 2349 teachers: (Provost, 1991, p. 271)

TYPE	<u>ELEMENTARY</u>	MIDDLE/JR HIGH	<u>SR HIGH</u>
ISTJ	10.70	11.70	11.86
ISFJ	17.91	12.23	10.63
INFJ	5.10	4.96	7.70
INTJ	2.11	4.52	5.39
ISTP	1.74	2.30	1.54
ISFP	4.73	3.19	2.47
INFP	4.60	5.94	6.32
INTP	1.49	2.39	2.93

TYPE	ELEMENTARY	MIDDLE/JR HIGH	<u>SR HIGH</u>
ESTP	0.87	1.77	1.08
ESFP	5.72	3.81	2.31
ENFP	10.20	10.99	11.40
ENTP	1.49	3.90	3.54
ESTJ	8.46	9.13	11.25
ESFJ	12.44	11.52	8.47
ENFJ	7.21	7.80	8.78
ENTJ	5.22	4.34	4.31

Additionally, subject matter preferences for teachers are predictable. S's are drawn to teaching practical courses, N's to courses with theory, T's to mathematics and sciences, and F's to language arts and humanities. (Lawrence, 1982, p. 78)

While knowledge of one's type, and the types drawn to a particular occupation may be interesting, one might rightly wonder how useful it is. The uses can be many, but it is also fraught with great danger of misuse. Most of the potential for misuse stems from a lack of understanding of the limitations of MBTI. As reported by Coe, (1992, pp. 513-516) the MBTI test does not measure one's values and motivations. Secondly, it cannot detect pathology. In other words, a schizophrenic and a totally sane individual can have the same psychological type. Additionally, much to the

surprise of many who have only had a cursory introduction to MBTI, it does not measure how well preferred functions are performed. So, just because an individual happens to be an ISTJ (Life's Natural Organizers) does not necessarily mean that the individual has successfully developed their preference for organization. Finally, the MBTI test questions force one to choose between black and white answers, when the most accurate answer is often gray. This means it cannot measure how well an individual has developed their shadow functions. Coe (1992, p. 513) believes this final limitation is the most important, stating: "This shadow function failure is the most serious limitation of the MBTI, and the source of greatest misunderstanding about the instrument. Many assume that the MBTI is an either/or proposition. example, one is either an extrovert or an introvert. In fact, each person performs all eight functions all the time; moreover, some people are more integrated on one or more of their shadow functions than others."

Also important to note, all sixteen types are represented among teachers who have taken the MBTI. While each type has its own characteristic strengths and limitations, there is no indication that a particular type makes a better teacher over another type. (Lawrence, 1982, p. 20)

#### Miltary Members Transitioning to Teaching

Prompted by a projected shortage of public school teachers in 1986, the Department of Defense (DoD) and the Department of Education (DoED) joined forces to solve this shortage by relocating former military personnel and training them to become teachers. (Keltner, 1994, p. 182) The military provides a pool of dedicated, intelligent men and women who have already served their country for up to 30 years, many of whom wish to continue serving by teaching in the nation's public schools. (Feistritzer, 1993, p. 40) The United States has built the most advanced and expert military in the world over the last 50 years. This expertise is not limited to producing sophisticated weapons and equipment, but also includes impressive capabilities in education, training, and other skills with non-military applications. (Nunn, 1992-3, p. 26) Additionally, military members have shown not only a willingness to teach in locations most needed, but also a willingness to teach subjects that have the greatest shortage of instructors, such as science, mathematics, special education, bilingual education, and geography. (Feistritzer, 1993, p. 40)

Utilizing this special population in our nation's school makes sense.

Education researchers estimate that 4,000 to 5,000 of the 1.7 million people who have left the military since 1990 are now teaching or will be teaching in public schools. (Schmitt, 1994, p. 1) As of July, 1994, 172 former military personnel are teaching in the United States as graduates of Old Dominion University's (ODU) Military Career Transition Program (MCTP). (MacDonald, 1994, p. 25) As of October, 1996, 624 students had completed the program. (MCTP, 1996)

The MCTP was established at ODU in 1989 as one of the nation's first alternative teacher certification programs serving military officers and senior enlisted personnel approaching separation or retirement from the military. The MCTP was designed to take into account the significant career experience military personnel have obtained by attending or teaching military schools. Teaching skills such as team building, decision making, values clarification, assessment, and management by objectives are included in training programs run by all branches of the military. In a sense, the type of training provided by the military indicates many military personnel have been indirectly training to become teachers. (MacDonald, 1994, p. 21)

In support of military transitioning to the

teaching field, Keltner (1994, p. 186) states: Service members bring to the classroom a wealth of experience especially since many have lived and worked in other countries. They have lived in a multicultural curriculum. Thus, they are typically accepting of the diversity they find among their students. Also, our teachers from the military have conducted training sessions, presented briefings, and developed some instructional curricula. They bring a special blend of confidence and humility to their classrooms. On the one hand, they are sufficiently confident so that they can stand with the children and assume responsibility for facilitating their learning. On the other hand, they are sufficiently humble to realize the enormity of this task. They come prepared to teach in the fullest sense."

#### Summary

Research of the MBTI reveals that all personality types are represented in teaching, and that there are correlations between personality type and subject/grade level taught. Overall, while S's tend to teach practical courses, N's teach courses with theory, and while T's are drawn to mathematics and science, F's are

drawn to language arts and humanities. ISFJ is the most represented type in teaching, and the type represented most in the elementary and middle schools, while ISTJ is the most represented type in the high schools.

With alternative certification programs such as MCTP drawing former military into the public school, it appears this population is drawn to teaching in areas most needed. This research project seeks to find out if a similar breakdown of personality vs. grade level exists for military entering the teaching field.

#### CHAPTER III

#### METHODS AND PROCEDURES

This research is a descriptive study seeking to determine whether or not teaching preferences for Military Career Transition Program students correlate with personality types. A description of the population studied, research instrument used, type of statistical analysis performed, and summary of the research methodology follow.

#### Population

There are currently 864 students enrolled in the Military Career Transition Program at Old Dominion
University located in Norfolk, Virginia. Classes chosen for the study were Trends and Issues (3 sections), Classroom
Management (3 sections), Design for Effective Instruction (3 sections), and Teaching Students with Exceptional Needs (1 section). These courses are required courses for all
Military Career Transition Program students, regardless of certification area. Enrollments in these 9 sections totalled 144.

A sample of 85 students were surveyed. The reduced population was due to absenteeism and class overlap. Each student participated only once, even though they may have been in more than one class.

#### Instrument Use

The Kiersey Temperament Sorter was utilized to determine personality type. The Kiersey Temperament Sorter is based on the theories of Carl Jung and is derived from the Myers-Briggs Type Indicator. It was used instead of the Myers-Briggs Type Indicator because it is easier and much less costly to administer. Additionally, it has been found to give the same results as the Myers-Briggs Type Indicator. (Quinn, 1992, p. 280) A sample of the instrument is found in Appendix A.

#### Statistical Analysis

The students' Kiersey Temperament Sorter questionnaires were scored to determine the personality type. Percentages of personality type vs. chosen certification area were determined and set up in a table to compare with previous research cited in Chapter 2.

#### Summary

This study was conducted by having students fill out the Kiersey Temperament Sorter and identify their chosen certification subject area. Personality types were determined by scoring the Kiersey Temperament Sorter, and results were examined to determine if a correlation between personality type and certification area existed.

#### CHAPTER IV

#### FINDINGS

The problem of this study was to determine whether or not teaching preferences for Military Career Transition

Program students correlate with personality types. This chapter contains the results from the test instrument used in the study. The data was used to determine if there was a significant correlation between personality type and certification area for MCTP students.

#### Population

The sample divided into the following personality types:

TYPE	NUMBER OF STUDENTS	PERCENTAGE
ISTJ	19	22.35
ISFJ	3	3.53
INFJ	1	1.18
INTJ	2	2.35
ESFP	1	1.18
ENFP	5	5.88

TYPE	NUMBER OF STUDENTS	PERCENTAGE
ENTP	4	4.70
ESTJ	26	30.59
ESFJ	5	5.88
ENFJ	4	4.70
ENTJ	7	8.24

Additionally, the following mixed types were present:

ESXJ	2	2.35
XNFJ	1	1.18
XSTJ	2	2.35
IXTJ	1	1.18
INXX	1	1.18
XNTP	1	1.18

The following types were not represented:

ISTP

ISFP

INFP

INTP

ESTP

#### Comparisons for Each Pair of Traits

- I vs. E: There were 28 (32.94%) Introverts vs. 54 (63.51%) Extroverts, with 3 (3.53%) scoring X.
- **s vs. N:** There were 58 (68.24%) Sensors vs. 26 (30.59%) Intuitives, with 1 (1.18%) scoring X.
- **T vs. F:** There were 62 (72.94%) Thinkers vs. 21 (24.71%) Feelers, with 2 (2.35%) scoring X.
- J vs. P: There were 73 (85.88%) Judgers vs. 11 (12.94%)
  Perceivers, with 1 (1.18%) scoring X.

#### Comparative Analysis

To aid in comparing the results for the Military Career Transition students with the personality breakdown published in Chapter 2, the table has been reprinted below, with the results of the study added in bold and parentheses.

TYPE	ELEMENTARY	MIDDLE/JR HIGH	<u>SR HIGH</u>
ISTJ	10.70 (20.00)	11.70 (25.81)	11.86 (20.00)
ISFJ	17.91 (20.00)	12.23 ( 3.22)	10.63
INFJ	5.10	4.96 ( 1.61)	7.70

TYPE	ELEMENTA	<u>RY</u>	MIDDLE	E/JR I	HIGH	SR	<u>HIGH</u>
INTJ	2.11		4.52	( 3.2	23)	5.39	
ISTP	1.74		2.30			1.54	
ISFP	4.73		3.19			2.47	
INFP	4.60		5.94			6.32	
INTP	1.49		2.39			2.93	
ESTP	0.87		1.77			1.08	
ESFP	5.72		3.81	( 1.6	51)	2.31	
ENFP	10.20		10.99	( 8.0	06)	11.40	
ENTP	1.49		3.90	( 6.4	15)	3.54	
ESTJ	8.46 (2	0.00)	9.13	(30.6	55)	11.25	(60.00)
ESFJ	12.44 (2	0.00)	11.52	( 4.8	34)	8.47	(10.00)
ENFJ	7.21 (2	0.00)	7.80	( 4.8	34)	8.78	
ENTJ	5.22		4.34	( 9.6	58)	4.31	(10.00)

#### Summary

Chapter IV provided the results of the test administered to collect data. Chapter V will provide the Summary, Conclusions and Recommendations of the study.

#### CHAPTER V

#### SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

#### Summary

The problem of this study was to determine the correlation between personality types and chosen certification areas for Old Dominion University Military Career Transition Program students. The goals of the research project were to determine the personality types of Old Dominion University Military Career Transition Program students and to determine which chosen certification areas correlate to the sixteen personality types.

The data was collected by administering a questionnaire to 85 Military Career Transition Program students which consisted of the Kiersey Temperament Sorter and chosen certification area. The questionnaires were scored to determine personality type, then compared to chosen certification area.

#### Conclusions

The findings of this study showed that there was very little correlation between personality types and chosen certification area for Military Career Transition students.

Previous research had shown that ISFJ is the most represented personality type in teaching, and the type most in the elementary and middle schools, while ISTJ is the most represented type in the high schools. For Military Career Transition students, ESTJ was the most represented type overall, and the type most represented in middle and high schools.

The lack of correlation is not surprising. Several personality types were not represented in the sample population, which could be a reflection of the sample being too small or that the military tends to attract fewer members of certain personality types. Also, the personality type gives no indication of how well a person has developed the shadow functions. Consider the fact that the "ideal teacher" would possess a combination of strengths of the 16 typologies. This would mean the "perfect fit" would have to be an EINSTFPJ, which, of course, is ridiculous. But not completely. The power of individuals to develop their shadow functions is what makes occupational personality typing so complex, if not impossible.

#### Recommendations

Based upon the research findings and conclusions of this study, the researcher includes the following recommendations:

- 1. Similar research should be repeated, in the same format, with a larger sample.
- 2. Similar research should be repeated, in the same format, for non-military students.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Coe, C. K. (1992). The MBTI: Potential uses and misuses in personnel administration. <u>Public Personnel Management</u>, 21(4), 511-521.
- Feistritzer, C. E. (1993) Looking for a few good teachers? The Executive Educator, 15, 40.
- Keltner, David P. (1994). Troops to teachers: alternative certification and the military. <u>The Educational Forum</u>, 58, 182-186.
- Kiersey D. and Bates, M. (1984). <u>Please Understand</u>
  <u>Me: Character & Temperament Types</u>. Cel Mar, CA:
  Prometheus Nemesis Book Co.
- Kroeger, O. and Thuesen, J. M. (1992). <u>Type Talk at Work</u>. New York: Delacorte.
- Lawrence, Gordon. (1984). <u>People Types and Tiger Stripes</u>. Gainsville, FL: Center for Applications of Psychological Type, Inc.
- MacDonald, Robert H., Manning, M. Lee and Gable, Robert A. (1994). An alternative certification program: career transition. Action in Teacher Education, 16(2), 19-27.
- MCTP Student Statistics. (October 1996). Old Dominion University Darden College of Education.
- Nunn, Sam. (1992-1993). The military's role in rebuilding America. <u>Issues in Science and Technology</u>, 9, 25-28.
- Provost, Judith A. (1987). <u>Applications of the Myers-Briggs Type Indicator in Higher Education</u>. Palo Alto, CA: Consulting Psychologists Press.

Quinn, Michael T, Lewis, Ralph J. and Fischer, Kathryn L. (1992). A cross-correlation of the Myers Briggs and Keirsey instruments. <u>Journal of College Student</u> <u>Development</u>, 33(3), 279-280.

Schmitt, Eric. (1994). Peace dividend: Troops turn to teaching. The New York Times, Wednesday Nov 30, 1994. Section A: A National Desk, p.1. (ProQuest access number 9300079593-- The New York Times Ondisc).

# The Keirsey Temperament Sorter

# 1. At a party do you

- (a) interact with many, including strangers
- (b) interact with a few, known to you

#### 2. Are you more

- (a) realistic than speculative
- (b) speculative than realistic

#### 3. Is it worse to

- (a) have your "head in the clouds"
- (b) be "in a rut"

# 4. Are you more impressed by

(a) principles

(b) emotions

# 5. Are you more drawn toward the

- (a) convincing
- (b) touching

# 6. Do you prefer to work

- (a) to deadlines
- (b) just "whenever"

# 7. Do you tend to choose

- (a) rather carefully
- (b) somewhat impulsively

# 8. At parties do you

- (a) stay late, with increasing energy
- (b) leave early, with decreased energy

# 9. Are you more attracted to

- (a) sensible people
- (b) imaginative people

# 10. Are you more interested in

- (a) what is actual
- (b) what is possible

# 11. In judging others are you more swayed by

- (a) laws than circumstances
- (b) circumstances than laws

#### 12. In approaching others is your inclination to be somewhat

(a) objective

(b) personal

#### 13. Are you more

- (a) punctual
- (b) leisurely

#### 14. Does it bother you more having things

- (a) incomplete
- (b) completed

#### 15. In your social groups do you

- (a) keep abreast of other's happenings
- (b) get behind on the news

## 16. In doing ordinary things are you more likely to

- (a) do it the usual way
- (b) do it your own way

#### 17. Writers should

- (a) "say what they mean and mean what they say"  $\,$
- (b) express things more by use of analogy

## 18. Which appeals to you more

- (a) consistency of thought
- (b) harmonious human relationships

## 19. Are you more comfortable in making

- (a) logical judgments
- (b) value judgments

#### 20. Do you want things

- (a) settled and decided
- (b) unsettled and undecided

#### 21. Would you say you are more

(a) serious and determined (b) easy-going

#### 22. In phoning do you

- (a) rarely question that it will all be said
- (b) rehearse what you'll say

#### 23. Facts

- (a) "speak for themselves"
- (b) illustrate principles

24.	Are visionaries  (a) somewhat annoying  (b) rather fascinating	
<b>2</b> 5.	Are you more often (a) a cool-headed person	(b) a warm-hearted person
26.	Is it worse to be (a) unjust	(b) merciless
27.	Should one usually let events (a) by careful selection and (b) randomly and by chance	l choice
28.	Do you feel better about (a) having purchased	(b) having the option to buy
29.	In company do you  (a) initiate conversation	(b) wait to be approached
30	Common sense is (a) rarely questionable	(b) frequently questionable
31	. Children often do not  (a) make themselves usefu  (b) exercise their fantasy of	
32	. In making decisions do you	feel more comfortable with
	(a) standards	(b) feelings
33	(a) firm than gentle	(b) gentle than firm
34	<ul> <li>Which is more admirable:</li> <li>(a) the ability to organize</li> <li>(b) the ability to adapt and</li> </ul>	
35	<ol> <li>Do you put more value on t (a) definite</li> </ol>	he (b) open-ended

36. Do	es new and non-routine	e interaction with others
	) stimulate and energiz	
(b	) tax your reserves	•
	e you more frequently	
•	) a practical sort of pe	
(b	) a fanciful sort of pers	son
	e you more likely to	• •
•	) see how others are us	seful
d)	) see how others see	X.
39. W	hich is more satisfying:	
(a	) to discuss an issue th	oroughly
(b	o) to arrive at agreemen	nt on an issue
40. W	hich rules you more:	
(a	) your head	(b) your heart
41. Ar	e you more comfortable	e with work that is
(a	) contracted	(b) done on a casual basis
42. Do	you tend to look for	
(a	) the orderly	(b) whatever turns up
43. Do	you prefer	
•	) many friends with br	
(b	o) a few friends with mo	ore lengthy contact
44. Do	you go more by	
(a	) facts	(b) principles
	e you more interested i	
•	<ul> <li>production and distri</li> </ul>	bution
(b	) design and research	

# 46. Which is more of a compliment:

- (a) "There is a very logical person."
- (b) "There is a very sentimental person."

-		59. Are you urawn more to
47.	Do you value in yourself more that you are (a) unwavering (b) devoted	(a) fundamentals (b) overtones
		60. Which seems the greater error:
48.	Do you more often prefer the  (a) final and unalterable statement	(a) to be too passionate (b) to be too objective
	(b) tentative and preliminary statement	61. Do you see yourself as basically
40	Are you more comfortable	(a) hard-headed (b) soft-hearted
49.	(a) after a decision (b) before a decision	
		62. Which situation appeals to you more:  (a) the structured and scheduled
50.	Do you	(b) the unstructured and unscheduled
	(a) speak easily and at length with strangers	(b) the unstructured and unscheduled
	(b) find little to say to strangers	63. Are you a person that is more
51	Are you more likely to trust your	(a) routinized than whimsical
01.	(a) experience (b) hunch	(b) whimsical than routinized
52	. Do you feel	64. Are you more inclined to be
02.	(a) more practical than ingenious	(a) easy to approach (b) somewhat reserved
	(b) more ingenious than practical	
	. Which person is more to be complimented: one of	65. In writings do you prefer  (a) the more literal (b) the more figurative
53	(a) clear reason (b) strong feeling	(a) the more meral (b) the more right ative
		66. Is it harder for you to
54	l. Are you inclined more to be (a) fair-minded (b) sympathetic	(a) identify with others (b) utilize others
	(a) fair-minded (b) sympathetic	
5.5	5. Is it preferable mostly to	67. Which do you wish more for yourself:  (a) clarity of reason  (b) strength of compassion
	(a) make sure things are arranged	(a) clarity of reason (b) strength of compassion
	(b) just let things happen	68. Which is the greater fault:
	6. In relationships should most things be	(a) being indiscriminate (b) being critical
5(	(a) renegotiable	
	(b) random and circumstantial	69. Do you prefer the
		(a) planned event (b) unplanned event
5	7. When the phone rings do you	70. Do you tend to be more
	(a) hasten to get to it first	(a) deliberate than spontaneous
	(b) hope someone else will answer	(b) spontaneous than deliberate
5	88. Do you prize more in yourself	(S) Special and demonstrate
J	(a) a strong sense of reality (b) a vivid imagination	
	• •	

#### Answei Jnieet

Enter a check for each answer in the column for a or b

	a	р		а	b		a	b		а	b		a	Ъ		а	b		a	b
1			2			3			4			5			6		_	7		
8			9			10			11			12			13			14		
15			16			17			18			19			20			21		
22			23			24			25			26			27			28		
29			30			31			32			33			34			35		
36			37			38			39			40			41			42		
43			44			45			46			47			48			49		
50			51			52			53			54			55			56		
57			58			59			60			61			62			63		
64			65			66			67			68			69			70		
1			2 3			4 3			4 5			6 5			6 7			8 7		
ţ,											L						L		L	
1	E	ī	2			3	S	N	4			5	T	F	6			7		P

#### **Directions for Scoring**

- 1. Add down so that the total number of "a" answers is written in the box at the bottom of each column (see next page for illustration). Do the same for the "b" answers you have checked. Each of the 14 boxes should have a number in it.
- 2. Transfer the number in box No. 1 of the answer sheet to box No. 1 below the answer sheet. Do this for box No. 2 as well. Note, however, that you have two numbers for boxes 3 through 8. Bring down the first number for each box beneath the second, as indicated by the arrows. Now add all the pairs of numbers and enter the total in the boxes below the answer sheet, so each box has only one number.

3. Now you have four pairs of numbers. Circle the letter below the larger number of each pair (see answer sheet below for illustration). If the two numbers of any pair are equal, then circle neither, but put a large X below them and circle it.

		_	1	_		1					$\Box$					_		1	$\Box$	
	В	ь		a	ь		8	ь		8	b	_	8	ь		a	ь		а	ь
1	V		2			3	V		4		V	5		V	6	V		7		✓
8	/	L	9	V		10	7		11		V	12		٧	13	1		14	✓	
15	<b>V</b>		16	V		17	V		18		V	19		1	20	V		21	$\checkmark$	
22		V	23	1		24	V		25		V	26		1	27	V		28	V	
29	1		30	V		31		V	32		V	33		V	34	V		35	1	
36	<b>√</b>		37	V		38	V	L	39		1	40		V	41	V	L	42	1	
43		1	44	L	V	45	V	L	48		V	47		1	48	V	L	49		2
50	V		51	V		52	V		53	Ĺ	V	54	V	L	55	V	1	56	V	
57	V		58	V		59	V		60		V	61		V	62	V		63	L	V
64	V		65	V	Γ	66	Γ	V	67		V	68	Γ	V	69	1	1_	70	V	
٠.	8	2	, ,	9	1	, ,	8	2	. ,	0	10	, ,	1	9	, ,	0	0	],	1	3
							9	1		_	L		0	Ю			L		10	0
	8	2	Ī.			_	17	13	Ī.				7	19	Ī.			,	V1	13
١	8	1	,,			•	C	N	,•			,	Ť	Ċ	5			•	ġ	) P

You have now identified your "type." It should be one of the following:

INFP	ISFP	INTP	ISTP
ENEL	ESFP	ENTP	ESTF
INFI	ISF	INT	ISTI
ENF	ESF	ENT	ESTI

If you have an X in your type, yours is a mixed type. An X can show up in any of the four pairs: E or I, S or N, T or F, and I or P. Hence there are 32 mixed types besides the 16 listed shove:

XNTP	EXTP	ENXP	ENT
XNTJ	EXT	INXP	KTNI
XNFP	EXFP	ENX	ENF
XNFJ	EXF]	INXI	INFX
XSTP	IXTP	ESXP	ESTX
XSTJ	IXT	ISXP	ISTX
XSFP	IXFP	ESXI	ESFX
XSFJ	LXFJ	ISXJ	ISFX

Having identified type, the task now is to read the type description and to decide how well or how poorly the description fits. You will find a description or portrait of your type on the page indicated in the table of contents. If you have an X in your type, yours is a combination of two types. If, for example, the E and I scores are equal and the type is, say, XSFI, then you would read both ESFI and ISFI portraits and decide for yourself which parts of each description are applicable.

One may also profit from reading the portrait of one's opposite to see how things are "on the other side." (How one proceeds after reading one's own type portrait depends largely upon temperament. Some of the types will read several other portraits before returning to the text, while others will return immediately to the text. Some may never read all sixteen of the type descriptions.) As will be shown, the typology is useful if an observer can distinguish between four types of temperament. It is not at all necessary to make these finer distinctions. However, such differences can become useful after long study.

## LICENSURE AREA(S)

Please indicate the grade level and the subject area(s) of your

licensure.

K-4		
4-8		
	Science	
	Math	
	Social Studies	
	Language Arts	
	Technology Education	
Secoi	ndary	
	Subject Area(s):	
	(1)	
	(2)	
Spec	ial Ed ONLY	

Special Ed Endorsement \_\_\_\_\_